

# Efficiency Analysis: A Primer on Recent Advances

---

**Christopher F. Parmeter**

Department of Economics  
University of Miami  
cparmeter@bus.miami.edu

**Subal C. Kumbhakar**

Department of Economics  
State University of New York at Binghamton  
kkar@binghamton.edu

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

C. F. Parmeter and S. C. Kumbhakar. *Efficiency Analysis: A Primer on Recent Advances*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics, vol. 7, nos. 3–4, pp. 191–385, 2014.

*This Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> issue was typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using a class file designed by Neal Parikh. Printed on acid-free paper.*

ISBN: 978-60198-897-3

© 2014 C. F. Parmeter and S. C. Kumbhakar

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

**Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics**  
Volume 7, Issues 3–4, 2014  
**Editorial Board**

**Editor-in-Chief**

**William H. Greene**  
New York University  
United States

**Editors**

Manuel Arellano  
*CEMFI, Spain*

Wiji Arulampalam  
*University of Warwick*

Orley Ashenfelter  
*Princeton University*

Jushan Bai  
*Columbia University*

Badi Baltagi  
*Syracuse University*

Anil Bera  
*University of Illinois*

Tim Bollerslev  
*Duke University*

David Brownstone  
*UC Irvine*

Xiaohong Chen  
*Yale University*

Steven Durlauf  
*University of Wisconsin*

Amos Golan  
*American University*

Bill Griffiths  
*University of Melbourne*

James Heckman  
*University of Chicago*

Jan Kiviet  
*University of Amsterdam*

Gary Koop  
*University of Strathclyde*

Michael Lechner  
*University of St. Gallen*

Lung-Fei Lee  
*Ohio State University*

Larry Marsh  
*University of Notre Dame*

James MacKinnon  
*Queens University*

Bruce McCullough  
*Drexel University*

Jeff Simonoff  
*New York University*

Joseph Terza  
*Purdue University*

Ken Train  
*UC Berkeley*

Pravin Trivedi  
*Indiana University*

Adonis Yatchew  
*University of Toronto*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Econometric models
- Simultaneous equation models
- Estimation frameworks
- Biased estimation
- Computational problems
- Microeconometrics
- Treatment modeling
- Discrete choice modeling
- Models for count data
- Duration models
- Limited dependent variables
- Panel data
- Time series analysis
- Latent variable models
- Qualitative response models
- Hypothesis testing
- Econometric theory
- Financial econometrics
- Measurement error in survey data
- Productivity measurement and analysis
- Semiparametric and nonparametric estimation
- Bootstrap methods
- Nonstationary time series
- Robust estimation

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics, 2014, Volume 7, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3076. ISSN online version 1551-3084. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics  
Vol. 7, Nos. 3–4 (2014) 191–385  
© 2014 C. F. Parmeter and S. C. Kumbhakar  
DOI: 10.1561/08000000023



## **Efficiency Analysis: A Primer on Recent Advances<sup>1</sup>**

Christopher F. Parmeter  
Department of Economics,  
University of Miami  
cparmeter@bus.miami.edu

Subal C. Kumbhakar  
Department of Economics,  
State University of New York at Binghamton  
kkar@binghamton.edu

<sup>1</sup>This monograph is an extension of our lecture notes for several short courses presented at Aalto University, the University of Stavanger, and Wageningen University in 2013. The organizers of those workshops are warmly acknowledged. All errors are ours alone.

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Benchmark Stochastic Production Frontier Model</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Determining the distribution of $\varepsilon$ . . . . .	8
2.2	Alternative specifications . . . . .	11
2.3	Modified ordinary least squares estimation . . . . .	16
2.4	Estimation of inefficiency . . . . .	17
2.5	Do distributional assumptions matter? . . . . .	24
2.6	The importance of skewness . . . . .	26
<b>3</b>	<b>Multiple Outputs in Stochastic Frontier Model</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1	The Cobb-Douglas multiple output transformation function	33
3.2	The translog multiple output transformation function . . .	35
<b>4</b>	<b>Stochastic Cost and Profit Frontier Models</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Input-oriented technical inefficiency for the cost frontier . .	42
4.2	Output-oriented technical inefficiency . . . . .	48
4.3	Output-oriented technical inefficiency . . . . .	52
4.4	Input-oriented technical inefficiency . . . . .	58
4.5	Estimation of technical efficiency using the full system . .	60

<b>5</b>	<b>Determinants of Inefficiency</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Impact of exogenous influences on stochastic frontier model	71
5.2	Proper modeling of the determinants of inefficiency . . . . .	73
5.3	Marginal effects of the exogenous determinants . . . . .	76
5.4	How to incorporate exogenous determinants of efficiency .	77
5.5	The scaling property . . . . .	81
5.6	Estimation without distributional assumptions . . . . .	85
5.7	Testing for determinants of inefficiency . . . . .	87
<b>6</b>	<b>Accounting for Heterogeneity in Stochastic Frontier Model</b>	<b>90</b>
6.1	Latent class models for stochastic frontiers . . . . .	92
6.2	The zero inefficiency stochastic frontier . . . . .	97
6.3	Sample selection in the stochastic frontier . . . . .	99
<b>7</b>	<b>The Stochastic Frontier Model with Panel Data</b>	<b>104</b>
7.1	Time-invariant models . . . . .	105
7.2	Time-varying models . . . . .	111
7.3	Time-varying inefficiency models . . . . .	114
7.4	Heterogeneity and inefficiency . . . . .	118
7.5	Persistent time-varying models . . . . .	122
7.6	Models that separate firm effects, persistent inefficiency . .	126
<b>8</b>	<b>Nonparametric Estimation in the Stochastic Frontier Model</b>	<b>137</b>
8.1	A primer on kernel smoothing . . . . .	138
8.2	Estimation of the frontier . . . . .	147
8.3	Estimation of inefficiency . . . . .	153
8.4	Almost fully nonparametric approaches . . . . .	164
8.5	Which approach is best? . . . . .	165
<b>9</b>	<b>The Environmental Production Function and Efficiency</b>	<b>167</b>
9.1	Directional output distance function (DDF) approach . . .	168
9.2	DODF with undesirable outputs . . . . .	170
9.3	The by-production model . . . . .	173
9.4	Single equation representation of bad outputs technology .	176

iv

**10 Concluding Remarks** **179**

**References** **181**



## Abstract

This monograph reviews the econometric literature on the estimation of stochastic frontiers and technical efficiency. Special attention is devoted to current research.

# 1

---

## Overview

---

At its core inefficiency is a nebulous concept. Førsund and Hjalmarsson [1974, p. 152] note that it is an easy term to use but much more difficult to precisely pin down its meaning. A precise definition is lacking mainly given that those who conform to the strict boundaries of price theory believe that output shortfall and rapid growth are related to pricing information and profit maximization; concepts defined as inefficiency can be construed as managerial goals which encapsulate maximizing behavior. In an outstanding review of the development of (or argument over) X-inefficiency, Perelman [2011] notes that both Leibenstein [1966] and Stigler [1976] fail to provide convincing evidence that the other is wrong. That is, while Leibenstein [1966] only provides anecdotal evidence on the existence of firm inefficiency and Stigler [1976] provides cursory discussion demonstrating an alternative view, neither can resoundingly reject the other's views.

And yet, the study of firm inefficiency persists to this day primarily because even though a formal, robust theory which details how inefficiency operates does not exist, many are unsatisfied with the strict optimizing restrictions placed on firms. Further, myriad evidence of productivity differences exists across firms that *ex ante* are close

to homogenous and standard views on productivity differences are not applicable. For example, Syverson [2011] finds that within United States manufacturing industries at the 4 digit SIC the 90th percentile plant within the productivity distribution produces nearly double the output of the 10th percentile plant with the same inputs. Moving offshore, Hsieh and Klenow [2009] find productivity differences at a ratio of 5 to 1 in both India and China.

Lest concerns over geographical differences, workforce characteristics and the like drive these differences, consider the study of Chew et al. [1990] of a large commercial food operation in the U.S. Chew et al.'s [1990] example is instructive since these plants should be able to transfer knowledge extensively and share best practices easily. Yet, this network was characterized by the almost complete void of knowledge transfer and large differences in productivity. In fact, within this division of the firm, there are over 40 operating units each of which produce a near identical set of outputs with almost all work done manually and free of international influences.

The stark reality of this division is that even with all the advantages of operating multiple units and sharing best practice, the most productive unit produces almost three times as much output for the same amount of inputs as the least productive unit. Chew et al. [1990] recognize that underlying differences could be driving these differences and control for geographic location, the size of the local market that is served, unemployment, unionization, equipment, quality, and local monopoly power. Even after accounting for these differences in what are considered relatively homogenous firms, productivity differences on the order of 2:1 still are pervasive; a clear signal that firm inefficiency is at play.

Our objective here is not to develop a formal theory or definition of inefficiency. Rather, we seek to detail the important econometric area of efficiency estimation; both past approaches as well as new methodology. Beginning with the seminal work of Farrell [1957], myriad approaches to discerning output shortfall have been developed. Amongst the proposed approaches, two main camps have emerged. Those that estimate maximal output and attribute all departures from this as inefficiency, known

as Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and those that allow for both unobserved variation in output do to shocks and measurement error as well as inefficiency, known as Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA).

Our review here will focus exclusively on SFA. For an exceptionally authoritative review of DEA methods and their statistical underpinnings see Simar and Wilson [2013].<sup>1</sup> The econometric study of efficiency analysis typically begins by constructing a convoluted error term that is composed on noise, shocks, measurement error and a one-sided shock called inefficiency. Early in the development of these methods attention focused on the proposal of distributional assumptions which yielded a likelihood function whereby the parameters of the distributional components of the convoluted error could be recovered. The field evolved to the study of individual specific efficiency scores and the extension of these methods to panel data. Recently, attention has focused on relaxing the stringent distributional assumptions that are commonly imposed, relaxing the functional form assumptions commonly placed on the underlying technology, or some combination of both. All told, exciting and seminal breakthroughs have occurred in this literature on regular bases and reviews of these methods are needed to effectively detail the state of the art.

To explain the generality of SFA we go back to neoclassical production theory. The textbook definition of a production function is: given the input vector  $\mathbf{x}_i$  for a producer  $i$ , the production function  $m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is defined by the maximum possible output that can be produced. That is,  $m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is the technical maximum (potential). To emphasize on the word maximum we call  $m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta})$  the frontier production function. Not every producer can produce the maximum possible output, even if  $\mathbf{x}$  were exactly the same for all of them. Thus,  $y_i \leq m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta})$  and the ratio  $y/m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \leq 1$  is defined as technical efficiency ( $0 \leq TE \leq 1$ ), when  $y$  is the actual output produced. Quite often we define technical inefficiency ( $TI = 1 - TE$ ) as percentage shortfall of output from its maximum, given the inputs. Thus,  $TI = (m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) - y)/m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \geq 0$ . This is important when the inequality  $y \leq m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is expressed

---

<sup>1</sup>For comprehensive book length treatments on SFA we suggest one consult Kumbhakar and Lovell [2000] or Kumbhakar et al. [2014b].

as  $\ln y_i = \ln m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) - u_i$  and  $u_i \geq 0$  is interpreted as technical inefficiency.<sup>2</sup>

The above definition of inefficiency fits into the theory in which the role of unforeseen/uncontrollable factors is ignored. However, in reality, randomness, for obvious reasons, is a part and parcel of econometric models. And there are innumerable uncontrollable factors that affect output, given the controllable inputs  $\mathbf{x}_i$ . To accommodate this randomness ( $v_i$ ), we specify the production frontier as a stochastic relationship and write it as  $\ln y_i = \ln m(\mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) - u_i + v_i$ .

The generality of SFA is such that the study of efficiency has gone beyond simple application of frontier methods to study firms and appears across a diverse set of applied milieus. Thus, we also hope that this review will be of appeal to those outside of the efficiency literature seeking to learn about new methods which might assist them in uncovering phenomena in their applied area of interest.

---

<sup>2</sup>Strictly speaking  $-u_i \leq 0 \approx \ln TE$  is technical inefficiency.

## References

---

- S. N. Afriat. Efficiency estimation of production functions. *International Economic Review*, 13(3):568–598, October 1972.
- M. D. Agee, S. E. Atkinson, T. D. Crocker, and J. W. Williams. Non-separable pollution control: Implications for a CO<sub>2</sub> emissions cap and trade system. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 36(1):64–82, 2014.
- D. Aigner and S. Chu. On estimating the industry production function. *American Economic Review*, 58:826–839, 1968.
- D. J. Aigner, C. A. K. Lovell, and P. Schmidt. Formulation and estimation of stochastic frontier production functions. *Journal of Econometrics*, 6(1): 21–37, July 1977.
- M. Ali and J. C. Flinn. Profit efficiency among Basmati rice producers in Pakistan Punjab. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 71(2):303–310, 1989.
- P. Almanidis and R. C. Sickles. The skewness issue in stochastic frontier models: Fact or fiction? In I. van Keilegom and P. W. Wilson, editors, *Exploring Research Frontiers in Contemporary Statistics and Econometrics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2011.
- P. Almanidis, J. Qian, and R. C. Sickles. Stochastic frontier models with bounded inefficiency. In R. C. Sickles and W. C. Horrace, editors, *Festschrift in Honor of Peter Schmidt Econometric Methods and Applications*, pages 47–82. Springer: New York, 2014.
- Y. Altunbas, L. Evans, and P. Molyneux. Bank ownership and efficiency. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 33(4):926–954, 2001.

- A. Alvarez, C. Amsler, L. Orea, and P. Schmidt. Interpreting and testing the scaling property in models where inefficiency depends on firm characteristics. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 25(2):201–212, 2006.
- M. Andor and F. Hesse. The StoNED Age: The departure into a new era of efficiency analysis? A Monte Carlo comparison of StoNED and the “oldies” (SFA and DEA). *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 41(1):85–109, 2014.
- R. B. Arellano-Valle and A. Azzalini. On the unification of families of skew-normal distributions. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 33(3):561–574, 2006.
- S. Atkinson and E. G. Tsionas. Directional distance functions: Optimal endogenous directions, 2014. Unpublished working paper.
- S. E. Atkinson and J. H. Dorfman. Bayesian measurement of productivity and efficiency in the presence of undesirable outputs: Crediting electric utilities for reducing air pollution. *Journal of Econometrics*, 126(3):445–468, 2005.
- A. Azzalini. A class of distributions which includes the normal ones. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 12(2):171–178, 1985.
- R. Baccouche and M. Kouki. Stochastic production frontier and technical inefficiency: A sensitivity analysis. *Econometric Reviews*, 22(1):79–91, 2003.
- B. H. Baltagi. *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. John Wiley & Sons, Great Britain, 5th edition, 2013.
- R. D. Banker and A. Maindiratta. Maximum likelihood estimation of monotone and concave production frontiers. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 3(4):401–415, 1992.
- G. E. Battese and T. J. Coelli. Prediction of firm-level technical efficiencies with a generalized frontier production function and panel data. *Journal of Econometrics*, 38:387–399, 1988.
- G. E. Battese and T. J. Coelli. Frontier production functions, technical efficiency and panel data: With application to paddy farmers in India. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 3:153–169, 1992.
- G. E. Battese and T. J. Coelli. A model for technical inefficiency effects in a stochastic frontier production function for panel data. *Empirical Economics*, 20(1):325–332, 1995.
- G. E. Battese and G. S. Corra. Estimation of a production frontier model: With application to the pastoral zone off Eastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 21(3):169–179, 1977.

- D. E. Beckers and C. J. Hammond. A tractable likelihood function for the normal-gamma stochastic frontier model. *Economics Letters*, 24(1):33–38, 1987.
- A. K. Bera and S. C. Sharma. Estimating production uncertainty in stochastic frontier production function models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 12(2):187–210, 1999.
- J. Bos and H. Schmiedel. Is there a single frontier in a single European banking market? *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 31(7):2081–2102, 2007.
- J. W. B. Bos, C. Economidou, and M. Koetter. Technology clubs, R&D and growth patterns: Evidence from EU manufacturing. *European Economic Review*, 54(1):60–79, 2010a.
- J. W. B. Bos, C. Economidou, M. Koetter, and J. W. Kolari. Do all countries grow alike? *Journal of Development Economics*, 91(1):113–127, 2010b.
- D. Bradford, A. Kleit, M. Krousel-Wood, and R. Re. Stochastic frontier estimation of cost models within the hospital. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83(2):302–309, 2001.
- B. E. Bravo-Ureta. Technical efficiency measures for dairy farms based on a probabilistic frontier function. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 34(2):400–415, 1986.
- B. E. Bravo-Ureta and L. Rieger. Dairy farm efficiency measurement using stochastic frontiers and neoclassical duality. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 73(2):421–428, 1991.
- J. Butler and R. Moffitt. A computationally efficient quadrature procedure for the one factor multinomial probit model. *Econometrica*, 50:761–764, 1982.
- M. A. Carree. Technological inefficiency and the skewness of the error component in stochastic frontier analysis. *Economics Letters*, 77(1):101–107, 2002.
- S. B. Caudill. Estimating a mixture of stochastic frontier regression models via the EM algorithm: A multiproduct cost function application. *Empirical Economics*, 28(1):581–598, 2003.
- S. B. Caudill and J. M. Ford. Biases in frontier estimation due to heteroskedasticity. *Economics Letters*, 41(1):17–20, 1993.
- S. B. Caudill, J. M. Ford, and D. M. Gropper. Frontier estimation and firm-specific inefficiency measure in the presence of heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 13(1):105–111, 1995.



- D.W. Caves, L.R. Christensen, and J. A. Swanson. Productivity growth, scale economies, and capacity utilization in U.S. railroads, 1955-74. *American Economic Review*, 71(4):994–1002, 1981.
- G. Chamberlain. Asymptotic efficiency in estimation with conditional moment restrictions. *Journal of Econometrics*, 34(2):305–334, 1987.
- Y.-Y. Chen, P. Schmidt, and H.-J. Wang. Consistent estimation of the fixed effects stochastic frontier model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 181(2):65–76, 2014.
- B. Chew, K. Clark, and T. Bresnahan. Measurement, coordination and learning in a multiplant network. In R. Kaplan, editor, *Measures for Manufacturing Excellence*, pages 129–162. Harvard Business School Press, Boston, 1990.
- L. R. Christensen and W. H. Greene. Economies of scale in U.S. electric power generation. *Journal of Political Economy*, 84(4):655–676, 1976.
- Y. Chung, R. Färe, and S. Grosskopf. Productivity and undesirable outputs: A directional distance function approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 51(3):229–240, 1997.
- R. Colombi, G. Martini, and G. Vittadini. A stochastic frontier model with short-run and long-run inefficiency random effects, 2011. Department of Economics and Technology Management, University of Bergamo, Working Paper Series.
- R. Colombi, S.C. Kumbhakar, G. Martini, and G. Vittadini. Closed-skew normality in stochastic frontiers with individual effects and long/short-run efficiency. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 42(2):123–136, 2014.
- C. Cornwell and P. Schmidt. Models for which the MLE and the conditional MLE coincide. *Empirical Economics*, 17(2):67–75, 1992.
- C. Cornwell, P. Schmidt, and R. C. Sickles. Production frontiers with cross-sectional and time-series variation in efficiency levels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 46(2):185–200, 1990.
- R. A. Cuesta. A production model with firm-specific temporal variation in technical inefficiency: With application to Spanish dairy farms. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 13:139–152, 2000.
- R. A. Cuesta and J. L. Zofio. Hyperbolic efficiency and parametric distance functions: With application to Spanish savings banks. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 24(1):31–48, 2005.
- A. Delaigle and I. Gijbels. Estimation of boundary and discontinuity points in deconvolution problems. *Statistica Sinica*, 16:773–788, 2006a.

- A. Delaigle and I. Gijbels. Data-driven boundary estimation in deconvolution problems. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 50:1965–1994, 2006b.
- D. Deprins. *Estimation de frontieres de Production et Mesures de l'Efficacite Technique*. Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium: CIACO, 1989.
- P. Deprins and L. Simar. Estimation de frontieres deterministes avec facteurs exogenes d'inefficacite. *Annales d'Economie et de Statistique*, 14:117–150, 1989a.
- P. Deprins and L. Simar. Estimating technical efficiencies with corrections for environmental conditions with an application to railway companies. *Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics*, 60(1):81–102, 1989b.
- W. E. Diewert and T. J. Wales. Flexible functional forms and global curvature conditions. *Econometrica*, 55(1):43–68, 1987.
- J. A. Domínguez-Molina, G. González-Farías, and R. Ramos-Quiroga. Skew normality in stochastic frontier analysis, 2003. Comunicación Técnica No I-03-18/06-10-2003 (PE/CIMAT).
- P. Du, C. F. Parmeter, and J. S. Racine. Nonparametric kernel regression with multiple predictors and multiple shape constraints. *Statistica Sinica*, 23(3):1347–1371, 2013.
- R. Dugger. *An application of bounded nonparametric estimating functions to the analysis of bank cost and production functions*. PhD thesis, University of North Carolina , Chapel Hill, 1974.
- J. Fan. On the optimal rates of convergence for nonparametric deconvolution problems. *Annals of Statistics*, 19(3):1257–1272, 1991.
- Y. Fan, Q. Li, and T. Stengos. Root-n consistent semiparametric regression with conditionally heteroscedastic disturbances, 1992. Working Paper 1992-17, University of Guelph, Department of Economics.
- Y. Fan, Q. Li, and A. Weersink. Semiparametric estimation of stochastic production frontier models. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 14(4):460–468, 1996.
- R. Färe, S. Grosskopf, D.-W. Noh, and W. Weber. Characteristics of a polluting technology: Theory and practice. *Journal of Econometrics*, 126(3):469–492, 2005.
- M. J. Farrell. The measurement of productive efficiency. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A, General*, 120(3):253–281, 1957.

- G. Feng and A. Serletis. Undesirable outputs and a primal Divisia productivity index based on the directional output distance function. *Journal of Econometrics*, 2014. Forthcoming.
- Q. Feng, W. C. Horrace, and G. L. Wu. Wrong skewness and finite sample correction in parametric stochastic frontier models, 2013. Center for Policy Research Working Paper 154, Syracuse University.
- C. Fernández, G. Koop, and M.F.J. Steel. Multiple-output production with undesirable outputs: An application to nitrogen surplus in agriculture. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 97(458):432–442, 2002.
- C. Fernández, G. Koop, and M.F.J. Steel. Alternative efficiency measures for multiple-output production. *Journal of Econometrics*, 126(3):411–444, 2005.
- A. Flores-Lagunes, W. C. Horrace, and K. E. Schnier. Identifying technically efficient fishing vessels: A non-empty, minimal subset approach. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 22(4):729–745, 2007.
- F. R. Førsund and L. Hjalmarsson. On the measurement of productive efficiency. *The Swedish Journal of Economics*, 76(2):141–154, 1974.
- P. Gagnepain and M. Ivaldi. Stochastic frontiers and asymmetric information models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 18(2):145–159, 2002.
- Q. Gau, L. Liu, and J. S. Racine. A partially linear kernel estimator for categorical data. *Econometric Reviews*, 2013. forthcoming.
- G. González-Farías, J. A. Domínguez-Molina, and A. K. Gupta. The closed skew normal distribution. In M. Genton, editor, *Skew Elliptical Distributions and their Applications: A Journal Beyond Normality*, chapter 2. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2004.
- L. Grassetti. A novel mixture based stochastic frontier model with application to hospital efficiency, 2011. Unpublished manuscript, University of Udine.
- W. H. Greene. Maximum likelihood estimation of econometric frontier functions. *Journal of Econometrics*, 13(1):27–56, 1980a.
- W. H. Greene. On the estimation of a flexible frontier production model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 13(1):101–115, 1980b.
- W. H. Greene. A gamma-distributed stochastic frontier model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 46(1–2):141–164, 1990.
- W. H. Greene. Simulated likelihood estimation of the normal-gamma stochastic frontier function. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 19(2):179–190, 2003.
- W. H. Greene. Reconsidering heterogeneity in panel data estimators of the stochastic frontier model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 126(2):269–303, 2005a.

- W. H. Greene. Fixed and random effects in stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 23(1):7–32, 2005b.
- W. H. Greene. A stochastic frontier model with correction for sample selection. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 34(1):15–24, 2010.
- W. H. Greene and M. Fillipini. Persistent and transient productive inefficiency: A maximum simulated likelihood approach, 2014. CER-ETH - Center of Economic Research at ETH Zurich, Working Paper 14/197.
- K. Hadri. Estimation of a doubly heteroscedastic stochastic frontier cost function. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 17(4):359–363, 1999.
- C. M. Hafner, H. Manner, and L. Simar. The “wrong skewness” problem in stochastic frontier models: A new approach, 2013. Université Catholique de Louvain Working Paper.
- A. Hailu and T. S. Veeman. Non-parametric productivity analysis with undesirable outputs: An application to the Canadian pulp and paper industry. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 83(3):605–616, 2001.
- P. Hall and L. Simar. Estimating a changepoint, boundary or frontier in the presence of observation error. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 97:523–534, 2002.
- T. Hayfield and J. S. Racine. Nonparametric econometrics: The np package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 27(5), 2008. URL <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v27/i05/>.
- J. J. Heckman. Sample selection bias as a specification error. *Econometrica*, 47(1):153–161, 1976.
- D. J. Henderson and C. F. Parmeter. *Applied Nonparametric Econometrics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Great Britain, 2014.
- L. Hjalmarsson, S. C. Kumbhakar, and A. Heshmati. DEA, DFA, and SFA: A comparison. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 7(2):303–327, 1996.
- W. C. Horrace. Some results on the multivariate truncated normal distribution. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 94(2):209–221, 2005.
- W. C. Horrace and C. F. Parmeter. Semiparametric deconvolution with unknown error variance. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 35(2):129–141, 2011.
- W. C. Horrace and C. F. Parmeter. A Laplace stochastic frontier model, 2014. University of Miami Working Paper.
- W. C. Horrace and P. Schmidt. Confidence statements for efficiency estimates from stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 7:257–282, 1996.

- W. C. Horrace and P. Schmidt. Multiple comparisons with the best, with economic applications. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 15(1):1–26, 2000.
- C. Hsiao. *Analysis of Panel Data*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Great Britain, 3rd edition, 2014.
- C.-T. Hsieh and P. J. Klenow. Misallocation and manufacturing TFP in China and India. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 124(4):1403–1448, 2009.
- C. J. Huang and J.-T. Liu. Estimation of a non-neutral stochastic frontier production function. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 5(1):171–180, 1994.
- J. Jondrow, C. A. K. Lovell, I. S. Materov, and P. Schmidt. On the estimation of technical efficiency in the stochastic frontier production function model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 19(2/3):233–238, 1982.
- K. P. Kalirajan. On measuring economic efficiency. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 5(1):75–85, 1990.
- E. Kaparakis, S. Miller, and A. Noulas. Short run cost inefficiency of commercial banks: A flexible stochastic frontier approach. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 26(1):21–28, 1994.
- M. Kim and P. Schmidt. Valid test of whether technical inefficiency depends on firm characteristics. *Journal of Econometrics*, 144(2):409–427, 2008.
- W. Kim, O. B. Linton, and N. W. Hentgartner. A computationally efficient oracle estimator for additive nonparametric regression with bootstrap confidence intervals. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 8(2):278–297, 1999.
- M. Koetter and T. Poghosyan. The identification of technology regimes in banking: Implications for the market power-fragility nexus. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 33:1413–1422, 2009.
- S. C. Kumbhakar. The specification of technical and allocative inefficiency in stochastic production and profit frontiers. *Journal of Econometrics*, 34(1):335–348, 1987.
- S. C. Kumbhakar. Production frontiers, panel data, and time-varying technical inefficiency. *Journal of Econometrics*, 46(1):201–211, 1990.
- S. C. Kumbhakar. The measurement and decomposition of cost-inefficiency: The translog cost system. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 43(6):667–683, 1991.
- S. C. Kumbhakar. Estimation of profit functions when profit is not maximum. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 83(1):1–19, 2001.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and A. Heshmati. Efficiency measurement in Swedish dairy farms: An application of rotating panel data, 1976–88. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 77(3):660–674, 1995.

- S. C. Kumbhakar and L. Hjalmarsson. Technical efficiency and technical progress in Swedish dairy farms. In H. Fried, K. Lovell, and S. Schmidt, editors, *The Measurement of Productive Efficiency*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1993.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and L. Hjalmarsson. Relative performance of public and private ownership under yardstick competition: Electricity retail distribution. *European Economic Review*, 42(1):97–122, 1998.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and C. A. K. Lovell. *Stochastic Frontier Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and K. Sun. Derivation of marginal effects of determinants of technical efficiency. *Economics Letters*, 120(2):249–253, 2013.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and E. G. Tsionas. Estimation of stochastic frontier production functions with input-oriented technical inefficiency. *Journal of Econometrics*, 133(1):71–96, 2006.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and E. G. Tsionas. Scale and efficiency measurement using a semiparametric stochastic frontier model: Evidence from the U.S. commercial banks. *Empirical Economics*, 34(3):585–602, 2008.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and E. G. Tsionas. The good, the bad and the inefficiency: A system approach to model environmental production technology, 2014. Advanced Lecture at the Taiwan Efficiency and Productivity Conference, Unpublished working paper.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and H.-J. Wang. Production frontiers, panel data, and time-varying technical inefficiency. *Journal of Econometrics*, 46(1):201–211, 2005.
- S. C. Kumbhakar and H.-J. Wang. Estimation of technical and allocative inefficiency: A primal system approach. *Journal of Econometrics*, 134(3):419–440, 2006.
- S. C. Kumbhakar, S. Ghosh, and J. T. McGuckin. A generalized production frontier approach for estimating determinants of inefficiency in US dairy farms. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 9(1):279–286, 1991.
- S. C. Kumbhakar, B. U. Park, L. Simar, and E. G. Tsionas. Nonparametric stochastic frontiers: A local maximum likelihood approach. *Journal of Econometrics*, 137(1):1–27, 2007.
- S. C. Kumbhakar, E. G. Tsionas, and T. Sipiläinen. Joint estimation of technology choice and technical efficiency: An application to organic and conventional dairy farming. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 31(2):151–161, 2009.

- S. C. Kumbhakar, C. F. Parmeter, and E. Tsionas. A zero inefficiency stochastic frontier estimator. *Journal of Econometrics*, 172(1):66–76, 2013.
- S. C. Kumbhakar, G. Lien, and J. B. Hardaker. Technical efficiency in competing panel data models: A study of Norwegian grain farming. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 41(2):321–337, 2014a.
- S. C. Kumbhakar, H.-J. Wang, and A. Horncastle. *A Practitioner's Guide to Stochastic Frontier Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2014b.
- S.C. Kumbhakar. Specification and estimation of primal production models. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 217(4):509–518, 2012.
- T. Kuosmanen. Representation theorem for convex nonparametric least squares. *Econometrics Journal*, 11(2):308–325, 2008.
- T. Kuosmanen. Stochastic semi-nonparametric frontier estimation of electricity distribution networks: Application of the StoNED method in the Finnish regulatory model. *Energy Economics*, 34:2189–2199, 2012.
- T. Kuosmanen and M. Fosgerau. Neoclassical versus frontier production models? Testing for the skewness of regression residuals. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 111(2):351–367, 2009.
- T. Kuosmanen and A. Johnson. Data envelopment analysis as nonparametric least-squares regression. *Operations Research*, 58(1):149–160, 2010.
- T. Kuosmanen and M. Kortelainen. Stochastic non-smooth envelopment of data: Semi-parametric frontier estimation subject to shape constraints. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 38(1):11–28, 2012.
- H. Lai, S. Polachek, and H.-J. Wang. Estimation of a stochastic frontier model with sample selection, 2009. Working Paper, Department of Economics, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan.
- H.-P. Lai and C. J. Huang. Likelihood ratio tests for model selection of stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 34(1):3–13, 2010.
- L. Lau. Applications of profit functions. In M. Fuss and D. L. McFadden, editors, *Production Economics: A Dual Approach to Theory and Applications volume I: The Theory of Production*. North Holland: Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1978.
- L. Lee. A test for distributional assumptions for the stochastic frontier function. *Journal of Econometrics*, 22(2):245–267, 1983.

- Y. Lee and P. Schmidt. A production frontier model with flexible temporal variation in technical efficiency. In K. Lovell H. Fried and S. Schmidt, editors, *The Measurement of Productive Efficiency*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1993.
- H. Leibenstein. Allocative efficiency vs. 'X-efficiency'. *American Economic Review*, 56(3):392–415, June 1966.
- Q. Li. Estimating a stochastic production frontier when the adjusted error is symmetric. *Economics Letters*, 52(3):221–228, 1996.
- Q. Li and J. Racine. *Nonparametric Econometrics: Theory and Practice*. Princeton University Press, 2007.
- Q. Li and J. S. Racine. Nonparametric estimation of distributions with categorical and continuous data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 86:266–292, 2003.
- Q. Li and S. Wang. A simple consistent bootstrap test for a parametric regression function. *Journal of Econometrics*, 87(2):145–165, 1998.
- E. Lukacs. *Stochastic Convergence*. Ratheon Education Company, Lexington, Massachusetts, 1968.
- C. B. Martins-Filho and F. Yao. Semiparametric stochastic frontier estimation via profile likelihood. *Econometric Reviews*, 2011. Forthcoming.
- D. McFadden. A method of simulated moments for estimation of discrete response models without numerical integration. *Econometrica*, 57(5):995–1026, 1989.
- G. J. McLachlan and D. Peel. *Finite Mixture Models*. Wiley, New York, NY, 2000.
- W. Meeusen and J. van den Broeck. Efficiency estimation from Cobb-Douglas production functions with composed error. *International Economic Review*, 18(2):435–444, 1977.
- A. Meister. Density estimation with normal measurement error with unknown variance. *Statistica Sinica*, 16(1):195–211, 2006.
- L. Mester. Efficiency in the savings and loan industry. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 17(2/3):267–286, 1993.
- L. Mester. A study of bank efficiency taking into account risk preferences. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 20(6):1025–1045, 1996.
- Y. Mundlak. Empirical production function free of management bias. *Journal of Farm Economics*, 43(1):44–56, 1961.



- S. Murty, R. R. Russell, and S. B. Levkoff. On modeling pollution-generating technologies. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 64(1):117–135, 2012.
- C. Newman and A. Matthews. The productivity performance of Irish dairy farms 1984-2000: A multiple output distance function approach. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 26(2):191–205, 2006.
- J. Neyman and E. L. Scott. Consistent estimation from partially consistent observations. *Econometrica*, 16:1–32, 1948.
- N. B. Nguyen. *Estimation of technical efficiency in stochastic frontier analysis*. PhD thesis, Bowling Green State University, 2010.
- A. O’Hagan and T. Leonard. Bayes estimation subject to uncertainty about parameter constraints. *Biometrika*, 63(1):201–203, 1976.
- J. A. Olson, P. Schmidt, and D. A. Waldman. A Monte Carlo study of estimators of stochastic frontier production functions. *Journal of Econometrics*, 13:67–82, 1980.
- J. Ondrich and J. Ruggiero. Efficiency measurement in the stochastic frontier model. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 129(3):434–442, 2001.
- L. Orea and S. C. Kumbhakar. Efficiency measurement using a latent class stochastic frontier model. *Empirical Economics*, 29(1):169–183, 2004.
- C. F. Parmeter and J. S. Racine. Smooth constrained frontier analysis. In X. Chen and N.E. Swanson, editors, *Recent Advances and Future Directions in Causality, Prediction, and Specification Analysis: Essays in Honor of Halbert L. White Jr.*, chapter 18, pages 463–489. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, 2012.
- C. F. Parmeter, K. Sun, D. J. Henderson, and S. C. Kumbhakar. Regression and inference under economic restrictions. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 41(1):111–129, 2014a.
- C. F. Parmeter, H.-J. Wang, and S. C. Kumbhakar. Nonparametric estimation of the determinants of inefficiency, 2014b. Department of Economics, University of Miami, Working Paper Series.
- M. Perelman. X-Efficiency. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 25(4):211–222, 2011.
- M. M. Pitt and L.-F. Lee. The measurement and sources of technical inefficiency in the Indonesian weaving industry. *Journal of Development Economics*, 9(1):43–64, 1981.
- J. S. Racine. Nonparametric econometrics: A primer. *Foundations and Trends in Econometrics*, 3(1):1–88, 2008.

- J. S. Racine and Q. Li. Nonparametric estimation of regression functions with both categorical and continuous data. *Journal of Econometrics*, 119(1):99–130, 2004.
- D. Reifschneider and R. Stevenson. Systematic departures from the frontier: A framework for the analysis of firm inefficiency. *International Economic Review*, 32(1):715–723, 1991.
- S. Reinhard, C. A. K. Lovell, and G. Thijssen. Econometric estimation of technical and environmental efficiency: An application to Dutch dairy farms. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 81(1):44–60, 1999.
- S. Reinhard, C.A.K Lovell, and G. Thijssen. Environmental efficiency with multiple environmentally detrimental variables; estimated with SFA and DEA. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 121(3):287–303, 2000.
- S. Rho and P. Schmidt. Are all firms inefficient? *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 2013. forthcoming.
- J. Richmond. Estimating the efficiency of production. *International Economic Review*, 15(2):515–521, June 1974.
- C. Ritter and L. Simar. Pitfalls of normal-gamma stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 8(2):167–182, 1997.
- P. M. Robinson. Root-n consistent semiparametric regression. *Econometrica*, 56:931–954, 1988.
- J. Ruggiero. Efficiency estimation and error decomposition in the stochastic frontier model: A Monte Carlo analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 115(6):555–563, 1999.
- D. L. Ryan and T. J. Wales. Imposing local concavity in the translog and generalized Leontief cost functions. *Economics Letters*, 67(1):253–260, 2000.
- P. Schmidt. On the statistical estimation of parametric frontier production functions. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 58(2):238–239, May 1976.
- P. Schmidt and T.-F. Lin. Simple tests of alternative specifications in stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 24(3):349–361, 1984.
- P. Schmidt and R. C. Sickles. Production frontiers and panel data. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 2(2):367–374, 1984.
- R. W. Shephard. *Cost and Production Functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1953.
- M. Silvapulle and P. Sen. *Constrained Statistical Inference*. WILEY, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2005.

- L. Simar and P. W. Wilson. Estimation and inference in two-stage, semi-parametric models of production processes. *Journal of Econometrics*, 136(1):31–64, 2007.
- L. Simar and P. W. Wilson. Inferences from cross-sectional, stochastic frontier models. *Econometric Reviews*, 29(1):62–98, 2010.
- L. Simar and P. W. Wilson. Estimation and inference in nonparametric frontier models: Recent developments and perspectives. *Foundations and Trends in Econometrics*, 5(2):183–337, 2013.
- L. Simar, C. A. K. Lovell, and P. van den Eeckaut. Stochastic frontiers incorporating exogenous influences on efficiency, 1994. Discussion Papers No. 9403, Institut de Statistique, Universite de Louvain.
- L. Simar, I. Van Keilegom, and V. Zelenyuk. Nonparametric least squares methods for stochastic frontier models, 2014. Centre for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis, Working Paper Series, No. WP03/2014.
- T. Sipiläinen and A. Oude Lansink. Learning in switching to organic farming. *Nordic Association of Agricultural Scientists NJF Report*, 1(1), 2005.
- L. Stefanski and R. J. Carroll. Deconvoluting kernel density estimators. *Statistics*, 21(3):169–184, 1990.
- R. Stevenson. Likelihood functions for generalized stochastic frontier estimation. *Journal of Econometrics*, 13(1):58–66, 1980.
- G. Stigler. The Existence of X-Efficiency. *American Economic Review*, 66(1):213–236, 1976.
- C. Syverson. What determines productivity? *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49(2):326–365, 2011.
- R. Taube. *Möglichkeiten der Effizienzmessung von öffentlichen Verwaltungen*. Duncker & Humboldt GmbH, Berlin, 1988.
- L. W. Tauer. Cost of production for stanchion versus parlor milking in New York. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 81(4):567–569, 1998.
- C. P. Timmer. Using a probabilistic frontier production function to measure technical efficiency. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 79(4):776–794, July-August 1971.
- E. G. Tsionas and S. C. Kumbhakar. Markov switching stochastic frontier model. *Econometrics Journal*, 7(2):398–425, 2004.
- E. G. Tsionas, S. C. Kumbhakar, and E. Malikov. Estimation of input distance functions: A system approach, 2014. State University of New York at Binghamton Working Paper.

- D. M. Waldman. A stationary point for the stochastic frontier likelihood. *Journal of Econometrics*, 18(1):275–279, 1982.
- H.-J. Wang. Heteroscedasticity and non-monotonic efficiency effects of a stochastic frontier model. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 18(2):241–253, 2002.
- H.-J. Wang and C.-W. Ho. Estimating fixed-effect panel stochastic frontier models by model transformation. *Journal of Econometrics*, 157(2):286–296, 2010.
- H.-J. Wang and P. Schmidt. One-step and two-step estimation of the effects of exogenous variables on technical efficiency levels. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 18:129–144, 2002.
- W. S. Wang and P. Schmidt. On the distribution of estimated technical efficiency in stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 148(1):36–45, 2009.
- W. S. Wang, C. Amsler, and P. Schmidt. Goodness of fit tests in stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 35(1):95–118, 2011.
- P. Wheat, B. Greene, and A. Smith. Understanding prediction intervals for firm specific inefficiency scores from parametric stochastic frontier models. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 42:55–65, 2014.
- H. White. A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica*, 48:817–838, 1980.
- M. Wollni and B. Brümmer. Productive efficiency of speciality and conventional coffee farmers in Costa Rica: Accounting for technological heterogeneity and self-selection. *Food Policy*, 37(1):67–76, 2012.
- J. M. Wooldridge. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2nd edition, 2010.