

Board Involvement in the Strategic Decision Making Process: A Comprehensive Review

Other titles in Annals of Corporate Governance

Corporate Governance and Human Resource Management

Geoffrey Wood and Chris Brewster

ISBN: 978-1-68083-188-7

Regulatory Competition in Global Financial Markets

Wolf-Georg Ringe

ISBN: 978-1-68083-146-7

Venture Capital 2.0: From Venturing to Partnering

Joseph A. McCahery and Erik P. M. Vermeulen

ISBN: 978-1-68083-154-2

Fair Value Measurement in Financial Reporting

Leslie Hodder, Patrick Hopkins, and Katherine Schipper

ISBN: 978-1-60198-886-7

The Wolf at the Door: The Impact of Hedge Fund Activism on Corporate Governance

John C. Coffee and Darius Palia

ISBN: 978-1-68083-076-7

Board Involvement in the Strategic Decision Making Process: A Comprehensive Review

William Q. Judge

Old Dominion University, USA

wjudge@odu.edu

Till Talaulicar

University of Erfurt, Germany

till.talaulicar@uni-erfurt.de

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Annals of Corporate Governance

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

W. Q. Judge and T. Talaulicar. *Board Involvement in the Strategic Decision Making Process: A Comprehensive Review*. Annals of Corporate Governance, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 51–169, 2017.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-261-7

© 2017 W. Q. Judge and T. Talaulicar

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Annals of Corporate Governance
Volume 2, Issue 2, 2017
Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Douglas Cumming	Geoffrey Wood
York University	University of Essex
Canada	UK

Associate Editors

Renee Adams
University of New South Wales

Lucian Bebchuk
Harvard University

William Judge
Old Dominion University

Mark Roe
Harvard University

Rene Stulz
Ohio State University

James Westphal
University of Michigan

Editorial Scope

Topics

Annals of Corporate Governance publishes articles in the following topics:

- Boards of Directors
- Ownership
- National Corporate Governance Mechanisms
- Comparative Corporate Governance Systems
- Self Governance
- Teaching Corporate Governance

Information for Librarians

Annals of Corporate Governance, 2017, Volume 2, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2381-6724. ISSN online version 2381-6732. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction to the Board Involvement Stream	3
1.1	The Nature and Importance of the Board Involvement Stream	3
1.2	Case Studies Illustrating the Problems Associated with Lack of Board Involvement	5
1.3	Board Involvement Can Become Excessive and Counter-productive	7
1.4	Ex Ante and Ex Post Board Involvement	8
2	Reviewing the Nature and Complexity of Board Involvement	10
2.1	Previous Reviews of this Stream	10
2.2	Board Involvement Stream's Evolution Over Time	14
3	Research Designs Used to Examine Board Involvement	49
3.1	Black Box Approach: Speculating on Involvement through Archival Proxies	50
3.2	Retrospective Reports: Remembering Involvement through Surveys and Interviews	53
3.3	Direct Observation: Reporting Involvement through Participant Observation or Real Time Records	54
4	Intra-national Antecedents and Effects of Board Involvement	56
4.1	Industry-level Antecedents of Board Involvement	56

4.2	Firm-level Antecedents of Board Involvement	59
4.3	Board-level Antecedents of Board Involvement	62
4.4	Summary of Intra-national Antecedents	66
5	International Antecedents of Board Involvement	67
5.1	National-Level Antecedents of Board Involvement	67
5.2	Best Practices Specified by Corporate Governance Codes	71
5.3	Single- versus Multiple-Tiered Board Structures and Board Involvement	73
5.4	Summary of International Antecedents Studies	77
6	Effects of Board Involvement	79
7	Future Research Directions	83
7.1	Looking at the Sub-streams	83
7.2	Promising New Theories	87
7.3	High Potential Research Designs	88
8	Conclusions	90
	Acknowledgements	91
	References	92

Board Involvement in the Strategic Decision Making Process: A Comprehensive Review

William Q. Judge¹ and Till Talaulicar²

¹*Old Dominion University, 2126 Constant Hall, Strome College of Business, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA; wjudge@odu.edu*

²*University of Erfurt, Nordhaeuser Str. 63, D-99089 Erfurt, Germany; till.talaulicar@uni-erfurt.de*

ABSTRACT

The board of directors is legally responsible for setting the strategic direction of the firm and for ensuring the firm's long-term performance in almost all governance environments. However, many boards delegate part or all of the task of creating and executing the firm's strategy to a group of full-time professional managers. This separation between ownership and control creates many challenges for the modern-day firm, and the board's role in the strategy formation process is arguably the seminal governance challenge confronting boards today. This study examines this seminal challenge by: (1) Introducing background information on this stream by defining key terms and discussing its importance to the wider corporate governance literature, describing three infamous case studies of firms based in the USA, Europe and Asia where the board was insufficiently involved, and noting situations where the board may become too involved; (2) Exploring previous reviews of this research stream on board strategic involvement, and discussing the

evolution of this construct and related studies over time; (3) Analyzing previous research designs used in this research stream while identifying the frequency as well as costs and benefits associated with each; (4) Summarizing what we currently know about the multi-level antecedents of board involvement within single countries; (5) Specifying some of the national-level antecedents of board involvement identified in cross-national studies; (6) Identifying the subsequent multi-level effects of board involvement; and (7) Discussing the implications of this review and outlining future research directions.

1

Introduction to the Board Involvement Stream

1.1 The Nature and Importance of the Board Involvement Stream

In almost every organization that is a legal entity, a group of individuals is sanctioned to make sure that the organization has a carefully-crafted strategy which helps to assure its overall organizational effectiveness. This group of individuals is put in place to represent the various stakeholders engaged with the organization. In “micro” organizations, the oversight group of individuals often consists of insiders to the organizations. In organizations larger than “micro” status, this oversight group typically consists of insiders and part-time outsiders. In practice, this group of individuals, which typically operates under the name of ‘board of directors’ or ‘trustees’, delegates to senior leaders the task of developing a sound strategy and once approved, the responsibility to properly execute that strategy (Berle and Means, 1932).

While the number of roles that the board fulfills varies, there are essentially two broad roles for every board. The first, and most discussed role, is its monitoring role. In this role, the board is responsible for keeping informed and engaged with the firm to assure that the interests of the firm’s stakeholders, and particularly its owners, are protected. With respect to the board involvement stream, the monitoring role

also involves the board overseeing the execution of previously chosen strategies and tactics. This can occur in both ex post and ex ante situations (Jensen and Meckling, 1976; Dalton *et al.*, 2007). Accordingly, the board can oversee whether goals and plans of the firm have been realized (ex post monitoring) and/or observe the top management team's decision-making with the intention to surveil whether these decisions can be expected to be successful to meet the firm's goals and aspirations (ex ante monitoring).

The second, and much less investigated role, is the board's service role (cf. Hillman and Dalziel, 2003; Johnson *et al.*, 1996; Zahra and Pearce, 1989). In this role, the board may take on direct responsibility for making major strategic decisions, such as in times of crisis or when confronted with CEO succession decisions (Mace, 1971); or it may take on a more indirect role for advising and counseling the top management team in its strategic deliberations (Adams and Ferreira, 2007). Whereas monitoring refers to notions of control and tends to constrain the firm's management, the service role is about support and aims at strengthening strategic decision-making – a delicate balance with which every board must wrestle.

Effective strategy formation requires in-depth knowledge of the organization and its environment (Charan *et al.*, 2014; Lorsch and MacIver, 1989). This reality is a central source of the problem – how can directors or trustees who only operate as part-time overseers and advisors, effectively contribute to, appraise, and challenge the development and execution of the firm's strategic orientation? In other words, what is the proper type of involvement by the board to assure the firm's future success and longevity? This research stream, known as the “board involvement” stream, is the focus of the present review.

This particular stream of research has preoccupied scholars from strategy, economics, sociology, finance, accounting, law, and ethics for several decades now. Furthermore, the nature and expectations associated with board involvement vary considerably from country to country, so comparative governance scholars from the various disciplines above all seek to learn how this essential governance practice differs across national boundaries. The time is ripe for a comprehensive review of this societally-important research stream.

1.2 Case Studies Illustrating the Problems Associated with Lack of Board Involvement

As usual, scholarly interest follows practitioner and policy maker interests. Indeed, every time the ‘black box’ of board functioning (Lawrence, 1997) is opened up and found to be negligent, both policy makers, media officials, and business practitioners become concerned. Following corporate scandals around the world, governments and the society at large became aware that boards may have neglected their roles by rubber stamping managerial reports and failed to get sufficiently involved in decision-making on the overall strategy or important strategic initiatives.

Enron, for instance, was once identified as one of the “best governed corporations in the United States” (Fox, 2003). Similarly, *Fortune* magazine identified it as one of the most-admired, best managed corporations in the world. At the time, Enron was the seventh-largest corporation in the United States employing 25,000 people all over the world, engaged primarily in energy trading deals. However, on August 14, the CEO, Jeff Skilling resigned and shortly thereafter the biggest bankruptcy thitherto in US history unfolded. The fifteen members of Enron’s board were heavily criticized for the oversight failure, and the famous (and infamous) Sarbanes-Oxley legislation was put into place in the aftermath of the Enron collapse (Hamilton, 2003). While the initial press reports focused on the lack of board monitoring of day to day functioning, subsequent post mortems have concluded that the board’s lack of involvement and understanding of Enron’s strategy was the more serious failure of the board (Deakin and Konzelmann, 2004; Higgs, 2003; Sonnenfeld, 2002).

However, it was not just American firms that experienced inadequate board involvement. Parmalat is one of the largest food processing companies in the world and it was based in Collecchio, Italy. In the presence of a dominant top management team beholden to the founding Tanzi family, external auditors and bankers all failed to understand the rampant fraud going on within the firm. In general accounting irregularities were reported to the public on December 19, 2003 (Tapies, 2005). Tanzi resigned as CEO and board chair shortly afterwards. Literally

billions of euros went missing and the board was highly criticized for its lax attention to proper accounting standards and inadequate strategic involvement (Hamilton, 2004).

Satyam Corporation provides an example of the disastrous consequences of inadequate board involvement in Asia. Satyam was an Indian computer service company and the fourth largest IT firm in India. The company offered IT outsourcing services to around 690 clients, including a large number of prominent Fortune 500 firms, and was operating globally in 37 countries (Baxi and Yadav, 2010). In 2009, the then Satyam chairman confessed that the firm's financial statements had been falsified as corporate cash and bank balances, revenues, operating margins as well as the number of employees were significantly inflated. This scandal led to a severe decline of the firm's stock price. At the New York Stock Exchange, Satyam share prices dropped to less than 2 USD in March 2009, after they peaked in 2008 at 29.10 USD. Finally, Satyam was taken over by Tech Mahindra (for more details, see Singh *et al.*, 2010). Apparently, it was not only the auditor – the Indian arm of PricewaterhouseCoopers that was fined by the SEC for violating its code of conduct and auditing standards – but also the board of directors who neglected its duties of effective monitoring and oversight. B Ramalinga Raju, the company's founder and former chairman, has been found guilty and sentenced to seven years in jail. The overall fraud amounted to about 1.4 billion USD (Baxi and Yadav, 2010). Satyam has therefore also been termed “India's Enron” (Afsharipour, 2009, p. 341). Notably, Satyam gained sad prominence of being India's biggest incidence of corporate fraud. Once again, a more involved and engaged board of directors whose members are familiar with and engaged in strategic decision-making process may have helped to avoid this disaster and its preceding malfeasance.

In all three cases, the non-executive directors merely rubber stamped the top executive proposals and there was no effort to ask penetrating questions or seek alternative views. While the focus of the news press was on the board's monitoring role failure, a separate and equal advising and counseling role on strategy was also neglected. A delicate balance exists between the board of directors and the top management team – the board has to trust the top managers, but they should also make

sure that this trust is well placed and need to understand the logic and direction behind the organization's strategy. The board has to create a culture of openness and dissent and to ensure that challenging views and opinions does not compromise perceived loyalty (cf. Nadler, 2004; Sonnenfeld, 2002). Unfortunately, the business press is littered with examples where this delicate balance was ignored and the board failed to get properly involved.

1.3 Board Involvement Can Become Excessive and Counter-productive

Boards vary in how much authority they delegate to executives (Useem and Zellek, 2006). Whereas boards are accountable for the strategic direction of the company, they delegate large sections of this task to corporate management because directors operate part-time, have additional responsibilities outside of the firm and may have limited familiarity with the firm's business operations and its environment. The general focus in this stream of studies is therefore on under-involvement, as also suggested in the three case examples outlined above.

However, some boards may also get too much involved with strategy development and heavily constrain and/or discount executives' strategic discretion. Indeed, some boards arrogantly impose their will on top management, choosing to not trust the executive team at all thereby undermining the top management team's authority (Adams and Ferreira, 2007). Notably, Charan *et al.* (2014) estimate that amongst roughly half of all Fortune 500 firms, there is at least one director serving on the board who tries to micromanage the senior executives and routinely damages proper strategy formation.

One explanation for this over-involvement is due to boards being pressured to do more in an increasingly complicated competitive environment. Another explanation is that engaging in strategic decision making is more rewarding and interesting than watching management and waiting for them to make a mistake. As a result, it is no surprise that corporate surveys reveal that the board of directors is spending more and more time on understanding, questioning, and refining the firm's strategy (McKinsey, 2016).

Today, it is widely accepted that one of the central responsibilities of any board is to set strategic direction for the firm and ensure its long-term survival. The board needs to assess the appropriate level of delegation to the firm's top management that allows the board to be sufficiently involved and to enable management to bring its specific expertise into the formulation and implementation of corporate strategies. The question always has been and will continue to be: How do part-time directors serving on the board get involved effectively in the strategic decision making process of the firm?

1.4 Ex Ante and Ex Post Board Involvement

Although most of the corporate governance literature has focused on the (ex post) monitoring and control role of the board (*e.g.*, Boivie *et al.*, 2016; Daily *et al.*, 2003; Shleifer and Vishny, 1997), this stream of research complements that traditional line of inquiry by examining the other equally, if not more important, role of the board in its advising, counseling and service role. Indeed, Judge and Zeithaml (1992) were some of the first scholars to emphasize that board involvement in strategic decision making dealt with the (ex ante) strategy formation process, and this was followed by the (ex post) strategy evaluation process. In the latter situation, the board's monitoring role gets expanded by not just staying on top of the firm's overall performance, but it also considers the reasons behind that performance (*i.e.*, its strategy) and the skill by which that performance is generated (*i.e.*, the execution of the strategy).

The tension in this stream emanates from the different knowledge bases and role orientations of executive versus non-executive directors serving on the board. Non-executive directors are expected to be objective overseers of the executive team. However, that "objectivity" comes at a high price with respect to board involvement because it brings with goals that can sometimes be at odds with the executive team, yet the executive team will always have a knowledge advantage over the non-executive directors.

There are some who argue that part-time non-executive directors are no longer feasible, particularly for large corporations, and that there

needs to be a movement to full-time professional directors (Fram, 2005). Indeed, there is some empirical evidence suggesting that full-time professional directors are more effective than part-time non-executive directors are (Keys and Li, 2005). While we are sympathetic to that public policy position, it highlights the practical and theoretical challenges associated with this research stream.

References

- Adams, R. and D. Ferreira. 2007. "A theory of friendly boards". *Journal of Finance*. 62: 217–250.
- Addison, J. T. and C. Schnabel. 2011. "Worker directors: A German product that did not export?" *Industrial Relations*. 50: 354–374.
- Afsharipour, A. 2009. "Corporate governance convergence: Lessons from the Indian Experience". *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*. 29: 335–402.
- Aggarwal, R., I. Erel, R. Stulz, and R. Williamson. 2008. "Differences in governance practices between U.S. and foreign firms: Measurement, causes, and consequences". *Review of Financial Studies*. 22: 3131–3169.
- Aguilera, R. V. and A. Cuervo-Cazurra. 2004. "Codes of good governance worldwide: What is the trigger?" *Organization Studies*. 25: 415–443.
- Aguilera, R. V. and A. Cuervo-Cazurra. 2009. "Codes of good governance". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 17: 376–387.
- Anderson, R. C., A. Duru, and D. M. Reeb. 2009. "Founders, heirs, and corporate opacity in the United States". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 92: 205–222.
- Anderson, R. C., S. A. Mansi, and D. M. Reeb. 2004. "Board characteristics, accounting report integrity, and the cost of debt". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 37: 315–342.

- Anderson, R. C. and D. M. Reeb. 2003. "Founding-family ownership and firm performance: Evidence from the S&P 500". *Journal of Finance*. 58: 1301–1327.
- Anderson, R. C. and D. M. Reeb. 2004. "Board composition: Balancing family influence in S&P 500 firms". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 49: 209–237.
- Andres, C. and E. Theissen. 2008. "Setting a fox to keep the geese: Does the comply-or-explain principle work?" *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 14: 289–301.
- Andrews, K. 1981. "Corporate strategy as a vital function of the board". *Harvard Business Review*. 59(6): 174–180.
- Arcot, S., V. Bruno, and A. Faure-Grimaud. 2010. "Corporate governance in the UK: Is the comply or explain approach working?" *International Review of Law & Economics*. 30: 193–201.
- Armour, J., S. Deakin, P. Sarkar, M. Siems, and A. Singh. 2009. "Shareholder protection and stock market development: An empirical test of the legal origins hypothesis". *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*. 6: 343–380.
- Arthaud-Day, M., S. T. Certo, D. Dalton, and D. Dalton. 2006. "A changing of the guard: Executive and director turnover following corporate financial restatements". *Academy of Management Journal*. 49: 1119–1136.
- Bailey, B. and S. Peck. 2013. "Boardroom strategic decision-making style: Understanding the antecedents". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 2(2): 131–146.
- Baliga, B. R., R. C. Moyer, and R. S. Rao. 1996. "CEO duality and firm performance: What's the fuss?" *Strategic Management Journal*. 17: 41–53.
- Bammens, Y., W. Voordeckers, and A. Van Gils. 2008. "Boards of directors in family firms: A generational perspective". *Small Business Economics*. 31: 163–180.
- Bammens, Y., W. Voordeckers, and A. Van Gils. 2011. "Boards of directors in family businesses: A literature review and research agenda". *International Journal of Management Review*. 13: 134–152.

- Bankewitz, M. 2016. "Boards' different advisory tasks: What makes board members use their knowledge?" *American Journal of Management*. 16: 54–69.
- Baxi, C. V. and V. Yadav. 2010. "Corporate Governance Failure at Satyam". In: *Harvard Business School Case Study, HKU889-PDF-ENG*. Boston, MA.
- Baysinger, B. and R. E. Hoskisson. 1990. "The composition of boards of directors and strategic control: Effects on corporate strategy". *Academy of Management Review*. 15: 72–87.
- Baysinger, B., R. Kosnik, and T. Turk. 1991. "Effects of board and ownership structure on corporate R&D strategy". *Academy of Management Journal*. 34: 205–214.
- Beatty, R. P. and E. J. Zajac. 1994. "Managerial incentives, monitoring, and risk bearing: A study of executive compensation, ownership, and board structure in initial public offerings". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 39: 313–335.
- Bebchuk, L. A. and A. Cohen. 2004. "The cost of entrenched boards". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 78: 409–433.
- Bebchuk, L. A. and A. Hamdani. 2009. "The elusive quest for global governance standards". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 157: 1263–1317.
- Beck, T. and R. Levine. 2008. "Legal institutions and financial development". In: *Handbook of New Institutional Economics*. Ed. by C. Ménard and M. M. Shirley. Berlin: Springer.
- Belot, F., E. Ginglinger, M. B. Slovin, and M. E. Sushka. 2014. "Freedom of choice between unitary and two-tier boards: An empirical analysis". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 112: 364–385.
- Ben-Amar, W., C. Francoeur, T. Hfsi, and R. Labelle. 2013. "What makes better boards? A closer look at diversity and ownership". *British Journal of Management*. 24: 85–101.
- Berle, A. and G. Means. 1932. *The Modern Corporation and Private Property*. New York: MacMillan.
- Bettinelli, C. 2011. "Boards of directors in family firms: An exploratory study of structure and group process". *Family Business Review*. 24: 151–169.

- Bezemer, P.-J., S. Peij, L. de Kruijs, and G. Maassen. 2014. "How two-tier boards can be more effective". *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*. 14: 15–31.
- Bianchi, M., A. Ciavarella, V. Novembre, and R. Signoretti. 2011. "Comply or explain: Investor protection through the Italian Corporate Governance Code". *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*. 23(1): 107–121.
- Billmeier, A. and I. Massa. 2009. "What drives stock market development in emerging markets: Institutions, remittances, or natural resources?" *Emerging Markets Review*. 10: 23–35.
- Black, B. S., H. Jang, and W. Kim. 2006. "Does corporate governance predict firms' market values? Evidence from Korea". *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*. 22: 366–413.
- Blair, M. M. and L. A. Stout. 1999. "A team production theory of corporate law". *Virginia Law Review*. 85: 247–328.
- Boivie, S., M. K. Bednar, R. V. Aguilera, and J. L. Andrus. 2016. "Are boards designed to fail? The implausibility of effective board monitoring". *Academy of Management Annals*. 10: 319–407.
- Bonn, I. and A. Pettigrew. 2009. "Towards a dynamic theory of boards: An organisational life cycle approach". *Journal of Management & Organization*. 15: 2–16.
- Booth, J. R., M. M. Cornett, and H. Tehranian. 2002. "Boards of directors, ownership, and regulation". *Journal of Banking & Finance*. 26: 1973–1996.
- Boulton, T., S. Smart, and C. Zutter. 2010. "IPO underpricing and international corporate governance". *Journal of International Business Studies*. 41: 206–223.
- Boulton, W. R. 1978. "The evolving board: A look at the board's changing roles and information needs". *Academy of Management Review*. 3: 827–836.
- Boyd, B. K. 1994. "Board control and CEO compensation". *Strategic Management Journal*. 15: 335–344.
- Boyd, B. K. 1995. "CEO duality and firm performance: A contingency model". *Strategic Management Journal*. 16: 301–312.

- Bozec, R. 2005. "Boards of directors, market discipline, and firm performance". *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*. 32: 1921–1960.
- Brannen, D. E. and N. A. Ibrahim. 2010. "The role and level of involvement of credit union directors in strategic management: A research agenda". *International Journal of Management*. 27: 680–692.
- Brickley, J. A., J. L. Coles, and G. Jarrell. 1997. "Leadership structure: Separating the CEO and Chairman of the Board". *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 3: 189–220.
- Buchholtz, A., A. Amason, and M. Rutherford. 2005. "The impact of board monitoring and involvement on top management team affective conflict". *Journal of Managerial Issues*. 17: 405–423.
- Calabro, A. and D. Mussolino. 2013. "How do boards of directors contribute to family SME export intensity? The role of formal and informal governance mechanisms". *Journal of Management & Governance*. 17: 363–403.
- Capasso, A. and G. B. Dagnino. 2014. "Beyond the "silo view" of strategic management and corporate governance: Evidence from Fiat, Telecom Italia and Unicredit". *Journal of Management & Governance*. 18: 929–957.
- Carpenter, M. and J. D. Westphal. 2001. "The strategic impact of external network ties: Examining the impact of director appointments on board involvement in strategic decision making". *Academy of Management Journal*. 44: 639–651.
- Castro, C. B., M. D. De La Concha, J. Gravel, and M. Perinan. 2009. "Does the team leverage the board's decisions?" *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 17: 744–761.
- Chaganti, R. S., V. Mahajan, and S. Sharma. 1985. "Corporate board size, composition, and corporate failures in retailing industry". *Journal of Management Studies*. 22: 400–417.
- Charan, R., D. Carey, and M. Useem. 2014. *Boards That Lead: When to Take Charge, When to Partner, and When to Stay Out of the Way*. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Cheffins, B. R. 2008. "Corporate Ownership and Control". In: *British Business Transformed*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Chen, V., J. Li, and D. Shapiro. 2011. "Are OECD-prescribed "good corporate governance practices" really good in an emerging economy?" *Asian Pacific Journal of Management*. 28: 115–138.
- Choi, J. J., S. W. Park, and S. S. Yoo. 2007. "The value of outside directors: Evidence from corporate governance reform in Korea". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 42: 941–962.
- Chu, J. S. G. and G. F. Davis. 2016. "Who killed the inner circle? The decline of the American corporate interlock network." *American Journal of Sociology*. 122(3): 714–754.
- Claessens, S., D. Klingebiel, and S. L. Schmukler. 2006. "Stock market development and internationalization: Do economic fundamentals spur both similarly?" *Journal of Empirical Finance*. 13: 316–350.
- Coffee Jr., J. C. 2001. "Do norms matter? A cross-country evaluation". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 149: 2151–2177.
- Coffee, J. C. and D. Palia. 2016. "The wolf at the door: The impact of hedge fund activism on corporate governance". *Annals of Corporate Governance*. 1(1): 1–94.
- Conyon, M. J. and S. I. Peck. 2010. "Board size and corporate performance: Evidence from European countries". *European Journal of Finance*. 4: 291–304.
- Corbetta, G. and C. A. Salvato. 2004. "The board of directors in family firms: One size fits all?" *Family Business Review*. 27: 119–134.
- Cuomo, F., C. Mallin, and A. Zattoni. 2016. "Corporate governance codes: A review and research agenda". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 24: 222–241.
- Dahya, J., O. Dimitrov, and J. J. McConnell. 2008. "Dominant shareholders, corporate boards, and corporate value: A cross-country analysis". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 87: 73–100.
- Dahya, J., Y. Karbhari, and J. Z. Xiao. 2002. "The supervisory board in Chinese listed companies: Problems, causes, consequences and remedies". *Asia-Pacific Business Review*. 9: 118–137.
- Dahya, J., Y. Karbhari, J. Z. Xiao, and M. Yang. 2003. "The usefulness of the supervisory board report in China". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 11: 308–321.

- Dahya, J. and J. J. McConnell. 2005. "Outside directors and corporate board decisions". *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 11: 37–60.
- Daily, C. M., D. R. Dalton, and A. A. Cannella. 2003. "Corporate governance: Decades or dialogue and data". *Academy of Management Review*. 28: 371–382.
- Dainow, J. 1966. "The civil law and the common law: Some points of comparison". *American Journal of Comparative Law*. 15: 419–435.
- Dalton, D. R. and C. M. Dalton. 2011. "Integration of micro and macro Studies in governance research: CEO duality, board composition, and financial performance". *Journal of Management*. 37: 404–411.
- Dalton, D., C. Daily, A. Ellstrand, and J. Johnson. 1998. "Meta-analytical review of board composition, leadership structure, and financial performance". *Strategic Management Journal*. 19: 269–290.
- Dalton, D., M. Hitt, S. T. Certo, and C. Dalton. 2007. "The fundamental agency problem and its mitigation". *Academy of Management Annals*. 1: 1–64.
- de Villiers, C., V. Naiker, and C. J. van Staden. 2011. "The effect of board characteristics on firm environmental performance". *Journal of Management*. 37: 1636–1663.
- Deakin, S. and S. J. Konzelmann. 2004. "Learning from Enron". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 12: 134–142.
- DeAngelo, H. and E. Rice. 1983. "Antitakeover amendments and stockholder wealth". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 11: 329–360.
- DeFond, M. L. and M. Hung. 2004. "Investor protection and corporate governance: Evidence from worldwide CEO turnover". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 42: 269–312.
- Demsetz, H. 1983. "The monitoring of management". In: *Statement of the Business Roundtable on the American Law Institute's proposed principles of corporate governance and structure*. New York: Business Roundtable.
- Denis, D. K. and J. J. McConnell. 2003. "International corporate governance". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 38: 1–36.

- Desai, A., M. Kroll, and P. Wright. 2003. "CEO duality, board monitoring, and acquisition performance: A test of competing theories". *Journal of Business Strategies*. 20: 137–156.
- Desender, K. A., R. V. Aguilera, R. Crespi, and M. García-Cestona. 2013. "When does ownership matter? Board characteristics and behavior". *Strategic Management Journal*. 34: 823–842.
- Deutsch, Y. 2005. "Impact of the board composition on the firm's critical decisions". *Journal of Management*. 31: 424–444.
- Devers, C. E., G. McNamara, J. Halebian, and M. E. Yoder. 2013. "Do they walk the talk? Gauging acquiring CEO and directors confidence in the value creation potential of announced acquisitions". *Academy of Management Journal*. 56: 1679–1702.
- Doidge, C. 2004. "U.S. cross-listings and the private benefits of control: evidence from dual-class firms". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 72: 519–553.
- Doidge, C., G. A. Karolyi, and R. M. Stulz. 2004. "Why are foreign firms listed in the U.S. worth more?" *Journal of Financial Economics*. 71: 205–238.
- Doidge, C., G. A. Karolyi, and R. M. Stulz. 2007. "Why do countries matter so much for corporate governance?" *Journal of Financial Economics*. 86: 1–39.
- Donaldson, L. and J. H. Davis. 1991. "Stewardship theory or agency theory: CEO governance and shareholder returns". *Australian Journal of Management*. 16: 49–64.
- Eidenmüller, H., A. Engert, and L. Hornuf. 2009. "Incorporating under European law: The Societas Europaea as a vehicle for legal arbitrage". *European Business Organization Law Review*. 10: 1–33.
- Elsayed, K. 2007. "Does CEO duality really affect corporate performance?" *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 15: 1203–1214.
- Fama, E. F. and M. C. Jensen. 1983a. "Agency problems and residual claims". *Journal of Law and Economics*. 26: 327–349.
- Fama, E. F. and M. C. Jensen. 1983b. "Separation of ownership and control". *Journal of Law and Economics*. 26: 301–325.

- Fauver, L. and M. E. Fuerst. 2006. "Does good corporate governance include employee representation? Evidence from German corporate boards". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 82: 673–710.
- Felo, A. 2001. "Ethics programs, board involvement, and potential conflicts of interest in corporate governance". *Journal of Business Ethics*. 32: 205–218.
- Ferkins, L., D. Shilbury, and G. McDonald. 2009. "Board involvement in strategy: Advancing the governance of sport organizations". *Journal of Sport Management*. 2009(23): 245–277.
- Fernández, C. and R. Arrondo. 2005. "Alternative internal controls as substitutes of the board of directors". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 13: 856–866.
- Fich, E. and A. Shivdasani. 2007. "Financial fraud, director reputation, and shareholder wealth". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 86: 306–336.
- Filatotchev, I., S. Toms, and M. Wright. 2006. "The firm's strategic dynamics and corporate governance life-cycle". *International Journal of Managerial Finance*. 2: 256–279.
- Finkelstein, S. and R. A. D'Aveni. 1994. "CEO duality as a double-edged sword: How boards of directors balance entrenchment avoidance and unity of command". *Academy of Management Journal*. 37: 1079–1108.
- Finkelstein, S. and D. Hambrick. 1996. *Strategic Leadership: Top Executives and Their Effects on Organizations*. Minneapolis, MN: West Publishing.
- Finkelstein, S., D. C. Hambrick, and A. A. Cannella Jr. 2009. *Strategic Leadership. Theory and Research on Executives, Top Management Teams, and Boards*. Oxford, UK – New York: Oxford University Press.
- Finkelstein, S. and A. Mooney. 2003. "Not the usual suspects: How to use board process to make boards better". *Academy of Management Perspectives*. 17(2): 101–113.
- FitzRoy, F. and K. Kraft. 2005. "Co-determination, efficiency and productivity". *British Journal of Industrial Relations*. 43: 233–247.

- Fleming, P. and A. Spicer. 2014. "Power in management and organizational science". *Academy of Management Annals*. 8: 237–298.
- Foerster, S. R. and G. A. Karolyi. 1999. "The effects of market segmentation and investor recognition on asset prices: Evidence from foreign stocks listing in the United States". *Journal of Finance*. 54: 981–1014.
- Forbes, D. and F. J. Milliken. 1999. "Cognition and corporate governance: Understanding boards of directors as strategic decision-making groups". *Academy of Management Review*. 24: 489–505.
- Ford-Eickhoff, K., D. A. Plowman, and R. R. McDaniel Jr. 2011. "Hospital boards and hospital strategic focus: The impact of board involvement in strategic decision making". *Health Care Management Review*. 36: 145–154.
- Fox, L. 2003. *Enron: The Rise and Fall*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Fram, E. 2005. "Are professional board directors the answer?" *Sloan Management Review*. 50(2): 75–77.
- Franks, J. and C. Mayer. 1997. "Corporate ownership and control in the U.K., Germany, and France". *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*. 9(4): 30–45.
- Freeman, R. E. 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston, MA: Pitman.
- Freeman, R. E. and D. L. Reed. 1983. "Stockholders and stakeholders: A new perspective on corporate governance". *California Management Review*. 25(3): 88–106.
- Fried, V., G. Bruton, and R. D. Hisrich. 1998. "Strategy and the board of directors in venture-capital-backed firms". *Journal of Business Venturing*. 13: 493–503.
- Gabrielsson, J., M. Huse, and A. Minichilli. 2007. "Understanding the leadership role of the board chairperson through a team production approach". *International Journal of Leadership Studies*. 3: 21–39.
- Galbreath, J. 2016. "When do board and management resources complement each other? A study of effects on corporate social responsibility". *Journal of Business Ethics*. 136: 281–292.

- Ghezzi, F. and C. Malberti. 2008. "The two-tier model and the one-tier model of corporate governance in the Italian reform of corporate law". *European Company and Financial Law Review*. 5: 1–47.
- Gnan, L., D. Montemerlo, and M. Huse. 2015. "Governance systems in family SMEs: The substitution effects between family councils and corporate governance mechanisms". *Journal of Small Business Management*. 53: 355–381.
- Golden, B. and E. Zajac. 2001. "When will boards influence strategy? Inclination times power equals strategic change". *Strategic Management Journal*. 22: 1087–1112.
- Gopinath, C., J. I. Siciliano, and R. L. Murray. 1994. "Changing role of the board of directors: In search of a new strategic identity?" *Mid-Atlantic Journal of Business*. 30: 175–185.
- Gordon, J. N. and M. J. Roe, eds. 2004. *Convergence and Persistence in Corporate Governance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gorton, G. and F. A. Schmid. 2004. "Capital, labor, and the firm: A study of German codetermination". *Journal of the European Economic Association*. 2: 863–905.
- Grant, R. 1996. "Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm". *Strategic Management Journal*. 17: 109–122.
- Haidar, J. I. 2009. "Investor protections and economic growth". *Economics Letters*. 103: 1–4.
- Hamilton, S. 2003. "The Enron collapse". *Tech. rep.* Lausanne, Switzerland: International Institute for Management Development. Case # IMD164.
- Hamilton, S. 2004. "Parmalat SpA: An impressive milking system". *Tech. rep.* Lausanne, Switzerland: International Institute for Management Development. Case # IMD183.
- Hansmann, H. and R. Kraakman. 2001. "The end of history for corporate law". *Georgetown Law Journal*. 89: 439–468.
- Harrison, J. R. 1987. "The strategic use of corporate board committees". *California Management Review*. 30(1): 109–125.
- Harrison, J. R., D. L. Torres, and S. Kukalis. 1988. "The changing of the guard: Turnover and structural change in the top-management positions". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 33: 211–232.

- Hart, O. D. 1983. "The market mechanism as an incentive scheme". *Bell Journal of Economics*. 14: 366–382.
- Haynes, K. and A. Hillman. 2010. "The effect of board capital and CEO power on strategic change". *Strategic Management Journal*. 31: 1145–1163.
- Hendry, K. and G. Kiel. 2004. "The role of the board in firm strategy: Integrating agency and organizational control perspectives". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 12: 500–520.
- Hendry, K., G. Kiel, and G. Nicholson. 2010. "How boards strategise: A strategy as practice view". *Long Range Planning*. 43: 33–56.
- Higgs, D. 2003. *Review of the Role and Effectiveness of Non-executive Directors*. London: Department of Trade and Industry/HMSO.
- Hillman, A. J. 2005. "Politicians on the board of directors: Do connections affect the bottom line?" *Journal of Management*. 31: 464–481.
- Hillman, A. J., G. Nicholson, and C. Shropshire. 2008. "Directors' multiple identities, identification, and board monitoring and resource provision". *Organization Science*. 19: 441–456.
- Hillman, A. and T. Dalziel. 2003. "Boards of directors and firm performance: integrating agency and resource dependence perspectives". *Academy of Management Review*. 28: 383–396.
- Hodge, M. M. and R. F. Piccolo. 2005. "Funding source, board involvement techniques, and financial vulnerability in nonprofit organizations: A test of resource dependence". *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*. 16: 171–190.
- Holderness, C. G. 2009. "The myth of diffuse ownership in the United States". *Review of Financial Studies*. 22: 1377–1408.
- Hooghiemstra, R. 2012. "What determines the informativeness of firms' explanations for deviations from the Dutch corporate governance code?" *Accounting and Business Research*. 42: 1–27.
- Hopt, K. J. 1994. "Labor representation on corporate boards: Impacts and problems for corporate governance and economic integration in Europe". *International Review of Law and Economics*. 14: 203–214.

- Hopt, K. J. 2013. "Comparative corporate governance: the state of the art and international regulation". In: *Comparative Corporate Governance: A Functional and International Analysis*. Ed. by A. M. Fleckner and K. J. Hopt. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 3–101.
- Hopt, K. J. and P. C. Leyens. 2004. "Board models in Europe: Recent developments of internal corporate governance structures in Germany, the United Kingdom, France, and Italy". *European Company and Financial Law Review*. 1: 135–168.
- Huse, M. 2005. "Accountability and creating accountability: A framework for exploring behavioural perspectives of corporate governance". *British Journal of Management*. 16: S65–S79.
- Huse, M. 2007. *Boards, Governance and Value Creation: The Human Side of Corporate Governance*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Huse, M., ed. 2009. *The Value Creating Board: Corporate Governance and Organizational Behaviour*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Huse, M., A. Minichilli, and M. Schoning. 2005. "Corporate boards as assets for operating in the new Europe: The value of process-oriented boardroom dynamics". *Organizational Dynamics*. 34: 285–305.
- Huse, M. and A. Zattoni. 2008. "Trust, firm life cycle, and actual board behavior: Evidence from 'one of the lads' in the boards of three small firms". *International Studies of Management & Organization*. 38: 71–97.
- Jensen, M. C. 1993. "The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems". *Journal of Finance*. 48: 831–880.
- Jensen, M. and W. Meckling. 1976. "Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 3: 305–360.
- Jensen, M. and E. Zajac. 2004. "Corporate elites and corporate strategy: How demographic preferences and structural position shape the scope of the firm". *Strategic Management Journal*. 25: 507–524.
- Jewer, J. and K. N. McKay. 2012. "Antecedents and consequences of board IT governance: Institutional and strategic choice perspectives". *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 13: 581–617.

- Jiang, H. J., C. Lockee, K. Bass, and I. Fraser. 2008. "Board engagement in quality: Findings of a survey of hospital and system leaders". *Journal of Healthcare Management*. 53: 121–135.
- Johanson, D. and K. Østergren. 2010. "The movement toward independent directors on boards: A comparative analysis of Sweden and the UK". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 18: 527–539.
- John, K. and L. W. Senbet. 1998. "Corporate governance and board effectiveness". *Journal of Banking & Finance*. 22: 371–403.
- Johnson, R. A. and D. W. Greening. 1999. "The effects of corporate governance and institutional ownership types on corporate social performance". *Academy of Management Journal*. 42: 564–576.
- Johnson, R., C. Daily, and A. Ellstrand. 1996. "Boards of directors: A review and research agenda". *Journal of Management*. 22: 409–438.
- Johnson, R., R. Hoskisson, and M. A. Hitt. 1993. "Board of director involvement in restructuring: The effects of board versus managerial controls and characteristics". *Strategic Management Journal*. 14: 33–50.
- Johnson, S. G., K. Schnatterly, and A. D. Hill. 2013. "Board composition beyond independence: Social capital, human capital and demographics". *Journal of Management*. 39: 232–262.
- Johnson, S., P. Boone, A. Breach, and E. Friedman. 2000. "Corporate governance in the Asian financial crisis". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 58: 141–186.
- Jones, C. D., M. Makri, and L. R. Gomez-Mejia. 2008. "Affiliate directors and perceived risk bearing in publicly traded, family-controlled firms: The case of diversification". *Entrepreneurship, Theory & Practice*. 32: 1007–1026.
- Jonnergard, K. and A. Stafsudd. 2011. "The making of active boards in Swedish public companies". *Journal of Management & Governance*. 15: 123–155.
- Judge, W. Q., I. Naoumfova, and N. Koutzevol. 2003. "Corporate governance and firm performance in Russia: An empirical study". *Journal of World Business*. 38: 385–405.

- Judge, W. Q., M. A. Witt, A. Zattoni, T. Talaulicar, J. J. Chen, K. Lewellyn, H. W. Hu, D. Shukla, R. G. Bell, J. Gabrielsson, F. Lopez, S. Yamak, Y. Fassin, D. McCarthy, J. L. Rivas, S. Fainshmidt, and H. van Ees. 2015. "Corporate governance and IPO underpricing in a cross-national sample: A multilevel knowledge-based view". *Strategic Management Journal*. 36: 1174–1185.
- Judge, W. Q. and C. P. Zeithaml. 1992. "Institutional and strategic choice perspectives on board involvement in the strategic decision process". *Academy of Management Journal*. 35: 766–794.
- Jungmann, C. 2006. "The effectiveness of corporate governance in one-tier and two-tier board systems: Evidence from the UK and Germany". *European Company and Financial Law Review*. 3: 426–474.
- Kaczmarek, S., S. Kimino, and A. Pye. 2012. "Board task-related faultlines and firm performance: A decade of evidence". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 20: 337–351.
- Kawai, N. and J.-h. Ko. 2012. "The dark sides of institutionalized informal connections: Evidence from the Japanese banking sector in the post-bubble crisis era". *International Journal of Business*. 13: 238–257.
- Keay, A. 2014. "Comply or explain in corporate governance codes: In need of greater regulatory oversight?" *Legal Studies*. 34: 279–304.
- Kerr, J. L. and W. B. Werther. 2008. "The next frontier in corporate governance: Engaging the board in strategy". *Organizational Dynamics*. 37: 112–124.
- Kester, L., G. Georgakopoulos, P. Kalantonis, and P. Boufounou. 2013. "The Impact of board of directors' turnover on the association between financial restatements and audit fees". *Journal of Applied Finance & Banking*. 3: 153–175.
- Keys, P. and J. Li. 2005. "Evidence on the market for professional directors". *Journal of Financial Research*. 28: 575–589.
- Khanna, P., C. D. Jones, and S. Boivie. 2014. "Director human capital, information processing demands, and board effectiveness". *Journal of Management*. 40: 557–585.

- Kim, H. and C. Lim. 2010. "Diversity, outside directors and firm valuation: Korean evidence". *Journal of Business Research*. 63: 284–291.
- Kim, S. H., J. M. Cha, R. F. Cichy, M. R. Kim, and J. L. Tkach. 2012. "Effects of the size of the board of directors and board involvement in strategy on a private club's financial performance". *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 24: 7–25.
- Kini, O., W. Kracaw, and S. Mian. 1995. "Corporate takeovers, firm performance, and board composition". *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 1: 383–412.
- Klapper, L. F. and I. Love. 2004. "Corporate governance, investor protection, and performance in emerging markets". *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 10: 703–728.
- Klijn, E., J. J. Reuer, F. Van den Bosch, and H. Volberda. 2013. "Performance implications of IJV boards: A contingency perspective." *Journal of Management Studies*. 50: 1245–1266.
- Krause, R., M. Semadeni, and A. A. Cannella Jr. 2014. "CEO duality: A review and research agenda". *Journal of Management*. 40: 256–286.
- Kroll, M., B. Walters, and S. Le. 2007. "The impact of board composition and top management team ownership structure on post-IPO performance in young entrepreneurial firms". *Academy of Management Journal*. 50: 1198–1218.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-De-Silanes, and A. Shleifer. 1999. "Corporate ownership around the world". *Journal of Finance*. 54: 471–517.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, and R. Vishny. 2000. "Investor protection and corporate governance". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 58: 3–27.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, and R. Vishny. 2002. "Investor protection and corporate valuation". *Journal of Finance*. 57: 1147–1170.
- Lawrence, B. 1997. "The black box of organizational demography". *Organization Science*. 8: 1–22.
- Leuz, C., D. Nanda, and P. D. Wysocki. 2003. "Earnings management and investor protection: An international comparison". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 69: 505–527.

- Levine, R. 1997. "Financial development and economic growth: Views and agenda". *Journal of Economic Literature*. 35: 688–726.
- Levine, R. and S. Zervos. 1998. "Capital control liberalization and stock market development". *World Development*. 26: 1169–1183.
- Licht, A. N. 2012. "State intervention in corporate governance: National interest and board composition". *Theoretical Inquiries In Law*. 13: 597–622.
- Licht, A. N., C. Goldschmidt, and S. H. Schwartz. 2005. "Culture, law, and corporate governance". *International Review of Law and Economics*. 25: 229–255.
- Lindsay, W. M. and W. R. Rue. 1980. "Impact of the organization environment on the long-range planning process: A contingency view". *Academy of Management Journal*. 23: 385–404.
- Lins, K. V., D. Strickland, and M. Zenner. 2005. "Do non-U.S. firms issue equity on U.S. stock exchanges to relax capital constraints?" *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 40: 109–133.
- Lipton, M. and J. W. Lorsch. 1992. "A modest proposal for improved corporate governance". *Business Lawyer*. 48: 59–77.
- Lorsch, J. and E. MacIver. 1989. *Pawns or potentates: The reality of America's corporate boards*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Love, I. 2003. "Financial development and financing constraints: International evidence from the structural investment model". *Review of Financial Studies*. 16: 765–791.
- Luo, Y. and S. E. Salterio. 2014. "Governance quality in a "comply or explain" governance disclosure regime". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 22: 460–481.
- Lynall, M. D., B. R. Golden, and A. J. Hillman. 2003. "Board composition from adolescence to maturity: A multitheoretic view". *Academy of Management Review*. 28: 416–431.
- Maassen, G. F. 1999. *An International Comparison of Corporate Governance Models: A Study on the Formal Independence and Convergence of One-tier and Two-tier Corporate Boards of Directors in the United States of America, the United Kingdom and the Netherlands*. Rotterdam: Rotterdam School of Management.

- Mace, M. 1971. *Directors: Myth and reality*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Machold, S., M. Huse, M. Minichilli, and M. Nordqvist. 2011. "Board leadership and strategic involvement in small firms: A team production approach". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 19: 365–383.
- MacLean, M. 1999. "Corporate governance in France and the UK: Long-term perspectives on contemporary institutional arrangements". *Business History*. 41: 88–116.
- MacMillan, I., D. Kudlow, and R. Khoylian. 1988. "Venture capitalists' involvement in their investments: Extent and performance". *Journal of Business Venturing*. 4: 4–47.
- MacNeil, I. and X. Li. 2006. "Comply or explain: Market discipline and non-compliance with the Combined Code". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 14: 486–496.
- Mahadeo, J. D., T. Soobaroyen, and V. O. Hanuman. 2012. "Board composition and financial performance: Uncovering the effect of diversity in an emerging economy". *Journal of Business Ethics*. 105: 375–388.
- McArthur, A. W. and P. C. Nystrom. 1991. "Environmental dynamism, complexity, and munificence as moderators of strategy-performance relationships". *Journal of Business Research*. 23: 349–361.
- McCahery, J. A., P. Moerland, T. Raaijmakers, and L. Renneboog, eds. 2002. *Corporate Governance Regimes: Convergence and Diversity*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- McCahery, J. A. and E. P. M. Vermuelen. 2016. "Venture capital 2.0: From venturing to partnering". *Annals of Corporate Governance*. 1(2): 95–173.
- McDonald, M. L., P. Khanna, and J. D. Westphal. 2008a. "Getting them to think outside the circle: Corporate governance, CEO advice networks, and firm performance". *Academy of Management Journal*. 51: 453–475.

- McDonald, M. L. and J. D. Westphal. 2010. "A little help here? Board control, CEO identification with the corporate elite, and strategic help provided to CEOs at other firms". *Academy of Management Journal*. 53: 343–370.
- McDonald, M., J. Westphal, and M. Graebner. 2008b. "What do they know? The effects of outside director acquisition experience on firm acquisition performance". *Strategic Management Journal*. 29: 1155–1177.
- McKinsey. 2016. *The CEO Guide to Boards*. Accessed 10/9/16. URL: <http://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/tapping-the-strategic-potential-of-boards>.
- McLean, R. D., T. Zhang, and M. Zhao. 2012. "Why does the law matter? Investor protection and its effects on investment, finance, and growth". *Journal of Finance*. 67: 313–350.
- McNulty, T. and A. Pettigrew. 1999. "Strategists on the board". *Organisation Studies*. 20: 47–74.
- Melkumov, D., E. Breit, and V. Khoreva. 2015. "Directors' social identifications and board tasks: Evidence from Finland". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 23: 42–59.
- Merryman, J. H. 1981. "On the convergence (and divergence) of the civil law and the common law". *Stanford Journal of International Law*. 17: 357–388.
- Miller, D. and P. Friesen. 1984. "A longitudinal study of the corporate life cycle". *Management Science*. 30: 1161–1183.
- Millet-Reyes, B. and R. Zhao. 2010. "A comparison between one-tier and two-tier board structures in France". *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*. 21: 279–310.
- Minichilli, A. and C. Hansen. 2007. "The board advisory tasks in small firms and the event of crises". *Journal of Management and Governance*. 11: 5–22.
- Minichilli, A., A. Zattoni, S. Nielsen, and M. Huse. 2012. "Board task performance: An exploration of micro- and macro-level determinants of board effectiveness". *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. 33: 193–215.

- Mizruchi, M. S. and L. B. Stearns. 1994. "A longitudinal study of borrowing by large American corporations". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 39: 118–140.
- Moser, S. B. and M. L. Nicholson. 1996. "The role of staff expectations in the governance of the non-profit firm". *Management Research News*. 19(3): 62–70.
- Mousa, F.-t., W. J. Ritchie, and R. Reed. 2014. "Founder-CEO board involvement and optimal IPO valuation". *Management Decision*. 52: 642–657.
- Nadler, D. A. 2004. "What's the board's role in strategy development? Engaging the board in corporate strategy". *Strategy & Leadership*. 32(5): 25–33.
- Nenova, T. 2003. "The value of corporate voting rights and control: A cross-country analysis". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 68: 325–351.
- Ocasio, W. 1994. "Political dynamics and the circulation of power: CEO succession in U.S. industrial corporations, 1960-1990". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 39: 285–312.
- Ogbechie, C., D. N. Koufopoulos, and M. Argyropoulou. 2009. "Board characteristics and involvement in strategic decision making: The Nigerian perspective". *Management Research News*. 32: 169–184.
- O'Shannassy, T. 2010. "Board and CEO practice in modern strategy-making: How is strategy developed, who is the boss, and in what circumstances?" *Journal of Management & Organization*. 16: 280–298.
- Parker, L. D. 2007a. "Boardroom strategizing in professional associations: Processual and institutional perspectives". *Journal of Management Studies*. 44: 1454–1480.
- Parker, L. D. 2007b. "Internal governance in the nonprofit boardroom: A participant observer study". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 15: 923–934.
- Peng, M. W. 2004. "Outside directors and firm performance during institutional transitions". *Strategic Management Journal*. 25: 453–471.

- Pettigrew, A. and T. McNulty. 1995. "Power and influence in and around the boardroom". *Human Relations*. 48: 845–873.
- Pfeffer, J. 1972. "Size and composition of corporate boards of director: The organization and its environment". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 17: 218–228.
- Piekkari, R., L. Oxelheim, and T. Randoy. 2015. "The silent board: How language diversity may influence the work processes of corporate boards". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 23: 25–41.
- Pistor, K. and C. Xu. 2005. "Governing stock markets in transition economies: Lessons from China". *American Law and Economics Review*. 7: 184–210.
- Post, C., N. Rahman, and E. Rubow. 2011. "Green governance: Boards of directors' composition and environmental corporate social responsibility". *Business & Society*. 50: 189–223.
- Pugliese, A., P.-J. Bezemer, A. Zattoni, M. Huse, V. Van den Bosch, and H. Volberda. 2009. "Board of directors' contribution to strategy: A literature review and research agenda". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 17: 292–306.
- Pugliese, A., A. Minichilli, and A. Zattoni. 2014a. "Integrating agency and resource dependence theory: Firm profitability, industry regulation, and board tasks performance". *Journal of Business Research*. 67: 1189–1200.
- Pugliese, A., G. Nicholson, and P.-J. Bezemer. 2014b. "Inside the boardroom: Exploring board member interactions". *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*. 11: 238–259.
- Pugliese, A., G. Nicholson, and P.-J. Bezemer. 2015. "An observational analysis of the impact of board dynamics and directors' participation on perceived board effectiveness". *British Journal of Management*. 26: 1–25.
- Pugliese, A. and P. Z. Wenstøp. 2007. "Board members' contribution to strategic decision-making in small firms". *Journal of Management and Governance*. 11: 383–404.
- Ravasi, D. and A. Zattoni. 2006. "Exploring the political side of board involvement in strategy: A study of mixed-ownership institutions". *Journal of Management Studies*. 43: 1671–1702.

- Rediker, K. J. and A. Seth. 1995. "Boards of directors and substitution effects of alternative governance mechanisms". *Strategic Management Journal*. 16: 85–99.
- Reese Jr., W. A. and M. S. Weisbach. 2002. "Protection of minority shareholder interests, cross-listings in the United States, and subsequent equity offerings". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 66: 65–104.
- Reuer, J. J., E. Klijn, and C. S. Lioukas. 2014. "Board involvement in international joint ventures". *Strategic Management Journal*. 35: 1626–1644.
- Rindova, V. P. 1999. "What corporate boards have to do with strategy: A cognitive perspective". *Journal of Management Studies*. 36: 953–975.
- Ritter, J. 1991. "The long-run performance of initial public offerings". *Journal of Finance*. 46: 3–27.
- Roberts, J. 2001. "Trust and control in Anglo-American systems of corporate governance: The individualizing and socializing effects of processes of accountability". *Human Relations*. 54: 1547–1572.
- Rose, C. 2007. "The new corporate vehicle Societas Europaea (SE): Consequences for European corporate governance". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 15: 112–121.
- Rosenstein, J. 1987. "Why don't U.S. boards get more involved in strategy?" *Long Range Planning*. 20(3): 30–35.
- Rosenstein, S. and J. G. Wyatt. 1990. "Outside directors, board independence, and shareholder wealth". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 26: 175–191.
- Ruigrok, W. W., S. Peck, and H. Keller. 2006. "Board characteristics and involvement in strategic decision making: Evidence from Swiss companies". *Journal of Management Studies*. 43: 1201–1221.
- Ryan Jr., H. E. and R. A. Wiggins III. 2004. "Who is in whose pocket? Director compensation, board independence, and barriers to effective monitoring". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 73: 497–524.

- Sadowski, D., J. Junkes, and S. Lindenthal. 2001. "Gesetzliche Mitbestimmung in Deutschland: Idee, Erfahrungen und Perspektiven aus ökonomischer Sicht". *Zeitschrift für Unternehmens- und Gesellschaftsrecht*. 30: 110–145.
- Salterio, S. E., J. E. D. Conrod, and R. N. Schmidt. 2013. "Canadian evidence of adherence to "comply or explain" corporate governance codes: An international comparison". *Accounting Perspectives*. 12: 23–51.
- Samra-Fredericks, D. 2000a. "An analysis of the behavioural dynamics of corporate governance – a talk-based ethnography of a UK manufacturing 'board-in-action'". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 8: 311–326.
- Samra-Fredericks, D. 2000b. "Doing 'boards-in-action' research – an ethnographic approach for the capture and analysis of directors' and senior managers' interactive routines". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 8: 244–257.
- Schellenger, M. H., D. D. Wood, and A. Tashakori. 1989. "Board of director composition, shareholder wealth, and dividend policy". *Journal of Management*. 15: 457–467.
- Schmidt, J. 2008. "Reforms in German stock corporation law — The 67th German Jurists Forum". *European Business Organization Law Review*. 9: 637–656.
- Schwartz-Ziv, M. and M. S. Weisbach. 2013. "What do boards really do? Evidence from minutes of board meetings". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 108: 349–366.
- Shivdasani, A. 1993. "Board composition, ownership structure, and hostile takeovers". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 16: 167–198.
- Shivdasani, A. and D. Yermak. 1999. "CEO involvement in the selection of new board members; An empirical analysis". *Journal of Finance*. 54: 1829–1853.
- Shleifer, A. and R. Vishny. 1997. "A survey of corporate governance". *Journal of Finance*. 52: 737–784.

- Shrives, P. J. and N. M. Brennan. 2015. "A typology for exploring the quality of explanations for non-compliance with UK corporate governance regulations". *British Accounting Review*. 47: 85–99.
- Siciliano, J. 2005. "Board involvement in strategy and organizational performance". *Journal of General Management*. 30(4): 1–10.
- Siciliano, J. 2008. "A comparison of CEO and director perceptions of board involvement in strategy". *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*. 37(1): 52–62.
- Simmers, C. A. 1998. "Executive/board politics in strategic decision making". *Journal of Business and Economic Studies*. 4(1): 37–56.
- Singh, J. P., N. Kumar, and S. Uzma. 2010. "Satyam fiasco: Corporate governance failure and lessons therefrom". *IUP Journal of Corporate Governance*. 9(4): 30–39.
- Sonnenfeld, J. A. 2002. "What makes great boards great". *Harvard Business Review*. 80(9): 106–113.
- Spamann, H. 2010. "The "antidirector rights index" revisited". *Review of Financial Studies*. 23: 467–486.
- Stein, P. G. 1992. "Roman law, common law, and civil law". *Tulane Law Review*. 66: 1591–1603.
- Stone, H. F. 1936. "The common law in the United States". *Harvard Law Review*. 50: 4–26.
- Tapiés, J. 2005. *Milking Money Out of Parmalat*. Barcelona, Spain: University of Navarra, IESE Business School.
- Tashakori, A. and W. Boulton. 1983. "A look at the board's role in planning". *Journal of Business Strategy*. 3(3): 64–70.
- Thomsen, S. 2016. "The Nordic corporate governance model". *Management and Organization Review*. 12: 189–204.
- Thomsen, S., C. Rose, and D. Kronborg. 2016. "Employee representation and board size in the Nordic countries". *European Journal of Law and Economics*. 46. forthcoming.
- Tian, J. J. and C. Lau. 2001. "Board composition, leadership structure and performance in Chinese shareholding companies". *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*. 18: 245–263.
- Tosi Jr., H. L. and W. Slocum Jr. 1984. "Contingency theory: Some suggested directions". *Journal of Management*. 10: 9–26.

- Tuggle, C. S., K. Schnatterly, and R. A. Johnson. 2010a. "Attention patterns in the boardroom: How board composition and processes affect discussion of entrepreneurial issues". *Academy of Management Journal*. 53: 550–571.
- Tuggle, C. S., D. G. Sirmon, C. R. Reutzell, and L. Bierman. 2010b. "Commanding board of director attention: investigating how organizational performance and CEO duality affect board members' attention to monitoring". *Strategic Management Journal*. 31: 946–968.
- Tuschke, A., W. G. Sanders, and E. Hernandez. 2014. "Whose experience matters in the boardroom? The effects of experiential and vicarious learning on emerging market entry". *Strategic Management Journal*. 35: 398–418.
- Useem, M. and A. Zellek. 2006. "Oversight and delegation in corporate governance: Deciding what the board should decide". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 14: 2–12.
- v. Werder, A. and T. Talaulicar. 2011. "Corporate governance in Germany: Basic characteristics, recent developments and future perspectives". In: *Handbook on International Corporate Governance: Country Analyses. Second Edition*. Ed. by C. Mallin. Cheltenham, UK – Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar. 36–58.
- v. Werder, A., T. Talaulicar, and G. Kolat. 2005. "Compliance with the German Corporate Governance Code: an empirical analysis of the compliance statements by German listed companies". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 13: 178–187.
- v. Werder, A., T. Talaulicar, and A. Pissarczyk. 2010. "Das Kommentierungsverhalten bei Abweichungen vom Deutschen Corporate Governance Kodex. Ergebnisse einer empirischen Erhebung bei DAX-, TecDAX-, MDAX- und SDAX-Unternehmen." *Die Aktiengesellschaft*. 55: 62–72.
- Van Den Heuvel, J., A. Van Gils, and W. Voordeckers. 2006. "Board roles in small and medium-sized family businesses: Performance and importance". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 14: 467–485.

- Vance, S. C. 1964. *Boards of directors: Structure and performance*. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press.
- Veltrop, D. B., N. Hermes, T. J. B. M. Postma, and J. de Haan. 2015a. "A tale of two factions: Why and when factional demographic faultlines hurt board performance". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 23: 145–160.
- Veltrop, D. B., E. Molleman, R. Hooghiemstra, and H. van Ees. 2015b. "The relationship between tenure and outside director task involvement: A social identity perspective". *Journal of Management*. forthcoming.
- Ven Ees, H., J. Gabrielsson, and M. Huse. 2009. "Toward a behavioral theory of boards and corporate governance". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 17(3): 307–319.
- Wagner, J. 2011. "One-third codetermination at company supervisory boards and firm performance in German manufacturing industries: First direct evidence from a new type of enterprise data". *Schmollers Jahrbuch*. 131: 91–106.
- Walls, J. L. and A. J. Hoffman. 2013. "Exceptional boards: Environmental experience and positive deviance from institutional norms". *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. 34: 253–271.
- Warther, V. A. 1998. "Board effectiveness and board dissent: A model of the board's relationship to management and shareholders". *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 4: 53–70.
- Weech-Maldonado, R., J. S. Zinn, and D. Brannon. 1999. "Managerial implications of corporate board involvement and perceived market competition for quality improvement in nursing homes". *Journal of Healthcare Management*. 44: 382–395.
- Weitzner, D. and T. Peridis. 2011. "Corporate governance as part of the strategic process: Rethinking the role of the board". *Journal of Business Ethics*. 102: 33–42.
- Westphal, J. D. 1999. "Collaboration in the boardroom: Behavioral and performance consequences of CEO-board social ties". *Academy of Management Journal*. 42: 7–24.

- Westphal, J. D. and M. Bednar. 2005. "Pluralistic ignorance in corporate boards and firms' strategic persistence in response to low firm performance". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 50: 262–282.
- Westphal, J. D. and P. Khanna. 2003. "Keeping directors in line: Social distancing as a control mechanism in the corporate elite". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 48: 361–398.
- Westphal, J. D. and I. Stern. 2007. "Flattery will get you everywhere (especially if you are a male caucasian): How ingratiation, boardroom behavior, and demographic minority status affect additional board appointments at U.S. companies". *Academy of Management Journal*. 50: 267–288.
- Wiersema, M. F. and K. A. Bantel. 1993. "Top management team turnover as an adaptation mechanism: The role of the environment". *Strategic Management Journal*. 14: 485–504.
- Withers, M. C., A. J. Hillman, and A. A. Cannella Jr. 2012. "A multidisciplinary review of the director selection literature". *Journal of Management*. 38: 243–277.
- Wood, G. and C. Brewster. 2016. "Corporate governance and human resource management". *Annals of Corporate Governance*. 1(4): 249–319.
- Wymeersch, E. 2006. "The enforcement of corporate governance codes". *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*. 6: 113–138.
- Xiao, J. Z., J. Dahya, and Z. Lin. 2004. "A grounded theory exposition of the role of the supervisory board in China". *British Journal of Management*. 15: 39–55.
- Yermak, D. 1996. "Higher valuation of companies with a small board of directors". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 40: 185–212.
- Young, M. N., D. Ahlstrom, G. D. Bruton, and E. S. Chan. 2001. "The resource dependence, service and control functions of boards of directors in Hong Kong and Taiwanese firms". *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*. 18: 223–244.
- Young, M. N., M. W. Peng, D. Ahlstrom, G. D. Bruton, and Y. Jiang. 2008. "Corporate governance in emerging economies: A review of the principal-principal perspective". *Journal of Management Studies*. 45: 196–219.

- Yuan, J. 2007. "Formal convergence or substantial divergence? Evidence from adoption of the independent director system in China". *Asian-Pacific Law & Policy Journal*. 9: 71–104.
- Zahra, S. 1990. "Increasing the board's involvement in strategy". *Long Range Planning*. 23(6): 109–118.
- Zahra, S. and J. Pearce. 1989. "Boards of directors and corporate financial performance: A review and integrative model". *Journal of Management*. 15: 291–334.
- Zald, M. N. 1969. "The power and functions of boards of directors: A theoretical synthesis". *American Journal of Sociology*. 75: 97–111.
- Zattoni, A., L. Gnan, and M. Huse. 2015. "Does family involvement influence firm performance? Exploring the mediating effects of board processes and tasks". *Journal of Management*. 41: 1214–1243.
- Zhang, P. 2010. "Board information and strategic tasks performance". *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 18: 473–487.
- Zhu, D. H. 2013. "Group polarization on corporate boards: Theory and evidence on board decisions about acquisitions premiums". *Strategic Management Journal*. 34: 800–822.
- Zhu, D. H. 2014. "Group polarization in board decisions about CEO compensation". *Organization Science*. 25: 552–571.
- Zhu, H., P. Wang, and C. Bart. 2016. "Board processes, board strategic involvement, and organizational performance in for-profit and non-profit organizations". *Journal of Business Ethics*. 136: 311–328.
- Zhu, H. and T. Yoshikawa. 2016. "Contingent value of director identification: The role of government directors in monitoring and resource provision in an emerging economy". *Strategic Management Journal*. 37: 1787–1807.