
Foundations of High Impact Entrepreneurship

Foundations of High Impact Entrepreneurship

Zoltan J. Acs

*School of Public Policy
George Mason University
Fairfax, VA 22030-4444
USA
zacs@gmu.edu*

now

the essence of **knowledge**

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is Z. J. Acs, Foundations of High Impact Entrepreneurship, Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship, vol 4, no 6, pp 535–620, 2008

ISBN: 978-1-60198-142-4

© 2008 Z. J. Acs

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Entrepreneurship**
Volume 4 Issue 6, 2008
Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief:

Zoltan J. Acs

George Mason University
zacs@gmu.edu

David B. Audretsch

Max Planck Institut
audretsch@mpiew-jena.mpg.de
Indiana University
daudrets@indiana.edu

Editors

Howard Aldrich, University of North Carolina
Sharon Alvarez, Ohio State University
Mark Casson, University of Reading
Per Davidsson, Queensland University of Technology
William B. Gartner, Clemson University
Sharon Gifford, Rutgers University
Magnus Henrekson, The Research Institute of Industrial Economics
Michael A. Hitt, Texas A&M University
Joshua Lerner, Harvard University
Simon Parker, University of Durham
Paul Reynolds, Florida International University
Kelly G. Shaver, College of William and Mary
David Storey, University of Warwick
Patricia Thornton, Duke University
Roy Thurik, Erasmus University
Gregory Udell, Indiana University
Sankaran Venkataraman, Batten Institute
Paul Westhead, Nottingham University Business School
Shaker Zahra, University of Minnesota

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Nascent and start-up entrepreneurs
- Opportunity recognition
- New venture creation process
- Business formation
- Firm ownership
- Market value and firm growth
- Franchising
- Managerial characteristics and behavior of entrepreneurs
- Strategic alliances and networks
- Government programs and public policy
- Gender and ethnicity
- New business financing:
- Business angels
- Bank financing, debt, and trade credit
- Venture capital and private equity capital
- Public equity and IPO's
- Family-owned firms
- Management structure, governance and performance
- Corporate entrepreneurship
- High technology
- Technology-based new firms
- High-tech clusters
- Small business and economic growth

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship, 2008, Volume 4, 6 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3114. ISSN online version 1551-3122. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends® in
Entrepreneurship
Vol. 4, No. 6 (2008) 535–620
© 2008 Z. J. Acs
DOI: 10.1561/03000000025



Foundations of High Impact Entrepreneurship*

Zoltan J. Acs

*School of Public Policy, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive,
Fairfax, VA 22030-4444, USA, zacs@gmu.edu*

Abstract

This survey reviews the theoretical literature on high impact entrepreneurship. The survey is guided in part by the recent classification changes at the *Journal of Economic Literature* (JEL) regarding entrepreneurship. The board voted to create a new classification code, L26, for entrepreneurship. The JEL intends to use this code for all articles and books that focus on economic questions related to entrepreneurial activity. Publications related to questions on occupational choice issues will be cross classified with J23; those focusing on innovation and entrepreneurship will be cross classified with O31; those focusing on finance will be cross classified with G24 Investment

*This project was conceived while I was a scholar in residence at the Kauffman Foundation. I would like to thank Robert E. Litan, Robert J. Strom, William J. Baumol, and Carl J. Schramm for their valuable insights on the early stage of this project and Alyse Freilich for valuable assistance with the manuscript. Sameeksha Desai, Lawrence Plummer, Siri Terjesen and Robert Wuebker assembled the literature and offered valuable insights on several drafts of the manuscript. Section 4 has benefited greatly from my long-term collaboration with David B. Audretsch and our recent project on entrepreneurship and growth with Bo Carlson and Pontus Braunerhjelm. The policy section draws heavily on the Kauffman Roadmap for Entrepreneurship Policy. The Kauffman Foundation provided generous financial support.

Banking, venture capital, brokerage and rating agencies; those focusing on new firms, start ups; and business related publications on how to be an entrepreneur will be cross classified (or solely classified) with M13. What does this economic literature tell us about entrepreneurship? In order to answer the questions this review covers the intersection of entrepreneurship with labor markets, innovation, and capital markets — the three pillars of high impact entrepreneurship.

Keywords: Entrepreneurship; high impact firms; occupational choice; innovation; finance; policy; leveraged start-ups.

JEL codes: L26, O31, J23, G24

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Definition of High Impact Entrepreneurship	5
2 Stylized Facts	9
2.1 Entrepreneurship Data Over Time	9
2.2 High Impact Firms	12
3 Entrepreneurship and Occupational Choice (J24–L26)	17
3.1 Occupational Choice	17
3.2 Non-financial Factors in Occupational Choice	19
3.3 Human Capital Theories and the Decision to Become an Entrepreneur	20
3.4 Likelihood of Entrepreneurial Success	24
4 Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Technological Change (O3-L2)	27
4.1 The Knowledge Production Function	27
4.2 The Knowledge Spillover Theory of Entrepreneurship	29

4.3	Entrepreneurship as a Conduit for Knowledge Spillovers	31
4.4	The Knowledge Filter	34
5	High Impact Entrepreneurship and Capital Markets (G24-L26)	37
5.1	Liquidity Constraints	38
5.2	Information Asymmetries	39
5.3	Debt vs. Equity Financing	41
5.4	Private Equity and Venture Capital	43
5.5	Exit Strategies for Innovative Entrepreneurial Firms	46
5.6	The Globalization of the Venture Capital Market	49
6	Public Policy in an Entrepreneurial Economy	51
6.1	Defining “Entrepreneurship Policy”	52
6.2	Policies Relating to Fostering Occupational Choice	54
6.3	Policies Promoting Innovation and Entrepreneurship	63
6.4	Capital Markets Regulation and Finance	65
6.5	Regulation, Litigation, and the Rule of Law	67
7	Concluding Observations on the Literature on Entrepreneurship	69
	References	71

Introduction

Entrepreneurs recognize the latent power and utility of inventions and play a crucial role in bringing those inventions to market. These entrepreneurs — those that Schumpeter described as “the promoters of new combinations” — are individuals who can both see new possibilities and assess market needs (Acs and Audretsch, 2003). *High Impact Entrepreneurship* (HIE) is fundamentally the study of the actions of individuals responding to market opportunities by bringing inventions to market that create wealth and growth. These entrepreneurs are distinct from mere creators of new firms, those that replicate thousands of other establishments. According to Leibenstein (1968, pp. 72, 73, emphasis added):¹

“We may distinguish two broad types of entrepreneurial activity: at one pole there is routine entrepreneurship, *which is really a type of management*, and for the rest of the spectrum we have Schumpeterian or “new type” entrepreneurship... By routine entrepreneurship we mean the activities involved in coordinating and

¹This review therefore does not cover studies on JEL M13.

carrying on a well established, going concern in which the parts of the production function in use (and likely alternatives to current use) are well known and which operates in well-established and clearly defined markets.” By high impact entrepreneurship “... we mean the activities necessary to create or carry on an enterprise where not all the markets are well established or clearly defined and/or in which the relevant parts of the production function are not completely known.”

It is certainly the case that replicative entrepreneurs can be of great social significance. However, innovative entrepreneurs — the focus of this essay — ensure the utilization of invention, contribute to increased productivity, and both facilitate and contribute to economic growth. Again Leibenstein (1968, pp. 79, 80):

The input-completing and gap-filling capacities of the potential entrepreneurial pool determines the response of members of this pool to changes in opportunities and motivational states. An important aspect of the abilities involved is both the perception of economic opportunities and the capacity to assess such opportunities. These are presumably determined in part by factors exogenous to the system such as those involved in nurture, informal training, experience, as well as formal education of individuals.

In recent years, economists have come to recognize the crucial role of entrepreneurs in innovation and growth and the significant contribution of innovation and growth to prosperity and economic welfare (Acs and Armington, 2006; Schramm, 2006; Audretsch, 2007). Innovation and growth — much more than state-guided efforts to ameliorate static “market failures” such as monopoly power — allow economies to lift individuals out of poverty and to provide for growing and aging populations. Leibenstein goes on: (1978, p. 50)

[Only] those individuals who have the necessary skills to perceive entrepreneurial opportunities, to carry out

the required input gap filling activities, and to be input-completers can be entrepreneurs.

Indeed, for developed countries high impact entrepreneurship has become the main form of entrepreneurship driving their economies. With this recognition has come a growing interest by the economics profession in the phenomenon of entrepreneurship: the role it plays in the economy, the process of new and innovative business creation, the personal attributes of entrepreneurs, and the public policies that encourage entrepreneurial success.

While this essay will explore and summarize the theoretical literature on high impact entrepreneurship, it is important to note upfront that economics lacks a body of formal theory that corresponds to the other three factors of production — land, labor, and capital (Baumol, 1968, 2005).² The absence of the entrepreneur from value theory does not mean that the study of entrepreneurship is void of theory. While no formal value theory exists a large body of literature on labor markets, technological change, and capital markets — the three pillars of high impact entrepreneurship — makes our understanding of the economic landscape far from incomplete. Thus, this essay for the first time seeks to survey the theoretical literature on high impact entrepreneurship in order to address these issues and reveal the policies that do the most to encourage high impact entrepreneurship.³

This survey proceeds as follows. After defining the concept, Section 2 frames our discussion of entrepreneurship through the exposition of a collection of stylized facts concerning the rate of entrepreneurship, focusing our attention on high impact entrepreneurship. In Section 3, we examine the question “why do people choose to become entrepreneurs” from the perspective of labor market theories on occupational choice. Section 4 examines the role of entrepreneurship and innovation, paying particular attention to the various modes of available entrepreneurial activity. Section 5 examines the financing of

²For an up to date discussion of this issue see Bianchi and Henrekson (2005).

³For a review of the broader theoretical and historical literature on entrepreneurship see Parker (2004, 2005), Hebert and Link (2007), Acs and Audretsch (2003) and Casson et al. (2006). For a review of the empirical literature on high impact entrepreneurship, see van Praag and Verslot (2007).

entrepreneurial firms: the resources to them and the issues and limitations associated with various financing options. Finally, we close with a discussion of the policies that theory suggests will enhance the entrepreneurial activity and where researchers should, therefore, focus their efforts. While the policy section is written with the United States in mind and focuses on ways to improve the functioning of the three pillars of high impact entrepreneurship in the United States, the lessons from this analysis should be applicable to other countries, both developed and developing.

1

Definition of High Impact Entrepreneurship

The domain of high impact entrepreneurship (HIE) is parallel to the development of other entrepreneurship literatures — social entrepreneurship, ethnic entrepreneurship, family-owned business, international entrepreneurship, gender and entrepreneurship, self-employment. HIE is a “class” of entrepreneurship. As you might expect there are similarities between types, and important differences. The important differences can be best distinguished by examining the literatures that have floated around HIE but have yet to be integrated as a distinct domain: innovation, occupational choice, human capital, venture capital, endogenous growth, knowledge spillovers, capital markets, entrepreneurial rents, and even the personality bits of traditional entrepreneurship. The goal of HIE is more than growth and change — it is different from other domains primarily because it operates with leverage as its outcome.¹

We have been poking around like “blind men examining an elephant,” touching upon risk-bearing preferences of entrepreneurs, uncertainty, the magic of technical innovation, and the intermediaries that

¹I would like to thank Robert Wuebker for the following definition of HIE.

6 *Definition of High Impact Entrepreneurship*

have emerged to finance these special firms. HIE is innovation driven, operates in a highly uncertain environment and is Schumpeterian in outcome. Integrating these various literatures gives us a clear picture of what HIE is, where it is aligned with other types of entrepreneurship, and where it is not. HIE is a distinct domain of entrepreneurship research. When seen from this perspective one can surmise that many of the confounds in existing entrepreneurship research are the result of conflating different types of entrepreneurs.

From the new venture process springs the new business forms with which we are familiar: a local clothing boutique; a boulangerie; a local fast-food franchise; Google. The focus of this survey is the latter form at the earliest stage of its development: a sub-specie of entrepreneurial new venture known as a leveraged start-up. Leveraged start-ups are distinct from other types of businesses that get lumped into discussions about other nascent ventures: potential lifestyle businesses, a service business, a franchise, or anything else related to job replacement or job substitution. A company has to be more than small and newly founded to be a leveraged start-up. In this context, a leveraged start-up is a firm engaged in the act of innovation: the development and commercialization of disruptive breakthroughs that shift the wealth creation curve at the industry and the individual level. Often, those participating in a new venture fail to understand the distinction, and there are many entrepreneurs who think that they are engaged in a “leveraged start-up” when they are not: these companies are lifestyle businesses, franchises, consulting firms, and (eventually) venture capital funded zombie companies (Shane, 2008). The latter, however, is in part facilitated by the fact that, “... some percentage of those individuals that form firms to generate and appropriate economic rents do so because they believed they possessed rare knowledge about a market opportunity. Given this belief, these individuals may have behaved in way perfectly consistent with the theory developed here, only to discover that their knowledge was not valuable or not rare or both (Alvarez and Barney, 2004, p. 633).”

Leverage is a key component of any high impact start-up, and entails *being a product business and not a service business*. To be a leveraged start-up you have to be interested in selling one thing to a lot of people

rather than a lot of different or semi-custom products to individual clients. This is not a strict dichotomy: products and services business range along a continuum. It is a state of mind, an intention implicit in the notion of being a product business is that start-ups are growth businesses, not job replacement businesses.

Recent research has done a decent job of unpacking the previously confounded distinction between different types of entrepreneurial ventures. Entrepreneurs do not form leveraged start-ups as a substitute for a day job! That is because leveraged start-ups have nothing to do with job replacement. The essence of a leveraged start-up is the opportunity to shift the wealth curve, compress time, and get paid a multiple in the future for doing so. As (Alvarez and Barney, 2004, p. 633) point out: “. . . this entire analysis is based on the assumption that economic actors are seeking to generate and appropriate economic rents in their organizing decision, and that they are interested in minimizing the costs of doing so.”

Understanding the essential nature of the leveraged start-up exchange — *building a growth business and shifting the wealth creation curve* — helps to explain why those engaged in the process of building new ventures and those studying them encourage individuals to start early (Reynolds, 2007). There are some times that are more advantageous than others to be an entrepreneur. How an entrepreneur frames risk is not the issue here. How much attention an entrepreneur can devote to the business, and how aligned their life is for the single-minded pursuit of business success is the crucial success factor.

The leveraged start-up by definition is a new organization founded by an entrepreneur who has identified an opportunity and has decided to act on it. In other words, the opportunity is objective and the recognition of the opportunity is subjective consistent with the theories of Schumpeter, Knight, and Hayek. This *de novo* start-up rests on the three foundations of high impact entrepreneurship. First, occupational choice explains how people choose to become entrepreneurs, why human capital matters, what kind of jobs do they leave and what kind of education do they have. Second, technological change explains how leveraged start-ups impact the economy through innovation by focusing on the knowledge spillover theory of entrepreneurship. In this theory agents

8 *Definition of High Impact Entrepreneurship*

in the possession of new knowledge is exogenous to the model and the agent endogenously engages in a leveraged start-up. The firm does not exist exogenously as it does in strategy and most theories of the firm — resource based theory, agency theory or transaction cost economics. Finally, how leveraged start-ups are financed is the final pillar that is examined. Again, venture capital is most applicable for the start-up firm. If the firm is exogenous to the model and endogenously engages in HIE there is no need for the study of leveraged start-ups. We now turn to the stylized facts.

References

- Acemoglu, D. and S. Johnson (2005), 'Unbundling institutions'. *Journal of Political Economy* **113**(5), 949–995.
- Acemoglu, D., S. Johnson, and J. Robinson (2005), 'Institutions as the fundamental cause of long-run growth'. In: P. Aghion and S. Durlauf (eds.): *Handbook of Economic Growth*. Elsevier/North Holland.
- Acs, Z. J. (1999), 'The development and expansion of secondary markets for small business loans'. In: J. L. Blanton, A. Williams, and S. L. W. Rhine (eds.): *Business Access to Capital and Credit*. A Federal Reserve System Research Conference, pp. 625–643.
- Acs, Z. J. and C. Armington (2006), *Entrepreneurship, Geography and American Economic Growth*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Acs, Z. J. and D. B. Audretsch (1987), 'Innovation, market structure and firm size'. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **69**(4), 567–575.
- Acs, Z. J. and D. B. Audretsch (1988), 'Innovation in large and small firms: An empirical analysis'. *American Economic Review* **78**(4), 678–690.
- Acs, Z. J. and D. B. Audretsch (1990), *Innovation and Small Firms*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

- Acs, Z. J. and D. B. Audretsch (2003), *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*. Boston: Springer Publishers.
- Acs, Z. J., D. B. Audretsch, P. Braunerhjelm, and B. Carlsson (2004), 'The missing link: The knowledge filter and endogenous growth'. London, UK: Center for Economic Policy Research Discussion Paper 4783.
- Acs, Z. J., D. B. Audretsch, P. Braunerhjelm, and B. Carlsson (2005), *The Knowledge Spillover Theory of Entrepreneurship*. London, UK: Center for Economic Policy Research. No. 5326.
- Acs, Z. J., D. B. Audretsch, and D. S. Evans (1994a), 'The determinates of variation in self-employment rates across countries and over time'. CERP Discussion Paper No. 871, London: CERP.
- Acs, Z. J., D. B. Audretsch, and M. P. Feldman (1992), 'Real effects of academic research'. *American Economic Review* **82**(1), 363–367.
- Acs, Z. J., D. B. Audretsch, and M. P. Feldman (1994b), 'R&D spillovers and recipient firm size'. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **100**(2), 336–367.
- Acs, Z. J., W. Parsons, and S. Tracy (2007), *High Impact Firms: Gazelles Revisited*. An Office of advocacy Working Paper, U.S. Small Business Administration, Washington, DC.
- Acs, Z. J. and M. Sanders (2008), *Intellectual Property Rights and the Knowledge Spillover Theory of Entrepreneurship*. Jena Germany: Max Planck Institute of Economics.
- Acs, Z. J. and F. Tarpley (1998), 'The angel capital network'. *Journal of Banking and Finance* **22**(6), 613–673.
- Acs, Z. J. and A. Varga (2002), 'Geography, endogenous growth and innovation'. *International Regional Science Review* **25**, 132–148.
- Admati, A. R. and P. Pfleiderer (1994), 'Robust financial contracting and the role of venture capitalists'. *Journal of Finance* **XLIX**(2), 371–402.
- Aghion, P., R. Blundell, R. Griffith, P. Howitt, and S. Prantl (2006), 'The effects of entry on incumbent innovation and productivity'. CERP Discussion Paper 5323, London: CERP.
- Akerlof, G. (1970), 'The market for "Lemons," qualitative uncertainty and the market mechanism'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **84**, 488–500.

- Alvarez, S. A. and J. B. Barney (2004), 'Organizing rent generation and appropriation: Towards a theory of the entrepreneurial firm'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **19**, 621–635.
- Amit, R., L. Glosten, and E. Muller (1990), 'Entrepreneurial ability, venture investments, and risk sharing'. *Management Science* **36**(10), 1232–1245.
- Anderson, S. and M. Platzer (2006), 'American made: The impact of immigrants and professionals on US competitiveness'. http://www.nvca.org/pdf/AmericanMade_study.pdf.
- Anselin, L. A., A. Varga, and Z. J. Acs (1997), 'Local geographic spillovers between university research and high technology innovations'. *Journal of Urban Economics* **42**(3), 422–448.
- Arrow, K. J. (1962), 'Economic welfare and the allocation of resources for invention'. In: R. R. Nelson (ed.): *The Rate and Direction of Inventive Activity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp. 609–626.
- Arthurs, J. D. and L. W. Busenitz (2003), 'The boundaries and limitations of agency theory and stewardship theory in the venture capitalist/entrepreneur relationship'. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice* **28**(2), 145–162.
- Audretsch, D. (1995), *Innovation and Industry Evolution*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Audretsch, D. B. (2003), 'Entrepreneurship policy and the strategic management of places'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-ups, and Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 20–38.
- Audretsch, D. B. and M. P. Feldman (1996), 'R&D spillovers and the geography of innovation and production'. *American Economic Review* **86**(3), 630–640.
- Audretsch, D. B. and P. E. Stephan (1996), 'Company-scientist locational links: The case of biotechnology'. *American Economic Review* **86**(3), 641–652.
- Audretsch, D. D. (2007), *The Entrepreneurial Society*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

- Avery, R., R. W. Bostic, and K. A. Samolyk (1998), 'The evolution of small business finance. The role of personal wealth'. *Journal of Banking and Finance* **22**(6), 1019–1061.
- Baldwin, W. L. and J. T. Scott (1987), *Market Structure and Technological Change*. London and New York: Harwood Academic Publishers.
- Barry, C. B., C. J. Muscarella, J. W. Peavy Iii, and M. R. Vetsuypens (1990), 'The role of venture capital in the creation of public companies: Evidence from the going-public process'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **27**(2), 447–471.
- Bates, T. (1985), 'Entrepreneur human capital endowments and minority business viability'. *The Journal of Human Resources* **20**(4), 540–554.
- Bates, T. (2003), 'Minority business assistance programs are not designed to produce minority business development'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-ups, Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 155–175.
- Baumol, W. (1968), 'Entrepreneurship in economic theory'. *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings* pp. 64–71.
- Baumol, W., R. Litan, and C. Schramm (2007), *Good Capitalism Bad Capitalism*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Baumol, W. J. (2005), 'Entrepreneurship and invention: Toward their microeconomic value theory'. Joint Center AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies, December 2005, Washington, DC.
- Baumol, W. J. and D. Swanson (2005), 'Reasonable and nondiscriminatory (RAND) royalties, standards selection, and control of market power'. *Antitrust Law Journal* **73**(1), 1–58.
- Baygan, G. and M. Freudenberg (2000), 'The internationalization of venture capital activity in OECD countries: Implications for measurement and policy: 54: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development'.
- Ben-David, J. and R. Collins (1966), 'Social factors in the origins of a new science: The case of psychology'. *American Sociological Review* **31**, 451–465.
- Berger, A. and U. Gregory (1998), 'The economics of small business finance: The role of private equity and debt markets in the financial growth cycle'. *Journal of Banking and Finance* **22**(6), 613–673.

- Berger, A. and F. G. Udell (2003), 'Small business and debt finance'. In: Z. A. Acs and D. B. Audretsch (eds.): *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*. Kluwer, pp. 299–328.
- Berger, A. and G. F. Udell (1995), 'Relationship lending and lines of credit in small firm finance'. *Journal of Business* **68**(3), 351–381.
- Berkovitch, E. and S. I. Greenbaum (1991), 'The loan commitment as an optimal financing contract'. *Journal Financial and Quantitative Analysis* **26**(1), 83–95.
- Bianchi, M. and M. Henrekson (2005). 'Is neoclassical economics still entrepreneurless?' *Kyklos* **58**(3), 353–377.
- Black, S. E. and P. E. Strahan (2004), 'Business formation and the deregulation of the banking industry'. In: D. Holtz-Eakin and H. S. Rosen (eds.): *Public Policy and the Economics of Entrepreneurship*. p. 232.
- Blanchflower, D. G. and A. J. Oswald (1998), 'What makes an entrepreneur?'. *Journal of Labor Economics* **16**(26), 26–60.
- Boot, A. W. A., A. V. Thakor, and G. Udell (1987), 'Competition, risk neutrality and loan commitments'. *Journal of Banking and Finance* **11**(3), 449–471.
- Borjas, G. J. (1986), 'The self-employment experience of immigrants'. *Journal of Human Resources* **21**(4), 485–506.
- Brau, J. C., B. Francis, and N. Kohers (2003), 'The choice of IPO versus takeover: Empirical evidence'. *Journal of Business* **76**(4), 583–612.
- Carlsson, B., Z. Acs, D. Audretsch, and P. Braunerhjelm (2007), 'The knowledge filter, entrepreneurship and economic growth'. Case Western Reserve University.
- Carroll, G. R. and E. Mosakowski (1987), 'The career dynamics of self-employment'. *Administrative Science Quarterly* **32**(4), 570–589.
- Casson, M. (2003), *The Entrepreneur*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, second edition.
- Casson, M., B. Yeung, and N. Wademan (2006), *The Oxford Handbook of Entrepreneurship*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chan, Y.-S. (1983), 'On the positive role of financial intermediation in allocation of venture capital in a market with imperfect information'. *Journal of Finance* **XXXVIII**(5), 1543–1568.

- Channon, D. (1979), 'Leadership and corporate performance in service industries'. *Journal of Management Studies* **16**, 185–201.
- Cohen, W. M. and S. Klepper (1991), 'Firm size versus diversity in the achievement of technological advance'. In: Z. J. Acs and D. B. Audretsch (eds.): *Innovation and Technological Change: An International Comparison*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, pp. 183–203.
- Cohen, W. M. and S. Klepper (1992), 'The tradeoff between firm size and diversity in the pursuit of technological progress'. *Small Business Economics* **4**(1), 1–14.
- Cohen, W. M. and R. C. Levin (1989), 'Empirical studies of innovation and market structure'. In: R. Schmalensee and R. Willig (eds.): *Handbook of Industrial Organization*, Vol. II. NorthHolland: Amsterdam, pp. 1059–1107.
- Collins, O. F. and D. G. Moore (1970), *The Organization Makers*. New York: Appleton Century Crofts.
- Cooper, A. C., F. J. Gimeno-Gascon, and C. Y. Woo (1994), 'Initial human and financial capital as predictors of new venture performance'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **9**(5), 371–395.
- Cornelius, B. and O. Persson (2006), 'Who's who in venture capital research'. *Technovation* **26**(2), 142–150.
- de Messa, D. and C. Southey (1996), 'The borrower's curse: Optimism, finance and entrepreneurship'. *Economic Journal* **106**(435), 375–386.
- Demirgucp-Kunt, A. and V. Maksimovic (1998), 'Law, finance and firm growth'. *Journal of Finance* **53**(6), 2107–2137.
- Desai, S. and Z. J. Acs (2007), *A Theory of Destructive Entrepreneurship*, School of Public Policy. George Mason University.
- Desoto, H. (2002), *The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Succeeds in the West and Fails Everywhere Else*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Diamond, D. W. (1984), 'Financial intermediation and delegated monitoring'. *Review of Economics Studies* **51**(3), 393–414.
- Dogan, M. and R. Pahre (1990), *Creative Marginality. Innovation at the Intersections of Social Sciences*. Boulder, San Francisco and Oxford: Westview Press.

- Dosi, G. (1988), 'Sources, procedures, and microeconomic effects of innovation'. *Journal of Economic Literature* **26**(3), 1120–1171.
- Dunn, T. and D. Holtz-Eakin (2000), 'Financial capital, human capital, and the transition to self-employment: Evidence from intergenerational links'. *Journal of Labor Economics* **18**(2), 282–305.
- Edge, D. and M. Michael (1976), *Astronomy Transformed*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Evans, D. S. and B. Jovanovic (1989), 'An estimated model of entrepreneurial choice under liquidity constraints'. *Journal of Political Economy* **97**(4), 808–827.
- Evans, D. S. and L. S. Leighton (1989), 'Some empirical aspects of entrepreneurship'. *American Economic Review* **79**(3), 519–535.
- Fairlie, R. E. (2004), 'Does business ownership provide a source of upward mobility for blacks and hispanics'. In: D. Holtz-Eakin and H. S. Rosen (eds.): *Public Policy and the Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press, pp. 153–179.
- Fairlie, R. W. and B. D. Meyer (1996), 'Ethnic and racial self-employment differences and possible explanations'. *The Journal of Human Resources* **31**(4), 757–793.
- Feldman, M. P. (2003), 'Entrepreneurship and american research universities: Evolution in technology transfer'. In: D. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-Ups, and Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 92–112.
- Florida, R. (2002), *The Rise of the Creative Class*. Basic Books.
- Florida, R. (2003), 'Entrepreneurship, creativity and regional economic growth'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-Ups, and Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 39–60.
- Freear, J., J. E. Sohl, and W. E. Wetzel (2002), 'Angles on angels: Financing technology-based ventures – A historical perspective'. *Venture Capital* **4**(4), 275–287.
- Furman, J. L., M. E. Porter, and S. Stern (2002), 'The determinants of national competitive advantage'. *Research Policy* **31**(6), 899–937.
- Galbraith, J. K. (1956), *American Capitalism: The Concept of Countervailing Power*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin, revised edition.

- Gardner, H. (1993), *Multiple Intelligences: The Theory in Practice*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gick, M. L. and R. S. Lockhart (1995), 'Cognitive and affective components of insight'. In: R. J. Sternberg and J. E. Davidson (eds.): *The Nature of Insight*. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 197–228.
- Gieryn, T. F. and R. F. Hirsh (1983), 'Marginality and innovation in science'. *Social Studies of Science* **13**, 87–106.
- Gifford, S. (1997), 'Limited attention and the role of the venture capitalist'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **12**(6), 459.
- Glaeser, E. (2005), 'Review of richard florida's the rise of the creative class'. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **35**(5), 593–596.
- Gompers, P. and J. Lerner (1996), 'The use of covenants: An analysis of venture partnership agreements'. *Journal of Law and Economics* **39**, 463–498.
- Gompers, P. and J. Lerner (1999), 'An analysis of compensation in the U.S. venture capital partnership'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **51**(1), 3–44.
- Gompers, P. and J. Lerner (2004), *The Venture Capital Cycle*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, second edition.
- Gompers, P. A. (1995), 'Optimal investing, monitoring, and the staging of venture capital'. *Journal of Finance* **50**, 1461–1489.
- Gompers, P. A. (1996), 'Grandstanding in the venture capital industry'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **42**(1), 133–156.
- Gompers, P. A. and J. Lerner (2003a), 'Equity financing'. In: Z. A. Acs and D. B. Audretsch (eds.): *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*. Kluwer, pp. 267–298.
- Gompers, P. A. and J. Lerner (2003b), 'The really long-run performance of initial public offerings: The pre-NASDAQ evidence'. *Journal of Finance* **LVIII**(4), 1355–1392.
- Greene, P. G., M. M. Hart, N. M. Carter, E. Gatewood, and C. G. Brush (2003), 'Venture capital access: Is gender an issue'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-ups, Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 141–154.
- Griliches, Z. (1979), 'Issues in assessing the contribution of R&D to productivity growth'. *Bell Journal of Economics* **10**(1), 92–116.

- Grossman, S. and O. Hart (1986), 'The costs and benefits of ownership: A theory of vertical and lateral integration'. *Journal of Political Economy* **94**, 691–719.
- Hambrick, D. C. and P. A. Mason (1984), 'Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers'. *The Academy of Management Review* **9**, 193–206.
- Hamilton, B. H. (2000), 'Does entrepreneurship pay? An empirical analysis of the returns to self-employment'. *Journal of Political Economy* **108**(3), 604.
- Harlow, H. F. (1959), *Scientific America*, Vol. 200, pp. 68–74.
- Hart, D. M. (2003), *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-ups, and Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Hart, O. and J. Moore (1990), 'Property rights and the nature of the firm'. *Journal of Political Economy* **98**, 1119–1158.
- Hart, O. and J. Moore (1998), 'Default and renegotiation: A dynamic model of debt'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **113**, 1–41.
- Hayward, M. L. A., D. A. Sherpherd, and D. Griffin (2006), 'A hubris theory of entrepreneurship'. *Management Science* **52**(2), 160–172.
- Hebert, R. F. and A. N. Link (2007), *Historical Perspectives on the Entrepreneur*. Boston: Foundations and Trends.
- Hellmann, T. (1998), 'The allocation of control rights in venture capital contracts'. *RAND Journal of Economics* **29**(1), 57–76.
- Hellmann, T. (2007). 'When do employees become entrepreneurs?' *Management Science* **53**(6), 919–933.
- Hirschman, A. O. (1970), *Exit, Voice and Loyalty*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hobijn, B. and B. Jovanovic (2001), 'The information technology revolution and the stock: Evidence'. *American Economic Review* **91**(5), 1203–1220.
- Holtz-Eakin, D., D. Joulfaian, and H. S. Rosen (1994), 'Entrepreneurial decisions and liquidity constraints'. *Rand Journal of Economics* **25**(2), 334–347.
- Holtz-Eakin, D. and H. S. Rosen (eds.) (2004), *Public Policy and the Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

80 *References*

- Hsu, D. H. (2004). 'What do entrepreneurs pay for venture capital affiliation?' *Journal of Finance* **59**(4), 1805–1844.
- Hsu, D. H. (2006), 'Venture capitalists and cooperative start-up commercialization strategy'. *Management Science* **52**(2), 204–219.
- Hundley, G. (2000), 'Male/female earnings differences in self-employment: The effects of marriage, children, and the household division of labor'. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* **54**(1), 95–114.
- Hurst, E. and A. Lusardi (2004), 'Liquidity constraints, household wealth, and entrepreneurship'. *Journal of Political Economy* **112**(2), 319–347.
- Hvide, H. K. (2006), 'Firm size and the quality of entrepreneurs'. Norwegian School of Economics and Business Working Paper No. 2004/7.
- Iversen, J., R. Jørgensen, and N. Malchow-Møller (2007), *Defining and Measuring Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 4, no. 1. Boston: Now Publishing.
- Iyigun, M. F. and A. L. Owen (1998), 'Risk, entrepreneurship, and human-capital accumulation'. *American Economic Review* **88**(2), 454.
- Jaffe, A. and J. Lerner (2004), *Innovation and Its Discontents: How Our Broken Patent System is Endangering Innovation and Progress, and What to do About It*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Jaffe, A. B. (1989), 'Real effects of academic research'. *American Economic Review* **79**(5), 957–970.
- Jaffe, A. B., R. Henderson, and M. Trajtenberg (1993), 'Geographic localization of knowledge spillovers as evidenced by patent citations'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **108**(3), 577–598.
- Jeng, L. A. and P. C. Wells (2000), 'The determinants of venture capital funding: Evidence across countries'. *Journal of Corporate Finance* **6**, 241–289.
- Jensen, M. and W. Meckling (1976), 'Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and capital structure'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **3**, 305–360.
- Jovanovic, B. (1979), 'Job matching and the theory of turnover'. *Journal of Political Economy*. Part 1, **87**(5), 972–990.

- Jovanovic, B. (1982), 'Selection and the evolution of industry'. *Econometrica* **50**(3), 647–670.
- Jovanovic, B. (2001), 'New technology and the small firm'. *Small Business Economics* **16**(1), 53–55.
- Jovanovic, B. and S. Lash (1989), 'Entry, exit, and diffusion with learning by doing'. *American Economic Review* **79**(4), 690–699.
- Kaplan, S. N. and P. Stromberg (2004), 'Characteristics, contracts and actions: Evidence from venture capitalist analyses'. *Journal of Finance* **LIX**(5), 2177–2210.
- Kauffman Foundation (2008), *Entrepreneurship and Urban Success: Towards a Policy Consensus*. (www.kauffman.org).
- Kenney, M., M. Haemming, and R. Goe (2007), *The Globalization of the Venture Capital Industry*, Vol. 42. National Academy of Engineering.
- Khortum, S. and J. Lerner (1998). 'Stronger prediction or technological revolution: What is behind the recent surge in patenting?' *Carnegie-Rochester Series on Public Policy* **48**, 247–304.
- King, R. and R. Levine (1993a), 'Finance and growth: Schumpeter might be right'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **108**, 717–738.
- King, R. and R. Levine (1993b), 'Finance, entrepreneurship, and growth'. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **32**, 513–542.
- Kirzner, I. M. (1973), *Competition and Entrepreneurship*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Klapper, L., L. Laeven, and R. Rajan (2006), 'Entry regulation as a barrier to entrepreneurship'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **82**(3), 591–629.
- Knight, F. H. (1921), *Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit*. Boston, MA: Hart, Schaffner and Marx.
- Krugman, P. (1991), *Geography and Trade*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, and R. W. Vishny (1998), 'Law and Finance'. *Journal of Political Economy* **106**(6), 113–1155.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, and R. W. Vishny (2000), 'Agency problems and dividend policies around the world'. *Journal of Finance* **55**, 1–33.
- Lazear, E. P. (2004), 'Balanced skills and entrepreneurship'. *American Economic Review* **94**(2), 208.

82 *References*

- Lazear, E. P. (2005), 'Entrepreneurship'. *Journal of Labor Economics* **23**(4), 649–680.
- Lee, P. M. and S. Wahal (2004), 'Grandstanding, certification and the underpricing of venture capital backed IPOs'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **73**(2), 375–407.
- Lee, S. Y., R. Florida, and Z. J. Acs (2004), 'Creativity and entrepreneurship: A regional analysis of new firm formation'. *Regional Studies* **38**(8), 879–891.
- Leland, H. E. and D. H. Pyle (1977), 'Informational asymmetries, financial structure, and financial intermediation'. *Journal of Finance* **34**, 371–387.
- Lerner, J. (1994), 'Venture capitalists and the decision to go public'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **35**(3), 293–316.
- Lerner, J. (1995), 'Venture capitalists and the oversight of private firms'. *Journal of Finance* **L**(1), 301–318.
- Lichtenberg, F. R. (2004), 'Public Policy and innovation in the U.S. pharmaceutical industry'. In: D. Holtz-Eakin and H. S. Rosen (eds.): *Public Policy and the Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge: The MIT Press, pp. 83–114.
- Link, A. N. and B. Bozeman (1991), 'Innovative behavior in small-sized firms'. *Small Business Economics* **3**(3), 179–184.
- Link, A. N. and J. Rees (1990), 'Firm size, university based research, and the returns to R&D'. *Small Business Economics* **2**(1), 25–32.
- Lucas Jr., R. E. (1978), 'On the size distribution of business firms'. *Bell Journal of Economics* **9**(2), 508–523.
- Lucas Jr., R. E. (1988), 'On the mechanics of economic development'. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **22**, 3–42.
- Luchins, A. S. (1942), 'Mechanization in problem-solving: The effect of Einstellung'. *Psychological Monographs* **54**(6), 95.
- MacMillan, I. C., R. Siegel, and P. N. S. Narasimha (1985), 'Criteria used by venture capitalists to evaluate new venture proposals'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **1**(1), 119–128.
- Mayer-Schonberger, V. (2003), 'E-commerce, entrepreneurship, and the law: Reassessing a relationship'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-Ups, and Growth in*

- the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp. 195–213.
- Meggison, W. L. (2004). ‘Toward a global model of venture capital?’ *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* **16**(1), 89–107.
- Meggison, W. L. and K. A. Weiss (1991), ‘Venture capitalist certification in initial public offerings’. *Journal of Finance* **XLVI**(3), 879–903.
- Modigliani, F. and M. Miller (1958), ‘The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment’. *American Economic Review* **48**, 261–297.
- Moehling, C. M. and R. H. Steckel (2004), ‘Entrepreneurial activity and wealth inequality: A historical perspective’. In: D. Holtz-Eakin and H. S. Rosen (eds.): *Public Policy and Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 181–209.
- Moen, J. (2005), ‘Is mobility of technical personnel a source of R&D spillovers?’. *Journal of Labor Economics* **23**(1), 81–114.
- Murphy, K. M., A. Shleifer, and R. W. Vishny (1991), ‘The Allocation of talent: Implications for growth’. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **106**(2), 503–530.
- Myers, S. (1984), ‘The capital structure puzzle’. *Journal of Finance* **39**, 575–592.
- Myers, S. C. and N. S. Majluf (1984), ‘Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have’. *Journal of Financial Economics* **13**(2), 187–221.
- Nachman, D. C. and T. H. Noe (1994), ‘Optimal design of securities under asymmetric information’. *Review of Financial Studies* **7**, 1–44.
- National Research Council (2007), *Understanding Business Dynamics*. Washington DC: The National Academic Press.
- Nelson, R. R. (1993), ‘National innovation systems’.
- Noam, E. (2003), ‘Entrepreneurship and government in telecommunications’. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-Ups, Growth in U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 214–226.
- Orgler, Y. (1970), ‘A credit scoring model for commercial loans’. *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* **2**, 435–445.

- Pages, E., D. Freedman, and P. V. Borgen (2003), 'Entrepreneurship as a state and local economic development strategy'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-Ups, and Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 240–259.
- Pakes, A. and S. Nitzen (1983), 'Optimal contracts for research personnel, research employment, and the establishment of 'Rival Enterprises''. *Journal of Labor Economics* **1**(3), 345–365.
- Parker, S. (2004), *The Economics of Self-Employment and Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Parker, S. C. (2005), *Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Boston: Now Publishing.
- Perry, C. W. and H. S. Rosen (2004), 'The self-employed are less likely to have health insurance than wage earners. So what?'. In: D. Holtz-Eakin and H. S. Rosen (eds.): *Public Policy and the Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 23–57.
- Peterson, M. A. and R. G. Rajan (1994), 'The benefits of firm-creditor relationships: Evidence from small business data'. *Journal of Finance* **49**, 3–37.
- Peterson, M. A. and R. G. Rajan (1997), 'Trade credit: Theories and evidence'. *The Review of Financial Studies* **10**, 661–669.
- Plummer, L. A., E. Mosakowski, and Z. J. Acs (2008), *Good Neighbors or Bad? A Time-Space Model of the Effects of Entrepreneurial Entry on the Profits of Post-IPO Firms*. Clemson University.
- Porter, M. (1990), *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Reynolds, P. (2007), 'Screening item effects in estimating the prevalence of nascent entrepreneurs'. *Small Business Economics*. (In press).
- Romer, P. M. (1990), 'Endogenous technological change'. *Journal of Political Economy* **98**(5, Part 2), S71–S102.
- Rosen, S. (1972), 'Learning and experience in the labor market'. *Journal of Human Resources* **7**(3), 326–342.
- Rosenberg, N. (2003), 'America's entrepreneurial universities'. In: D. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Rothwell, R. (1989), 'Small firms, innovation and industrial change'. *Small Business Economics* **1**(1), 51–64.
- Sapienza, H. J. and A. K. Gupta (1994), 'Impact of agency risks and task uncertainty on venture capitalist-CEO interaction'. *Academy of Management Journal* **37**(6), 1618.
- Sapienza, H. J. and S. Manigart (1996), 'Venture capitalist governance and value added in four countries'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **11**(6), 439.
- Scherer, F. M. (1988), 'Testimony before the subcommittee on monopolies and commercial law'. Committee on the Judiciary, U.S. House of Representatives, February 24, 1981.
- Scherer, F. M. (1991), 'Changing perspectives on the firm size problem'. In: Z. J. Acs and D. B. Audretsch (eds.): *Innovation and Technological Change: An International Comparison*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, pp. 24–38.
- Schramm, C. J. (2006), *The Entrepreneurial Imperative*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1911), *The Theory of Economic Development. An inquiry into Profits, Capital, Credit, Interest, and the Business Cycle*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1934), *The Theory of Economic Development. An inquiry into Profits, Capital, Credit, Interest, and the Business Cycle*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Shane, S. (2008), *The Myth of Entrepreneurship*. New Haven, Conn: Yale University Press.
- Shane, S. and S. Venkataraman (2000), 'The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research'. *Academy of Management Review* **25**(1), 217–226.
- Shepherd, D. A. (1999), 'Venture capitalists' assessment of new venture survival'. *Management Science* **45**(5), 621.
- Simon, H. A. and W. G. Chase (1973), 'Skill in chess'. *American Scientist* **61**, 393–403.
- Simonton, D. K. (1999a), 'Certainly not creative, but definitely depressing [Review of the book *Manic Depression and Creativity*]. In: D. J. Hershman and J. Lieb (eds.): *Contemporary Psychology*, Vol. 44. pp. 489–491.

- Simonton, D. K. (1999b), 'Changing of the guard'. *Journal of Creative Behavior* **33**(ii).
- Stiglitz, J. E. and A. Weiss (1981), 'Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information'. *American Economic Review* **71**(3), 393–410.
- Stiglitz, J. E. and A. Weiss (1983), 'Incentive effects of termination: Applications to the credit and labor markets'. *American Economic Review* **73**, 919–927.
- Swary, I. and G. F. Udell (1988), 'Information production and the secured line of credit'. Working Paper, New York University.
- Thakor, A. V. and G. F. Udell (1987), 'An economic rationale for the pricing structure of bank loan commitments'. *Journal of Banking and Finance* **11**, 271, 289.
- Thompson, P. and M. Fox-Kean (2005), 'Patent citations and the geography of knowledge spillovers: A reassessment'. *American Economic Review* **95**(1), 450–461.
- Toole, A. A. (2003), 'Understanding entrepreneurship in the U.S. biotechnology industry: Characteristics, facilitating factors, and policy challenges'. In: D. M. Hart (ed.): *The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Policy: Governance, Start-Ups, and Growth in the U.S. Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 175–194.
- van Praag, M. and P. H. Verslot (2007), 'The economic benefits and costs of entrepreneurship: Review of the research'. *Foundations and Trends, Now Publishing* **4**(2).
- Wertheimer, M. (1945), *Productive Thinking*. Harper Publishing.
- Wertheimer, M. (1959), *Productive Thinking*. Harper Publishing.
- Zucker, L. G., M. R. Darby, and M. B. Brewer (1994), 'Intellectual human capital and the birth of U.S. biotechnology enterprises'. *American Economic Review* **88**(1), 290–306.