

# **A Simple Method for Predicting Covariance Matrices of Financial Returns**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics**

*Factor Extraction in Dynamic Factor Models: Kalman Filter Versus Principal Components*

Esther Ruiz and Pilar Poncela

ISBN:978-1-63828-096-5

*Performance Analysis: Economic Foundations and Trends*

Valentin Zelenyuk

ISBN: 978-1-68083-866-4

*Experiments: A Survey*

Peter G. Moffatt

ISBN: 978-1-68083-792-6

*Climate Econometrics: An Overview*

Jennifer L. Castle and David F. Hendry

ISBN: 978-1-68083-708-7

*Foundations of Stated Preference Elicitation: Consumer Behavior and Choice-based Conjoint Analysis*

Moshe Ben-Akiva, Daniel McFadden and Kenneth Train

ISBN: 978-1-68083-526-7

# A Simple Method for Predicting Covariance Matrices of Financial Returns

---

**Kasper Johansson**  
Stanford University  
kasperjo@stanford.edu

**Mehmet G. Ogut**  
Stanford University  
giray98@stanford.edu

**Markus Pelger**  
Stanford University  
mpelger@stanford.edu

**Thomas Schmelzer**  
Stanford University  
Abu Dhabi Investment Authority  
thomas.schmelzer@adia.ae

**Stephen Boyd**  
Stanford University  
boyd@stanford.edu

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

K. Johansson *et al.*. *A Simple Method for Predicting Covariance Matrices of Financial Returns*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 324–407, 2023.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-309-6

© 2023 K. Johansson *et al.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

**Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics**  
Volume 12, Issue 4, 2023  
**Editorial Board**

**Editor-in-Chief**

**William H. Greene**  
New York University  
United States

**Editors**

Manuel Arellano  
*CEMFI Spain*

Wiji Arulampalam  
*University of Warwick*

Orley Ashenfelter  
*Princeton University*

Jushan Bai  
*Columbia University*

Badi Baltagi  
*Syracuse University*

Anil Bera  
*University of Illinois*

Tim Bollerslev  
*Duke University*

David Brownstone  
*UC Irvine*

Xiaohong Chen  
*Yale University*

Steven Durlauf  
*University of Chicago*

Amos Golan  
*American University*

Bill Griffiths  
*University of Melbourne*

James Heckman  
*University of Chicago*

Jan Kiviet  
*University of Amsterdam*

Gary Koop  
*The University of Strathclyde*

Michael Lechner  
*University of St. Gallen*

Lung-Fei Lee  
*Ohio State University*

Larry Marsh  
*Notre Dame University*

James MacKinnon  
*Queens University*

Bruce McCullough  
*Drexel University*

Jeff Simonoff  
*New York University*

Joseph Terza  
*Purdue University*

Ken Train  
*UC Berkeley*

Pravin Trivedi  
*Indiana University*

Adonis Yatchew  
*University of Toronto*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Econometric Models
- Simultaneous Equation Models
- Estimation Frameworks
- Biased Estimation
- Computational Problems
- Microeconometrics
- Treatment Modeling
- Discrete Choice Modeling
- Models for Count Data
- Duration Models
- Limited Dependent Variables
- Panel Data
- Time Series Analysis
- Latent Variable Models
- Qualitative Response Models
- Hypothesis Testing
- Econometric Theory
- Financial Econometrics
- Measurement Error in Survey Data
- Productivity Measurement and Analysis
- Semiparametric and Nonparametric Estimation
- Bootstrap Methods
- Nonstationary Time Series
- Robust Estimation

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics, 2023, Volume 12, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3076. ISSN online version 1551-3084. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Covariance Prediction . . . . .	3
1.2	Contributions . . . . .	4
1.3	Outline . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Some Common Covariance Predictors</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Rolling Window . . . . .	6
2.2	EWMA . . . . .	7
2.3	GARCH and MGARCH . . . . .	7
2.4	DCC GARCH . . . . .	9
2.5	Iterated EWMA . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Combined Multiple Iterated EWMA</b> s	<b>12</b>
3.1	Dynamically Weighted Prediction Combiner . . . . .	12
3.2	Choosing the Weights via Convex Optimization . . . . .	13
<b>4</b>	<b>Evaluating Covariance Predictors</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Mean Squared Error . . . . .	16
4.2	Log-likelihood . . . . .	16
4.3	Log-likelihood Regret . . . . .	17
4.4	Portfolio Performance . . . . .	18

<b>5</b>	<b>Data Sets and Experimental Setup</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	Data Sets . . . . .	22
5.2	Six Covariance Predictors . . . . .	26
<b>6</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>28</b>
6.1	CM-IEWMA Component Weights . . . . .	28
6.2	Mean Squared Error . . . . .	28
6.3	Log-likelihood and Log-likelihood Regret . . . . .	32
6.4	Portfolio Performance . . . . .	38
6.5	Summary . . . . .	47
<b>7</b>	<b>Realized Covariance</b>	<b>48</b>
7.1	Combined Multiple Realized EWMA's . . . . .	49
7.2	Data and Experimental Setup . . . . .	50
7.3	Empirical Results . . . . .	50
<b>8</b>	<b>Large Universes</b>	<b>56</b>
8.1	Traditional Factor Model . . . . .	57
8.2	Fitting a Factor Model to a Covariance Matrix . . . . .	58
8.3	Data and Experimental Setup . . . . .	61
8.4	Empirical Results . . . . .	62
<b>9</b>	<b>Smooth Covariance Predictions</b>	<b>66</b>
9.1	Data and Experimental Setup . . . . .	67
9.2	Empirical Results . . . . .	67
<b>10</b>	<b>Simulating Returns</b>	<b>74</b>
10.1	Data and Experimental Setup . . . . .	74
10.2	Empirical Results . . . . .	76
<b>11</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>77</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>78</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>79</b>



# A Simple Method for Predicting Covariance Matrices of Financial Returns

Kasper Johansson<sup>1</sup>, Mehmet G. Ogut<sup>2</sup>, Markus Pelger<sup>3</sup>,  
Thomas Schmelzer<sup>4</sup> and Stephen Boyd<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, USA; kasperjo@stanford.edu*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, USA; giray98@stanford.edu*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University, USA; mpelger@stanford.edu*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, USA, and Abu Dhabi Investment Authority, UAE; thomas.schmelzer@adia.ae*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, USA; boyd@stanford.edu*

---

## ABSTRACT

We consider the well-studied problem of predicting the time-varying covariance matrix of a vector of financial returns. Popular methods range from simple predictors like rolling window or exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) to more sophisticated predictors such as generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedastic (GARCH) type methods. Building on a specific covariance estimator suggested by Engle in 2002, we propose a relatively simple extension that requires little or no tuning or fitting, is interpretable, and produces results at least as good as MGARCH, a popular

---

Kasper Johansson, Mehmet G. Ogut, Markus Pelger, Thomas Schmelzer and Stephen Boyd (2023), “A Simple Method for Predicting Covariance Matrices of Financial Returns”, *Foundations and Trends*<sup>®</sup> in Econometrics: Vol. 12, No. 4, pp 324–407. DOI: 10.1561/08000000047.

©2023 K. Johansson *et al.*

extension of GARCH that handles multiple assets. To evaluate predictors we introduce a novel approach, evaluating the regret of the log-likelihood over a time period such as a quarter. This metric allows us to see not only how well a covariance predictor does overall, but also how quickly it reacts to changes in market conditions. Our simple predictor outperforms MGARCH in terms of regret. We also test covariance predictors on downstream applications such as portfolio optimization methods that depend on the covariance matrix. For these applications our simple covariance predictor and MGARCH perform similarly.

---

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

### 1.1 Covariance Prediction

We consider cross-sections, *e.g.*, a vector time series of  $n$  financial returns, denoted  $r_t \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $(r_t)_i$  is the return of asset  $i$  from  $t - 1$  to  $t$ . We focus on the case where the mean  $\mathbf{E} r_t$  is small enough that the second moment  $\mathbf{E} r_t r_t^T \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is a good approximation of the covariance matrix  $\mathbf{cov}(r_t) = \mathbf{E} r_t r_t^T - (\mathbf{E} r_t)(\mathbf{E} r_t)^T$ , where  $\mathbf{E}$  denotes expectation. This is the case for most daily, weekly, or monthly stock, bond, and futures returns, factor returns, and index returns. We start by focussing on the case where the number of assets  $n$  is modest, say, on the order 10–100 or so; in Section 8 we explain how to extend the method to much larger universes using ideas such as factor models.

We model the returns  $r_t$  as independent random variables with zero mean and covariance  $\Sigma_t \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^n$  (the set of symmetric positive definite matrices). We focus on the problem of predicting or estimating  $\Sigma_t$ , based on knowledge of  $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}$ . The prediction is denoted as  $\hat{\Sigma}_t \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^n$ . The predicted volatilities of assets are given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_t = \mathbf{diag}(\hat{\Sigma}_t)^{1/2} \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where **diag** with a matrix argument is the vector of diagonal entries of the matrix, and the squareroot of a vector above is elementwise. We denote the predicted correlations as

$$\hat{R}_t = \mathbf{diag}(\hat{\sigma}_t)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{diag}(\hat{\sigma}_t)^{-1},$$

where **diag** with a vector argument is the diagonal matrix with entries from the vector argument.

Covariance estimation comes up in several areas of finance, including Markowitz portfolio construction (Markowitz, 1952; Grinold and Kahn, 2000), risk management (McNeil *et al.*, 2015), and asset pricing (Sharpe, 1964). Much attention has been devoted to this problem, and a Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences was awarded for work directly related to volatility estimation (Engle, 1982).

While it is well known that the tails of financial returns are poorly modeled by a Gaussian distribution, our focus here is on the bulk of the distribution, where the Gaussian assumption is reasonable. For future use, we note that the log-likelihood of an observed return  $r_t$ , under the Gaussian distribution  $r_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\Sigma}_t)$ , is

$$l_t(\hat{\Sigma}_t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -n \log(2\pi) - \log \det \hat{\Sigma}_t - r_t^T \hat{\Sigma}_t^{-1} r_t \right). \quad (1.1)$$

The Gaussian log-likelihood is closely related to a popular metric for evaluating covariance predictors in econometrics, called the (Gaussian) quasi-likelihood (QLIKE) (Patton, 2011; Patton and Sheppard, 2009; Laurent *et al.*, 2013). QLIKE is the negative log-likelihood, under the Gaussian assumption, up to an additive constant and a positive scale factor. Roughly speaking, we seek covariance predictors that achieve large values of log-likelihood, or small values of QLIKE, on realized returns. We will describe the evaluation of covariance predictors in detail in Section 4.

## 1.2 Contributions

This monograph makes three contributions. First, we propose a new method for predicting the time-varying covariance matrix of a vector of financial returns, building on a specific covariance estimator suggested by

Engle in 2002. Our method is a relatively simple extension that requires very little tuning and is readily interpretable. It relies on solving a small convex optimization problem, which can be carried out very quickly and reliably (Boyd and Vandenberghe, 2004). Our method performs as well as much more complex methods, as measured by several metrics.

Our second contribution is to propose a new method for evaluating a covariance predictor, by considering the regret of the log-likelihood over some time period such as a quarter. This approach allows us to evaluate how quickly a covariance estimator reacts to changes in market conditions.

Our third contribution is an extensive empirical study of covariance predictors. We compare our new method to other popular predictors, including rolling window, exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA), and generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedastic (GARCH) type methods. We find that our method performs slightly better than other predictors. However, even the simplest predictors perform well for practical problems like portfolio optimization.

Everything needed to reproduce our results, together with an open source implementation of our proposed covariance predictor, is available online at:

[https://github.com/cvxgrp/cov\\_pred\\_finance](https://github.com/cvxgrp/cov_pred_finance).

### 1.3 Outline

In Section 2 we describe some common predictors, including the one that our method builds on. We introduce our proposed covariance predictor in Section 3. In Section 4 we discuss methods for validating covariance predictors that measure both overall performance and reactivity to market changes. We describe the data we use in our first empirical studies in Section 5, and give the results in Section 6.

In the next sections we discuss some extensions of and variations on our method, including realized covariance prediction (Section 7), handling large universes via factor models (Section 8), obtaining smooth covariance estimates (Section 9), and using our covariance model to generate simulated returns (Section 10).

## References

---

- Ait-Sahalia, Y. and D. Xiu. (2017). “Using principal component analysis to estimate a high dimensional factor model with high-frequency data”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 201(2): 384–399.
- Alexander, C. and A. Chibumba. (1997). “Multivariate orthogonal factor GARCH”. *University of Sussex, Mimeo*.
- Andersen, T., T. Bollerslev, P. Christoffersen, and F. Diebold. (2006). “Volatility and Correlation Forecasting”. In: ed. by G. Elliott, C. Granger, and A. Timmermann. Vol. 1. *Handbook of Economic Forecasting*. Elsevier. 777–878.
- Bai, J. (2003). “Inferential theory for factor models of large dimensions”. *Econometrica*. 71(1): 135–171.
- Bai, J. and S. Ng. (2008). “Large dimensional factor analysis”. *Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics*. 3(2): 89–163.
- Barratt, S. and S. Boyd. (2022). “Covariance prediction via convex optimization”. *Optimization and Engineering*.
- Bauwens, L., S. Laurent, and J. Rombouts. (2006). “Multivariate GARCH models: a survey”. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 21(1): 79–109.
- Bauwens, L. and E. Otranto. (2023). “Modeling realized covariance matrices: a class of Hadamard exponential models”. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*. 21(4): 1376–1401.

- Bauwens, L., G. Storti, and F. Violante. (2012). “Dynamic conditional correlation models for realized covariance matrices”. *CORE DP*. 60: 104–108.
- Bishop, C. (2006). *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. Vol. 4. No. 4. Springer.
- Bollerslev, T. (1986). “Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 31(3): 307–327.
- Bollerslev, T. (1990). “Modelling the Coherence in Short-Run Nominal Exchange Rates: A Multivariate Generalized ARCH Model”. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*. 72(3): 498–505.
- Bollerslev, T., R. Engle, and J. Wooldridge. (1988). “A Capital Asset Pricing Model with Time-Varying Covariances”. *Journal of Political Economy*. 96(1): 116–131.
- Bollerslev, T., A. Patton, and R. Quaedvlieg. (2020). “Multivariate leverage effects and realized semicovariance GARCH models”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 217(2): 411–430.
- Boyd, S. and L. Vandenberghe. (2004). *Convex optimization*. Cambridge University Press.
- Boyd, S. and L. Vandenberghe. (2023). “Convex Optimization Additional Exercises”. URL: [https://github.com/cvxgrp/cvxbook\\_additional\\_exercises](https://github.com/cvxgrp/cvxbook_additional_exercises).
- Braga, M. (2015). *Risk-based approaches to asset allocation: concepts and practical applications*. Springer.
- Brooks, C., S. Burke, and G. Persaud. (2003). “Multivariate GARCH models: software choice and estimation issues”. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 18(6): 725–734.
- Choueifaty, Y. and Y. Coignard. (2008). “Toward maximum diversification”. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*. 35(1): 40–51.
- Cochrane, J. (2009). *Asset pricing: Revised edition*. Princeton university press.
- De Nard, G., O. Engle R. and Ledoit, and M. Wolf. (2022). “Large dynamic covariance matrices: Enhancements based on intraday data”. *Journal of Banking & Finance*. 138: 106426.
- De Nard, G., O. Ledoit, and M. Wolf. (2021). “Factor models for portfolio selection in large dimensions: The good, the better and the ugly”. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*. 19(2): 236–257.

- deBrito, D., M. Medeiros, and R. Ribeiro. (2018). “Forecasting Large Realized Covariance Matrices: The Benefits of Factor Models and Shrinkage”. Available at SSRN 3163668.
- Dempster, A., N. Laird, and D. Rubin. (1977). “Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm”. *Journal of the royal statistical society: series B (methodological)*. 39(1): 1–22.
- Engle, R. (1982). “Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation”. *Econometrica*. 50(4): 987–1007. (Accessed on 03/05/2023).
- Engle, R. (2002). “Dynamic Conditional Correlation”. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*. 20(3): 339–350.
- Engle, R. and K. Kroner. (1995). “Multivariate Simultaneous Generalized ARCH”. *Econometric Theory*. 11(1): 122–150.
- Engle, R., O. Ledoit, and M. Wolf. (2019). “Large dynamic covariance matrices”. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*. 37(2): 363–375.
- Engle, R. and K. Sheppard. (2001). “Theoretical and empirical properties of dynamic conditional correlation multivariate GARCH”.
- Fama, E. and K. French. (1992). “The cross-section of expected stock returns”. *the Journal of Finance*. 47(2): 427–465.
- Fama, E. and K. French. (1993). “Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds”. *Journal of financial economics*. 33(1): 3–56.
- Fan, J., A. Furger, and D. Xiu. (2016). “Incorporating global industrial classification standard into portfolio allocation: A simple factor-based large covariance matrix estimator with high-frequency data”. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*. 34(4): 489–503.
- French, K. (2023). “Kenneth French Data Library”. URL: [https://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french/data\\_library.html%5C#Research](https://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french/data_library.html%5C#Research).
- Ghalanos, A. (2019). “rmgarch: Multivariate GARCH models”. *R package version*: 1–3.
- Golub, G. and C. Van Loan. (2013). *Matrix computations*. JHU press.
- Grinold, R. and R. Kahn. (2000). “Active portfolio management”.
- Hansen, P., Z. Huang, and H. Shek. (2012). “Realized GARCH: a joint model for returns and realized measures of volatility”. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 27(6): 877–906.



- Hansen, P., A. Lunde, and J. Nason. (2011). “The model confidence set”. *Econometrica*. 79(2): 453–497.
- Hastie, T., R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman. (2009). *The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction*. Vol. 2. Springer.
- Hautsch, N., L. Kyj, and P. Malec. (2015). “Do high-frequency data improve high-dimensional portfolio allocations?” *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 30(2): 263–290.
- Hazan, E. (2016). “Introduction to online convex optimization”. *Foundations and Trends® in Optimization*. 2(3-4): 157–325.
- Hazan, E., A. Agarwal, and S. Kale. (2007). “Logarithmic regret algorithms for online convex optimization”. *Machine Learning*. 69(2-3): 169–192.
- Jordan, M. and R. Jacobs. (1994). “Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the EM algorithm”. *Neural computation*. 6(2): 181–214.
- Laurent, S., J. Rombouts, and F. Violante. (2013). “On loss functions and ranking forecasting performances of multivariate volatility models”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 173(1): 1–10.
- Ledoit, O. and M. Wolf. (2003). “Improved estimation of the covariance matrix of stock returns with an application to portfolio selection”. *Journal of empirical finance*. 10(5): 603–621.
- Ledoit, O. and M. Wolf. (2004). “Honey, I Shrunk the Sample Covariance Matrix”. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*. 30(4): 110–119.
- Ledoit, O. and M. Wolf. (2008). “Robust performance hypothesis testing with the Sharpe ratio”. *Journal of Empirical Finance*. 15(5): 850–859.
- Lettau, M. and M. Pelger. (2020a). “Estimating latent asset-pricing factors”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 218(1): 1–31.
- Lettau, M. and M. Pelger. (2020b). “Factors that fit the time series and cross-section of stock returns”. *The Review of Financial Studies*. 33(5): 2274–2325.
- Longerstae, J. and M. Spencer. (1996). *Riskmetrics: Technical Document*. JP Morgan and Reuters.
- Markowitz, H. (1952). “Portfolio Selection”. *The Journal of Finance*. 7(1): 77–91.

- McNeil, A., R. Frey, and P. Embrechts. (2015). *Quantitative risk management: concepts, techniques and tools-revised edition*. Princeton university press.
- Menchero, J., D. Orr, and J. Wang. (2011). *The Barra US equity model (USE4), methodology notes*. MSCI Barra.
- Mincer, J. and V. Zarnowitz. (1969). “The evaluation of economic forecasts”. In: *Economic forecasts and expectations: Analysis of forecasting behavior and performance*. NBER. 3–46.
- Mokhtari, A., S. Shahrapour, A. Jadbabaie, and A. Ribeiro. (2016). “Online optimization in dynamic environments: Improved regret rates for strongly convex problems”. In: *2016 IEEE 55th Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*. 7195–7201.
- Oh, D. and A. Patton. (2016). “High-dimensional copula-based distributions with mixed frequency data”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 193(2): 349–366.
- Patton, A. (2011). “Volatility forecast comparison using imperfect volatility proxies”. *Journal of Econometrics*. 160(1): 246–256.
- Patton, A. and K. Sheppard. (2009). “Evaluating volatility and correlation forecasts”. In: *Handbook of financial time series*. Springer. 801–838.
- Pelger, M. (2020). “Understanding systematic risk: A high-frequency approach”. *The Journal of Finance*. 75(4): 2179–2220.
- Pelger, M. (2023). *Markus Pelger’s Data and Code*. URL: <https://mpelger.people.stanford.edu/data-and-code>.
- Pelger, M. and R. Xiong. (2022a). “Interpretable sparse proximate factors for large dimensions”. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*. 40(4): 1642–1664.
- Pelger, M. and R. Xiong. (2022b). “State-Varying Factor Models of Large Dimensions”. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*. 40(3): 1315–1333.
- Qian, E. (2011). “Risk Parity and Diversification”. *The Journal of Investing*. 20(1): 119–127. DOI: [10.3905/joi.2011.20.1.119](https://doi.org/10.3905/joi.2011.20.1.119).
- Rubin, D. and D. Thayer. (1982). “EM algorithms for ML factor analysis”. *Psychometrika*. 47: 69–76.
- Sharpe, W. (1964). “Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk”. *The Journal of Finance*. 19(3): 425–442.

- Silvennoinen, A. and T. Teräsvirta. (2009). “Multivariate GARCH models”. In: *Handbook of financial time series*. Springer. 201–229.
- Theil, H. (1961). *Economic forecasts and policy*. North-Holland Rotterdam.
- Vassallo, D., G. Buccheri, and F. Corsi. (2021). “A DCC-type approach for realized covariance modeling with score-driven dynamics”. *International Journal of Forecasting*. 37(2): 569–586.
- Vrontos, I., P. Dellaportas, and D. Politis. (2003). “A full-factor multivariate GARCH model”. *The Econometrics Journal*. 6(2): 312–334.
- Weide, R. van der. (2002). “GO-GARCH: A Multivariate Generalized Orthogonal GARCH Model”. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 17(5): 549–564.
- “Wharton Research Data Services”. (2023). URL: <https://wrds-web.wharton.upenn.edu/wrds/>.
- Zinkevich, M. (2003). “Online Convex Programming and Generalized Infinitesimal Gradient Ascent”.