
**Distributed Optimization
and Statistical Learning via
the Alternating Direction
Method of Multipliers**

Distributed Optimization and Statistical Learning via the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

Stephen Boyd

*Stanford University, USA
boyd@stanford.edu*

Neal Parikh

*Stanford University, USA
npparikh@cs.stanford.edu*

Eric Chu

*Stanford University, USA
echu508@stanford.edu*

Borja Peleato

*Stanford University, USA
peleato@stanford.edu*

Jonathan Eckstein

*Rutgers University, USA
jeckstei@rci.rutgers.edu*

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Machine Learning

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato and J. Eckstein, Distributed Optimization and Statistical Learning via the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers, *Foundations and Trends[®] in Machine Learning*, vol 3, no 1, pp 1–122, 2010

ISBN: 978-1-60198-460-9

© 2011 S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato and J. Eckstein

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc. for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Machine Learning**
Volume 3 Issue 1, 2010
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Michael Jordan

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Department of Statistics

University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley, CA 94720-1776

Editors

Peter Bartlett (UC Berkeley)

Yoshua Bengio (Université de Montréal)

Avrim Blum (Carnegie Mellon University)

Craig Boutilier (University of Toronto)

Stephen Boyd (Stanford University)

Carla Brodley (Tufts University)

Inderjit Dhillon (University of Texas at
Austin)

Jerome Friedman (Stanford University)

Kenji Fukumizu (Institute of Statistical
Mathematics)

Zoubin Ghahramani (Cambridge
University)

David Heckerman (Microsoft Research)

Tom Heskes (Radboud University Nijmegen)

Geoffrey Hinton (University of Toronto)

Aapo Hyvarinen (Helsinki Institute for
Information Technology)

Leslie Pack Kaelbling (MIT)

Michael Kearns (University of
Pennsylvania)

Daphne Koller (Stanford University)

John Lafferty (Carnegie Mellon University)

Michael Littman (Rutgers University)

Gabor Lugosi (Pompeu Fabra University)

David Madigan (Columbia University)

Pascal Massart (Université de Paris-Sud)

Andrew McCallum (University of
Massachusetts Amherst)

Marina Meila (University of Washington)

Andrew Moore (Carnegie Mellon
University)

John Platt (Microsoft Research)

Luc de Raedt (Albert-Ludwigs Universitaet
Freiburg)

Christian Robert (Université
Paris-Dauphine)

Sunita Sarawagi (IIT Bombay)

Robert Schapire (Princeton University)

Bernhard Schoelkopf (Max Planck Institute)

Richard Sutton (University of Alberta)

Larry Wasserman (Carnegie Mellon
University)

Bin Yu (UC Berkeley)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Machine Learning will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Adaptive control and signal processing
- Applications and case studies
- Behavioral, cognitive and neural learning
- Bayesian learning
- Classification and prediction
- Clustering
- Data mining
- Dimensionality reduction
- Evaluation
- Game theoretic learning
- Graphical models
- Independent component analysis
- Inductive logic programming
- Kernel methods
- Markov chain Monte Carlo
- Model choice
- Nonparametric methods
- Online learning
- Optimization
- Reinforcement learning
- Relational learning
- Robustness
- Spectral methods
- Statistical learning theory
- Variational inference
- Visualization

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Machine Learning, 2010, Volume 3, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1935-8237. ISSN online version 1935-8245. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in
Machine Learning
Vol. 3, No. 1 (2010) 1–122
© 2011 S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato
and J. Eckstein
DOI: 10.1561/22000000016



Distributed Optimization and Statistical Learning via the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

Stephen Boyd¹, Neal Parikh², Eric Chu³
Borja Peleato⁴ and Jonathan Eckstein⁵

¹ *Electrical Engineering Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA, boyd@stanford.edu*

² *Computer Science Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA, npparikh@cs.stanford.edu*

³ *Electrical Engineering Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA, echu508@stanford.edu*

⁴ *Electrical Engineering Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA, peleato@stanford.edu*

⁵ *Management Science and Information Systems Department and RUTCOR, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA, jeckstei@rci.rutgers.edu*

Abstract

Many problems of recent interest in statistics and machine learning can be posed in the framework of convex optimization. Due to the explosion in size and complexity of modern datasets, it is increasingly important to be able to solve problems with a very large number of features or training examples. As a result, both the decentralized collection or storage of these datasets as well as accompanying distributed solution methods are either necessary or at least highly desirable. In this

review, we argue that the *alternating direction method of multipliers* is well suited to distributed convex optimization, and in particular to large-scale problems arising in statistics, machine learning, and related areas. The method was developed in the 1970s, with roots in the 1950s, and is equivalent or closely related to many other algorithms, such as dual decomposition, the method of multipliers, Douglas–Rachford splitting, Spingarn’s method of partial inverses, Dykstra’s alternating projections, Bregman iterative algorithms for ℓ_1 problems, proximal methods, and others. After briefly surveying the theory and history of the algorithm, we discuss applications to a wide variety of statistical and machine learning problems of recent interest, including the lasso, sparse logistic regression, basis pursuit, covariance selection, support vector machines, and many others. We also discuss general distributed optimization, extensions to the nonconvex setting, and efficient implementation, including some details on distributed MPI and Hadoop MapReduce implementations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Precursors	5
2.1	Dual Ascent	5
2.2	Dual Decomposition	7
2.3	Augmented Lagrangians and the Method of Multipliers	8
3	Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers	11
3.1	Algorithm	11
3.2	Convergence	13
3.3	Optimality Conditions and Stopping Criterion	16
3.4	Extensions and Variations	18
3.5	Notes and References	21
4	General Patterns	23
4.1	Proximity Operator	23
4.2	Quadratic Objective Terms	24
4.3	Smooth Objective Terms	28
4.4	Decomposition	29
5	Constrained Convex Optimization	31
5.1	Convex Feasibility	32
5.2	Linear and Quadratic Programming	34

6	ℓ_1-Norm Problems	37
6.1	Least Absolute Deviations	38
6.2	Basis Pursuit	40
6.3	General ℓ_1 Regularized Loss Minimization	41
6.4	Lasso	42
6.5	Sparse Inverse Covariance Selection	44
7	Consensus and Sharing	47
7.1	Global Variable Consensus Optimization	47
7.2	General Form Consensus Optimization	52
7.3	Sharing	55
8	Distributed Model Fitting	61
8.1	Examples	62
8.2	Splitting across Examples	64
8.3	Splitting across Features	66
9	Nonconvex Problems	73
9.1	Nonconvex Constraints	73
9.2	Bi-convex Problems	76
10	Implementation	79
10.1	Abstract Implementation	79
10.2	MPI	81
10.3	Graph Computing Frameworks	82
10.4	MapReduce	83
11	Numerical Examples	89
11.1	Small Dense Lasso	90
11.2	Distributed ℓ_1 Regularized Logistic Regression	94
11.3	Group Lasso with Feature Splitting	97
11.4	Distributed Large-Scale Lasso with MPI	99
11.5	Regressor Selection	102

12 Conclusions	105
Acknowledgments	107
A Convergence Proof	109
References	115

1

Introduction

In all applied fields, it is now commonplace to attack problems through data analysis, particularly through the use of statistical and machine learning algorithms on what are often large datasets. In industry, this trend has been referred to as ‘Big Data’, and it has had a significant impact in areas as varied as artificial intelligence, internet applications, computational biology, medicine, finance, marketing, journalism, network analysis, and logistics.

Though these problems arise in diverse application domains, they share some key characteristics. First, the datasets are often extremely large, consisting of hundreds of millions or billions of training examples; second, the data is often very high-dimensional, because it is now possible to measure and store very detailed information about each example; and third, because of the large scale of many applications, the data is often stored or even collected in a distributed manner. As a result, it has become of central importance to develop algorithms that are both rich enough to capture the complexity of modern data, and scalable enough to process huge datasets in a parallelized or fully decentralized fashion. Indeed, some researchers [92] have suggested that even highly complex and structured problems may succumb most easily to relatively simple models trained on vast datasets.

2 Introduction

Many such problems can be posed in the framework of convex optimization. Given the significant work on decomposition methods and decentralized algorithms in the optimization community, it is natural to look to parallel optimization algorithms as a mechanism for solving large-scale statistical tasks. This approach also has the benefit that one algorithm could be flexible enough to solve many problems.

This review discusses the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM), a simple but powerful algorithm that is well suited to distributed convex optimization, and in particular to problems arising in applied statistics and machine learning. It takes the form of a *decomposition-coordination* procedure, in which the solutions to small local subproblems are coordinated to find a solution to a large global problem. ADMM can be viewed as an attempt to blend the benefits of dual decomposition and augmented Lagrangian methods for constrained optimization, two earlier approaches that we review in §2. It turns out to be equivalent or closely related to many other algorithms as well, such as Douglas-Rachford splitting from numerical analysis, Spingarn's method of partial inverses, Dykstra's alternating projections method, Bregman iterative algorithms for ℓ_1 problems in signal processing, proximal methods, and many others. The fact that it has been re-invented in different fields over the decades underscores the intuitive appeal of the approach.

It is worth emphasizing that the algorithm itself is not new, and that we do not present any new theoretical results. It was first introduced in the mid-1970s by Gabay, Mercier, Glowinski, and Marrocco, though similar ideas emerged as early as the mid-1950s. The algorithm was studied throughout the 1980s, and by the mid-1990s, almost all of the theoretical results mentioned here had been established. The fact that ADMM was developed so far in advance of the ready availability of large-scale distributed computing systems and massive optimization problems may account for why it is not as widely known today as we believe it should be.

The main contributions of this review can be summarized as follows:

- (1) We provide a simple, cohesive discussion of the extensive literature in a way that emphasizes and unifies the aspects of primary importance in applications.

- (2) We show, through a number of examples, that the algorithm is well suited for a wide variety of large-scale distributed modern problems. We derive methods for decomposing a wide class of statistical problems by training examples and by features, which is not easily accomplished in general.
- (3) We place a greater emphasis on practical large-scale implementation than most previous references. In particular, we discuss the implementation of the algorithm in cloud computing environments using standard frameworks and provide easily readable implementations of many of our examples.

Throughout, the focus is on applications rather than theory, and a main goal is to provide the reader with a kind of ‘toolbox’ that can be applied in many situations to derive and implement a distributed algorithm of practical use. Though the focus here is on parallelism, the algorithm can also be used serially, and it is interesting to note that with no tuning, ADMM can be competitive with the best known methods for some problems.

While we have emphasized applications that can be concisely explained, the algorithm would also be a natural fit for more complicated problems in areas like graphical models. In addition, though our focus is on statistical learning problems, the algorithm is readily applicable in many other cases, such as in engineering design, multi-period portfolio optimization, time series analysis, network flow, or scheduling.

Outline

We begin in §2 with a brief review of dual decomposition and the method of multipliers, two important precursors to ADMM. This section is intended mainly for background and can be skimmed. In §3, we present ADMM, including a basic convergence theorem, some variations on the basic version that are useful in practice, and a survey of some of the key literature. A complete convergence proof is given in appendix A.

In §4, we describe some general patterns that arise in applications of the algorithm, such as cases when one of the steps in ADMM can

4 Introduction

be carried out particularly efficiently. These general patterns will recur throughout our examples. In §5, we consider the use of ADMM for some generic convex optimization problems, such as constrained minimization and linear and quadratic programming. In §6, we discuss a wide variety of problems involving the ℓ_1 norm. It turns out that ADMM yields methods for these problems that are related to many state-of-the-art algorithms. This section also clarifies why ADMM is particularly well suited to machine learning problems.

In §7, we present *consensus* and *sharing* problems, which provide general frameworks for distributed optimization. In §8, we consider distributed methods for generic model fitting problems, including regularized regression models like the lasso and classification models like support vector machines.

In §9, we consider the use of ADMM as a heuristic for solving some nonconvex problems. In §10, we discuss some practical implementation details, including how to implement the algorithm in frameworks suitable for cloud computing applications. Finally, in §11, we present the details of some numerical experiments.

References

- [1] M. V. Afonso, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, and M. A. T. Figueiredo, “Fast image recovery using variable splitting and constrained optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 2345–2356, 2010.
- [2] M. V. Afonso, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, and M. A. T. Figueiredo, “An Augmented Lagrangian Approach to the Constrained Optimization Formulation of Imaging Inverse Problems,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 20, pp. 681–695, 2011.
- [3] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. Bischof, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. D. Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. Hammarling, A. McKenney, and D. Sorenson, *LAPACK: A portable linear algebra library for high-performance computers*. IEEE Computing Society Press, 1990.
- [4] K. J. Arrow and G. Debreu, “Existence of an equilibrium for a competitive economy,” *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 265–290, 1954.
- [5] K. J. Arrow, L. Hurwicz, and H. Uzawa, *Studies in Linear and Nonlinear Programming*. Stanford University Press: Stanford, 1958.
- [6] K. J. Arrow and R. M. Solow, “Gradient methods for constrained maxima, with weakened assumptions,” in *Studies in Linear and Nonlinear Programming*, (K. J. Arrow, L. Hurwicz, and H. Uzawa, eds.), Stanford University Press: Stanford, 1958.
- [7] O. Banerjee, L. E. Ghaoui, and A. d’Aspremont, “Model selection through sparse maximum likelihood estimation for multivariate Gaussian or binary data,” *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 9, pp. 485–516, 2008.

116 *References*

- [8] P. L. Bartlett, M. I. Jordan, and J. D. McAuliffe, “Convexity, classification, and risk bounds,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 101, no. 473, pp. 138–156, 2006.
- [9] H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, “Dykstra’s alternating projection algorithm for two sets,” *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 418–443, 1994.
- [10] H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, “On projection algorithms for solving convex feasibility problems,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 367–426, 1996.
- [11] A. Beck and M. Teboulle, “A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems,” *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 183–202, 2009.
- [12] S. Becker, J. Bobin, and E. J. Candès, “NESTA: A fast and accurate first-order method for sparse recovery,” Available at <http://www.acm.caltech.edu/~emmanuel/papers/NESTA.pdf>, 2009.
- [13] J. F. Benders, “Partitioning procedures for solving mixed-variables programming problems,” *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 4, pp. 238–252, 1962.
- [14] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, and R. Temam, “Sur les méthodes de décomposition, de décentralisation et de coordination et applications,” *Méthodes Mathématiques de l’Informatique*, pp. 133–257, 1976.
- [15] D. P. Bertsekas, *Constrained Optimization and Lagrange Multiplier Methods*. Academic Press, 1982.
- [16] D. P. Bertsekas, *Nonlinear Programming*. Athena Scientific, second ed., 1999.
- [17] D. P. Bertsekas and J. N. Tsitsiklis, *Parallel and Distributed Computation: Numerical Methods*. Prentice Hall, 1989.
- [18] J. M. Bioucas-Dias and M. A. T. Figueiredo, “Alternating Direction Algorithms for Constrained Sparse Regression: Application to Hyperspectral Unmixing,” *arXiv:1002.4527*, 2010.
- [19] J. Borwein and A. Lewis, *Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization: Theory and Examples*. Canadian Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [20] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [21] L. M. Bregman, “Finding the common point of convex sets by the method of successive projections,” *Proceedings of the USSR Academy of Sciences*, vol. 162, no. 3, pp. 487–490, 1965.
- [22] L. M. Bregman, “The relaxation method of finding the common point of convex sets and its application to the solution of problems in convex programming,” *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 200–217, 1967.
- [23] H. Brézis, *Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semi-Groupes de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert*. North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1973.
- [24] A. M. Bruckstein, D. L. Donoho, and M. Elad, “From sparse solutions of systems of equations to sparse modeling of signals and images,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 34–81, 2009.
- [25] Y. Bu, B. Howe, M. Balazinska, and M. D. Ernst, “HaLoop: Efficient Iterative Data Processing on Large Clusters,” *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Very Large Databases*, 2010.

- [26] R. H. Byrd, P. Lu, and J. Nocedal, "A Limited Memory Algorithm for Bound Constrained Optimization," *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1190–1208, 1995.
- [27] E. J. Candès and Y. Plan, "Near-ideal model selection by ℓ_1 minimization," *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 37, no. 5A, pp. 2145–2177, 2009.
- [28] E. J. Candès, J. Romberg, and T. Tao, "Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 2, p. 489, 2006.
- [29] E. J. Candès and T. Tao, "Near-optimal signal recovery from random projections: Universal encoding strategies?," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 5406–5425, 2006.
- [30] Y. Censor and S. A. Zenios, "Proximal minimization algorithm with D -functions," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 451–464, 1992.
- [31] Y. Censor and S. A. Zenios, *Parallel Optimization: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications*. Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [32] F. Chang, J. Dean, S. Ghemawat, W. C. Hsieh, D. A. Wallach, M. Burrows, T. Chandra, A. Fikes, and R. E. Gruber, "BigTable: A distributed storage system for structured data," *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 1–26, 2008.
- [33] G. Chen and M. Teboulle, "A proximal-based decomposition method for convex minimization problems," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 64, pp. 81–101, 1994.
- [34] S. S. Chen, D. L. Donoho, and M. A. Saunders, "Atomic decomposition by basis pursuit," *SIAM Review*, vol. 43, pp. 129–159, 2001.
- [35] Y. Chen, T. A. Davis, W. W. Hager, and S. Rajamanickam, "Algorithm 887: CHOLMOD, supernodal sparse Cholesky factorization and update/downdate," *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, vol. 35, no. 3, p. 22, 2008.
- [36] W. Cheney and A. A. Goldstein, "Proximity maps for convex sets," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 448–450, 1959.
- [37] C. T. Chu, S. K. Kim, Y. A. Lin, Y. Y. Yu, G. Bradski, A. Y. Ng, and K. Olukotun, "MapReduce for machine learning on multicore," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2007.
- [38] J. F. Claerbout and F. Muir, "Robust modeling with erratic data," *Geophysics*, vol. 38, p. 826, 1973.
- [39] P. L. Combettes, "The convex feasibility problem in image recovery," *Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics*, vol. 95, pp. 155–270, 1996.
- [40] P. L. Combettes and J. C. Pesquet, "A Douglas-Rachford splitting approach to nonsmooth convex variational signal recovery," *IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 564–574, 2007.
- [41] P. L. Combettes and J. C. Pesquet, "Proximal Splitting Methods in Signal Processing," *arXiv:0912.3522*, 2009.
- [42] P. L. Combettes and V. R. Wajs, "Signal recovery by proximal forward-backward splitting," *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1168–1200, 2006.

118 *References*

- [43] G. B. Dantzig, *Linear Programming and Extensions*. RAND Corporation, 1963.
- [44] G. B. Dantzig and P. Wolfe, “Decomposition principle for linear programs,” *Operations Research*, vol. 8, pp. 101–111, 1960.
- [45] I. Daubechies, M. DeFrise, and C. D. Mol, “An iterative thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems with a sparsity constraint,” *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 57, pp. 1413–1457, 2004.
- [46] J. Dean and S. Ghemawat, “MapReduce: Simplified data processing on large clusters,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 107–113, 2008.
- [47] J. W. Demmel, *Applied Numerical Linear Algebra*. SIAM: Philadelphia, PA, 1997.
- [48] A. P. Dempster, “Covariance selection,” *Biometrics*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 157–175, 1972.
- [49] D. L. Donoho, “De-noising by soft-thresholding,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 41, pp. 613–627, 1995.
- [50] D. L. Donoho, “Compressed sensing,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, 2006.
- [51] D. L. Donoho, A. Maleki, and A. Montanari, “Message-passing algorithms for compressed sensing,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 106, no. 45, p. 18914, 2009.
- [52] D. L. Donoho and Y. Tsaig, “Fast solution of ℓ_1 -norm minimization problems when the solution may be sparse,” Tech. Rep., Stanford University, 2006.
- [53] J. Douglas and H. H. Rachford, “On the numerical solution of heat conduction problems in two and three space variables,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 82, pp. 421–439, 1956.
- [54] J. C. Duchi, A. Agarwal, and M. J. Wainwright, “Distributed Dual Averaging in Networks,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2010.
- [55] J. C. Duchi, S. Gould, and D. Koller, “Projected subgradient methods for learning sparse Gaussians,” in *Proceedings of the Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, 2008.
- [56] R. L. Dykstra, “An algorithm for restricted least squares regression,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 78, pp. 837–842, 1983.
- [57] J. Eckstein, *Splitting methods for monotone operators with applications to parallel optimization*. PhD thesis, MIT, 1989.
- [58] J. Eckstein, “Nonlinear proximal point algorithms using Bregman functions, with applications to convex programming,” *Mathematics of Operations Research*, pp. 202–226, 1993.
- [59] J. Eckstein, “Parallel alternating direction multiplier decomposition of convex programs,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 39–62, 1994.
- [60] J. Eckstein, “Some saddle-function splitting methods for convex programming,” *Optimization Methods and Software*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 75–83, 1994.
- [61] J. Eckstein, “A practical general approximation criterion for methods of multipliers based on Bregman distances,” *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 61–86, 2003.
- [62] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas, “An alternating direction method for linear programming,” Tech. Rep., MIT, 1990.

- [63] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas, "On the Douglas-Rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 55, pp. 293–318, 1992.
- [64] J. Eckstein and M. C. Ferris, "Operator-splitting methods for monotone affine variational inequalities, with a parallel application to optimal control," *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, vol. 10, pp. 218–235, 1998.
- [65] J. Eckstein and M. Fukushima, "Some reformulations and applications of the alternating direction method of multipliers," *Large Scale Optimization: State of the Art*, pp. 119–138, 1993.
- [66] J. Eckstein and B. F. Svaiter, "A family of projective splitting methods for the sum of two maximal monotone operators," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 111, no. 1-2, p. 173, 2008.
- [67] J. Eckstein and B. F. Svaiter, "General projective splitting methods for sums of maximal monotone operators," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 48, pp. 787–811, 2009.
- [68] E. Esser, "Applications of Lagrangian-based alternating direction methods and connections to split Bregman," *CAM report*, vol. 9, p. 31, 2009.
- [69] H. Everett, "Generalized Lagrange multiplier method for solving problems of optimum allocation of resources," *Operations Research*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 399–417, 1963.
- [70] M. J. Fadili and J. L. Starck, "Monotone operator splitting for optimization problems in sparse recovery," *IEEE ICIP*, 2009.
- [71] A. V. Fiacco and G. P. McCormick, *Nonlinear Programming: Sequential Unconstrained Minimization Techniques*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1990. First published in 1968 by Research Analysis Corporation.
- [72] M. A. T. Figueiredo and J. M. Bioucas-Dias, "Restoration of Poissonian Images Using Alternating Direction Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 19, pp. 3133–3145, 2010.
- [73] M. A. T. Figueiredo, R. D. Nowak, and S. J. Wright, "Gradient projection for sparse reconstruction: Application to compressed sensing and other inverse problems," *IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 586–597, 2007.
- [74] P. A. Forero, A. Cano, and G. B. Giannakis, "Consensus-based distributed support vector machines," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 11, pp. 1663–1707, 2010.
- [75] M. Fortin and R. Glowinski, *Augmented Lagrangian Methods: Applications to the Numerical Solution of Boundary-Value Problems*. North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1983.
- [76] M. Fortin and R. Glowinski, "On decomposition-coordination methods using an augmented Lagrangian," in *Augmented Lagrangian Methods: Applications to the Solution of Boundary-Value Problems*, (M. Fortin and R. Glowinski, eds.), North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1983.
- [77] M. Forum, *MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard, version 2.2*. High-Performance Computing Center: Stuttgart, 2009.
- [78] Y. Freund and R. Schapire, "A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting," in *Computational Learning Theory*, pp. 23–37, Springer, 1995.

120 *References*

- [79] J. Friedman, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani, "Sparse inverse covariance estimation with the graphical lasso," *Biostatistics*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 432, 2008.
- [80] M. Fukushima, "Application of the alternating direction method of multipliers to separable convex programming problems," *Computational Optimization and Applications*, vol. 1, pp. 93–111, 1992.
- [81] D. Gabay, "Applications of the method of multipliers to variational inequalities," in *Augmented Lagrangian Methods: Applications to the Solution of Boundary-Value Problems*, (M. Fortin and R. Glowinski, eds.), North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1983.
- [82] D. Gabay and B. Mercier, "A dual algorithm for the solution of nonlinear variational problems via finite element approximations," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 2, pp. 17–40, 1976.
- [83] M. Galassi, J. Davies, J. Theiler, B. Gough, G. Jungman, M. Booth, and F. Rossi, *GNU Scientific Library Reference Manual*. Network Theory Ltd., third ed., 2002.
- [84] A. M. Geoffrion, "Generalized Benders decomposition," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 237–260, 1972.
- [85] S. Ghemawat, H. Gobioff, and S. T. Leung, "The Google file system," *ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 29–43, 2003.
- [86] R. Glowinski and A. Marrocco, "Sur l'approximation, par elements finis d'ordre un, et la resolution, par penalisation-dualité, d'une classe de problèmes de Dirichlet non lineares," *Revue Française d'Automatique, Informatique, et Recherche Opérationnelle*, vol. 9, pp. 41–76, 1975.
- [87] R. Glowinski and P. L. Tallec, "Augmented Lagrangian methods for the solution of variational problems," Tech. Rep. 2965, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1987.
- [88] T. Goldstein and S. Osher, "The split Bregman method for ℓ_1 regularized problems," *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 323–343, 2009.
- [89] E. G. Gol'shtein and N. V. Tret'yakov, "Modified Lagrangians in convex programming and their generalizations," *Point-to-Set Maps and Mathematical Programming*, pp. 86–97, 1979.
- [90] G. H. Golub and C. F. van Loan, *Matrix Computations*. Johns Hopkins University Press, third ed., 1996.
- [91] D. Gregor and A. Lumsdaine, "The Parallel BGL: A generic library for distributed graph computations," *Parallel Object-Oriented Scientific Computing*, 2005.
- [92] A. Halevy, P. Norvig, and F. Pereira, "The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Data," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, vol. 24, no. 2, 2009.
- [93] K. B. Hall, S. Gilpin, and G. Mann, "MapReduce/BigTable for distributed optimization," in *Neural Information Processing Systems: Workshop on Learning on Cores, Clusters, and Clouds*, 2010.
- [94] T. Hastie and R. Tibshirani, *Generalized Additive Models*. Chapman & Hall, 1990.
- [95] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman, *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference and Prediction*. Springer, second ed., 2009.

- [96] B. S. He, H. Yang, and S. L. Wang, “Alternating direction method with self-adaptive penalty parameters for monotone variational inequalities,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 337–356, 2000.
- [97] M. R. Hestenes, “Multiplier and gradient methods,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 4, pp. 302–320, 1969.
- [98] M. R. Hestenes, “Multiplier and gradient methods,” in *Computing Methods in Optimization Problems*, (L. A. Zadeh, L. W. Neustadt, and A. V. Balakrishnan, eds.), Academic Press, 1969.
- [99] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty and C. Lemaréchal, *Fundamentals of Convex Analysis*. Springer, 2001.
- [100] P. J. Huber, “Robust estimation of a location parameter,” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 35, pp. 73–101, 1964.
- [101] S.-J. Kim, K. Koh, S. Boyd, and D. Gorinevsky, “ ℓ_1 Trend filtering,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 339–360, 2009.
- [102] S.-J. Kim, K. Koh, M. Lustig, S. Boyd, and D. Gorinevsky, “An interior-point method for large-scale ℓ_1 -regularized least squares,” *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 606–617, 2007.
- [103] K. Koh, S.-J. Kim, and S. Boyd, “An interior-point method for large-scale ℓ_1 -regularized logistic regression,” *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 1, no. 8, pp. 1519–1555, 2007.
- [104] D. Koller and N. Friedman, *Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques*. MIT Press, 2009.
- [105] S. A. Kontogiorgis, *Alternating directions methods for the parallel solution of large-scale block-structured optimization problems*. PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1994.
- [106] S. A. Kontogiorgis and R. R. Meyer, “A variable-penalty alternating directions method for convex optimization,” *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 83, pp. 29–53, 1998.
- [107] L. S. Lasdon, *Optimization Theory for Large Systems*. MacMillan, 1970.
- [108] J. Lawrence and J. E. Spingarn, “On fixed points of non-expansive piecewise isometric mappings,” *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 605, 1987.
- [109] C. L. Lawson, R. J. Hanson, D. R. Kincaid, and F. T. Krogh, “Basic linear algebra subprograms for Fortran usage,” *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 308–323, 1979.
- [110] D. D. Lee and H. S. Seung, “Algorithms for non-negative matrix factorization,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 13, 2001.
- [111] J. Lin and M. Schatz, “Design Patterns for Efficient Graph Algorithms in MapReduce,” in *Proceedings of the Eighth Workshop on Mining and Learning with Graphs*, pp. 78–85, 2010.
- [112] P. L. Lions and B. Mercier, “Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators,” *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 964–979, 1979.
- [113] D. C. Liu and J. Nocedal, “On the Limited Memory Method for Large Scale Optimization,” *Mathematical Programming B*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 503–528, 1989.

122 *References*

- [114] Y. Low, J. Gonzalez, A. Kyrola, D. Bickson, C. Guestrin, and J. M. Hellerstein, “GraphLab: A New Parallel Framework for Machine Learning,” in *Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, 2010.
- [115] Z. Lu, “Smooth optimization approach for sparse covariance selection,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1807–1827, 2009.
- [116] Z. Lu, T. K. Pong, and Y. Zhang, “An Alternating Direction Method for Finding Dantzig Selectors,” [arXiv:1011.4604](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.4604), 2010.
- [117] D. G. Luenberger, *Introduction to Linear and Nonlinear Programming*. Addison-Wesley: Reading, MA, 1973.
- [118] J. Mairal, R. Jenatton, G. Obozinski, and F. Bach, “Network flow algorithms for structured sparsity,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 24, 2010.
- [119] G. Malewicz, M. H. Austern, A. J. C. Bik, J. C. Dehnert, I. Horn, N. Leiser, and G. Czajkowski, “Pregel: A system for large-scale graph processing,” in *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Management of Data*, pp. 135–146, 2010.
- [120] A. F. T. Martins, M. A. T. Figueiredo, P. M. Q. Aguiar, N. A. Smith, and E. P. Xing, “An Augmented Lagrangian Approach to Constrained MAP Inference,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2011.
- [121] G. Mateos, J.-A. Bazerque, and G. B. Giannakis, “Distributed sparse linear regression,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, pp. 5262–5276, Oct. 2010.
- [122] P. J. McCullagh and J. A. Nelder, *Generalized Linear Models*. Chapman & Hall, 1991.
- [123] N. Meinshausen and P. Bühlmann, “High-dimensional graphs and variable selection with the lasso,” *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 1436–1462, 2006.
- [124] A. Miele, E. E. Cragg, R. R. Iver, and A. V. Levy, “Use of the augmented penalty function in mathematical programming problems, part 1,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 8, pp. 115–130, 1971.
- [125] A. Miele, E. E. Cragg, and A. V. Levy, “Use of the augmented penalty function in mathematical programming problems, part 2,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 8, pp. 131–153, 1971.
- [126] A. Miele, P. E. Mosely, A. V. Levy, and G. M. Coggins, “On the method of multipliers for mathematical programming problems,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 10, pp. 1–33, 1972.
- [127] J.-J. Moreau, “Fonctions convexes duales et points proximaux dans un espace Hilbertien,” *Reports of the Paris Academy of Sciences, Series A*, vol. 255, pp. 2897–2899, 1962.
- [128] D. Mosk-Aoyama, T. Roughgarden, and D. Shah, “Fully distributed algorithms for convex optimization problems,” Available at <http://theory.stanford.edu/~tim/papers/distribcvxopt.pdf>, 2007.
- [129] I. Necoara and J. A. K. Suykens, “Application of a smoothing technique to decomposition in convex optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 2674–2679, 2008.

- [130] A. Nedić and A. Ozdaglar, “Distributed subgradient methods for multi-agent optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 48–61, 2009.
- [131] A. Nedić and A. Ozdaglar, “Cooperative distributed multi-agent optimization,” in *Convex Optimization in Signal Processing and Communications*, (D. P. Palomar and Y. C. Eldar, eds.), Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [132] Y. Nesterov, “A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate $O(1/k^2)$,” *Soviet Mathematics Doklady*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 372–376, 1983.
- [133] Y. Nesterov, “Gradient methods for minimizing composite objective function,” *CORE Discussion Paper, Catholic University of Louvain*, vol. 76, p. 2007, 2007.
- [134] M. Ng, P. Weiss, and X. Yuang, “Solving Constrained Total-Variation Image Restoration and Reconstruction Problems via Alternating Direction Methods,” *ICM Research Report*, Available at http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_FILE/2009/10/2434.pdf, 2009.
- [135] J. Nocedal and S. J. Wright, *Numerical Optimization*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [136] H. Ohlsson, L. Ljung, and S. Boyd, “Segmentation of ARX-models using sum-of-norms regularization,” *Automatica*, vol. 46, pp. 1107–1111, 2010.
- [137] D. W. Peaceman and H. H. Rachford, “The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations,” *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, pp. 28–41, 1955.
- [138] M. J. D. Powell, “A method for nonlinear constraints in minimization problems,” in *Optimization*, (R. Fletcher, ed.), Academic Press, 1969.
- [139] A. Ribeiro, I. Schizas, S. Roumeliotis, and G. Giannakis, “Kalman filtering in wireless sensor networks — Incorporating communication cost in state estimation problems,” *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 30, pp. 66–86, Apr. 2010.
- [140] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [141] R. T. Rockafellar, “Augmented Lagrangians and applications of the proximal point algorithm in convex programming,” *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 1, pp. 97–116, 1976.
- [142] R. T. Rockafellar, “Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm,” *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 14, p. 877, 1976.
- [143] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets, “Scenarios and policy aggregation in optimization under uncertainty,” *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 119–147, 1991.
- [144] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets, *Variational Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [145] L. Rudin, S. J. Osher, and E. Fatemi, “Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms,” *Physica D*, vol. 60, pp. 259–268, 1992.
- [146] A. Ruszczyński, “An augmented Lagrangian decomposition method for block diagonal linear programming problems,” *Operations Research Letters*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 287–294, 1989.
- [147] A. Ruszczyński, “On convergence of an augmented Lagrangian decomposition method for sparse convex optimization,” *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 634–656, 1995.

124 *References*

- [148] K. Scheinberg, S. Ma, and D. Goldfarb, “Sparse inverse covariance selection via alternating linearization methods,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2010.
- [149] I. D. Schizas, G. Giannakis, S. Roumeliotis, and A. Ribeiro, “Consensus in ad hoc WSNs with noisy links — part II: Distributed estimation and smoothing of random signals,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56, pp. 1650–1666, Apr. 2008.
- [150] I. D. Schizas, A. Ribeiro, and G. B. Giannakis, “Consensus in ad hoc WSNs with noisy links — part I: Distributed estimation of deterministic signals,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56, pp. 350–364, Jan. 2008.
- [151] B. Schölkopf and A. J. Smola, *Learning with Kernels: Support Vector Machines, Regularization, Optimization, and Beyond*. MIT Press, 2002.
- [152] N. Z. Shor, *Minimization Methods for Non-Differentiable Functions*. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [153] J. E. Spingarn, “Applications of the method of partial inverses to convex programming: decomposition,” *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 32, pp. 199–223, 1985.
- [154] G. Steidl and T. Teuber, “Removing multiplicative noise by Douglas-Rachford splitting methods,” *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 168–184, 2010.
- [155] C. H. Teo, S. V. N. Vishwanathan, A. J. Smola, and Q. V. Le, “Bundle methods for regularized risk minimization,” *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 11, pp. 311–365, 2010.
- [156] R. Tibshirani, “Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso,” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, vol. 58, pp. 267–288, 1996.
- [157] P. Tseng, “Applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in convex programming and variational inequalities,” *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 29, pp. 119–138, 1991.
- [158] P. Tseng, “Alternating projection-proximal methods for convex programming and variational inequalities,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 7, pp. 951–965, 1997.
- [159] P. Tseng, “A modified forward-backward splitting method for maximal monotone mappings,” *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 38, p. 431, 2000.
- [160] J. N. Tsitsiklis, *Problems in decentralized decision making and computation*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1984.
- [161] J. N. Tsitsiklis, D. P. Bertsekas, and M. Athans, “Distributed asynchronous deterministic and stochastic gradient optimization algorithms,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 803–812, 1986.
- [162] H. Uzawa, “Market mechanisms and mathematical programming,” *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 872–881, 1960.
- [163] H. Uzawa, “Walras’ tâtonnement in the theory of exchange,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 182–194, 1960.
- [164] L. G. Valiant, “A bridging model for parallel computation,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 33, no. 8, p. 111, 1990.

- [165] V. N. Vapnik, *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [166] J. von Neumann, *Functional Operators, Volume 2: The Geometry of Orthogonal Spaces*. Princeton University Press: Annals of Mathematics Studies, 1950. Reprint of 1933 lecture notes.
- [167] M. J. Wainwright and M. I. Jordan, “Graphical models, exponential families, and variational inference,” *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, vol. 1, no. 1-2, pp. 1–305, 2008.
- [168] L. Walras, *Éléments d’économie politique pure, ou, Théorie de la richesse sociale*. F. Rouge, 1896.
- [169] S. L. Wang and L. Z. Liao, “Decomposition method with a variable parameter for a class of monotone variational inequality problems,” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 415–429, 2001.
- [170] T. White, *Hadoop: The Definitive Guide*. O’Reilly Press, second ed., 2010.
- [171] J. M. Wooldridge, *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*. South Western College Publications, fourth ed., 2009.
- [172] L. Xiao and S. Boyd, “Fast linear iterations for distributed averaging,” *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 65–78, 2004.
- [173] A. Y. Yang, A. Ganesh, Z. Zhou, S. S. Sastry, and Y. Ma, “A Review of Fast ℓ_1 -Minimization Algorithms for Robust Face Recognition,” *arXiv:1007.3753*, 2010.
- [174] J. Yang and X. Yuan, “An inexact alternating direction method for trace norm regularized least squares problem,” Available at <http://www.optimization-online.org>, 2010.
- [175] J. Yang and Y. Zhang, “Alternating direction algorithms for ℓ_1 -problems in compressive sensing,” *Preprint*, 2009.
- [176] W. Yin, S. Osher, D. Goldfarb, and J. Darbon, “Bregman iterative algorithms for ℓ_1 -minimization with applications to compressed sensing,” *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 143–168, 2008.
- [177] M. Yuan and Y. Lin, “Model selection and estimation in regression with grouped variables,” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 49–67, 2006.
- [178] X. M. Yuan, “Alternating direction methods for sparse covariance selection,” *Preprint*, Available at http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_FILE/2009/09/2390.pdf, 2009.
- [179] M. Zaharia, M. Chowdhury, M. J. Franklin, S. Shenker, and I. Stoica, “Spark: Cluster computing with working sets,” in *Proceedings of the 2nd USENIX Conference on Hot Topics in Cloud Computing*, 2010.
- [180] T. Zhang, “Statistical behavior and consistency of classification methods based on convex risk minimization,” *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 56–85, 2004.
- [181] P. Zhao, G. Rocha, and B. Yu, “The composite absolute penalties family for grouped and hierarchical variable selection,” *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 37, no. 6A, pp. 3468–3497, 2009.

126 *References*

- [182] H. Zhu, A. Cano, and G. B. Giannakis, "Distributed consensus-based demodulation: algorithms and error analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 2044–2054, 2010.
- [183] H. Zhu, G. B. Giannakis, and A. Cano, "Distributed in-network channel decoding," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 3970–3983, 2009.