

**Entrepreneurial Finance:
Emerging Approaches Using
Machine Learning and Big
Data**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship

Developments in Strategic Entrepreneurship

B. Casales Morici and I. Zander

ISBN: 978-1-68083-710-0

Taxes and Entrepreneurship: A Literature Review and Research Agenda

Donald Bruce, Tami J. Gurley-Calvez and Alex Norwood

ISBN: 978-1-68083-678-3

Returnee Entrepreneurs: A Systematic Literature Review, Thematic Analysis, and Research Agenda

Jan Henrik Gruenhagen, Per Davidsson and Sukanlaya Sawang

ISBN: 978-1-68083-664-6

Entrepreneurship as Trust

Tomasz Mickiewicz and Anna Rebmann

ISBN: 978-1-68083-642-4

The Evolution of Entrepreneurship as a Scholarly Field

Hans Landstrom

ISBN: 978-1-68083-626-4

Financing Entrepreneurship and Innovation in China

Lin William Cong, Charles M.C. Lee, Yuanyu Qu and Tao Shen

ISBN: 978-1-68083-598-4

Entrepreneurial Finance: Emerging Approaches Using Machine Learning and Big Data

Francesco Ferrati

School of Entrepreneurship (SCENT)
Department of Industrial Engineering
University of Padova
Italy
francesco.ferrati@unipd.it

Moreno Muffatto

School of Entrepreneurship (SCENT)
Department of Industrial Engineering
University of Padova
Italy
moreno.muffatto@unipd.it

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

F. Ferrati and M. Muffatto. *Entrepreneurial Finance: Emerging Approaches Using Machine Learning and Big Data*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 232–329, 2021.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-805-3

© 2021 F. Ferrati and M. Muffatto

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship
Volume 17, Issue 3, 2021
Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Albert N. Link

University of North Carolina at Greensboro
United States

David B. Audretsch

Indiana University
United States

Editors

Howard Aldrich

University of North Carolina

Sharon Alvarez

University of Denver

Per Davidsson

Queensland University of Technology

Michael Frese

National University of Singapore

William B. Gartner

Copenhagen Business School

Magnus Henrekson

IFN Stockholm

Michael A. Hitt

Texas A&M University

Joshua Lerner

Harvard University

Jeff McMullen

Indiana University

P.R. Kumar

Texas A&M University

Maria Minniti

Syracuse University

Simon Parker

University of Western Ontario

Holger Patzelt

TU Munich

Saras Sarasvathy

University of Virginia

Roy Thurik

Erasmus University

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Nascent and start-up entrepreneurs
- Opportunity recognition
- New venture creation process
- Business formation
- Firm ownership
- Market value and firm growth
- Franchising
- Managerial characteristics and behavior of entrepreneurs
- Strategic alliances and networks
- Government programs and public policy
- Gender and ethnicity
- New business financing:
 - Business angels
 - Bank financing, debt, and trade credit
 - Venture capital and private equity capital
 - Public equity and IPOs
- Family-owned firms
- Management structure, governance and performance
- Corporate entrepreneurship
- High technology:
 - Technology-based new firms
 - High-tech clusters
- Small business and economic growth

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship, 2021, Volume 17, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3114. ISSN online version 1551-3122. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	An Introduction to Machine Learning	8
3	Data-Driven Venture Capital Firms	13
4	Crunchbase	16
5	Scope of the Study	20
6	Classification of the Research Objectives in Using Machine Learning and Crunchbase	21
6.1	Predicting the Exit Event of a Company	23
6.2	Predicting Funding Events in a Given Period of Time	25
6.3	Predicting the Next Event That a Company Will Achieve	26
6.4	Predicting Investment Relationship Between Companies and Investors	27
6.5	Generating Investment Recommendations for Investors	28
6.6	Predicting a Company Valuation	29
6.7	Classifying Companies by Industry	30

7 Integrating Different Machine Learning Modules to Support Investors' Decision-Making	32
8 Features' Classification	35
9 The Algorithms Used	38
10 Comparing the Performances of Different Models	43
11 Discussion	52
12 Conclusion and Future Research	55
Acknowledgment	57
Appendices	58
Appendix A: Classification of the Features Provided by Crunchbase	59
Appendix B: Classification of the Algorithms	87
Appendix C: Classification of the Performance Metrics	91
References	93

Entrepreneurial Finance: Emerging Approaches Using Machine Learning and Big Data

Francesco Ferrati¹ and Moreno Muffatto²

¹*School of Entrepreneurship (SCENT), Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padova, Italy; francesco.ferrati@unipd.it*

²*School of Entrepreneurship (SCENT), Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padova, Italy; moreno.muffatto@unipd.it*

ABSTRACT

For equity investors the identification of ventures that most likely will achieve the expected return on investment is an extremely complex task. To select early-stage companies, venture capitalists and business angels traditionally rely on a mix of assessment criteria and their own experience. However, given the high level of risk with new, innovative companies, the number of financially successful startups within an investment portfolio is generally very low. In this context of uncertainty, a data-driven approach to investment decision-making can provide more effective results. Specifically, the application of machine learning techniques can provide equity investors and scholars in entrepreneurial finance with new insights on patterns common to successful startups.

This study presents a comprehensive overview of the applications of machine learning algorithms to the Crunchbase database. We highlight the main research goals that can

be addressed and then we review all the variables and algorithms used for each goal. For each machine learning algorithm, we analyze the respective performance metrics to identify a baseline model. This study aims to be a reference for researchers and practitioners on the use of machine learning as an effective tool to support decision-making processes in equity investments.

Keywords: decision making; startup; investments; venture capital; machine learning; Crunchbase.

1

Introduction

In recent decades the digital revolution is spreading in all sectors of economic and social life. The increased computational capacity, the improvement of the algorithms as well as the large amounts of available data have given a new boost to artificial intelligence applications (Agrawal *et al.*, 2018). Progress has been so significant that we can claim to have been entered a “second machine age”, driven by artificial intelligence and big data (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014). The digital revolution is radically transforming the way people operate and various areas of management are increasingly benefiting from these profound changes. Intelligent systems are applied in production and management processes, having a strong impact, for example, within decision making activities. In this context of change, entrepreneurship is also inevitably transforming itself, as much as it can be said that artificial intelligence and big data started a new era in entrepreneurship (Obschonka and Audretsch, 2019). While artificial intelligence has received increasing attention in a variety of research and application fields, such as economics, economic policy, innovation and management (Cockburn *et al.*, 2019; George *et al.*, 2014), not so much research has been done in entrepreneurship so far. Interestingly, Obschonka and

Audretsch (2019) place emphasis on the co-evolution and reciprocity of the fields of research and entrepreneurial practice. Smart machines and algorithms will not only inspire and enhance a new generation of research in this field, but will also reshape the current real phenomenon of entrepreneurship. It remains to be understood how artificial intelligence and big data can contribute to a transformation of both research and practice, perhaps giving rise to “smarter forms of entrepreneurship”.

Being a branch of entrepreneurship, entrepreneurial finance (Cumming and Vismara, 2017) is also affected by this transformation. Similarly, artificial intelligence and big data can change entrepreneurial finance research and practice and can let us in an era of “smarter entrepreneurial finance”.

In this context, the main stakeholders in artificial intelligence are equity investors, such as venture capitalists (VCs) and business angels. VCs inject capital into startups in exchange for equity, with the aim of supporting the venture’s growth and increasing its value in order to make a capital gain when they sell their equity stack. In general, to achieve an adequate return on investment (ROI), VCs look for companies that grow exponentially. The high ROI sought by investors is justified by the high risk of failure associated with technology-driven startups. The average ROI per investment is generally very low and VCs need to apply portfolio design strategies so that a small number of companies are able to cover the losses of others and create an overall profit (Metrick and Yasuda, 2011). In order to maximize the chances of generating a profit, VCs should be able to estimate the investment outcome as accurately as possible. The prediction of future events related to a company, such as its ability to make an exit (in the form of a merge and acquisition or an initial public offering) plays an important role in the investment selection process. Prediction is therefore a key element in the decision-making process as the ability to make reliable predictions can reduce uncertainty.

The evaluation of investment proposals, especially for early-stage technology-driven startups, represents a very difficult task. In fact, as in entrepreneurial action (Sarasvathy, 2009), decision-making in entrepreneurial finance is characterised by high level of uncertainty and

information asymmetry. Unlike assessments of small and medium-to-large enterprises, the evaluation of investment opportunities in startup companies cannot be based on economic and financial considerations, because of the lack of historical financial data (Miloud *et al.*, 2012). Traditional evaluation techniques are therefore less suitable for startup companies, given the specificity of this type of venture (Silva, 2004). To improve the financial performance of an investment fund, VCs must implement strategies to improve every phase of the investment process, starting with refining their decision-making process (Zacharakis and Shepherd, 2007). Over the last 30 years, many studies have tried to codify investors' decision-making into a set of effective criteria (Ferrati and Muffatto, 2019). The variables considered by investors are many and often with subtle nuances. It was also found that there is no consensus as to which variables are more relevant, and that selection methods have so far been based primarily on human judgment and experience often with what is normally referred to as "gut feeling".

Sarasvathy pointed out that uncertainty depends, to a large extent, on the absence of reliable and complete pre-existing data that would help with respect to entrepreneurial tasks (Sarasvathy, 2001). However, nowadays, large databases are increasingly available, making it possible to apply artificial intelligence techniques to reduce uncertainty to some extent. While having a large amount of data at their disposal, using it to make decisions requires investors to analyze a multitude of variables that can be difficult to consider all together by one person or a group of people. For this purpose, machine learning can provide essential support.

The focus of the present research is on investment decisions in technology-based companies by institutional investors. Our goal is to understand how these new tools can bring new perspectives of analysis so far unexplored in this type of investment. Machine learning is a new tool in entrepreneurial finance for which there are still few studies available at the moment. The aim of this study is to analyze the available works in this emerging field and to highlight the possible questions that machine learning can answer. In fact, the research question that we aim to answer in this study is: "For what purposes have machine learning

tools been applied so far to support equity investors in their decision-making process?” For this purpose, we analyzed the available works on the application of machine learning models in investments in technology-based companies. The product of this research can help researchers to clarify the scope of applications and thus make the use of these instruments more viable. Since the feasibility of using machine learning techniques is closely related to the data that is used, in answering the search question, we have selected only the works related to a specific database, Crunchbase. This work is therefore intended to be an analysis of the academic state of the art of the contributions that have applied machine learning approaches using the data provided by Crunchbase.

The choice of Crunchbase as a reference database is due to its growing popularity in research. Before making this choice, the authors also explored the features of other existing datasets potentially suitable for the application of machine learning methods in entrepreneurial finance. In this regard, some existing alternatives focused on startup companies are for example PitchBook, Dealroom, PrivCo and Venture Scanner. However, as far as the authors know, these companies do not allow a complete free access to data for research purposes. On the other hand, in addition to paid access for commercial purposes, Crunchbase is also accessible for free for academic research, through a dedicated license called “Academic Research Access”. Researchers are eligible for full or discounted access to the Crunchbase dataset on a case-by-case basis. Once the researcher has obtained consent to access and after complying with the terms of use, Crunchbase can provide API that allows full access of their data in the CSV and JSON format. Considering the large amount of data collected and the privileged access for research purposes, many researchers have begun to explore the potential of this database, generally to do research in entrepreneurship and economics area. In order to promote the advancement of this field of research, the authors have therefore considered a data source potentially freely accessible to researchers. Considering other paid data sources would in fact limit the progress of research, making the experiments replicable for a limited number of researchers.

However, since this is still an emerging field in research in entrepreneurial finance (Obschonka and Audretsch, 2019), the identified

works have a pioneering character and this research is proposed as a detailed but still exploratory study on the subject.

This document is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an introduction to machine learning and outlines the main differences from a traditional statistical approach. Section 3 provides an overview of the venture capital firms that have already applied a data-driven approach to their investment decision-making. Section 4 is an introduction to Crunchbase, one of the most relevant databases on startup companies and investors. Section 5 describes the scope of this study, focusing on research contributions that have applied machine learning techniques to Crunchbase data. Section 6 classifies the studies' research goals and describes the various machine learning approaches. Section 7 describes an example of how the models proposed by previous studies could be integrated synergistically into investor decision-making. Section 8 synthesizes all the features or variables used, which are obtained either directly from Crunchbase or through a features engineering process. Section 9 analyses the algorithms used. In Section 10 we discuss the results obtained in previous researches in order to establish a baseline for future research in this field. Finally, Section 11 presents a final discussion of the applicability of machine learning as a tool for data-driven investments, while conclusions and future developments are presented in Section 12. Appendix A details all the features in Crunchbase, while Appendix B and Appendix C provide, respectively, all the algorithms and the types of performance metrics considered in previous works.

References

- Adomavicius, G. and A. Tuzhilin (2005). “Toward the next generation of recommender systems: A survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions”. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*. (6): 734–749.
- Agrawal, A., J. Gans, and A. Goldfarb (2018). *Prediction Machines: The Simple Economics of Artificial Intelligence*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press.
- Anthony, S. D. (2012). “Is Venture capital broken?” *Harvard Business Review*. URL: <https://hbr.org/2012/06/is-venture-capital-broken>.
- Arroyo, J., F. Corea, G. Jimenez-Diaz, and J. A. Recio-Garcia (2019). *Assessment of Machine Learning Performance for Decision Support in Venture Capital Investments*. IEEE Access.
- Askaryan, T. and C. Frost (2015). “Predicting valuations of venture capital funded private companies”. CS229: Machine Learning, Stanford University.
- Batista, F. and J. P. Carvalho (2015). “Text based classification of companies in CrunchBase”. In: *2015 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*. 1–7.
- Bento, F. R. S. R. (2017). “Predicting start-up success with machine learning”. Master’s thesis, Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

- Block, J. H., M. G. Colombo, D. J. Cumming, and S. Vismara (2018). “New players in entrepreneurial finance and why they are there”. *Small Business Economics*. 50(2): 239–250.
- Bo Guang, H. (2016). “Predict startup success using network analysis and machine learning techniques”. CS224W: Machine Learning with Graphs, Fall 2016, Stanford University.
- Breiman, L. (2001). “Statistical modeling: The two cultures (with comments and a rejoinder by the author)”. *Statistical Science*. 16(3): 199–231.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and A. McAfee (2014). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. New York: WW Norton and Company.
- Bzdok, D., N. Altman, and M. Krzywinski (2018). “Points of significance: Statistics versus machine learning”. *Nature Methods*. 15: 233–234. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.4642](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4642).
- Cockburn, I. M., R. Henderson, and S. Stern (2019). “The impact of artificial intelligence on innovation”. In: *The Economics of Artificial Intelligence: An Agenda*. Ed. by A. Agrawal, J. S. Gans, and A. Goldfarb. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapter 4.
- Corea, F. (2019). “Introduction to data: Everything you need to know about AI, big data and data science”. In: *An Introduction to Data*. Studies in Big Data Series. Vol. 50. Cham: Springer. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-04468-8_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04468-8_1).
- Crunchbase (2020). “Where does Crunchbase get their data?” Accessed January 20, 2020. URL: <https://support.Crunchbase.com/hc/en-us/articles/360009616013>.
- Cumming, D. J. and S. Vismara (2017). “De-segmenting research in entrepreneurial finance”. *Venture Capital*. 19(1–2): 17–27.
- Dalle, J. M., M. Den Besten, and C. Menon (2017). “Using Crunchbase for economic and managerial research”. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers, 2017/08, Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Dellermann, D., N. Lipusch, P. Ebel, K. M. Popp, and J. M. Leimeister (2017). “Finding the unicorn: Predicting early stage startup success through a hybrid intelligence method”. In: *International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS)*. Seoul, South Korea.

- Ferrati, F. and M. Muffatto (2019). “A systematic literature review of the assessment criteria applied by equity investors”. In: *14th European Conference on Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. Kalamata, Greece. 304–312.
- Ferrati, F. and M. Muffatto (2020a). “Using Crunchbase for research in entrepreneurship: Data content and structure”. In: *19th European Conference on Research Methodology for Business and Management Studies (ECRM 2020)*. 342–351.
- Ferrati, F. and M. Muffatto (2020b). “Setting Crunchbase for data science: Preprocessing, data integration and feature engineering”. In: *3rd International Conference on Advanced Research Methods and Analytics (CARMA 2020)*. 221–229.
- Gastaud, C., T. Carniel, and J. M. Dalle (2019). “The varying importance of extrinsic factors in the success of startup fundraising: Competition at early-stage and networks at growth-stage”. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1906.03210.
- George, G., M. R. Haas, and A. Pentland (2014). “Big data and management”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 57: 321–332.
- Hanley, J. A. and B. J. McNeil (1982). “The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve”. *Radiology*. 143(1): 29–36.
- Huang, J. and M. Z. Shi (2015). “With a little help of my (former) employer: Past employment and entrepreneurs’ external financing”. In: *Academy of Management Proceedings*. Briarcliff Manor, NY: Academy of Management. Vol. 2015. 12050.
- Krishna, A., A. Agrawal, and A. Choudhary (2016). “Predicting the outcome of startups: Less failure, more success”. In: *2016 IEEE 16th International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW)*. 798–805.
- Liang, Y. E. and S. T. D. Yuan (2012). “Where’s the money? The social behavior of investors in Facebook’s small world”. In: *Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM 2012)*. IEEE Computer Society. 158–162.

- Liang, Y. E. and S. T. D. Yuan (2013). “Investors are social animals: Predicting investor behavior using social network features via supervised learning approach”. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Mining and Learning with Graphs (MLG-2013)*. Chicago, IL.
- Liang, Y. E. and S. T. D. Yuan (2016). “Predicting investor funding behavior using Crunchbase social network features”. *Internet Research*. 26(1): 74–100.
- Liu, X. and A. Wangperawong (2018). “A collaborative approach to angel and venture capital investment recommendations”. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1807.09967.
- Mayer-Schönberger, V. and K. Cukier (2013). *Big Data: A Revolution that Will Transform How We Live, Work, and Think*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Menon, C. and G. Tarasconi (2017). “Matching Crunchbase with patent data”. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers 2017/07. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Metrick, A. and A. Yasuda (2011). *Venture Capital and the Finance of Innovation*. Ed. by A. Metrick and A. Yasuda. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.
- Miloud, T., A. Aspelund, and M. Cabrol (2012). “Startup valuation by venture capitalists: An empirical study”. *Venture Capital*. 14(2/3): 151–174.
- Moeller, S. B., F. P. Schlingemann, and R. M. Stulz (2005). “Wealth destruction on a massive scale? A study of acquiring-firm returns in the recent merger wave”. *The Journal of Finance*. 60(2): 757–782.
- Obschonka, M. and D. B. Audretsch (2019). “Artificial intelligence and big data in entrepreneurship: A new era has begun”. *Small Business Economics*. 55: 529–539. DOI: [10.1007/s11187-019-00202-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-019-00202-4).
- Pan, C., Y. Gao, and Y. Luo (2018). “Machine learning prediction of companies’ business success”. CS229: Machine Learning, Stanford University.
- Samuel, A. L. (1959). “Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers”. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*. 3(3): 210–229.

- Sarasvathy, S. D. (2001). “Causation and effectuation: Toward a theoretical shift from economic inevitability to entrepreneurial contingency”. *Academy of Management Review*. 26: 243–263.
- Sarasvathy, S. D. (2009). *Effectuation: Elements of Entrepreneurial Expertise*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Semeniuta, D. and M. Ismail (2017). “Clustering startups based on customer-value proposition”. CS221: Artificial Intelligence: Principles and Techniques, Stanford University.
- Shan, Z., H. Cao, and Q. Lin (2014). “Capital crunch: Predicting investments in tech companies”. Course project, Fall 2014, Stanford University.
- Sharchilev, B., M. Roizner, A. Romyantsev, D. Ozornin, P. Serdyukov, and M. de Rijke (2018). “Web-based startup success prediction”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 2283–2291.
- Silva, J. (2004). “Venture capitalists’ decision making in small equity markets: A case study using participant observation”. *Venture Capital*. 6(2–3): 125–145.
- Stone, T. R. (2014). “Computational analytics for venture finance”. Doctoral thesis, University College London.
- Ünal, C. (2019). “Searching for a unicorn: A machine learning approach towards startup success prediction”. Master’s thesis, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin.
- Xiang, G., Z. Zheng, M. Wen, J. Hong, C. Rose, and C. Liu (2012). “A supervised approach to predict company acquisition with factual and topic features using profiles and news articles on TechCrunch”. In: *Sixth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*. 607–610.
- Zacharakis, A. and D. A. Shepherd (2007). “The pre-investment process: Venture capitalists’ decision policies”. In: *Handbook of Research on Venture Capital*. Edward Elgar Publishing. Chapter 6.
- Zhang, C., E. Chan, and A. Abdulhamid (2015). “Link prediction in bipartite venture capital investment networks”. CS224-w report, Stanford University.

- Zhong, H. (2019). “Venture capital investment: From rule of thumb to data science”. Doctoral thesis, Rutgers University-Graduate School-Newark.
- Zhong, H., C. Liu, X. Lu, and H. Xiong (2016). “To be or not to be friends: Exploiting social ties for venture investments”. In: *2016 IEEE 16th International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*. Barcelona. 699–708.
- Zomaya, A. Y. and S. Sakr (2017). *Handbook of Big Data Technologies*. Berlin: Springer.