

Nanotechnology: A Call for Policy Research

Other titles in Annals of Science and Technology Policy

Policies for the Provision of Finance to Science-based Entrepreneurship

Alice Civera, Michele Meoli and Silvio Vismara

ISBN: 978-1-68083-352-2

*The Roles and Impacts of Technical Standards on Economic Growth
and Implications for Innovation Policy*

Gregory Tassej

ISBN: 978-1-68083-316-4

Bureaucratization in Academic Research Policy: What Causes It?

Barry Bozeman and Jiwon Jung

ISBN: 978-1-68083-262-4

Advanced Manufacturing: A New Policy Challenge

William B. Bonvillian

ISBN: 978-1-68083-240-2

Nanotechnology: A Call for Policy Research

Joshua Gorsuch

University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA
jkgorsuc@uncg.edu

Albert N. Link

University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA
anlink@uncg.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Annals of Science and Technology Policy

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

J. Gorsuch and A. N. Link. *Nanotechnology: A Call for Policy Research*. Annals of Science and Technology Policy, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 307–463, 2018.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-499-4

© 2018 J. Gorsuch and A. N. Link

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Annals of Science and Technology Policy
Volume 2, Issue 4, 2018
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Albert N. Link
University of North Carolina at Greensboro
United States

Editors

David Audretsch
Indiana University

William Bonvillian
MIT

Barry Bozeman
Arizona State University

Kaye Husbands Fealing
Georgia Institute of Technology

John Hardin
North Carolina Board of Science and Technology

Mariagrazia Squicciarini
OECD

Wolfgang Polt
Joanneum Research Institute

Nicholas Vonortas
The George Washington University

Editorial Scope

Topics

Annals of Science and Technology Policy publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Literature reviews of technology and innovation policies
- Historical case studies of technology development and implementation
- Institutional histories of technology- and innovation-based organizations
- Analyses of policies attendant to technology development and adoption and diffusion
- Studies documenting the adoption and diffusion of technologies and subsequent consequences
- Studies of public and private research partnerships (cross sectional, over time, or case based)
- Assessments and evaluations of specific technology and innovation policies
- Analyses of ecosystems associated with the technology and/or innovation development
- Cross observational (e.g., cross-agency or cross-country) comparisons of technology and innovation policies

Information for Librarians

Annals of Science and Technology Policy, 2018, Volume 2, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2475-1820. ISSN online version 2475-1812. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	U.S. Policy Initiatives toward Nanotechnology	5
3	U.S. Public Sector Investments in Nanotechnology	11
4	A Taxonomy of the Nanotechnology Literature	17
5	An Agenda for Future Research	22
	Appendices	27
A	Annotated Bibliography of Nanotechnology Studies	28
	Acknowledgements	119
	References	120
	Author Biographies	156

Nanotechnology: A Call for Policy Research

Joshua Gorsuch¹ and Albert N. Link²

¹*University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA; jkgorsuc@uncg.edu*

²*University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA; anlink@uncg.edu*

ABSTRACT

We briefly overview U.S. policy initiatives related to nanotechnology and to the systematic investment in research that the U.S. Congress has approved to advance it over the nearly past two decades. Then, we summarize these U.S. research investments in nanotechnology, and we compare dimensions of nanotechnology activity in the United States to activity in other countries. The body of this paper is a nontechnical annotated bibliography of the relevant social science and policy literature; this bibliography is intended to serve as a reference document. Finally, we suggest specific directions for future policy research with a focus on a methodology for evaluating the social benefits of publicly funded nanotechnology R&D investments.

1

Introduction

The birth of national interest in nanotechnology can be traced at least to a lecture given by Nobel Laureate Richard Feynman at the annual meeting of the American Physical Society at the California Institute of Technology in 1959: “There’s Plenty of Room at the Bottom” (Feynman, 1960). Feynman stated:

I would like to describe a field, in which little has been done, but in which an enormous amount can be done in principle. . . . What I want to talk about is the problem of manipulating and controlling things on a small scale. . . . At the atomic level, we have new kinds of forces and new kinds of possibilities, new kinds of effects.

– Feynman, 1960, p. 22 ff.

“Nanotechnology is the creation and utilization of materials, devices, and systems through the control of matter on the nanometer-length scale, that is, at the level of atoms, molecules, and supramolecular structures” (NSTC, 1999a, p. iii). Although nanotechnology was not mentioned by name in Feynman’s lecture, the concept of U.S. scientists and engineers developing materials, as well as devices and equipment,

at an atomic scale was clearly envisioned. But, what would be needed were instruments to manipulate atoms.¹

According to a recent report by the U.S. Congressional Research Service:

Nanotechnology plays a central role in some current applications with substantial economic value. For example, nanotechnology is a fundamental enabling technology in nearly all microchips and is fundamental to improvements in chip speed, size, weight, and energy use. Similarly, nanotechnology has substantially increased the storage density of non-volatile flash memory and computer hard drives. In the longer term, proponents of nanotechnology believe it may deliver revolutionary advances with profound economic and societal implications.

– Sargent, Jr., [2016](#), p. 2

Thus, the products and services that emerge from research into the applications of nanotechnology “may bring significant economic and social benefits to the United States and to the world” (Sargent, Jr., [2016](#), p. 23). As such, an objective of this paper is to provide a nontechnical reference document of the relevant social science and policy literature on nanotechnology. By so doing, we hope to engender not only a greater appreciation of the “significant economic and social benefits” (Sargent, Jr., [2016](#), p. 23) of nanotechnology but also to spur possibly more extensive research into the implications of public support of this technology — hence the subtitle of this paper, “A Call for Policy Research.”

Toward these ends, in [Section 2](#) we briefly overview U.S. policy initiatives related to nanotechnology and to the systematic investment in research that the U.S. Congress has approved to advance it over the nearly past two decades.

¹An interesting nanotechnology timeline is at <https://www.nano.gov/timeline>. The elements on the timeline clearly predate Feynman.

In Section 3, we summarize these U.S. research investments into nanotechnology, and we compare dimensions of nanotechnology activity in the United States to activity in other countries.

In Section 4, we offer a taxonomy and overview of the relevant social science and policy literature related to nanotechnology. The taxonomy is a subjective classifying device for tracking the growth of this literature over time; the overview is a descriptive summary of how researchers in these disciplines have characterized the practice of nanotechnology. The Appendix to this paper presents an annotated bibliography of this literature.² Our extensive annotated bibliography not only fills a gap in the literature because the reviews that exist are limited in scope, but also our review points out the lack of policy research related to public investments in nanotechnology. This latter point is what motivates our perception of a need for policy research on this topic.

Finally, in Section 5, we suggest specific directions for future policy research with a focus on a methodology for evaluating the social benefits of publicly funded nanotechnology R&D investments.

²Any aspect of the literature related to nanotechnology from a social science or policy perspective that is not summarized in the Appendix is an unintentional oversight, and to such authors we offer our sincere apology. Public sector policy reports are not included in the Appendix table although they are referenced in the text of this paper.

References

- Alencar, M. S. M., A. Porter, and A. M. S. Antunes (2007). “Nanopatenting Patterns in Relation to Product Life Cycle”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 74: 1661–1680.
- Algieri, B., A. Aquino, and M. Succurro (2013). “Technology Transfer Offices and Academic Spin-off Creation: The Case of Italy”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 38(4): 382–400.
- Allarakhia, M. and S. Walsh (2011). “Managing Knowledge Assets under Conditions of Radical Change: The Case of the Pharmaceutical Industry”. *Technovation*. 31(2): 105–117.
- Allarakhia, M. and S. Walsh (2012). “Analyzing and Organizing Nanotechnology Development: Application of the Institutional Analysis Development Framework to Nanotechnology Consortia”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 216–226.
- Åm, H. (2013). “Don’t Make Nanotechnology Sexy, Ensure its Benefits, and be Neutral: Studying the Logics of New Intermediary Institutions in Ambiguous Governance Contexts”. *Science and Public Policy*. 40(4): 466–478.
- Andersen, M. M. (2011). “Silent Innovation: Corporate Strategizing in Early Nanotechnology Evolution”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 680–696.

- Anzai, T., R. Kusama, H. Kodama, and S. Sengoku (2012). “Holistic Observation and Monitoring of the Impact of Interdisciplinary Academic Research Projects: An Empirical Assessment in Japan”. *Technovation*. 32(6): 345–357.
- Appelbaum, R. P. and R. A. Parker (2008). “China’s Bid to Become a Global Nanotech Leader: Advancing Nanotechnology through State-led Programs and International Collaborations”. *Science and Public Policy*. 35(5): 319–334.
- Arora, S., R. Foley, J. Youtie, P. Shapira, and A. Wiek (2014a). “Drivers of Technology Adoption — The Case of Nanomaterials in Building Construction”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 87: 232–244.
- Arora, S., Y. Li, J. Youtie, and P. Shapira (2016). “Using the Wayback Machine to Mine Websites in the Social Sciences: A Methodological Resource”. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 67(8): 1904–1915.
- Arora, S., A. Porter, J. Youtie, and P. Shapira (2013a). “Capturing New Developments in an Emerging Technology: An Updated Search Strategy for Identifying Nanotechnology Research Outputs”. *Scientometrics*. 95(1): 351–370.
- Arora, S., J. Youtie, S. Carley, A. Porter, and P. Shapira (2014b). “Measuring the Development of a Common Scientific Lexicon in Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 16(1): 1–11.
- Arora, S., J. Youtie, P. Shapira, L. Gao, and T. Ma (2013b). “Entry Strategies in an Emerging Technology: A Pilot Web-based Study of Graphene Firms”. *Scientometrics*. 95(3): 1189–1207.
- Avenel, E., A. V. Favier, S. Ma, V. Mangematin, and C. Rieu (2007). “Diversification and Hybridization in Firm Knowledge Bases in Nanotechnologies”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 864–870.
- Ávila-Robinson, A. and K. Miyazaki (2013). “Evolutionary Paths of Change of Emerging Nanotechnological Innovation Systems: The Case of ZnO Nanostructures”. *Scientometrics*. 95(3): 829–849.
- Baglieri, D., F. Cesaroni, and L. Orsi (2014). “Does the Nano-patent “Gold Rush” Lead to Entrepreneurial-driven Growth? Some Policy Lessons from China and Japan”. *Technovation*. 34(12): 746–761.

- Baglieri, D., M. C. Cinici, and V. Mangematin (2012). “Rejuvenating Clusters with “Sleeping Anchors”: The Case of Nanoclusters”. *Technovation*. 32(3–4): 245–256.
- Bajwa, R. S., K. Yaldram, and S. Rafique (2013). “A Scientometric Assessment of Research Output in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology: Pakistan Perspective”. *Scientometrics*. 94(1): 333–342.
- Barirani, A., B. Agard, and C. Beaudry (2013). “Discovering and Assessing Fields of Expertise in Nanomedicine: A Patent Co-citation Network Perspective”. *Scientometrics*. 94(3): 1111–1136.
- Barirani, A., C. Beaudry, and B. Agard (2015). “Distant Recombination and the Creation of Basic Inventions: An Analysis of the Diffusion of Public and Private Sector Nanotechnology Patents in Canada”. *Technovation*. 36: 39–52.
- Barpujari, I. (2013). “Incentivising Innovation and Serving the Public Good”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 117–126.
- Bartol, T. and K. Stopar (2015). “Nano Language and Distribution of Article Title Terms According to Power Laws”. *Scientometrics*. 103(2): 435–451.
- Bass, S. D. and L. A. Kurgan (2010). “Discovery of Factors Influencing Patent Value Based on Machine Learning in Patents in the Field of Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 82(2): 217–241.
- Bassecoulard, E., A. Lelu, and M. Zitt (2007). “Mapping Nanosciences by Citation Flows: A Preliminary Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 859–880.
- Battard, N. (2012). “Convergence and Multidisciplinarity in Nanotechnology: Laboratories as Technological Hubs”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 234–244.
- Beaudry, C. and S. Allaoui (2012). “Impact of Public and Private Research Funding on Scientific Production: The Case of Nanotechnology”. *Research Policy*. 41(9): 1589–1606.
- Beaudry, C. and A. Schiffauerova (2011). “Is Canadian Intellectual Property Leaving Canada? A Study of Nanotechnology Patenting”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 665–679.

- Bengisu, M. (2003). “Critical and Emerging Technologies in Materials, Manufacturing, and Industrial Engineering: A Study for Priority Setting”. *Scientometrics*. 58(3): 473–487.
- Benneworth, P. and J. Olmos-Peñuela (2018). “Reflecting on the Tensions of Research Utilization: Understanding the Coupling of Academic and User Knowledge”. *Science and Public Policy*. doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scy021.
- Bettencourt, L. M. A., D. I. Kaiser, J. Kaur, C. Castillo-Chávez, and D. E. Wojick (2008). “Population Modeling of the Emergence and Development of Scientific Fields”. *Scientometrics*. 75(3): 495–518.
- Beumer, K. and S. Bhattacharya (2013). “Emerging Technologies in India: Developments, Debates and Silences about Nanotechnology”. *Science and Public Policy*. 40(5): 628–643.
- Bhat, J. S. A. (2005). “Concerns of New Technology Based Industries — The Case of Nanotechnology”. *Technovation*. 25(5): 457–462.
- Bhattacharya, S., H. Kretschmer, and M. Meyer (2003). “Characterizing Intellectual Spaces between Science and Technology”. *Scientometrics*. 58(2): 369–390.
- Bhattacharya, S. and M. Meyer (2003). “Large Firms and the Science-technology Interface Patents, Patent Citations, and Scientific Output of Multinational Corporations in Thin Films”. *Scientometrics*. 58(2): 265–279.
- Bhattacharya, S., Shilpa, and M. Bhati (2012). “China and India: The Two New Players in the Nanotechnology Race”. *Scientometrics*. 93(1): 59–87.
- Blind, K. and S. Gauch (2009). “Research and Standardisation in Nanotechnology: Evidence from Germany”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 34(3): 320–342.
- Boholm, M. (2014). “Political Representations of Nano in Swedish Government Documents”. *Science and Public Policy*. 41(5): 575–596.
- Bonaccorsi, A. and G. Thoma (2007). “Institutional Complementarity and Inventive Performance in Nano Science and Technology”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 813–831.
- Bonaccorsi, A. and J. Vargas (2010). “Proliferation Dynamics in New Sciences”. *Research Policy*. 39(8): 1034–1050.

- Bos, C., A. Peine, and H. van Lente (2013). “Articulation of Sustainability in Nanotechnology”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 231–242.
- Bowman, D. M. and G. A. Hodge (2008). ““Governing” Nanotechnology without Government?” *Science and Public Policy*. 35(7): 475–487.
- Bozeman, B., J. Hardin, and A. N. Link (2008). “Barriers to the Diffusion of Nanotechnology”. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*. 17(7–8): 749–761.
- Bozeman, B., P. Laredo, and V. Mangematin (2007). “Understanding the Emergence and Deployment of “Nano” SandT”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 807–812.
- Bozeman, B. and J. Youtie (2017). “Socio-economic Impacts and Public Value of Government-funded Research: Lessons from Four US National Science Foundation Initiatives”. *Research Policy*. 46(8): 1387–1398.
- Brandt, T. and T. Schubert (2013). “Is the University Model an Organizational Necessity? Scale and Agglomeration Effects in Science”. *Scientometrics*. 94(2): 541–565.
- Braun, T., A. Schubert, and S. Zsindely (1997). “Nanoscience and Nanotechnology on the Balance”. *Scientometrics*. 38(2): 321–325.
- Braun, T., S. Zsindely, I. Dióspatonyi, and E. Zádor (2007). “Gate-keeping Patterns in Nano-titled Journals”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 651–667.
- Brunswick, S., S. A. Matei, M. Zentner, L. Zentner, and G. Klimeck (2017). “Creating Impact in the Digital Space: Digital Practice Dependency in Communities of Digital Scientific Innovations”. *Scientometrics*. 110(1): 417–442.
- Bucher, P., B. Birkenmeier, H. Brodbeck, and J. Escher (2003). “Management Principles for Evaluating and Introducing Disruptive Technologies: The Case of Nanotechnology in Switzerland”. *R&D Management*. 33(2): 149–163.
- Burger, N., T. Staake, E. Fleisch, and C. Hierold (2013). “Managing Technology Development Teams — Exploring the Case of Microsystems and Nanosystems”. *R&D Management*. 43(2): 162–186.

- Calero, C., R. Buter, C. C. Valdés, and E. Noyons (2006). “How to Identify Research Groups Using Publication Analysis: An Example in the Field of Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 66(2): 365–376.
- Callaert, J., M. Pellens, and B. V. Looy (2014). “Sources of Inspiration? Making Sense of Scientific References in Patents”. *Scientometrics*. 98(3): 1617–1629.
- Carley, S. F., N. C. Newman, A. L. Porter, and J. G. Garner (2017). “A Measure of Staying Power: Is the Persistence of Emergent Concepts More Significantly Influenced by Technical Domain or Scale?” *Scientometrics*. 111(3): 2077–2087.
- Carley, S. and A. Porter (2011). “Measuring the Influence of Nanotechnology Environmental, Health and Safety Research”. *Research Evaluation*. 20(5): 389–395.
- Carley, S. and A. L. Porter (2012). “A Forward Diversity Index”. *Scientometrics*. 90(2): 407–427.
- Casati, A. and C. Genet (2014). “Principal Investigators as Scientific Entrepreneurs”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 39(1): 11–32.
- Cassiman, B., M. C. D. Guardo, and G. Valentini (2010). “Organizing Links with Science: Cooperate or Contract?” *Research Policy*. 39(7): 882–892.
- Caviggioli, F., G. Scellato, and E. Ughetto (2013). “International Patent Disputes: Evidence from Oppositions at the European Patent Office”. *Research Policy*. 42(9): 1634–1646.
- Chachibaia, T. (2012). “Strategy and Policy Issues Related to Nanotechnology Innovations in Medical Education”. In: *Disruptive Technologies, Innovation and Global Redesign*. Hershey, PA: IGI Global. 147–172.
- Chang, P.-L., C.-C. Wu, and H.-J. Leu (2010). “Using Patent Analyses to Monitor the Technological Trends in an Emerging Field of Technology: A Case of Carbon Nanotube Field Emission Display”. *Scientometrics*. 82(1): 5–19.
- Chang, Y.-W., M.-H. Huang, and H.-W. Yang (2016). “Analysis of Coactivity in the Field of Fuel Cells at Institutional and Individual Levels”. *Scientometrics*. 109(1): 143–158.

- Chen, M.-F., Y.-P. Lin, and T.-J. Cheng (2013). "Public Attitudes toward Nanotechnology Applications in Taiwan". *Technovation*. 33(2): 88–96.
- Cho, Y.-D. and H.-G. Choi (2013). "Principal Parameters Affecting R&D Exploitation of Nanotechnology Research: A Case for Korea". *Scientometrics*. 96(3): 881–899.
- Clausen, T. H. and E. Rasmussen (2013). "Parallel Business Models and the Innovativeness of Research-based Spin-off Ventures". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 38(6): 836–849.
- Coccia, M., U. Finardi, and D. Margon (2012). "Current Trends in Nanotechnology Research across Worldwide Geo-economic Players". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 37(5): 777–787.
- Colombelli, A., J. Krafft, and F. Quatraro (2014). "The Emergence of New Technology-based Sectors in European Regions: A Proximity-based Analysis of Nanotechnology". *Research Policy*. 43(10): 1681–1696.
- Cunningham, J. A., M. Menter, and C. Young (2017). "A Review of Qualitative Case Methods Trends and Themes Used in Technology Transfer Research". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 42(4): 923–956.
- da S. Sant'Anna, L., M. S. de M. Alencar, and A. P. Ferreira (2014). "Nanomaterials Patenting in Brazil: Some Considerations for the National Regulatory Framework". *Scientometrics*. 100(3): 675–686.
- Darvish, H. and Y. Tonta (2016). "Diffusion of Nanotechnology Knowledge in Turkey and Its Network Structure". *Scientometrics*. 107(2): 569–592.
- de Bakker, E., C. de Lauwere, A.-C. Hoes, and V. Beekman (2014). "Responsible Research and Innovation in Miniature: Information Asymmetries Hindering a More Inclusive "Nanofood" Development". *Science and Public Policy*. 41(3): 294–305.
- Dernis, H., M. Squicciarini, and R. de Pinho (2016). "Detecting the Emergence of Technologies and the Evolution and Co-development Trajectories in Science (DETECTS): A "Burst" Analysis-based Approach". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 41(5): 930–960.
- Dyachenko, E. L. (2014). "Internationalization of Academic Journals: Is There Still a Gap Between Social and Natural Sciences?" *Scientometrics*. 101(1): 241–255.

- Ely, A., P. V. Zwanenberg, and A. Stirling (2014). “Broadening Out and Opening Up Technology Assessment: Approaches to Enhance International Development, Co-ordination and Democratisation”. *Research Policy*. 43(3): 505–518.
- Epicoco, M. (2013). “Knowledge Patterns and Sources of Leadership: Mapping the Semiconductor Miniaturization Trajectory”. *Research Policy*. 42(1): 180–195.
- Eto, H. (2003). “Interdisciplinary Information Input and Output of a Nano-technology Project”. *Scientometrics*. 58(1): 5–33.
- Etxebarria, G., M. Gomez-Uranga, and J. Barrutia (2012). “Tendencies in Scientific Output on Carbon Nanotubes and Graphene in Global Centers of Excellence for Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 91(1): 253–268.
- Executive Office of the President (2014). *Report to the President and Congress on the Fifth Assessment of the National Nanotechnology Initiative*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.
- Fernández-Ribas, A. and P. Shapira (2009). “Technological Diversity, Scientific Excellence and the Location of Inventive Activities Abroad: The Case of Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 34(3): 286–303.
- Festel, G. (2014). “Reasons for Corporate Research and Development Spin-outs — the Chemical and Pharmaceutical Industry as Example”. *R&D Management*. 44(4): 398–408.
- Feynman, R. P. (1960). “There’s Plenty of Room at the Bottom”. *Engineering and Science*. 23: 22–36.
- Fiedler, M. and I. M. Welpé (2010). “Antecedents of Cooperative Commercialisation Strategies of Nanotechnology Firms”. *Research Policy*. 39(3): 400–410.
- Finardi, U. (2011). “Time Relations Between Scientific Production and Patenting of Knowledge: The Case of Nanotechnologies”. *Scientometrics*. 89(1): 37–50.
- Finardi, U. (2012). “Nanosciences and Nanotechnologies: Evolution Trajectories and Disruptive Features”. In: *Disruptive Technologies, Innovation and Global Redesign*. 107–127.

- Fisher, E. and R. L. Mahajan (2006). “Contradictory Intent? US Federal Legislation on Integrating Societal Concerns into Nanotechnology Research and Development”. *Science and Public Policy*. 33(1): 5–16.
- Fisher, E. and G. Maricle (2015). “Higher-level Responsiveness? Socio-technical Integration within US and UK Nanotechnology Research Priority Setting”. *Science and Public Policy*. 42(1): 72–85.
- Fisher, E., C. P. Slade, D. Anderson, and B. Bozeman (2010). “The Public Value of Nanotechnology?” *Scientometrics*. 85(1): 29–39.
- Fogelberg, H. and M. A. Lundqvist (2013). “Integration of Academic and Entrepreneurial Roles: The Case of Nanotechnology Research at Chalmers University of Technology”. *Science and Public Policy*. 40(1): 127–139.
- Fonseca, P. and T. Pereira (2013). “Emerging Responsibilities: Brazilian Nanoscientists’ Conceptions of Responsible Governance and Social Technology Practices”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 49–66.
- Fu, H.-Z. and Y.-S. Ho (2013). “Comparison of Independent Research of China’s Top Universities Using Bibliometric Indicators”. *Scientometrics*. 96(1): 259–276.
- Fu, H.-Z., X. Long, and Y.-S. Ho (2014). “China’s Research in Chemical Engineering Journals in Science Citation Index Expanded: A Bibliometric Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 98(1): 119–136.
- Gao, J., K. Ding, L. Teng, and J. Pang (2012). “Hybrid Documents Co-citation Analysis: Making Sense of the Interaction Between Science and Technology in Technology Diffusion”. *Scientometrics*. 93(2): 459–471.
- Gao, L., A. Porter, J. Wang, S. Fang, X. Zhang, T. Ma, W. Wang, and L. Huang (2011). “Technology Life Cycle Analysis Modelling Based on Patent Documents”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 80(3): 398–407.
- Geiger, R. L. (2012). “University Supply and Corporate Demand for Academic Research”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 37(2): 175–191.
- Genet, C., K. Errabi, and C. Gauthier (2012). “Which Model of Technology Transfer for Nanotechnology? A Comparison with Biotech and Microelectronics”. *Nanotechnology: Introducing the Future*. 32(3): 205–215.

- Ghazinoory, S., S. Mirzaei, and S. Ghazinoori (2009). "A Model for National Planning Under New Roles for Government: Case Study of the National Iranian Nanotechnology Initiative". *Science and Public Policy*. 36(3): 241–249.
- Gokhberg, L., K. Fursov, and O. Karasev (2012). "Nanotechnology Development and Regulatory Framework: The Case of Russia". *Technovation*. 32(3): 161–162.
- Gorjiara, T. and C. Baldock (2014). "Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Research Publications: A Comparison Between Australia and the Rest of the World". *Scientometrics*. 100(1): 121–148.
- Gottron, F. (2017). *Science and Technology Issues in the 115th Congress*. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.
- Groves, C. (2011). "Public Engagement and Nanotechnology in the UK: Restoring Trust or Building Robustness?" *Science and Public Policy*. 38(10): 783–793.
- Guan, J. and N. Liu (2016). "Exploitative and Exploratory Innovations in Knowledge Network and Collaboration Network: A Patent Analysis in the Technological Field of Nano-energy". *Research Policy*. 45(1): 97–112.
- Guan, J. and N. Ma (2007). "China's Emerging Presence in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology". *Research Policy*. 36(6): 880–886.
- Guan, J. and Y. Shi (2012). "Transnational Citation, Technological Diversity and Small World in Global Nanotechnology Patenting". *Scientometrics*. 93(3): 609–633.
- Guan, J. and G. Wang (2010). "A Comparative Study of Research Performance in Nanotechnology for China's Inventor–authors and Their Non-inventing Peers". *Scientometrics*. 84(2): 331–343.
- Guan, J. and H. Wei (2015). "A Bilateral Comparison of Research Performance at an Institutional Level". *Scientometrics*. 104(1): 147–173.
- Guo, Y., L. Huang, and A. Porter (2010). "The Research Profiling Method Applied to Nano-enhanced, Thin-film Solar Cells". *R&D Management*. 40(2): 195–208.

- Guo, Y., T. Ma, and A. Porter (2012a). "Innovation Risk Path Assessing For a Newly Emerging Science and Technology: Illustrated for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells". In: *Disruptive Technologies, Innovation and Global Redesign: Emerging Implications*. Hershey, PA: IGI Global. 12–26.
- Guo, Y., C. Xu, L. Huang, and A. Porter (2012b). "Empirically Informing a Technology Delivery System Model for an Emerging Technology: Illustrated for Dye-sensitized Solar Cells". *R&D Management*. 42(2): 133–149.
- Han, K. and J. Shin (2014). "A Systematic Way of Identifying and Forecasting Technological Reverse Salients Using QFD, Bibliometrics, and Trend Impact Analysis: A Carbon Nanotube Biosensor Case". *Technovation*. 34(9): 559–570.
- Hansson, F., K. Husted, and J. Vestergaard (2005). "Second Generation Science Parks: From Structural Holes Jockeys to Social Capital Catalysts of the Knowledge Society". *Technovation*. 25(9): 1039–1049.
- Harsh, M., T. S. Woodson, S. Cozzens, J. M. Wetmore, D. Soumonni, and R. Cortes (2017). "The Role of Emerging Technologies in Inclusive Innovation: The Case of Nanotechnology in South Africa†". *Science and Public Policy*. doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scx079.
- Heimeriks, G. (2013). "Interdisciplinarity in Biotechnology, Genomics and Nanotechnology". *Science and Public Policy*. 40(1): 97–112.
- Heimeriks, G. and P. A. Balland (2016). "How Smart is Specialisation? An Analysis of Specialisation Patterns in Knowledge Production". *Science and Public Policy*. 43(4): 562–574.
- Heinze, T. and G. Bauer (2007). "Characterizing Creative Scientists in Nano-SandT: Productivity, Multidisciplinarity, and Network Brokerage in a Longitudinal Perspective". *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 811–830.
- Heinze, T., P. Shapira, J. D. Rogers, and J. M. Senker (2009). "Organizational and Institutional Influences on Creativity in Scientific Research". *Research Policy*. 38(4): 610–623.

- Heinze, T., P. Shapira, J. M. Senker, and S. Kuhlmann (2007). “Identifying Creative Research Accomplishments: Methodology and Results for Nanotechnology and Human Genetics”. *Scientometrics*. 70(1): 125–152.
- Herron, P., A. Mehta, C. Cao, and T. Lenoir (2016). “Research Diversification and Impact: The Case of National Nanoscience Development”. *Scientometrics*. 109(2): 629–659.
- Hodge, G. A., A. D. Maynard, and D. M. Bowman (2014). “Nanotechnology: Rhetoric, Risk and Regulation”. *Science and Public Policy*. 41(1): 1–14.
- Horton, Z. (2013). “Collapsing Scale: Nanotechnology and Geoengineering as Speculative Media”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 203–118.
- Hu, G., S. Carley, and L. Tang (2012). “Visualizing Nanotechnology Research in Canada: Evidence from Publication Activities, 1990–2009”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 37(4): 550–562.
- Hu, Y., J. Sun, W. Li, and Y. Pan (2014). “A Scientometric Study of Global Electric Vehicle Research”. *Scientometrics*. 98(2): 1269–1282.
- Huang, C., A. Notten, and N. Rasters (2011a). “Nanoscience and Technology Publications and Patents: A Review of Social Science Studies and Search Strategies”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(2): 145–172.
- Huang, L., Y. Guo, Z. Peng, and A. Porter (2011b). “Characterising a Technology Development at the Stage of Early Emerging Applications: Nanomaterial-enhanced Biosensors”. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 23(5): 527–544.
- Huang, L., Y. Guo, A. Porter, J. Youtie, and D. K. R. Robinson (2012). “Visualising Potential Innovation Pathways in a Workshop Setting: The Case of Nano-enabled Biosensors”. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 24(5): 527–542.
- Huang, M.-H., H.-W. Yang, and D.-Z. Chen (2015a). “Industry–Academia Collaboration in Fuel Cells: A Perspective from Paper and Patent Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 105(2): 1301–1318.

- Huang, Y., J. Ma, A. Porter, S. Kwon, and D. Zhu (2015b). “Analyzing Collaboration Networks and Developmental Patterns of Nano-enabled Drug Delivery (NEDD) for Brain Cancer”. *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*, 6, 1666–1676.
- Huang, Y., J. Schuehle, A. L. Porter, and J. Youtie (2015c). “A Systematic Method to Create Search Strategies for Emerging Technologies Based on the Web of Science: Illustrated for “Big Data.”” *Scientometrics*. 105(3): 2005–2022.
- Hullmann, A. (2007). “Measuring and Assessing the Development of Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 739–758.
- Hullmann, A. and M. Meyer (2003). “Publications and Patents in Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 58(3): 507–527.
- Hung, S.-C. and Y.-Y. Chu (2006). “Stimulating New Industries from Emerging Technologies: Challenges for the Public Sector”. *Technovation*. 26(1): 104–110.
- Igami, M. (2008). “Exploration of the Evolution of Nanotechnology via Mapping of Patent Applications”. *Scientometrics*. 77(2): 289–308.
- Islam, N. and K. Miyazaki (2009). “NanoSI: Exploring Nanotechnology Research Conflation and Nano-innovation Dynamism in the Case of Japan”. *Science and Public Policy*. 36(3): 170–182.
- Islam, N. and K. Miyazaki (2010). “An Empirical Analysis of Nanotechnology Research Domains”. *Technovation*. 30(4): 229–237.
- Islam, N. and S. Ozcan (2017). “The Management of Nanotechnology: Analysis of Technology Linkages and the Regional Nanotechnology Competencies”. *R&D Management*. 47(1): 111–126.
- Jansen, D., R. von Görtz, and R. Heidler (2010). “Knowledge Production and the Structure of Collaboration Networks in Two Scientific Fields”. *Scientometrics*. 83(1): 219–241.
- Juanola-Feliu, E., J. Colomer-Farrarons, P. Miribel-Catalá, J. Samitier, and J. Valls-Pasola (2012). “Market Challenges Facing Academic Research in Commercializing Nano-enabled Implantable Devices for In-vivo Biomedical Analysis”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 193–204.
- Juliano, R. L. (2012). “The Future of Nanomedicine: Promises and Limitations”. *Science and Public Policy*. 39(1): 99–104.

- Jung, H. J. and J. Lee (2014). “The Impacts of Science and Technology Policy Interventions on University Research: Evidence from the U.S. National Nanotechnology Initiative”. *Research Policy*. 43(1): 74–91.
- Justo-Hanani, R. and T. Dayan (2014). “The Role of the State in Regulatory Policy for Nanomaterials Risk: Analyzing the Expansion of State-centric Rulemaking in EU and US Chemicals Policies”. *Research Policy*. 43(1): 169–178. 008.
- Justo-Hanani, R. and T. Dayan (2015). “European Risk Governance of Nanotechnology: Explaining the Emerging Regulatory Policy”. *Research Policy*. 44(8): 1527–1536.
- Köhler, A. R. and C. Som (2014). “Risk Preventative Innovation Strategies for Emerging Technologies the Cases of Nano-textiles and Smart Textiles”. *Technovation*. 34(8): 420–430.
- Kademani, B. S., A. Sagar, G. Surwase, and K. Bhanumurthy (2013). “Publication Trends in Materials Science: A Global Perspective”. *Scientometrics*. 94(3): 1275–1295.
- Kapoor, R. and P. J. McGrath (2014). “Unmasking the Interplay Between Technology Evolution and R&D Collaboration: Evidence from the Global Semiconductor Manufacturing Industry, 1990–2010”. *Research Policy*. 43(3): 555–569.
- Karaulova, M., A. Gök, O. Shackleton, and P. Shapira (2016). “Science System Path-dependencies and Their Influences: Nanotechnology Research in Russia”. *Scientometrics*. 107: 645–670.
- Karpagam, R., S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Natarajan, and R. B. Babu (2011). “Mapping of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Research in India: A Scientometric Analysis, 1990–2009”. *Scientometrics*. 89(2): 501.
- Karunan, K., H. H. Lathabai, and T. Prabhakaran (2017). “Discovering Interdisciplinary Interactions Between Two Research Fields Using Citation Networks”. *Scientometrics*. 113(1): 335–367.
- Kay, L., N. Newman, J. Youtie, A. Porter, and I. Rafols (2014a). “Patent Overlay Mapping: Visualizing Technological Distance”. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 65(12): 2432–2443.

- Kay, L., A. Porter, J. Youtie, I. Rafols, and N. Newman (2014b). “Mapping Graphene Science and Development: Focused Research with Multiple Application Areas”. *Bulletin of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 41(2): 22–25.
- Kay, L. and P. Shapira (2009). “Developing Nanotechnology in Latin America”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 11: 259–278.
- Kay, L. and P. Shapira (2011). “The Potential of Nanotechnology for Equitable Economic Development: The Case of Brazil”. In: *Nanotechnology and the Challenges of Equity, Equality and Development*. New York: Springer Science. 309–329.
- Kay, L. and J. Youtie (2013). “Corporate Strategies in Emerging Technologies: The Case of Chinese Firms and Energy Storage-Related Nanotechnology Applications”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 167–184.
- Kay, L., J. Youtie, and P. Shapira (2014c). “Signs of Things to Come? What Patent Submissions by Small and Medium-sized Enterprises Say About Corporate Strategies in Emerging Technologies”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 85(C): 17–25.
- Keijl, S., V. A. Gilsing, J. Knobens, and G. Duysters (2016). “The Two Faces of Inventions: The Relationship Between Recombination and Impact in Pharmaceutical Biotechnology”. *Research Policy*. 45(5): 1061–1074.
- Kim, E., Y. Cho, and W. Kim (2014). “Dynamic Patterns of Technological Convergence in Printed Electronics Technologies: Patent Citation Network”. *Scientometrics*. 98(2): 975–998.
- Kim, Y., E. A. Corley, and D. A. Scheufele (2012). “Classifying US Nano-scientists: Of Cautious Innovators, Regulators, and Technology Optimists”. *Science and Public Policy*. 39(1): 30–38.
- Kim, Y., E. A. Corley, and D. A. Scheufele (2017). “Nanoscientists and Political Involvement: Which Characteristics Make Scientists More Likely to Support Engagement in Political Debates?” *Science and Public Policy*. 44(3): 317–327.

- Klaessig, F. (2013). "Setting Useful Nanotechnology Definitions Examined from the Standpoint of Central and Peripheral Claim Language Used in Intellectual Property Law". In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 127–136.
- Klein, J. A., E. P. Stacey, C. J. Coghill, M. McLean, and M. I. Sagua (1996). "Measuring the Economic Benefit from R&D: Results from the Mass, Length and Flow Programmes of the UK National Measurement System". *R&D Management*. 26(1): 5–15.
- Klimek, P., S. A. Jovanovic, R. Egloff, and R. Schneider (2016). "Successful Fish Go with the Flow: Citation Impact Prediction Based on Centrality Measures for Term–document Networks". *Scientometrics*. 107(3): 1265–1282.
- Kliniewicz, K. (2016). "The Emergent Dynamics of a Technological Research Topic: The Case of Graphene". *Scientometrics*. 106(1): 319–345.
- Klitkou, A., S. Nygaard, and M. Meyer (2007). "Tracking Technoscience Networks: A Case Study of Fuel Cells and Related Hydrogen Technology R&D in Norway". *Scientometrics*. 70(2): 491–518.
- Klochikhin, E. A. (2012). "Russia's Innovation Policy: Stubborn Path-dependencies and New Approaches". *Research Policy*. 41(9): 1620–1630.
- Klochikhin, E. A. (2013). "Innovation System in Transition: Opportunities for Policy Learning Between China and Russia". *Science and Public Policy*. 40(5): 657–673.
- Klochikhin, E. and P. Shapira (2012). "Engineering Small Worlds in a Big Society: Assessing the Early Impacts of Nanotechnology in China". *Review of Policy Research*: 752–755.
- Kostoff, R. N., R. B. Barth, and C. G. Y. Lau (2008a). "Relation of Seminal Nanotechnology Document Production to Total Nanotechnology Document Production — South Korea". *Scientometrics*. 76(1): 43–67.
- Kostoff, R. N., R. G. Koytcheff, and C. G. Y. Lau (2007). "Global Nanotechnology Research Metrics". *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 565–601.

- Kostoff, R. N., R. G. Koytcheff, and C. G. Y. Lau (2008b). "Structure of the Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Applications Literature". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 33(5): 472–484.
- Kurek, K., P. A. T. M. Geurts, and H. E. Roosendaal (2007). "The Research Entrepreneur: Strategic Positioning of the Researcher in His Societal Environment". *Science and Public Policy*. 34(7): 501–513.
- Kuusi, O. and M. Meyer (2007). "Anticipating Technological Breakthroughs: Using Bibliographic Coupling to Explore the Nanotubes Paradigm". *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 759–777.
- Kwon, S., A. Porter, and J. Youtie (2016). "Navigating the Innovation Trajectories of Technology by Combining Specialization Score Analyses for Publications and Patents: Graphene and Nano-enabled Drug Delivery". *Scientometrics*. 106(3): 1057–1071.
- Ladwig, P., K. E. Dalrymple, D. Brossard, D. A. Scheufele, and E. A. Corley (2012). "Perceived Familiarity or Factual Knowledge? Comparing Operationalizations of Scientific Understanding". *Science and Public Policy*. 39(6): 761–774.
- Lane, N. and T. Kalil (2005). "The National Nanotechnology Initiative: Present at the Creation". *ISSUES in Science and Technology*. 21: 49–54.
- Larsen, K. (2008). "Knowledge Network Hubs and Measures of Research Impact, Science Structure, and Publication Output in Nanostructured Solar Cell Research". *Scientometrics*. 74(1): 123–142.
- Lee, J. and E. Stuen (2016). "University Reputation and Technology Commercialization: Evidence from Nanoscale Science". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 41(3): 586–609.
- Leech, D. P. and J. T. Scott (2017). "Nanotechnology Documentary Standards". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 42(1): 78–97.
- Leung, R. C. (2013). "Networks as Sponges: International Collaboration for Developing Nanomedicine in China". *Research Policy*. 42(1): 211–219.
- Leydesdorff, L. (2008). "The Delineation of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology in Terms of Journals and Patents: A Most Recent Update". *Scientometrics*. 76(1): 159–167.

- Leydesdorff, L. (2011). ““Structuration” by Intellectual Organization: The Configuration of Knowledge in Relations Among Structural Components in Networks of Science”. *Scientometrics*. 88(2): 499–520.
- Leydesdorff, L. (2013). “An Evaluation of Impacts in “Nanoscience and Nanotechnology”: Steps Towards Standards for Citation Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 94(1): 35–55.
- Leydesdorff, L., F. Alkemade, G. Heimeriks, and R. Hoekstra (2015). “Patents as Instruments for Exploring Innovation Dynamics: Geographic and Technological Perspectives on “Photovoltaic Cells.”” *Scientometrics*. 102(1): 629–651.
- Leydesdorff, L. and C. Wagner (2009). “Is the United States Losing Ground in Science? A Global Perspective on the World Science System”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 693–713.
- Leydesdorff, L. and P. Zhou (2007). “Nanotechnology as a Field of Science: Its Delineation in Terms of Journals and Patents”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 693–713.
- Li, M. (2015). “A Novel Three-dimension Perspective to Explore Technology Evolution”. *Scientometrics*. 105(3): 1679–1697.
- Li, Y., J. Youtie, and P. Shapira (2015). “Why Do Technology Firms Publish Scientific Papers? The Strategic Use of Science by Small and Midsize Enterprises in Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 40(6): 1016–1033.
- Libaers, D., M. Meyer, and A. Geuna (2006). “The Role of University Spinout Companies in an Emerging Technology: The Case of Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 31(4): 443–450.
- Lin, M.-W. and J. Zhang (2007). “Language Trends in Nanoscience and Technology: The Case of Chinese-language Publications”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 555–564.
- Link, A. N. and J. T. Scott (2011). *Public Goods, Public Gains: Calculating the Social Benefits of Public R&D*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Link, A. N. and J. T. Scott (2013). “The Theory and Practice of Public-sector R&D Impact Analysis”. In: *Handbook on the Theory and Practice of Program Evaluation*. New York: Edward Elgar. 15–55.
- Linstone, H. A. (2011). “Three Eras of Technology Foresight”. *Technovation*. 31(2): 69–76.

- Linton, J. D. and S. T. Walsh (2004). “Integrating Innovation and Learning Curve Theory: An Enabler for Moving Nanotechnologies and Other Emerging Process Technologies into Production”. *R&D Management*. 34(5): 517–526.
- Liu, F., N. Zhang, and C. Cao (2017). “An Evolutionary Process of Global Nanotechnology Collaboration: A Social Network Analysis of Patents at USPTO”. *Scientometrics*. 111(3): 1449–1465.
- Liu, H.-I., B.-C. Chang, and K.-C. Chen (2012). “Collaboration Patterns of Taiwanese Scientific Publications in Various Research Areas”. *Scientometrics*. 92(1): 145–155.
- Liu, N. and J. Guan (2015). “Dynamic Evolution of Collaborative Networks: Evidence from Nano-energy Research in China”. *Scientometrics*. 102(3): 1895–1919.
- Liu, X., S. Jiang, H. Chen, C. A. Larson, and M. C. Roco (2015). “Modeling Knowledge Diffusion in Scientific Innovation Networks: An Institutional Comparison Between China and US with Illustration for Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 105(3): 1953–1984.
- Lo, C., C. Wang, P.-Y. Chien, and C.-W. Hung (2012). “An Empirical Study of Commercialization Performance on Nanoproducts”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 168–178.
- Lo, J. Y.-C. (2015). “Selling Science: Resource Mobilization Strategies in the Emerging Field of Nanotechnology”. *Research Policy*. 44(8): 1513–1526.
- Lubik, S., E. Garnsey, T. Minshall, and K. Platts (2013). “Value Creation from the Innovation Environment: Partnership Strategies in University Spin-outs”. *R&D Management*. 43(2): 136–150.
- Lucio-Arias, D. and L. Leydesdorff (2007). “Knowledge Emergence in Scientific Communication: From “Fullerenes” to “Nanotubes.”” *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 603–632.
- Lv, P. H., G.-F. Wang, Y. Wan, J. Liu, Q. Liu, and F. Ma (2011). “Bibliometric Trend Analysis on Global Graphene Research”. *Scientometrics*. 88(2): 399–419.
- Ma, J. and A. Porter (2015). “Analyzing Patent Topical Information to Identify Technology Pathways and Potential Opportunities”. *Scientometrics*. 102: 811–827.

- Ma, J., A. Porter, and T. Aminabhavi (2016). “Nano-enabled Drug Delivery in Cancer Therapy: Literature Analysis Using the MeSH System”. *Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology*. 4(4): 293–307.
- Ma, J., A. Porter, T. Aminabhavi, and D. Zhu (2015). “Nano-enabled Drug Delivery Systems for Brain Cancer and Alzheimer’s Disease: Research Patterns and Opportunities”. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine*. 11(7): 1763–1771.
- Ma, T., A. Porter, Y. Guo, J. Ready, and L. Gao (2013). “A Technology Opportunities Analysis Model: Applied to Dye-sensitised Solar Cells for China”. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 26(1): 87–104.
- Maghrebi, M., A. Abbasi, S. Amiri, R. Monsefi, and A. Harati (2011). “A Collective and Abridged Lexical Query for Delineation of Nanotechnology Publications”. *Scientometrics*. 86(1): 15–25.
- Maine, E. (2008). “Radical Innovation Through Internal Corporate Venturing: Degussa’s Commercialization of Nanomaterials”. *R&D Management*. 38(4): 359–371.
- Maine, E., S. Lubik, and E. Garnsey (2012). “Process-based vs. Product-based Innovation: Value Creation by Nanotech Ventures”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 179–192.
- Maine, E., P.-H. Soh, and D. N. Santos (2015). “The Role of Entrepreneurial Decision-making in Opportunity Creation and Recognition”. *Technovation*. 39–40: 53–72.
- Mangematin, V., K. Errabi, and C. Gauthier (2011). “Large Players in the Nanogame: Dedicated Nanotech Subsidiaries or Distributed Nanotech Capabilities?” *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 640–664.
- Mangematin, V. and S. Walsh (2012). “The Future of Nanotechnologies”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 157–160.
- Mehta, A., P. Herron, Y. Motoyama, R. Appelbaum, and T. Lenoir (2012). “Globalization and De-globalization in Nanotechnology Research: The Role of China”. *Scientometrics*. 93(2): 439–458.
- Melkers, J. and F. Xiao (2012). “Boundary-spanning in Emerging Technology Research: Determinants of Funding Success for Academic Scientists”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 37(3): 251–270.

- Meng, Y. (2017). “Gender Distinctions in Patenting: Does Nanotechnology Make a Difference?” *Scientometrics*. 114(3): 971–992.
- Meng, Y. and P. Shapira (2011). “Women and Patenting in Nanotechnology: Scale, Scope and Equity”. In: *Nanotechnology and the Challenges of Equity, Equality, and Development*. New York: Springer Science. 23–46.
- Meng, Y., P. Shapira, and L. Tang (2013). “The Emergence of Science-driven Entrepreneurship in China: A Case Study of Technological Innovation in Nano-pigment Inks”. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management*. 17(1–3): 162–176.
- Meyer, M. (2000). “Does Science Push Technology? Patents Citing Scientific Literature”. *Research Policy*. 29(3): 409–434.
- Meyer, M. (2002). “Tracing Knowledge Flows in Innovation Systems”. *Scientometrics*. 54(2): 193–212.
- Meyer, M. (2003). “Academic Entrepreneurs or Entrepreneurial Academics? Research-based Ventures and Public Support Mechanisms”. *R&D Management*. 33(2): 107–115.
- Meyer, M. (2006a). “Are Patenting Scientists the Better Scholars?” *Research Policy*. 35(10): 1646–1662.
- Meyer, M. (2006b). “Knowledge Integrators or Weak Links? An Exploratory Comparison of Patenting Researchers with their Non-inventing Peers in Nano-science and Technology”. *Scientometrics*. 68(3): 545–560.
- Meyer, M. (2007). “What Do We Know About Innovation in Nanotechnology? Some Propositions About an Emerging Field Between Hype and Path-dependency”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 779–810.
- Meyer, M., K. Debackere, and W. Glänzel (2010). “Can Applied Science Be “Good Science”? Exploring the Relationship Between Patent Citations and Citation Impact in Nanoscience”. *Scientometrics*. 85(2): 527–539.
- Meyer, M. and O. Persson (1998). “Nanotechnology-interdisciplinarity, Patterns of Collaboration and Differences in Application”. *Scientometrics*. 42(2): 195–205.

- Milanez, D. H., L. I. L. de Faria, R. M. do Amaral, D. R. Leiva, and J. A. R. Gregolin (2014). "Patents in Nanotechnology: An Analysis Using Macro-indicators and Forecasting Curves". *Scientometrics*. 101(2): 1097–1112.
- Milanez, D. H., E. Noyons, and L. I. L. de Faria (2016). "A Delineating Procedure to Retrieve Relevant Publication Data in Research Areas: The Case of Nanocellulose". *Scientometrics*. 107(2): 627–643.
- Miyazaki, K. and N. Islam (2007). "Nanotechnology Systems of Innovation — An Analysis of Industry and Academia Research Activities". *Technovation*. 27(11): 661–675.
- Mogoutov, A. and B. Kahane (2007). "Data Search Strategy for Science and Technology Emergence: A Scalable and Evolutionary Query for Nanotechnology Tracking". *Research Policy*. 36(6): 893–903.
- Mohammadi, E. (2012). "Knowledge Mapping of the Iranian Nanoscience and Technology: A Text Mining Approach". *Scientometrics*. 92(3): 593–608.
- Mojzes, I. and Z. B. Farkas (2009). "The Speed of Dissemination of Information About the Realization of the Fourth Passive Electronic Circuit Element Measured by Google Hits". *Scientometrics*. 81(3): 699–702.
- Moray, N. and B. Clarysse (2005). "Institutional Change and Resource Endowments to Science-based Entrepreneurial Firms". *Research Policy*. 34(7): 1010–1027.
- Mowery, D. C. (2011). "Nanotechnology and the US National Innovation System: Continuity and Change". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 697–711.
- National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) (1999a). *Nanostructure Science and Technology: A Worldwide Study*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the White House.
- National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) (1999b). *Nanotechnology Research Directions: IWGN Workshop Report, Vision for Nanotechnology R&D in the Next Decade*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.

- National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) (2015). *Supplement to the President's Budget for fiscal Year 2016, The National Nanotechnology Initiative*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.
- National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) (2016a). *National Nanotechnology Initiative Strategic Plan*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.
- National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) (2016b). *Supplement to the President's Budget for Fiscal Year 2017, The National Nanotechnology Initiative*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.
- National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) (2017). *Supplement to the President's Budget for fiscal Year 2018, The National Nanotechnology Initiative*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.
- Newbert, S. L., S. Gopalakrishnan, and B. A. Kirchhoff (2008). "Looking Beyond Resources: Exploring the Importance of Entrepreneurship to Firm-level Competitive Advantage in Technologically Intensive Industries". *Technovation*. 28(1): 6–19.
- Nikulainen, T. and C. Palmberg (2010). "Transferring Science-based Technologies to Industry — Does Nanotechnology Make a Difference?" *Technovation*. 30(1): 3–11.
- Nulle, C., C. Miller, A. Porter, and H. S. Gandhi (2013). "Applications of Nanotechnology to the Brain and Central Nervous System". In: *Nanotechnology, the Brain, and the Future*. 3rd ed. Springer Science. 21–41.
- Ohniwa, R. L., A. Hibino, and K. Takeyasu (2010). "Trends in Research Foci in Life Science Fields Over the Last 30 Years Monitored by Emerging Topics". *Scientometrics*. 85(1): 111–127.
- Onel, S., A. Zeid, and S. Kamarthi (2011). "The Structure and Analysis of Nanotechnology Co-author and Citation Networks". *Scientometrics*. 89(1): 119–138.
- Oudheusden, M. van, N. Charlier, B. Roskamp, and P. Delvenne (2015). "Broadening, Deepening, and Governing Innovation: Flemish Technology Assessment in Historical and Socio-political Perspective". *Research Policy*. 44(10): 1877–1886.

- Ovalle-Perandones, M.-A., J. Gorraiz, M. Wieland, C. Gumpenberger, and C. Olmeda-Gómez (2013). “The Influence of European Framework Programmes on Scientific Collaboration in Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 97(1): 59–74.
- Owen, R., P. Macnaghten, and J. Stilgoe (2012). “Responsible Research and Innovation: From Science in Society to Science for Society, with Society”. *Science and Public Policy*. 39(6): 751–760.
- Ozcan, S. and N. Islam (2017). “Patent Information Retrieval: Approaching a Method and Analysing Nanotechnology Patent Collaborations”. *Scientometrics*. 111(2): 941–970.
- Páez-Avilés, C., F. J. Van Rijnsoever, E. Juanola-Feliu, and J. Samitier (2017). “Multi-disciplinarity Breeds Diversity: The Influence of Innovation Project Characteristics on Diversity Creation in Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*.
- Palmberg, C. (2008). “The Transfer and Commercialisation of Nanotechnology: A Comparative Analysis of University and Company Researchers”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 33(6): 631–652.
- Pandza, K. and P. Ellwood (2013). “Strategic and Ethical Foundations for Responsible Innovation”. *Research Policy*. 42(5): 1112–1125.
- Pandza, K., T. A. Wilkins, and E. A. Alfoldi (2011). “Collaborative Diversity in a Nanotechnology Innovation System: Evidence from the EU Framework Programme”. *Technovation*. 31(9): 476–489.
- Pei, R. and A. L. Porter (2011). “Profiling Leading Scientists in Nanobiomedical Science: Interdisciplinarity and Potential Leading Indicators of Research Directions”. *R&D Management*. 41(3): 288–306.
- Pei, R., J. Youtie, and A. Porter (2012). “Nanobiomedical Science in China: A Research Field on the Rise”. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 24(2): 69–88.
- Ponomariov, B. (2013). “Government-sponsored University-industry Collaboration and the Production of Nanotechnology Patents in US Universities”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 38(6): 749–767.
- Porter, A. and I. Rafols (2010). “Bibliometrics”. In: *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Society*. SAGE Publications, Inc. 48–50.
- Porter, A. and J. Youtie (2009a). “How Interdisciplinary is Nanotechnology?” *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 11: 1023–1041.

- Porter, A. and J. Youtie (2009b). “Where Does Nanotechnology Belong in the Map of Science?” *Nature Nanotechnology*. 4: 534–536.
- Porter, A., J. Youtie, P. Shapira, and D. Schoeneck (2008). “Refining Search Terms for Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 10: 715–728.
- Pouris, A. (2007). “Nanoscale Research in South Africa: A Mapping Exercise Based on *Scientometrics*”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 541–553.
- Raesfeld, A. von, P. Geurts, M. Jansen, J. Boshuizen, and R. Lutjge (2012). “Influence of Partner Diversity on Collaborative Public R&D Project Outcomes: A Study of Application and Commercialization of Nanotechnologies in the Netherlands”. *Technovation*. 32(3): 227–233.
- Rafols, I. and M. Meyer (2007). “How Cross-disciplinary is Bionanotechnology? Explorations in the Specialty of Molecular Motors”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 633–650.
- Rafols, I. and M. Meyer (2010). “Diversity and Network Coherence as Indicators of Interdisciplinarity: Case Studies in Bionanoscience”. *Scientometrics*. 82(2): 263–287.
- Rafols, I., A. Porter, and L. Leydesdorff (2010). “Science Overlay Maps: A New Tool for *Research Policy* and Library Management”. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. 61(9): 1871–1887.
- Rafols, I., P. van Zwanenberg, M. Morgan, P. Nightingale, and A. Smith (2011). “Missing Links in Nanomaterials Governance: Bringing Industrial Dynamics and Downstream Policies into View”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 624–639.
- Randles, S., J. Youtie, D. Guston, B. Harthorn, C. Newfield, P. Shapira, and N. Pidgeon (2012). “A Transatlantic Conversation on Responsible Innovation and Responsible Governance”. In: *Little by Little: Expansion of Nanoscience and Emerging Technologies*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 169–180.
- Reichow, A. and B. Dorbeck-Jung (2013). “How Can We Characterize Nano-Specific Soft Regulation? Lessons from Occupational Health and Safety Governance”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 83–102.

- Robinson, D. K. R., L. Huang, Y. Guo, and A. Porter (2013). “Forecasting Innovation Pathways (FIP) for New and Emerging Science and Technologies”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 80(2): 267–285.
- Robinson, D. K. R. and A. Rip (2013). “Indication of Socio-Economic Impacts of Nanotechnologies”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 153–166.
- Robinson, D. K. R., A. Rip, and V. Mangematin (2007a). “Technological Agglomeration and the Emergence of Clusters and Networks in Nanotechnology”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 871–879.
- Robinson, D. K. R., M. Ruivenkamp, and A. Rip (2007b). “Tracking the Evolution of New and Emerging SandT via Statement-linkages: Vision Assessment in Molecular Machines”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 831–858.
- Roco, M., B. Harthorn, D. Guston, and P. Shapira (2011). “Innovative and Responsible Governance of Nanotechnology for Societal Development”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 13(9): 3557–3590.
- Rodríguez, H., E. Fisher, and D. Schuurbiens (2013). “Integrating Science and Society in European Framework Programmes: Trends in Project-level Solicitations”. *Research Policy*. 42(5): 1126–1137.
- Rodríguez-Navarro, A. and F. Narin (2018). “European Paradox or Delusion — Are European Science and Economy Outdated?” *Science and Public Policy*. 45(1): 14–23.
- Rogers, J. D. (2010). “Citation Analysis of Nanotechnology at the Field Level: Implications of R&D Evaluation”. *Research Evaluation*. 19(4): 281–290.
- Rogers, J. D., J. Youtie, and L. Kay (2012). “Program-level Assessment of Research Centers: Contribution of Nanoscale Science and Engineering Centers to US Nanotechnology National Initiative Goals”. *Research Evaluation*. 21(5): 368–380.
- Rothaermel, F. T. and M. Thursby (2007). “The Nanotech versus the Biotech Revolution: Sources of Productivity in Incumbent Firm Research”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 832–849.
- Rotolo, D., D. Hicks, and B. R. Martin (2015). “What is an Emerging Technology?” *Research Policy*. 44(10): 1827–1843.

- Sabatier, M. and B. Chollet (2017). “Is There a First Mover Advantage in Science? Pioneering Behavior and Scientific Production in Nanotechnology”. *Research Policy*. 46(2): 522–533.
- Sargent, Jr., J. F. (2016). *Nanotechnology, A Policy Primer*. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.
- Satterfield, T., J. Conti, B. H. Harthorn, N. Pidgeon, and A. Pitts (2013). “Understanding Shifting Perceptions of Nanotechnologies and Their Implications for Policy Dialogues about Emerging Technologies”. *Science and Public Policy*. 40(2): 247–260.
- Schmoch, U. and T. Schubert (2008). “Are International Co-publications an Indicator for Quality of Scientific Research?” *Scientometrics*. 74(3): 361–377.
- Schmoch, U. and T. Schubert (2009). “Sustainability of Incentives for Excellent Research — The German Case”. *Scientometrics*. 81(1): 195.
- Schubert, T. (2014). “Are There Scale Economies in Scientific Production? On the Topic of Locally Increasing Returns to Scale”. *Scientometrics*. 99(2): 393–408.
- Schultz, L. I. (2011). “Nanotechnology’s Triple Helix: A Case Study of the University at Albany’s College of Nanoscale Science and Engineering”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(5): 546–564.
- Schultz, L. I. and F. L. Joutz (2010). “Methods for Identifying Emerging General Purpose Technologies: A Case Study of Nanotechnologies”. *Scientometrics*. 85(1): 155–170.
- Schummer, J. (2004). “Multidisciplinarity, Interdisciplinarity, and Patterns of Research Collaboration in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 59(3): 425–465.
- Schummer, J. (2007). “The Global Institutionalization of Nanotechnology Research: A Bibliometric Approach to the Assessment of Science Policy”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 669–692.
- Seifert, F. (2013). “Diffusion and Policy Learning in the Nanotechnology Field: Movement Actors and Public Dialogues in Germany and France”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 67–82.

- Shapira, P., A. Gök, and F. Salehi (2016). “Graphene Enterprise: Mapping Innovation and Business Development in a Strategic Emerging Technology”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 18: 269–293.
- Shapira, P., V. Subramanian, and J. Youtie (2010a). “Active Nanotechnology”. In: *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Society*. London: SAGE Publications, Inc. 8.
- Shapira, P. and J. Wang (2009). “From Lab to Market? Strategies and Issues in the Commercialization of Nanotechnology in China”. *Asian Business and Management*. 8(4): 461–489.
- Shapira, P. and J. Wang (2010). “Follow the Money”. *Nature*. 468: 627–628.
- Shapira, P. and J. Youtie (2008). “Emergence of Nanodistricts in the United States Path Dependency or New Opportunities?” *Economic Development Quarterly*. 22(3): 187–199.
- Shapira, P. and J. Youtie (2010). “United States”. In: *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Society*. London: SAGE Publications, Inc. 783–8.
- Shapira, P. and J. Youtie (2011). “Introduction to the Symposium Issue: Nanotechnology Innovation and Policy — Current Strategies and Future Trajectories”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 581–586.
- Shapira, P. and J. Youtie (2015). “The Economic Contributions of Nanotechnology to Green and Sustainable Growth”. In: *Green Processes for Nanotechnology*. New York: Springer International Publishing. 409–434.
- Shapira, P., J. Youtie, and S. Arora (2012). “Early Patterns of Commercial Activity in Graphene”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 4(4): 811–826.
- Shapira, P., J. Youtie, and S. Carley (2010b). “Nanodistricts”. In: *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Society*. London: SAGE Publications, Inc. 465.
- Shapira, P., J. Youtie, and L. Kay (2011). “National Innovation Systems and the Globalization of Nanotechnology Innovation”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 587–604.
- Shapira, P., J. Youtie, and Y. Li (2015). “Social Science Contributions Compared in Synthetic Biology and Nanotechnology”. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*. 2(1): 143–148.

- Shapira, P., J. Youtie, and A. L. Porter (2010c). “The Emergence of Social Science Research on Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 85(2): 595–611.
- Shimada, Y., N. Tsukada, and J. Suzuki (2017). “Promoting Diversity in Science in Japan through Mission-oriented Research Grants”. *Scientometrics*. 110(3): 1415–1435.
- Small, H., K. W. Boyack, and R. Klavans (2014). “Identifying Emerging Topics in Science and Technology”. *Research Policy*. 43(8): 1450–1467.
- Smith, J., S. T. Members, H. Masum, R. Bouchard, P. Kallai, and E. Lockeberg (2004). “Using SandT Foresight to Augment Organizational Tool Kits: A Canadian Institutional-entrepreneurial Experiment”. *R&D Management*. 34(5): 579–589.
- Sotudeh, H. and N. Khoshian (2014). “Gender, Web Presence and Scientific Productivity in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 99(3): 717–736.
- Stephan, P., G. C. Black, and T. Chang (2007). “The Small Size of the Small Scale Market: The Early-stage Labor Market for Highly Skilled Nanotechnology Workers”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 887–892.
- Stopar, K., D. Drobne, K. Eler, and T. Bartol (2016). “Citation Analysis and Mapping of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology: Identifying the Scope and Interdisciplinarity of Research”. *Scientometrics*. 106(2): 563–581.
- Suárez, M. and G. Dutrénit (2015). “The Role of Policy Incentives in the Reproduction of Asymmetries within Nanotechnology Knowledge Networks”. *Science and Public Policy*. 42(1): 59–71.
- Su, L. Y.-F., M. A. Cacciatore, D. Brossard, E. A. Corley, D. A. Scheufele, and M. A. Xenos (2016). “Attitudinal Gaps: How Experts and Lay Audiences form Policy Attitudes toward Controversial Science”. *Science and Public Policy*. 43(2): 196–206.
- Subramanian, V., J. Youtie, A. Porter, and P. Shapira (2010). “Is There a Shift to ‘Active Nanostructures’?” *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 12(1): 1–10.
- Suominen, A., Y. Li, J. Youtie, and P. Shapira (2016). “A Bibliometric Analysis of the Development of Next Generation Active Nanotechnologies”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 18(9): 270–281.

- Tahmooresnejad, L. and C. Beaudry (2017). "Collaboration or Funding: Lessons from a Study of Nanotechnology Patenting in Canada and the United States". *Journal of Technology Transfer*. DOI:10.1007/s10961-017-9615-7.
- Tahmooresnejad, L., C. Beaudry, and A. Schiffauerova (2015). "The Role of Public Funding in Nanotechnology Scientific Production: Where Canada Stands in Comparison to the United States". *Scientometrics*. 102(1): 753–787.
- Takeda, Y., S. Mae, Y. Kajikawa, and K. Matsushima (2009). "Nanobiotechnology as an Emerging Research Domain from Nanotechnology: A Bibliometric Approach". *Scientometrics*. 80(1): 23–38.
- Tan, D. and P. W. Roberts (2010). "Categorical Coherence, Classification Volatility and Examiner-added Citations". *Research Policy*. 39(1): 89–102.
- Tang, L., S. Carley, and A. Porter (2011). "Charting Nano Environmental, Health, and Safety Research Trajectories: Is China Convergent with the United States?" *The Journal of Science Policy and Governance*. 1(1): 1–16.
- Tang, L. and A. Porter (2010). "Data-Mining". In: *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Society*. London: SAGE Publications, Inc. 137–8.
- Tang, L. and P. Shapira (2011a). "China–US Scientific Collaboration in Nanotechnology: Patterns and Dynamics". *Scientometrics*. 88: 1–16.
- Tang, L. and P. Shapira (2011b). "Regional Development and Interregional Collaboration in the Growth of Nanotechnology Research in China". *Scientometrics*. 86(2): 299–315.
- Tang, L. and P. Shapira (2012). "Effects of International Collaboration and Knowledge Moderation on China's Nanotechnology Research Impacts". *Journal of Technology Management in China*. 7(1): 94–110.
- Tang, L., P. Shapira, and Y. Meng (2014). "Developing an Innovative Materials Enterprise in China: A Nanotechnology Small Business Case Study". *Chinese Management Studies*. 8(2): 201–217.

- Tang, L., P. Shapira, and J. Youtie (2015). “Is There a Clubbing Effect Underlying Chinese Research Citation Increases?” *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 66(9): 1923–1932.
- Tang, L. and J. P. Walsh (2010). “Bibliometric Fingerprints: Name Disambiguation Based on Approximate Structure Equivalence of Cognitive Maps”. *Scientometrics*. 84(3): 763–784.
- Tang, L., J. Wang, and P. Shapira (2010). “China”. In: *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Society*. London: SAGE Publications, Inc. 87–90.
- Tassey, G. (2010). “Rationales and Mechanisms for Revitalizing US Manufacturing R&D Strategies”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 35(3): 283–333.
- Terekhov, A. I. (2017). “Bibliometric Spectroscopy of Russia’s Nanotechnology: 2000–2014”. *Scientometrics*. 110(3): 1217–1242.
- Thursby, J. and M. Thursby (2011). “University-industry Linkages in Nanotechnology and Biotechnology: Evidence on Collaborative Patterns for New Methods of Inventing”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 36(6): 605–623.
- Tsay, M.-Y. (2008). “A Bibliometric Analysis of Hydrogen Energy Literature, 1965–2005”. *Scientometrics*. 75(3): 421–438.
- van Mensvoort, K., S. Wouters, and C. Vos (2013). “NANO Supermarket: Using Speculative Design to Catalyze a Technology Debate”. In: *Shaping Emerging Technologies: Governance, Innovation, Discourse*. Amsterdam: IOS Press. 35–49.
- Vico, E. P. (2014). “An In-depth Study of Direct and Indirect Impacts from the Research of a Physics Professor”. *Science and Public Policy*. 41(6): 701–719.
- Vico, E. P. and S. Jacobsson (2012). “Identifying, Explaining and Improving the Effects of Academic R&D: The Case of Nanotechnology in Sweden”. *Science and Public Policy*. 39(4): 513–529.
- Walsh, J. P. (2015). “The Impact of Foreign-born Scientists and Engineers on American Nanoscience Research”. *Science and Public Policy*. 42(1): 107–120.
- Wang, J. and P. Shapira (2011). “Funding Acknowledgement Analysis: An Enhanced Tool to Investigate Research Sponsorship Impacts: The Case of Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 87(3): 563–586.

- Wang, J. and P. Shapira (2012). “Partnering with Universities: A Good Choice for Nanotechnology Start-up Firms?” *Small Business Economics*. 38(2): 197–215.
- Wang, J. and P. Shapira (2015). “Is There a Relationship between Research Sponsorship and Publication Impact? An Analysis of Funding Acknowledgments in Nanotechnology Papers”. *PLoS ONE*, 10(2).
- Wang, L., A. Notten, and A. Surpatean (2013). “Interdisciplinarity of Nano Research Fields: A Keyword Mining Approach”. *Scientometrics*. 94(3): 877–892.
- Wang, M.-Y., D.-S. Chang, and C.-H. Kao (2010). “Identifying Technology Trends for R&D Planning Using TRIZ and Text Mining”. *R&D Management*. 40(5): 491–509.
- Wang, X., M. Huang, H. Wang, M. Lei, D. Zhu, J. Ren, and M. Jabeen (2014a). “International Collaboration Activity Index: Case Study of Dye-sensitized Solar Cells”. *Journal of Infometrics*. 8(4): 854–862.
- Wang, X., R. Li, S. Ren, D. Zhu, M. Huang, and P. Qiu (2014b). “Collaboration Network and Pattern Analysis: Case Study of Dye-sensitized Solar Cells”. *Scientometrics*. 98: 1745–1762.
- Wang, X., P. Qiu, D. Zhu, L. Mitkova, M. Lei, and A. Porter (2015). “Identification of Technology Development Trends Based on Subject–Action–Object Analysis: The Case of Dye-sensitized Solar Cells”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 98: 24–46.
- Wang, X., S. Xu, D. Liu, and Y. Liang (2012). “The Role of Chinese–American Scientists in China–US Scientific Collaboration: A Study in Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 91(3): 737–749.
- Weisenfeld, U. and I. Ott (2011). “Academic Discipline and Risk Perception of Technologies: An Empirical Study”. *Research Policy*. 40(3): 487–499.
- Whitman, J. (2007). “The Governance of Nanotechnology”. *Science and Public Policy*. 34(4): 273–283.
- Wiek, A., D. Guston, S. van der Leeuw, C. Selin, and P. Shapira (2013). “Nanotechnology in the City: Sustainability Challenges and Anticipatory Governance”. *Journal of Urban Technology*. 20(2): 45–62.

- Wong, P. K., Y. P. Ho, and C. K. Chan (2007). “Internationalization and Evolution of Application Areas of an Emerging Technology: The Case of Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 70(3): 715–737.
- Wonglimpiyarat, J. (2005). “The Nano-revolution of Schumpeter’s Kondratieff Cycle”. *Technovation*. 25(11): 1349–1354.
- Woodson, T. S. (2016). “Public Private Partnerships and Emerging Technologies: A Look at Nanomedicine for Diseases of Poverty”. *Research Policy*. 45(7): 1410–1418.
- Wu, F., R. Li, L. Huang, H. Miao, and X. Li (2017). “Theme Evolution Analysis of Electrochemical Energy Storage Research Based on CitNetExplorer”. *Scientometrics*. 110(1): 113–139.
- Yeh, N.-C. (2013). “Nanotechnology for Electronics and Photonics”. *Technovation*. 33(4–5): 108–117.
- Yoon, J., H. Park, and K. Kim (2013). “Identifying Technological Competition Trends for R&D Planning Using Dynamic Patent Maps: SAO-based Content Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 94(1): 313–331.
- Youtie, J., S. Carley, P. Shapira, E. Corley, and D. Scheufele (2011a). “Perceptions and Actions: Relationships of Views on Risk with Citation Actions of Nanotechnology Scientists”. *Research Evaluation*. 20(5): 377–388.
- Youtie, J., D. Hicks, P. Shapira, and T. Horsley (2012). “Pathways from Discovery to Commercialisation: Using Web Sources to Track Small and Medium-sized Enterprise Strategies in Emerging Nanotechnologies”. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 24(10): 981–995.
- Youtie, J., M. Iacopetta, and S. Graham (2008a). “Assessing the Nature of Nanotechnology: Can We Uncover an Emerging General Purpose Technology?” *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 33(3): 315–329.
- Youtie, J. and L. Kay (2014). “Acquiring Nanotechnology Capabilities: Role of Mergers and Acquisitions”. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 26(5): 547–563.
- Youtie, J., A. Porter, P. Shapira, and N. Newman (2018). “Lessons from Ten Years of Nanotechnology Bibliometric Analysis”. In: *Nanotechnology Environmental Health and Safety: Risk, Regulation and Management*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

- Youtie, J., A. Porter, P. Shapira, L. Tang, and T. Benn (2011b). “The Use of Environmental, Health and Safety Research in Nanotechnology Research”. *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*. 11(1): 158–166.
- Youtie, J., J. Rogers, T. Heinze, P. Shapira, and L. Tang (2013). “Career-based Influences on Scientific Recognition in the United States and Europe: Longitudinal Evidence from Curriculum Vitae Data”. *Research Policy*. 42(8): 1341–1355.
- Youtie, J. and P. Shapira (2008). “Mapping the Nanotechnology Enterprise: A Multi-indicator Analysis of Emerging Nanodistricts in the US South”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 33(2): 209–223.
- Youtie, J. and P. Shapira (2011). “Metropolitan Development of Nanotechnology: Concentration or Dispersion?” In: *Nanotechnology and the Challenges of Equity, Equality and Development*. New York: Springer Science. 165–180.
- Youtie, J. and P. Shapira (2016). “Exploring Public Values Implications of the I-Corps Program”. *Journal of Technology Transfer*. 42(6): 1362–1376.
- Youtie, J., P. Shapira, and A. Porter (2008b). “Nanotechnology Publications and Citations by Leading Countries and Blocs”. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 10(6): 981–986.
- Yu, G., M.-Y. Wang, and D.-R. Yu (2010). “Characterizing Knowledge Diffusion of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology by Citation Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 84(1): 81–97.
- Zalewska-Kurek, K., K. Egedova, P. A. T. M. Geurts, and H. E. Roosendaal (2016). “Knowledge Transfer Activities of Scientists in Nanotechnology”. *43*. 1: 139–158.
- Zamzami, N. and A. Schiffauerova (2017). “The Impact of Individual Collaborative Activities on Knowledge Creation and Transmission”. *Scientometrics*. 111(3): 1385–1413.
- Zelnio, R. (2012). “Identifying the Global Core-Periphery Structure of Science”. *Scientometrics*. 91(2): 601–615.
- Zhang, G., Y. Feng, G. Yu, L. Liu, and Y. Hao (2017a). “Analyzing the Time Delay Between Scientific Research and Technology Patents Based on the Citation Distribution Model”. *Scientometrics*. 111(3): 1287–1306.

- Zhang, G., G. Yu, Y. Feng, L. Liu, and Z. Yang (2017b). “Improving the Publication Delay Model to Characterize the Patent Granting Process”. *Scientometrics*. 111(2): 621–637.
- Zhang, J., G. Wang, and D. Lin (2016). “High Support for Nanotechnology in China: A Case Study in Dalian”. *Science and Public Policy*. 43(1): 115–127.
- Zhang, Y., A. Porter, Z. Hu, Y. Guo, and N. Newman (2014a). ““Term Clumping” for Technical Intelligence: A Case Study on Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 85: 26–39.
- Zhang, Y., X. Zhou, A. Porter, and J. M. V. Gomila (2014b). “How to Combine Term Clumping and Technology Roadmapping for Newly Emerging Science and Technology Competitive Intelligence: ‘Problem and Solution’ Pattern Based Semantic TRIZ Tool and Case Study”. *Scientometrics*. 101: 1375–1389.
- Zhang, Y., X. Zhou, A. Porter, J. M. V. Gomila, and A. Yan (2014c). “Triple Helix Innovation in China’s Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell Industry: Hybrid Methods with Semantic TRIZ and Technology Roadmapping”. *Scientometrics*. 99(1): 55–75.
- Zhao, Q. and J. Guan (2011). “International Collaboration of Three “Giants” with the G7 Countries in Emerging Nanobiopharmaceuticals”. *Scientometrics*. 87(1): 159–170.
- Zhao, Q. and J. Guan (2012). “Modeling the Dynamic Relation Between Science and Technology in Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 90(2): 561–579.
- Zhao, Q. and J. Guan (2013). “Love Dynamics Between Science and Technology: Some Evidences in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology”. *Scientometrics*. 94(1): 113–132.
- Zheng, J., Z. Zhao, X. Zhang, D. Chen, and M. Huang (2014). “International Collaboration Development in Nanotechnology: A Perspective of Patent Network Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 98(1): 683–702.
- Zheng, T., J. Wang, Q. Wang, C. Nie, Z. Shi, X. Wang, and Z. Gao (2016). “A Bibliometric Analysis of Micro/Nano-Bubble Related Research: Current Trends, Present Application, and Future Prospects”. *Scientometrics*. 109(1): 53–71.

- Zhou, P. and L. Leydesdorff (2006). “The Emergence of China as a Leading Nation in Science”. *Research Policy*. 35(1): 83–104.
- Zhou, X., A. Porter, D. Robinson, M. S. Shim, and Y. Guo (2014a). “Nano-Enabled Drug Delivery: A Research Profile”. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine*. 10(5): 889–896.
- Zhou, X., Y. Zhang, A. L. Porter, Y. Guo, and D. Zhu (2014b). “A Patent Analysis Method to Trace Technology Evolutionary Pathways”. *Scientometrics*. 100(3): 705–721.
- Zhou, X. and G. Zhao (2015). “Global Liposome Research in the Period of 1995–2014: A Bibliometric Analysis”. *Scientometrics*. 105(1): 231–248.
- Zucker, L. G., M. R. Darby, J. Furner, R. C. Liu, and H. Ma (2007). “Minerva Unbound: Knowledge Stocks, Knowledge Flows and New Knowledge Production”. *Research Policy*. 36(6): 850–863.

Author Biographies

Joshua Gorsuch is a Ph.D. student at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro (UNCG). He received a B.A. in Economics and a B.S. in Mathematics from Appalachian State University and an M.A. in Applied Economics from UNCG. His studies focused on econometrics and his current research focuses on the economics of population aging.

Albert N. Link is the Virginia Batte Phillips Distinguished Professor at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro (UNCG). He received the B.S. degree in mathematics from the University of Richmond (Phi Beta Kappa) and the Ph.D. degree in economics from Tulane University. After receiving the Ph.D., he joined the economics faculty at Auburn University, was later Scholar-in-Residence at Syracuse University, and then he joined the economics faculty at UNCG in 1982.

Professor Link's research focuses on entrepreneurship, technology and innovation policy, the economics of R&D, and policy/program evaluation. He is currently the Editor-in-Chief of the *Journal of Technology Transfer*. He is also co-editor of *Foundations and Trends in Entrepreneurship* and founder/editor of *Annals of Science and Technology Policy*.

Among his more than 50 books, some of the more recent ones are: *Handbook for University Technology Transfer* (University of Chicago Press, 2015), *Public Sector Entrepreneurship: U.S. Technology and Innovation Policy* (Oxford University Press, 2015), *Bending the Arc of Innovation: Public Support of R&D in Small, Entrepreneurial Firms*

(Palgrave Macmillan 2013), *Valuing an Entrepreneurial Enterprise* (Oxford University Press, 2012), *Public Goods, Public Gains: Calculating the Social Benefits of Public R&D* (Oxford University Press, 2011), *Employment Growth from Public Support of Innovation in Small Firms* (W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 2011), and *Government as Entrepreneur* (Oxford University Press, 2009). His other research consists of more than 180 peer-reviewed journal articles and book chapters, as well as numerous government reports. His scholarship has appeared in such journals as the *American Economic Review*, the *Journal of Political Economy*, the *Review of Economics and Statistics*, *Economica*, *Research Policy*, the *European Economic Review*, and *Small Business Economics*.

Professor Link's public service includes being a member of the National Research Council's research team that conducted the 2010 evaluation of the U.S. Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program. Based on that assignment, he later testified before Congress in April 2011 on the economic benefits associated with the SBIR program. Link also served from 2007-2012 as the U.S. Representative to the United Nations (Geneva) in the capacity of co-vice chairperson of the Team of Specialists on Innovation and Competitiveness Policies Initiative for the Economic Commission for Europe. Lastly, Link delivered the European Commission Distinguished Scholar Lecture in Seville, Spain, in October 2018.