

Policies for Scaling Up Technology-Based Firms

Other titles in Annals of Science and Technology Policy

Firm Social Capital and the Innovation Process

Christopher Lee Parrish

ISBN: 978-1-63828-252-5

*The U.S. Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) Program:
An Assessment and an Evaluation of the Program*

Albert N. Link

ISBN: 978-1-63828-168-9

Industrial Innovation Policy in the United States

William B. Bonvillian

ISBN: 978-1-63828-090-3

*The Returns to Publicly Funded R&D: A Study of U.S. Federally
Funded Research and Development Centers*

James A. Cunningham and Albert N. Link

ISBN: 978-1-68083-944-9

*Innovation Barriers, Indicators and Policies: Coevolving
Concepts in the History of Innovation Studies*

Diego R. de Moraes Silva, Nicholas S. Vonortas and André T. Furtado

ISBN: 978-1-68083-930-2

*Government Royalties on Sales of Pharmaceutical and Other Biomedical
Products Developed with Substantial Public Funding: Illustrated with the
Technology Transfer of the Drug-Eluting Coronary Stent*

Robert S. Danziger and John T. Scott

ISBN: 978-1-68083-820-6

Policies for Scaling Up Technology-Based Firms

Hans Löfsten

Chalmers University of Technology

hans.lofsten@chalmers.se

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Annals of Science and Technology Policy

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

H. Löfsten. *Policies for Scaling Up Technology-Based Firms*. Annals of Science and Technology Policy, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 212–299, 2024.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-409-3

© 2024 H. Löfsten

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Annals of Science and Technology Policy
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2024
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Albert N. Link
University of North Carolina at Greensboro
United States

Editors

David Audretsch
Indiana University

William Bonvillian
MIT

Barry Bozeman
Arizona State University

Kaye Husbands Fealing
Georgia Institute of Technology

John Hardin
North Carolina Board of Science and Technology

Mariagrazia Squicciarini
OECD

Wolfgang Polt
Joanneum Research Institute

Nicholas Vonortas
The George Washington University

Editorial Scope

Annals of Science and Technology Policy publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Literature reviews of technology and innovation policies
- Historical case studies of technology development and implementation
- Institutional histories of technology- and innovation-based organizations
- Analyses of policies attendant to technology development and adoption and diffusion
- Studies documenting the adoption and diffusion of technologies and subsequent consequences
- Studies of public and private research partnerships (cross sectional, over time, or case based)
- Assessments and evaluations of specific technology and innovation policies
- Analyses of ecosystems associated with the technology and/or innovation development
- Cross observational (e.g., cross-agency or cross-country) comparisons of technology and innovation policies

Information for Librarians

Annals of Science and Technology Policy, 2024, Volume 8, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2475-1820. ISSN online version 2475-1812. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Research Question	5
1.3	Approach and Contribution	8
2	Growing Firms – Definitions and Product Life Cycles	10
2.1	Definitions of High-Grow Firms, Scaleups and SMEs	10
2.2	Technology Life Cycles and Product Life Cycles of High Tech Products	12
3	Theoretical Framing	15
3.1	High-Growth Firms	15
3.2	Growing Firms – Context and Importance	18
3.3	Technology-Based Firms and Policies – Support and Framework Conditions	20
3.4	Concluding Remarks	24
4	Method and Data	28
4.1	Qualitative Studies and Secondary Data	28
4.2	Public Reports – “Grey Literature”	29
4.3	Case Studies of Seven Countries Outside EU – Background	29
4.4	Technology Readiness Levels and Firm Size	33
4.5	Economic Contexts in Different Countries	34

5 Findings – Public Reports	35
6 Findings—Scaling Up Knowledge-Intensive Firms in Seven Countries Outside EU	42
6.1 Financial Support	42
6.2 Framework Conditions	45
6.3 Innovation Systems	48
6.4 Concluding Remarks	52
7 Analysis	56
7.1 Policymakers and Institutional Factors	56
7.2 Technologies and Product Life Cycles	58
7.3 The Conceptual Model	59
8 Conclusions	62
8.1 Conclusions from Research Literature	62
8.2 Conclusions from Public Reports and Empirical Data	64
8.3 Main Conclusions	65
8.4 Limitations and Future Research	66
Appendix	67
About the Author	70
Acknowledgements	72
References	73

Policies for Scaling Up Technology-Based Firms

Hans Löfsten

Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden; hans.lofsten@chalmers.se

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the scale up issue, which is crucial for numerous countries. The reason for policies in firms that want and have the opportunity to scale up their business is that these firms have a large potential to create job opportunities and economic development compared to investment in startups without any growth ambitions. The overall objective is therefore to study policies to facilitate technology-based firms' scaling up. As a consequence of earlier research on high-growth firms, little attention has been paid to surviving and stable firms that may want to scale up. This study design comprises three main empirical areas: financial support, framework conditions and innovation systems. The first contribution of this study is that it is an empirical description of policies at the country level to support technology-based firms. The study also develops a conceptual model for evaluating policies to promote technology-based firms. The model consists of three dimensions: perspectives/actors, analysis, and evaluation. The results provide insights into (i) how policymakers can better examine crucial links between the scaleup populations and demand side policies and (ii) how policymakers can better comprehend the linkages between the three dimensions to evaluate policies.

2

Keywords: Policies; scaling up; scaleups; high-growth firms; technology-based firms.

1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Policies that support high-growth technology-based firms are worthwhile because there is empirical evidence for the importance of high-growth firms for employment creation and other values. It is expedient to identify and support firms that want to scale up to leverage the positive impact of these firms. According to the OECD (2013), 4%–6% of high-growth firms create 50%–75% of all new jobs. While only a limited number of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) manage to achieve substantial growth, these select fast-growing firms play a pivotal role in generating new jobs across OECD economies (OECD, 2021). Moreover, the positive effects of these high-growth firms are not limited to new employment. The firms also contribute to higher productivity, are export-oriented, and contribute to the growth of other firms and regions (Kolar, 2014). Therefore, the reason for policies for firms that want to scale up their businesses is that these firms have a large potential to create job opportunities compared to investing in startups without any growth ambitions (Shane, 2009; Mason and Brown, 2013). There is some empirical evidence that high-growth firms are pivotal in the development of the economy (Acs and Mueller, 2008).

In recent decades, interest in high-growth firms has increased significantly (Birch, 1981; Davidsson and Henrekson, 2002; Delmar *et al.*, 2003; Halabisky *et al.*, 2006; Acs and Mueller, 2008; Acs *et al.*, 2008; Henrekson and Johansson, 2010; OECD, 2010; Brown and Mawson, 2013, 2016; Coad *et al.*, 2014; Monteiro, 2019; Rannikko *et al.*, 2019). Previous studies have demonstrated that high-growth firms can effect an immense supplement of jobs (Storey and Tether, 1998; Spencer and Kirchoff, 2006), and a small share of the firms create a major part of new employment opportunities (Storey, 1994; Machado and Wilson, 2014; Bravo Biosca, 2010; Hallak and Harasztosi, 2019). The firms tend to be young but not necessarily small (Coad *et al.*, 2018), and the high-growth firms are represented in all sectors (Henrekson and Johansson, 2010). However, a firm's high growth is not a consistent situation (Goswami *et al.*, 2019), but can be sustainable on a regional level (Friesenbichler and Hölzl, 2020). Numerous high-growth firms are a driving force in innovation (Machado and Wilson, 2014), but a majority of the firms do not grow at all or have low growth. However, high-growth firms enjoy special attention in policy contexts because the firms create numerous new jobs.

For high-growth firms, most definitions regarding minimum growth requirements are developed by central organizations such as the OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) and the EU (European Union). As the term “*scaleup*” suggests, such a firm is an organization that started as a startup and has grown while changing its size or scale. This implies that the startup has succeeded in using and commercializing its business model and industrializing it, thus demonstrating the firm's viability. Scaleup is nothing more than a successful startup. A firm will either go bankrupt, be acquired/merged with another firm, or evolve into a scaleup. To enable a firm to become a scaleup, the organization should have international ambitions to expand its market. According to Monteiro (2019), a scaleup primarily drives its growth by developing its business model rather than achieving breakthroughs solely through market power. A high-growth firm (Coad *et al.*, 2014) can be defined as either the top 10% with the highest growth in a single year or firms that have grown at least 10% over two years. A scaleup must have a minimum of ten employees at the starting

year or when the assessment of the firm as a scaleup begins. Every high-growth firm with at least ten employees is a scaleup, but not every scaleup is a high-growth firm owing to the higher minimum employee threshold for scaleups and the slightly higher growth requirements for high-growth firms.

1.2 Research Question

The question thus arises as to the need to distinguish high-growth firms from scaleups. According to the Monteiro (2019), the concept of “strategic entrepreneurship” is central in this context, which combines opportunity-seeking behavior with effective advantage-seeking behavior to create prosperity (Hitt *et al.*, 2001; Kuratko and Audretsch, 2009). Strategy here is a blend of resources and market positioning (Nickerson *et al.*, 2001), where resources constitute the business model. The entrepreneur acts as an allocator of resources within the firm (Sirmon *et al.*, 2011). Ireland *et al.* (2003) describe a firm’s growth, either created through market positions or economies of scale, as enabling wealth and, in turn, facilitating the firm’s ongoing growth.

To scale up, a firm essentially has three different choices: (1) remain a private firm without going public, (2) go public through an IPO (Initial Public Offering), or (3) get acquired. The second option involves accessing “cheap” capital, but an IPO also entails shareholder expectations for performance and shorter time horizons through quarterly reports (Bernstein, 2015; Asker *et al.*, 2016). The third option involves merging or being acquired by another firm, which can completely alter the growth trajectory. Ultimately, the strategic focus the firm chooses to adopt determines which of the three alternatives it selects. In this context, Monteiro (2019) emphasize that growth can occur randomly, making it difficult to construct a universally applicable model for a firm’s growth. Certain growth periods are also accompanied by periods of growth stagnation, possibly due to challenges in allocating resources effectively (Du and Temouri, 2015).

Baker and Cullen (1993) suggest that prior growth can hinder continued growth, as firms develop rigidity in their actions. According to the OECD (2010), firms tend to be more market-oriented and focused on

a specific type of product (Corbett and Campbell-Hunt, 2002). As a firm expands, it becomes evident that the firm must enhance its marketing resources, financial capabilities, human capital, and innovation strategies. The firm must develop its governance as it grows to attain control (Covin and Slevin, 1997; Löfsten and Lindelöf, 2002, 2003; Pettus, 2001; Sirmon *et al.*, 2011; Lee, 2014; Löfsten, 2016; Rannikko *et al.*, 2022). Internal and external factors contribute to the development of high-growth firms and scaleups, and an external factor can, apart from market opportunities, also be a country's policies and support for businesses.

Policies for high-growth firms are often directed to R&D-intensive sectors, although Daunfeldt *et al.* (2016) discovered that high-growth firms were underrepresented in R&D-intensive sectors and overrepresented in knowledge-intensive sectors. Policymakers must look beyond the early firm stage, take a longer firm perspective, and apply a holistic approach. One problem is that high-growers perform using different methods, and it is also difficult to predict which firms will contribute to local, regional, and national development. Countries have endeavoured to strengthen high-growth firms or firms that want to scale up to address firm needs and also provide later-stage support for continued growth. However, many efforts are still focused on firms in the startup stage. Several studies have analysed the driving forces of high-growth firms and focused on a variety of critical factors, but the results are contradictory.

Some scientific papers are dedicated to high-growth firms, and several researchers have documented and analysed the spreading of efforts during this century (Shane, 2009; Brown and Mawson, 2013; Mason and Brown, 2013; Lundström *et al.*, 2014; Acs *et al.*, 2016; Brown and Mawson, 2016; Brown *et al.*, 2017; Goswami *et al.*, 2019; Audretsch *et al.*, 2020; Teruel *et al.*, 2022; Coad *et al.*, 2022). Owing to a smaller proportion of firms experiencing significant growth and generating numerous new jobs, these firms garner attention in policy contexts. In the short term, high-growth firms are indeed beneficial, especially for policymakers and venture capital investors; however, the possibilities of achieving more long-term growth are quite limited. Hence, a challenge is that only a few countries provide opportunities for firms to achieve sustained, long-term growth to become large in the long run. In

this context, Daunfeldt and Halvarsson (2015) conclude that industrial policy should consider focusing on creating growth for the majority of firms that are not high-growth firms, rather than supporting firms that have already demonstrated growth. It is also difficult to predict in advance potential high-growth firms, which means that policy measures should target growth-enabling conditions instead of concentrating efforts on all or only specific sectors.

The main part of previous studies has focused on startups or analysing high-growth firms, even if the high-growth literature is close to the scaleup question. Identification of *potential high-growth firms or firms that want to scale up* is not straightforward because the firms supported are high-risk and the milieu and environment for taking risks are not encouraging. Support must be identified, and policymakers and public administrators are often slow to react.

Sandberg and Alvensson (2011, p. 23) called the process of finding research gaps in the literature “gap-spotting.” Given this gap, this study focus on surviving and stable technology-based firms that may want to scale up. The overall objective is therefore to study policies to facilitate the scaling up of technology-based firms. The literature provides relatively few examples of stable and slow-growing technology-based firms and how policies can be developed to assist these firms in scaling up. As a consequence of earlier research focusing on startups or high-growth firms, little attention has been paid *to surviving and stable firms that may want to scale up*. Some significant challenges remain in analysing this “scale-up gap,” and explaining its relationship to policies. Policymakers have to adopt a long-term and comprehensive approach and look beyond the initial firm stage. Against the presented background, this study can formulate the research question as follows:

RQ: *How are policies designed to scale up technology-based firms?*

The objective of the present study is to examine policies aimed at addressing specific issues faced by growing firms and scaleups. The concept of growing firms, which guides this study, is complex, contentious, and ambiguous. This study also encompasses technology-based firms seeking to scale up the production and sale of an existing product or service. This focus leads us to certain limitations in terms of analyzed economic

policies, where the focus is not directed towards policies for a generally improved business climate, fostering more innovative startups, and for firms engaged in research and product development, seed funding, or initial R&D support for micro-firms. Regarding types of interventions, framework conditions are in focus; however, this study primarily targets technology-based firms wishing to scale up the production and sale of a product or service.

1.3 Approach and Contribution

This study is written as a foundational monograph that might be viewed as forming a starting point for future research regarding scaleups and policies promoting scaleups. The existing body of knowledge has, to some extent, overlooked the subject of scalability. However, it is worth noting that there is a substantial body of work dedicated to high-growth firms. Consequently, this study incorporates elements that delve into the domain of high-growth firms. The goal of the current study is to investigate policies aimed at addressing the specific challenges faced by stable firms that want to scale up.

The study develops a conceptual model for evaluating policies for scaling up technology-based firms. It is a detailed empirical analysis of technology-based firms and policies in several areas at the country level. The empirical part focuses on the design of financial support, framework conditions and innovation systems. Additionally, the study provides a tentative analysis of the differences in these three areas between the countries. The study develops a conceptual model for evaluating policies to encourage technology-based firms to scale up. This study consequently draws attention to the scaleup issue, which is crucial for numerous countries. This study includes seven countries outside the EU (the UK, the US, Brazil, India, China, South Korea, and Japan). Cases from South Korea, China, India, and Japan were selected because these countries are often considered as being among Europe's main competitors.

The remainder of this monograph is organised as follows: Section 2 presents the definitions of growing firms and product life cycles, Section 3 contains the theoretical framing, and Section 4 describes the method

1.3. *Approach and Contribution*

9

and data. Section 5 and 6 present the findings, and Section 7 presents the analysis. Section 8 contains the conclusions.

Appendix

Table A.1: Public reports

-
- (iii) Criscuolo, C, Gal, P. and Menon, C. (2014), “The Dynamics of Employment Growth: New Evidence from 17 Countries”. OECD STI Policy Papers No. 14.
- (ii) Duruflé, G., Hellmann, T. F., and Wilson, K. E. (2017), “From start-up to scale-up: Examining public policies for the financing of high-growth ventures”. *Bruegel Working Paper, No. 2017/04*. Bruegel.
- (iii) European Commission (2016), “Framework conditions for high-growth innovative enterprises (HGIE)”. Final report. Costa, P., Ribeiro, A., van der Zee, F. and Deschryvere, M. http://publications.europa.eu/resource/cellar/e326b62f-24cd-11e7-b611-01aa75ed71a1.0001.01/DOC_1
- (iii) European Commission (2021a), “Advanced Technologies for Industry – Policy brief. Scaling up technology startups”. Roman, L., Romainen, J., Perez, M. and Izsak, K. European Innovation Council and SMEs Executive Agency. <https://ati.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-05/Scaling%20up%20technology%20startups.pdf>
- (iii) European Commission (2021b), “European scale-up gap: too few good companies or too few good investors?” Ambrosio, F., Brasili, A., Niakaros, K. Publications Office of the European Union, Directorate-General for Research and Innovation <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/886042>
- (ii) EIB (2019), “EIB Investment Report 2019/2020: accelerating Europe’s transformation”. *European Investment Bank*. DOI: 10.2867/68943
- (ii) Ferrando, A., Pal, R., and Durante, E. (2019), “Financing and obstacles for high growth enterprises: The European case”. (no. 2019/03). *EIB Working Papers*.
- (iii) Grover Goswami, A., Medvedev, D. and Olafsen, E. (2019), “High-Growth Firms. Facts, Fiction, and Policy Options for Emerging Economies”. World Bank Group, Washington DC.
- (i) Haltiwanger, J., Jarmin, R. S., Kulick, R. and Miranda, J. (2014), “High Growth Young Firms: Contribution to Job Growth, Revenue Growth and Productivity in Measuring Entrepreneurial Businesses: Current Knowledge and Challenges”. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- (iii) Kolar, J. (2014), “Policies to support High Growth Innovative Enterprises — Final report from the Session II of the 2014 ERAC Mutual Learning Seminar on Research and Innovation policies, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation”. Brussels.
- (iii) Lilischkis, S. (2011), “Policies in support of high-growth innovative SMEs - Part 2: Policy measures to improve the conditions for the growth of innovative enterprises”. European Commission, DG Research and Innovation, Brussels.
- (i) Nordic Innovation (2020), Scale-ups in the Nordics 2020. <https://www.norden.org/en/publication/scale-ups-nordic-countries>
- (iii) OECD (2010), “High-Growth Enterprises: What Governments Can Do to Make a Difference”. OECD Studies on SMEs and Entrepreneurship - OECD Publishing, available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264048782-en>
- (iii) OECD (2013), “An International Benchmarking Analysis of Public Programmes for High-Growth Firms”. Prepared by the OECD Local Economic and Employment Development Programme in collaboration with the Danish Business Authority, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- (ii) Pavlova, E. and Signore, S. (2019), “The European venture capital landscape: An EIF perspective. Volume V: The economic impact of VC investments supported by the EIF”. *EIF working paper 2019/55*.
- (ii) Reyens, C., Delanote, J. and Ruckert, D. (2020), “From starting to scaling: How to foster startup growth in Europe”. *European Investment Bank*. <https://doi.org/10.2867/42527>
-

Table A.2: Background reports and authors

Country	Embassy, Background Report and Date	Authors
<i>The UK</i>	London, International outlook: Policy for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up. 2022-04-25	Marika Amartey and Emma Olsson
<i>The US</i>	OSI Washington, International outlook: United States policy for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up. 2022-04-28.	Maria Brogren, Jenny Majidyar, Cecilia Lif and Mikael Kihlberg
<i>Brazil</i>	OSI Brasilia, International outlook: Policy for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up – OSI Brasilia. 2022-04-29.	Jacob Silva Paulsen and Ana Carolina Bussacos
<i>India</i>	New Delhi, International outlook: Policy for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up – India. 22-04-26.	Per-Arne Wikström and Leena Kukreja
<i>China</i>	Beijing, International outlook on policies for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up – China. 2022-05-02.	Lena Bruce and Chen Yang
<i>South Korea</i>	Seoul, International outlook: Policy for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up. 2022-05-02.	Anders Hektor and Emma Bergqvist
<i>Japan</i>	Tokyo, Japan, Tokyo, International outlook on policies for knowledge-intensive companies that want to scale up: Japan. 2022-04-29.	Yumi Murakami, Michael Jacob and Shiori Schules

About the Author

Hans Löfsten, born in Gothenburg, Sweden, is a Swedish economist and professor, primarily active in the fields of innovation management and entrepreneurship. Löfsten obtained his PhD (Doctor of Economics) in 1992 at the School of Economics and Commercial Law, University of Gothenburg, Sweden. He became an Associate Professor in Technology Management at Chalmers University of Technology in 1999, a Professor in the same subject in 2005, and a Full Professor in Engineering Economic Analysis at Chalmers, Department of Technology Management and Economics, in 2007. Löfsten has also held positions at Gothenburg Research Institute (GRI) and Institute for Management of Innovation and Technology (IMIT). Previously, he has held positions at Chalmers as vice-dean, dean, and head of division during the 2000s. For many years, Löfsten has been involved in undergraduate and postgraduate education and has experience from many courses. Löfsten has also authored two textbooks in the field of industrial management and managerial economics.

Additionally, he has supervised, as a main or co-supervisor, ten doctoral candidates to their doctoral degrees, including within doctoral programs at other universities such as the University of Gothenburg and Linköping University. Hans Löfsten has authored a substantial number of international scientific articles spanning various areas. His research primarily focuses on an efficient resource allocation for technology-based

firms. The development of new technology-based firms and their innovations is crucial for new industrial structures and long-term economic development. Löfsten has published articles in journals such as *Research Policy*, *Small Business Economics*, *R&D Management*, *Journal of Small Business Management*, *Technovation*, *Omega*, *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *International Journal of Production Economics*, and *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. He is also well-known from several bibliometric studies where his articles on science parks, incubators, and new technology-based firms have been found to be prominent.

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the support for this study from Growth Analysis: Swedish Agency for Growth Policy Analysis, Sweden.

References

- Aaboen, L. and H. Löfsten (2015). “International new ventures localised in incubators—Markets, resources and dynamic environment”. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing*. 7(1): 24–46.
- Acs, Z. J. and D. B. Audretsch (1988). “Innovation in large and small firms: An empirical analysis”. *The American Economic Review*. 78(4): 678–690.
- Acs, Z. J., W. Parsons, and S. Tracy (2008). *High Impact Firms: Gazelles Revisited*. Washington, DC: Office of Advocacy Working Paper.
- Acs, Z., T. Åstebro, D. Audretsch, and D. T. Robinson (2016). “Public policy to promote entrepreneurship: A call to arms”. *Small Business Economics*. 47(1): 35–51.
- Acs, Z. and P. Mueller (2008). “Employment effects of business dynamics: Mice, gazelles and elephants”. *Small Business Economics*. 30(1): 85–100.
- Akcigit, U., J. Grigsby, T. Nicholas, and S. Stantcheva (2022). “Taxation and innovation in the 20th century”. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.
- Arend, R. J. (2006). “Sme-supplier alliance activity in manufacturing: Contingent benefits and perceptions”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 27(8): 741–763.

- Arrighetti, A. and A. Lasagni (2013). “Assessing the determinants of high-growth manufacturing firms in Italy”. *International Journal of the Economics of Business*. 20(2): 245–267.
- Asker, J., J. Farre-Mensa, and A. Ljunqvist (2016). “Corporate investment and stock market listing: A puzzle”. *Review of Financial Studies*. 8(2): 271–308.
- Assavarujikul, E. and M. Goodwin (2014). *The Impact of Entrepreneurs at Scaleup Companies in Southeast Asia*. New York.
- Audretsch, D. (2007). “Entrepreneurship capital and economic growth”. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 23(1): 63–78.
- Audretsch, D. (2012). “Entrepreneurship research”. *Management Decision*. 50(5): 755–764.
- Audretsch, D., A. Colombelli, L. Grilli, T. Minola, and E. Rasmussen (2020). “Innovative start-ups and policy initiatives”. *Research Policy*. 49(10): 104027.
- Autio, E. (2016). *Entrepreneurship Support in Europe: Trends and Challenges for EU Policy*. Report Prepared for EU DG Growth.
- Autio, E., P. Arenius, and H. Wallenius (2000). *Economic Impact of Gazelle Firms in Finland*. Helsinki: Working Papers Series 2000:3, Helsinki University of Technology, Institute of Strategy and International Business.
- Autio, E. and H. Rannikko (2016). “Retaining winners: Can policy boost high-growth entrepreneurship?” *Research Policy*. 45(1): 42–55.
- Baker, D. D. and J. B. Cullen (1993). “Administrative reorganization and configurational context: The contingent effects of age, size, and change in size”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 36(6): 1251–1277.
- Banalieva, E. R. and C. Dhanaraj (2019). “Internalization theory for the digital economy”. *Journal of International Business Studies*. 50(8): 1372–1387.
- Bergek, A., S. Jacobsson, B. Carlsson, S. Lindmark, and A. Rickne (2008). “Analyzing the functional dynamics of technological innovation systems: A scheme of analysis”. *Research Policy*. 37(3): 407–429.
- Bernstein, S. (2015). “Does going public affect innovation?” *Journal of Finance*. 70(4): 1365–1403.
- Birch, D. L. (1981). “Who creates jobs?” *The Public Interest*. 65: 3–14.

- Bloom, N., C. Genakos, R. Sadun, and J. Van Reenen (2012). “Management practices across firms and countries”. *Academy of Management Perspectives*. 26(1): 12–33.
- Bos, J. W. B. and E. Stam (2014). “Gazelles and industry growth: A study of young high-growth firms in the Netherlands”. *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 23(1): 145–169.
- Boslaugh, S. (2007). *Secondary Data Sources for Public Health: A Practical Guide*. Cambridge University Press. DOI: [10.1017/CBO9780511618802](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511618802).
- Braun, V. and V. Clarke (2006). “Using thematic analysis in psychology”. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 3(2): 77–101.
- Bravo Biosca, A. (2010). *Growth Dynamics: Exploring Business Growth and Contraction in Europe and the US*. London: Research Report 2010.
- Brown, C., J. Hamilton, and J. Medoff (1990). *Employers Large and Small*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Brown, J. R., G. Martinsson, and B. C. Petersen (2017). “What promotes R&D? Comparative evidence from around the world”. *Research Policy*. 46(2): 447–462.
- Brown, R. and C. Mason (2014). “Inside the high-tech black box: A critique of technology entrepreneurship policy”. *Technovation*. 34(12): 773–784.
- Brown, R. and S. Mawson (2013). “Trigger points and high-growth firms: A conceptualization and review of public policy implications”. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. 20(2): 279–295.
- Brown, R. and S. Mawson (2016). “Targeted support for high growth firms: Theoretical constraints, unintended consequences and future policy challenges”. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*. 34(5): 816–836.
- Brüderl, J. and P. Preisendörfer (2000). “Fast-growing businesses: Empirical evidence from a German study”. *International Journal of Sociology*. 30(3): 45–70.

- Caliendo, M., S. Künn, and M. Weissenberger (2020). “Catching up or lagging behind? The long-term business and innovation potential of subsidized start-ups out of unemployment”. *Research Policy*. 49(10): 104053.
- Capasso, M., E. Cefis, and K. Frenken (2014). “On the existence of persistently outperforming firms”. *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 23(4): 997–1036.
- Capelleras, J., K. Mole, F. J. Greene, and D. J. Storey (2005). “Do more heavily regulated economies have poorer performing new ventures?: Evidence from Britain and Spain”. In: *CSME Working Paper Series no. 86*. Coventry, UK: University of Warwick.
- Carnes, C. M., F. Chircio, M. A. Hitt, D. W. Huh, and V. Pisano (2017). “Resource orchestration for innovation: Structuring and bundling resources in growth- and maturity-stage firms”. *Long Range Planning*. 50(4): 472–486.
- Clarke, S. P. and S. Cossette (2000). “Secondary analysis: Theoretical, methodological, and practical considerations”. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*. 32(3): 109–129.
- Clarysse, B., M. Wright, and E. Van de Velde (2011). “Entrepreneurial origin, technological knowledge, and the growth of spin-off companies”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 48(6): 1420–1442.
- Coad, A. (2007). “A closer look at serial growth rate correlation”. *Review of Industrial Organization*. 31(1): 69–82.
- Coad, A. (2009). “The growth of firms: A survey of theories and empirical evidence”. DOI: [10.4337/9781848449107](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781848449107).
- Coad, A., S. O. Daunfeldt, and D. Halvarsson (2018). “Bursting into life: Firm growth and growth persistence by age”. *Small Business Economics*. 50(1): 55–75.
- Coad, A., S.-O. Daunfeldt, W. Hözl, D. Johansson, and P. Nightingale (2014). “High-growth firms: Introduction to the special section”. *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 23(1): 91–112.
- Coad, A., P. Harasztosi, R. Pál, and M. Teruel (2022). “Policy instruments for high-growth enterprises”. In: *Questioning the Entrepreneurial State. International Studies in Entrepreneurship*. Ed. by K. Wennberg and C. Sandström. Vol. 53. Cham: Springer. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-94273-1_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94273-1_15).

- Coad, A. and W. Hözl (2009). “On the autocorrelation of growth rates”. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*. 9(2): 139–166.
- Corbett, L. K. M. and C. Campbell-Hunt (2002). “Grappling with a gusher! Manufacturing’s response to business success in small and medium enterprises”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 20(5): 495–517.
- Covin, J. G. and D. P. Slevin (1997). “High growth transitions: Theoretical perspectives and suggested directions”. In: *Entrepreneurship 2000*. Ed. by D. L. Sexton and R. W. Smilor. Chicago: Upstart Publishing Company. 99–126.
- Criscuolo, C., P. Gal, and C. Menon (2014). “The dynamics of employment growth: New evidence from 17 countries”. OECD STI Policy Papers No. 14.
- Cumming, D. J., S. Johan, and Y. Zhang (2018). “Public policy towards entrepreneurial finance: Spillovers and the scale-up gap”. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.3108127](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3108127).
- Cumming, D., S. Johan, and M. Zhang (2014). “The economic impact of entrepreneurship: Comparing international datasets”. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 22(2): 162–178.
- Dale, A., S. Arbor, and M. Procter (1988). *Doing Secondary Analysis*. London: UK, Unwin Hyman.
- Daunfeldt, S. O., N. Elert, and D. Johansson (2015). “Are high-growth firms overrepresented in high-tech industries?” *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 25(1): 1–21.
- Daunfeldt, S. O., N. Elert, and D. Johansson (2016). “Are high-growth firms overrepresented in high-tech industries?” *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 25(1): 1–21.
- Daunfeldt, S. O. and D. Halvarsson (2015). “Are high-growth firms one-hit wonders? Evidence from Sweden”. *Small Business Economics*. 44(2): 361–383.
- Davidsson, P. and M. Henrekson (2002). “Determinants of the prevalence of start-ups and high-growth firms”. *Small Business Economics*. 19(2): 81–104.
- De Meza, D. (2002). “Overlending?” *Economic Journal*. 112(477): F17–F31.

- Decker, R. A., J. Haltiwanger, R. S. Jarmin, and J. Miranda (2016). “Where has all the skewness gone? The decline in high-growth (young) firms in the U.S”. *European Economic Review*. 86: 4–23. DOI: [10.1016/j.euroecorev.2015.12.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2015.12.013).
- Delmar, F., P. Davidsson, and W. Gartner (2003). “Arriving at the high-growth firm”. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 18(2): 189–216.
- DeSantola, A. and R. Gulati (2017). “Scaling: Organizing and growth in entrepreneurial ventures”. *Academy of Management Annals*. 11(2): 640–668.
- Dickson, P. H., K. M. Weaver, and F. Hoy (2006). “Opportunism in the R&D alliances of SMEs: The roles of the institutional environment and SME size”. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 21(4): 487–513.
- Djankov, S., R. La Porta, F. Lopez-de-Silanes, and A. Shleifer (2002). “The regulation of entry”. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 117(1): 1–37.
- Doolan, D. M. and E. S. Froelicher (2009). “Using an existing data set to answer new research questions: A methodological review”. *Research and Theory for Nursing Practice: An International Journal*. 23: 203–215. DOI: [10.1891/1541-6577.23.3.203](https://doi.org/10.1891/1541-6577.23.3.203).
- Du, J. and Y. Temouri (2015). “High-growth firms and productivity: Evidence from the United Kingdom”. *Small Business Economics*. 44(1): 123–143.
- Dubois, A. and L.-E. Gadde (2014). “Systematic combining—A decade later”. *Journal of Business Research*. 67(6): 1277–1284.
- Duruffe, G., T. F. Hellmann, and K. E. Wilson (2017). “From start-up to scale-up: Examining public policies for the financing of high-growth ventures”. *Bruegel Working Paper, No. 2017/04*. Bruegel.
- Dyer, W. G. and A. L. Wilkins (1991). “Better stories, not better constructs, to generate better theory: A rejoinder to Eisenhardt”. *Academy of Management Review*. 16(3): 613–619.
- Edquist, C. ed. (1997). *Systems of Innovation: Technologies, Institutions and Organizations*. London: Pinter Publishers/Cassell Academic.
- Edquist, C. and M. McKelvey, eds. (2000). *Systems of Innovation: Growth, Competitiveness and Employment*. An Elgar Reference Collection, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.

- EIB (2019). “EIB investment report 2019/2020: Accelerating Europe’s transformation”. DOI: [10.2867/68943](https://doi.org/10.2867/68943).
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). “Building theories from case study research”. *Academy of Management Review*. 14(4): 532–550.
- Ejeremo, O. and J. Xiao (2014). “Entrepreneurship and survival over the business cycle: How do new technology-based firms differ?” *Small Business Economics*. 43(2): 411–426.
- Elert, N., M. Henrekson, and M. Stenkula (2017). *Institutional Reform for Innovation and Entrepreneurship: An Agenda for Europe*. Springer Nature.
- European Commission (2021a). “Advanced technologies for industry—Policy brief. Scaling up technology startups”. In: *European Innovation Council and SMEs Executive Agency*. Ed. by L. Roman, J. Romainen, M. Perez, and K. Izsak. URL: <https://ati.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-05/Scaling%20up%20technology%20startups.pdf>.
- European Commission (2021b). “European scale-up gap: Too few good companies or too few good investors?” In: *Publications Office of the European Union*. Ed. by F. Ambrosio, A. Brasili, and K. Niakaros. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. URL: <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/886042>.
- European Commission (2016). “Framework conditions for high-growth innovative enterprises (HGIE)”. In: *Final Report*. Ed. by P. Costa, A. Ribeiro, F. van der Zee, and M. Deschryvere. URL: http://publications.europa.eu/resource/cellar/e326b62f-24cd-11e7-b611-01aa75ed71a1.0001.01/DOC_1.
- European Commission (2024). “Small and medium-sized enterprises”. URL: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/fiches_tech_niques/2017/N54602/doc_en.pdf.
- Eurostat (2014). “Eurostat indicators on high-tech industry and knowledge-intensive services”. (Annex 3 – High-tech aggregation by NACE Rev. 2).
- Eurostat-OECD (2007). *Eurostat-OECD Manual on Business Demography Statistics*. Luxembourg Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

- Falkenhall, B. and F. Junkka (2009). *High-Growth Firms in Sweden 1997–2007*. The Swedish Agency for Growth Policy Analysis.
- Ferrando, A. and S. Lekpek (2018). “Access to finance and innovative activity of EU firms: A cluster analysis”. EIB Working Papers 2018/02.
- Ferrando, A., R. Pal, and E. Durante (2019). “Financing and obstacles for high growth enterprises: The European case (no. 2019/03)”. *EIB Working Papers*.
- Fischer, E. and A. R. Reuber (2003). “Support for rapid-growth firms: A comparison of the views of founders, government policymakers, and private sector resource providers”. *Journal of Small Business Management*. 41(4): 346–365.
- Flachenecker, F., J. P. Gavigan, X. Goenaga, G. Pasi, N. Preziosi, B. Stamenov, and G. Testa (2020). *High Growth Enterprises: Demographics, Financing & Policy Measures*. JRC Technical Report. Joint Research Centre. URL: <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/publication/high-growth-enterprises-demographics-finance-policy-measures>.
- Freeman, C. (1987). *Technology Policy and Economic Performance: Lessons from Japan*. London: Pinter.
- Friesenbichler, K. and W. Hölzl (2020). “High-growth firm shares in Austrian regions: The role of economic structures”. *Regional Studies*. 54(11): 1585–1595.
- Frizzo-Barker, J., P. A. Chow-White, P. R. Adams, J. Mentanko, D. Ha, and S. Green (2020). “Blockchain as a disruptive technology for business: A systematic review”. *International Journal of Information Management*. 51(C). DOI: [10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.10.014).
- García-Manjón, J. V. and M. E. Romero-Merino (2012). “Research, development, and firm growth. Empirical evidence from European top R&D spending firms”. *Research Policy*. 41(6): 1084–1092.
- Germain, E., M. Klofsten, H. Löfsten, and S. Mian (2022). “Science parks as key players in entrepreneurial ecosystems”. *R&D Management*. 53(4): 603–619.
- Geroski, P. A., J. Mata, and P. Portugal (2010). “Founding conditions and the survival of new firms”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 31(5): 510–529.

- Gilbert, B. A., P. P. McDougall, and D. B. Audretsch (2006). “New venture growth: A review and extension”. *Journal of Management*. 32(6): 926–950.
- Goswami, G. A., D. Medvedev, and E. Olafsen (2019). “High-growth firms. Facts, fiction, and policy options for emerging economies”. World Bank Group, Washington DC.
- Groh, A. P., H. Liechtenstein, K. Lieser, and M. Biesinger (2018). *Assessing Country Attractiveness in the Venture Capital and Private Equity Landscape in Emerging Markets*. IESE Business School.
- Guy, K., L. Tsipouri, and E. Autio (2012). *Report on the 2012 ERAC Mutual Learning Seminar on Research and Innovation Policies*. Brussels.
- Halabisky, D., E. Dreessen, and C. Parsley (2006). “Growth in firms in Canada 1985–1999”. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship*. 19(3): 255–268.
- Hallak, I. and P. Harasztosi (2019). *Job Creation in Europe: A Firm-Level Analysis*. European Commission. JRC Science for Policy Report.
- Haltiwanger, J., R. S. Jarmin, R. Kulick, and J. Miranda (2014). “High growth young firms: Contribution to job growth, revenue growth and productivity in measuring entrepreneurial businesses: Current knowledge and challenges”. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Haltiwanger, J., R. S. Jarmin, and J. Miranda (2013). “Who creates jobs? Small versus large versus young”. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 95(2): 347–361.
- Halvarsson, D. (2013). “Identifying high-growth firms”. Ratio Working Papers, The Ratio Institute, Stockholm. No 215, 32 pages.
- Hao, S. and M. Song (2016). “Technology-driven strategy and firm performance: Are strategic capabilities missing links?” *Journal of Business Research*. 69(2): 751–759.
- Helmers, C. and M. Rogers (2010). “Innovation and the survival of new firms in the UK”. *Review of Industrial Organization*. 36(3): 227–248.
- Henrekson, M. (2007). “Entrepreneurship and institutions”. *Comparative Labour Law and Policy Journal*. 28(4): 717–742.

- Henrekson, M. and D. Johansson (2010). “Gazelles as job creators: A survey and interpretation of the evidence”. *Small Business Economics*. 35(2): 227–244.
- Henrekson, M., D. Johansson, and M. Stenkula (2010). “Taxation, labor market policy and high-impact entrepreneurship Springer US”. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*. 10(3–4): 275–296.
- Henrekson, M. and T. Sanandaji (2014). “Small business activity does not measure entrepreneurship”. *PNAS*. 111(5): 1760–1765.
- Hitt, M. M., D. Ireland, M. Camp, D. D. Sexton, R. Ireland, S. Camp, and D. D. Sexton (2001). “Guest editor’s introduction to the special issue strategic entrepreneurship: Entrepreneurial strategies for wealth creation”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 22(6/7): 479–491.
- Hölzl, W. (2009). “Is the R&D behaviour of fast-growing SMEs different? Evidence from CIS III data for 16 countries”. *Small Business Economics*. 33(1): 59–75.
- Hölzl, W. (2014). “Persistence, survival, and growth: A closer look at 20 years of fast-growing firms in Austria”. *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 23(1): 199–231.
- Hölzl, W. and K. Friesenbichler (2008). *Final Sector Report: Gazelles*. Vienna.
- Hölzl, W. and J. Janger (2013). “Does the analysis of innovation barriers perceived by high growth firms provide information on innovation policy priorities?” *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 80: 1450–1468. DOI: [10.1016/j.techfore.2013.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2013.05.010).
- IBGE (2017). “Estatísticas de Empreendedorismo, (2015) [Entrepreneurship statistics: 2015], Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, Rio de Janeiro, RJ”. URL: <https://biblioteca.ibge.gov.br/visualizacao/livros/liv101312.pdf>.
- Ireland, R. D., M. A. Hitt, and D. G. Sirmon (2003). “A model of strategic entrepreneurship: The construct and its dimensions”. *Journal of Management*. 29(6): 963–989.
- Johnston, M. P. (2014). “Secondary data analysis: A method of which the time has come”. *Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries*. (QQML)3: 619–626.
- Klette, T. J. and S. Kortum (2004). “Innovating firms and aggregate innovation”. *Journal of Political Economy*. 112(5): 986–1018.

- Kolar, J. (2014). “Policies to support high growth innovative enterprises – Final report from the Session II of the 2014 ERAC mutual learning seminar on research and innovation policies, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation”. Brussels.
- Kondratiev, N. (1925). “The major economic cycles”.
- Kuratko, D. F. and D. B. Audretsch (2009). “Strategic entrepreneurship: Exploring different perspectives of an emerging concept”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 33(1): 1–17.
- Langley, A. (1999). “Strategies from theorizing from process data”. *Academy of Management Review*. 24(4): 691–710.
- Lee, N. (2014). “What holds back high-growth firms? Evidence from UK SMEs”. *Small Business Economics*. 43(1): 183–195.
- Lee, T.-L. and N. von Tunzelmann (2005). “A dynamic analytic approach to national innovation systems: The IC industry in Taiwan”. *Research Policy*. 34(4): 425–440.
- Lerner, J. (2010). “The future of public efforts to boost entrepreneurship and venture capital”. *Small Business Economics*. 35(3): 255–264.
- Lilischkis, S. (2011). “Policies in support of high-growth innovative SMEs—Part 2: Policy measures to improve the conditions for the growth of innovative enterprises”. European Commission, DG Research and Innovation, Brussels.
- Löfsten, H. (2016). “Business and innovation resources: Determinants for the survival of new technology-based firms”. *Management Decision*. 54(1): 88–106.
- Löfsten, H. and P. Lindelöf (2002). “Science parks and the growth of new technology-based firms—Academic-industry links, innovation and markets”. *Research Policy*. 31(6): 859–876.
- Löfsten, H. and P. Lindelöf (2003). “Determinants for an entrepreneurial milieu—science parks and business policy in growing firms”. *Technovation*. 23(1): 51–64.
- Löfsten, H., A. Isaksson, H. Rannikko, E. Tornikoski, and M. Buffart (2024). “Impact of initial business model on the growth trajectory of new technology-based firms: A path dependency perspective”. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-024-10086-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-024-10086-6).

- Long, T. Q. (2019). “Becoming a high-growth firm in a developing country: The role of co-funding”. *Finance Research Letters*. 29: 330–335.
- Lundström, A., P. Vikström, M. Fink, M. Meuleman, P. Glodek, D. J. Storey, and A. Kroksgård (2014). “Measuring the costs and coverage of SME and entrepreneurship policy: A pioneering study”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 38(4): 941–957.
- Machado, D. and K. E. Wilson (2014). *High Growth Firms and Job Creation in Europe*. Bruegel Blog. Bruegel: Brussels.
- Malerba, F. (2005). “Sectoral systems: How and why innovation differs across sectors”. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Innovation*. Ed. by J. Fagerberg, D. C. Mowery, and R. R. Nelson. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 380–406.
- Malizia, E. and Y. Motoyama (2016). “The economic development-Vibrant center connection: Tracking high-growth firms in the DC region”. *The Professional Geographer*. 68(3): 349–355.
- Markman, G. D. and W. B. Gartner (2002). “Is extraordinary growth profitable? A study of inc. 500 high-growth companies”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 27(1): 65–75.
- Mason, C. and R. Brown (2013). “Creating good public policy to support high-growth firms”. *Small Business Economics*. 40(2): 211–225.
- Miles, M. and A. M. Huberman (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. Sage, Thousand Oaks.
- Mohr, V. and E. Garnsey (2011). “How do high-growth firms grow? Evidence from Cambridge”. *UK Economics, Management and Financial Markets*. 6(4): 9–43.
- Monteiro, G. F. A. (2019). “High-growth firms and scaleups: A review and research agenda”. *RAUSP Management Journal*. 54(1): 96–111.
- Moreno, F. and A. Coad (2015). “High-growth firms: Stylized facts and conflicting results” (February 10, 2015). SWPS 2015-05”. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.2743181](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2743181).
- Moschella, D., F. Tamagni, and X. Yu (2019). “Persistent high-growth firms in China’s manufacturing”. *Small Business Economics*. 52(3): 573–594.

- Munch, J. and G. Schaur (2018). “The effect of export promotion on firm-level performance”. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*. 10(1): 357–387.
- Nag, R. and D. A. Gioia (2012). “From common to uncommon knowledge: Foundations of firm-specific use of knowledge as a resource”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 55: 421–457.
- Nelson, R. R. (1993). *National Innovation Systems: A Comparative Analysis*. Oxford University Press.
- Nickerson, J. A., B. H. Hamilton, and T. Wada (2001). “Market position, resource profile, and governance: Linking Porter and Williamson in the context of international courier and small package services in Japan”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 22(3): 251–273.
- Nightingale, P. and A. Coad (2014). “Muppets and gazelles: Political and methodological biases in entrepreneurship research”. *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 23(1): 113–143.
- OECD (2010). “High-growth enterprises: What governments can do to make a difference”. *OECD Studies on SMEs and Entrepreneurship—OECD Publishing*. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264048782-en>.
- OECD (2013). “An international benchmarking analysis of public programmes for high-growth firms”. In: *Prepared by the OECD Local Economic and Employment Development Programme in collaboration with the Danish Business Authority*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2021). *Understanding Firm Growth: Helping SMEs Scale Up. OECD Studies on SMEs and Entrepreneurship*. Paris: OECD Publishing. DOI: [10.1787/fc60b04c-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/fc60b04c-en).
- O’Regan, N., S. J. Ahmad, and D. Galleary (2006). “E-commerce in manufacturing firms: panacea or myth?” *International Journal of Process Management Benchmarking*. 1(2): 164–175.
- Parker, S., D. Storey, and A. Witteloostuijn (2010). “What happens to gazelles? The importance of dynamic management strategy Springer US”. DOI: [10.1007/s11187-009-9250-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-009-9250-2).
- Pavlova, E. and S. Signore (2019). “The European venture capital landscape: An EIF perspective. Volume V: The economic impact of VC investments supported by the EIF”. *EIF working paper 2019/55*.

- Penrose, E. T. (1959). *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. New York: John Wiley.
- Pettus, M. L. (2001). “The resource-based view as a developmental growth process: Evidence from the deregulated trucking industry”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 44(4): 878–896.
- Piaskowska, D., E. Tippman, and S. Monaghan (2021). “Scale-up modes: Profiling activity configurations in scaling strategies”. *Long Range Planning*. 54(6): 102101.
- Rannikko, H., M. Buffart, A. Isaksson, H. Löfsten, and T. Tornikoski (2022). “Mobilising finance and achieving growth in new technology-based firms: A legitimacy perspective”. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*. 28(6): 1532–1555.
- Rannikko, H., E. Tornikoski, A. Isaksson, and H. Löfsten (2019). “Survival and growth patterns among new technology-based firms: Empirical study of cohort 2006 in Sweden”. *Journal of Small Business Management*. 57(2): 640–657.
- Reuber, A. R., E. Tippmann, and S. Monaghan (2021). “Global scaling as a logic of multinationalization”. *Journal of International Business Studies*. 52: 1031–1046. DOI: [10.1057/s41267-021-00417-2](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-021-00417-2).
- Reypens, C., J. Delanote, and D. Ruckert (2020). “From starting to scaling: How to foster startup growth in Europe”. *European Investment Bank*. DOI: [10.2867/42527](https://doi.org/10.2867/42527).
- Ridder, H. (2017). “The theory contribution of case study research designs”. *Business Research*. 10(2): 281–305.
- Rivard, P. (2017). *The Contribution to Canadian Net Employment Change by High-Growth Firms*. Ottawa: Science and Economic Development Canada Innovation.
- Roper, S. and M. Hart (2013). “Supporting sustained growth among SMEs-policy models and guidelines”. *ERC White Paper*. 7: 1–68.
- Rossi-Hansberg, E. and M. L. J. Wright (2007). “Establishment size dynamics in the aggregate economy”. *American Economic Review*. 97(5): 1639–1666.
- Sandberg, J. and M. Alvesson (2011). “Ways of constructing research questions: Gap-spotting or problematization?” *Organization*. 18(1): 23–44.

- Satterthwaite, S. and R. T. Hamilton (2017). “High-growth firms in New Zealand: Superstars or shooting stars?” *International Small Business Journal*. 35(3): 244–261.
- Schumpeter, J. (1942). *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. Harper & Brothers.
- Segarra, A. and M. Teruel (2014). “High-growth firms and innovation: An empirical analysis for spanish firms”. *Small Business Economics*. 43(4): 805–821.
- Shane, S. (2009). “Why encouraging more people to become entrepreneurs is bad public policy”. *Small Business Economics*. 33(2): 141–149.
- Siggelkow, N. (2007). “Persuasion with case studies”. *The Academy of Management Journal*. 50(1): 20–24.
- Silverman, D. (2013). *Doing Qualitative Research A Practical Handbook*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks.
- Sirmon, D. G., M. A. Hitt, R. D. Ireland, and B. A. Gilbert (2011). “Resource orchestration to create competitive advantage: Breadth, depth, and life cycle effects”. *Journal of Management*. 37(5): 1390–1412.
- Spencer, A. and B. Kirchhoff (2006). “Schumpeter and new technology-based firms: Towards a framework for how NTBFs cause creative destruction”. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*. 2(2): 145–156.
- Srhoj, S., V. Vitezic, and J. Wagner (2020). “Export boosting policies and firm behaviour: Review of empirical evidence around the world”. In: *MPRA paper 104330*. University Library of Munich.
- Stam, E. and N. Bosma (2015). “Local policies for high-growth firms”. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Local Competitiveness (286–305)*. Ed. by D. B. Audretsch, A. N. Link, and M. L. Walshok. Oxford University Press.
- Stam, E., C. Hartog, A. van Stel, and R. Thurik (2011). “Ambitious entrepreneurship, high-growth firms, and macroeconomic growth”. In: *The Dynamics of Entrepreneurship*. Ed. by M. Minniti. DOI: [10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199580866.003.0011](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199580866.003.0011).
- Stewart, D. W. and M. A. Kamins (1993). *Secondary Research: Information Sources and Methods*. Newbury Park, CA, Sage.

- Storey, D. J. (1994). *Understanding the Small Business Sector*. London: Routledge.
- Storey, D. J. and B. S. Tether (1998). “New technology-based firms in the European Union: An introduction”. *Research Policy*. 26(9): 933–946.
- Sullivan, T. (2016). “Blitzscaling: The chaotic, sometimes grueling path to high-growth, high-impact entrepreneurship”. *Harvard Business Review*. 94(4): 44–50.
- Tassey, G. (2007). *The Technology Imperative*. Northampton: Edward Elgar.
- Tassey, G. (2013). “Technology life cycles”. In: *Encyclopedia of Creativity, Invention, Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. Ed. by E. G. Carayannis. New York, NY: Springer.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). “Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 28(13): 1319–1350.
- Teruel, M., A. Coad, C. Domnick, F. Flachenecker, P. Harasztozi, M. L. Janiria, and R. Pal (2022). “The birth of new HGEs: Internationalization through new digital technologies”. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*. 47: 804–845. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-021-09861-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-021-09861-6).
- Tippmann, E., S. Monaghan, and R. Reuber (2018). “Global scaling: An inherent paradox and its navigation”. In: *Academy of Management Special Conference, Tel Aviv*. Israel.
- Vermeulen, F. and H. G. Barkema (2002). “Pace, rhythm, and scope: Process dependence in building a profitable multinational corporation”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 23(7): 637–653.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks.
- Zhao, Z.-Y., L. Gao, and J. Zuo (2019). “How national policies facilitate low carbon city development: A China study”. *Journal of Cleaner Production*: 743–754.