

**Women's Academic
Entrepreneurship:
Understanding Gender
Disparities**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship

*External Enablement of Entrepreneurial Actions and Outcomes:
Extension, Review and Research Agenda*

Per Davidsson, Jan Recker and Frederik von Briel

ISBN: 978-1-63828-530-4

Entrepreneurship and the Economic Theory of Markets

Mark Casson

ISBN: 978-1-63828-510-6

*Religion and Entrepreneurship through the Lens of the Theory of
Planned Behavior: A Systematic Literature Review*

Joern Block, Christian Fisch and Miriam Gnad

ISBN: 978-1-63828-508-3

Artificial Intelligence and Entrepreneurship

Frank M. Fossen, Trevor McLemore and Alina Sorgner

ISBN: 978-1-63828-450-5

Building Entrepreneurial Ecosystems Sustainably

Christina Theodoraki

ISBN: 978-1-63828-386-7

*Generative AI in Entrepreneurship Research: Principles and
Practical Guidance for Intelligence Augmentation*

Francesco Ferrati, Phillip H. Kim and Moreno Muffatto

ISBN: 978-1-63828-384-3

Women's Academic Entrepreneurship: Understanding Gender Disparities

Alice Civera

University of Bergamo

Greta Temporin

Politecnico di Torino

Azzurra Meoli

University of Bologna

Federico Caviggioli

Politecnico di Torino

Alessandra Colombelli

Politecnico di Torino

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

A. Civera *et al.*. *Women's Academic Entrepreneurship: Understanding Gender Disparities*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 591–737, 2025.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-553-3
© 2025 A. Civera *et al.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship
Volume 21, Issue 6, 2025
Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Albert N. Link

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

David B. Audretsch

Indiana University

Editors

Sophie Bacq

IMD Business School

Maksim Belitski

*University of Reading and Loyola
University New Orleans*

Daniel Bennett

University of Louisville

Rosa Caiazza

Parthenope University of Naples

Per Davidsson

Queensland University of Technology

Alfredo De Massis

*D'Annunzio University and IMD
Business School*

Antje Fiedler

University of Auckland

Michael Frese

Asian School of Business

Brett Gilbert

American University

Maribel Guerrero

Arizona State University

Martin Kenney

University of California at Davis

Moren Levesque

York University

Jeff McMullen

Indiana University

Maria Minniti

Syracuse University

Boris Nikolaev

Colorado State University

Simon Parker

Western University

Pankaj C. Patel

Villanova University

Erik Stam

University of Utrecht

Christina Theodoraki

Aix-Marseille University

Roy Thurik

Erasmus University

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Nascent and start-up entrepreneurs
- Opportunity recognition
- New venture creation process
- Business formation
- Firm ownership
- Market value and firm growth
- Franchising
- Managerial characteristics and behavior of entrepreneurs
- Strategic alliances and networks
- Government programs and public policy
- Gender and ethnicity
- New business financing:
 - Business angels
 - Bank financing, debt, and trade credit
 - Venture capital and private equity capital
 - Public equity and IPOs
- Family-owned firms
- Management structure, governance and performance
- Corporate entrepreneurship
- High technology:
 - Technology-based new firms
 - High-tech clusters
- Small business and economic growth

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship, 2025, Volume 21, 8 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3114. ISSN online version 1551-3122. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The Entrepreneurial Process in Academia	8
2.1	Mindset	10
2.2	Intention	11
2.3	Action	12
3	Theories in Entrepreneurship: A Gender Perspective	16
3.1	Mindset	16
3.2	Intention	23
3.3	Action	26
4	Narrative Review Method and the Proposed Framework of Analysis	32
5	Theories for Female Academic Entrepreneurship	38
5.1	Mindset	38
5.2	Intention	54
5.3	Action	73

6 Discussion and Conclusion	99
6.1 Mindset	104
6.2 Intention	106
6.3 Action	107
About the Authors	111
References	114

Women's Academic Entrepreneurship: Understanding Gender Disparities

Alice Civera¹, Greta Temporin², Azzurra Meoli³, Federico Caviggioli² and Alessandra Colombelli²

¹*Department of Management, Information and Production Engineering, University of Bergamo, Italy; alice.civera@unibg.it*

²*Department of Management and Production Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Italy*

³*Department of Management, University of Bologna, Italy*

ABSTRACT

This monograph provides an overview of the current state of female participation in academic entrepreneurship and focuses on theories, approaches, and evidence in the university setting. Women represent a valuable yet underrepresented capital for economies and societies, and universities have recently made the effort to support and foster female participation in both science and entrepreneurship. However, differences in entrepreneurship rates between men and women still exist. This monograph offers a comprehensive framework drawn from the process-based concept of academic entrepreneurship, encompassing mindset, intention, and action. Each phase is discussed separately in terms of both student and faculty entrepreneurship, with the aim of detecting complementary findings and theories. Special focus is placed on the influence of context, since the unique

Alice Civera, Greta Temporin, Azzurra Meoli, Federico Caviggioli and Alessandra Colombelli (2025), "Women's Academic Entrepreneurship: Understanding Gender Disparities", Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship: Vol. 21, No. 6, pp 591–737. DOI: 10.1561/0300000129.

©2025 A. Civera *et al.*

factors of a university setting might mitigate the gender disparity in academic entrepreneurship and offer avenues for further investigation. In doing so, this monograph seeks to identify gaps in the field and areas for future research.

1

Introduction

Academic entrepreneurship is a critical driver of innovation (Acs *et al.*, 2009) and economic growth (Romer, 1986). It refers to the commercialization of knowledge and technologies developed in academia by students and faculty members. Academic entrepreneurship plays a crucial role in bridging the gap between university and industry (Correia *et al.*, 2024) and favors new job creation (Wright *et al.*, 2007) and societal wellbeing (Siegel and Wright, 2015). The literature on academic entrepreneurship is dynamic and gaining momentum (Civera *et al.*, 2020; Fini *et al.*, 2018; Hayter *et al.*, 2018; Prokop, 2021). Scholars have increasingly targeted this topic to improve understanding of the key issues and the actors involved (Correia *et al.*, 2024). The research stream that examines female participation in the academic entrepreneurial ecosystem is currently a relatively modest area with growth potential (Halilem *et al.*, 2022). However, at the moment, there is no evidence of an organic reference framework, and recent literature reviews (e.g., Correia *et al.*, 2024; Hayter *et al.*, 2018) seem to have neglected this topic.

The number of papers dealing with this topic stems from a historical lack of interest in the gender dimension of academic entrepreneurship. Until the end of the 2000s, the gender dimension was examined in a

handful of articles dealing with university entrepreneurship (Rothaermel *et al.*, 2007; Thursby and Thursby, 2005). Among the reasons for underinvestigating this phenomenon is a lack of data on entrepreneurial activities from students and faculty in general, which rely mostly on local samples that do not allow an international comparison (Poggesi *et al.*, 2019). Similarly, academic entrepreneurial activities are multifaceted and difficult to codify and track over time (Abreu and Grinevich, 2024). This results in low rates of university entrepreneurship activities established by academic women.

Despite the increasing participation of women in academia, a gender gap can be found in many aspects of the university system, and women remain particularly underrepresented in academic entrepreneurship (Goel *et al.*, 2015). A bibliometric analysis from Larivière *et al.* (2013) shows that gendered disparities in science are a global phenomenon. For example, on a global scale, female academics account for less than 30% of authorship and are less cited than male academics. Similar data can be found among patenting individuals. Despite the positive trend in recent decades, female inventors are still around 13–15% of all inventors (Caviggioli *et al.*, 2023). In addition, men are three times more likely than women to own a business (Piacentini, 2013). This gender gap is observed not only in the broader entrepreneurial landscape but also in the area of academic entrepreneurship (Goel *et al.*, 2015). This disparity can be found in all activities that incorporate an entrepreneurial approach in academia. According to recent data, women researchers and professors are less likely than men to disclose their inventions (Colyvas *et al.*, 2012), hold a patent (Allen *et al.*, 2007; Murray and Graham, 2007; Whittington, 2011), or establish a firm on the basis of their research (Civera and Meoli, 2023; Lauto *et al.*, 2022; Woolley, 2019). Despite the limited evidence of studies focusing on university students, there is consensus in finding a lower share of female entrepreneurs than male (Epstein *et al.*, 2022; Moreno-Gómez *et al.*, 2022; Piva and Rovelli, 2022).

Scholars who have investigated the underrepresentation of women in entrepreneurial activities often rely on the metaphor of the “leaky pipeline” (Clark Blickenstaff, 2005; Polkowska, 2013) to explain the phenomenon. While this metaphor was originally used to illustrate

the shortage of candidates, both male and female, in scientific careers (Berryman, 1983), in recent decades it has mostly been used to describe women's trajectories in science (Morganson *et al.*, 2010; Sassler *et al.*, 2017). The leaky pipeline refers to the constant drain of women in each educational or career stage: from students in secondary school, through university degrees, to doctoral positions, to jobs in science and technology, whether in academia or in the business sector (Hunt *et al.*, 2013; Reed *et al.*, 2011; Toivanen and Väänänen, 2016). For example, Germany and the UK both exhibit high proportions of female life science doctoral graduates, at 46.7% and 56.6%, respectively. The figures decline markedly when viewed as the proportion of women employed as scientific researchers in higher education, with 14% of females in Germany and 31% in the UK (Haeussler and Colyvas, 2011).

Within academia, women are not only less represented but also experience a less supportive environment than men. Woolley (2019), for instance, reported that in the US, women earn less and are promoted to full professor at a slower rate than their male counterparts (American Society for Engineering Education, 2023; National Science Foundation, 2023). Women are also disproportionately overrepresented among part-time, temporary, and nontenure track faculty (Blume-Kohout, 2014). The same happened in the UK (Abreu and Grinevich, 2017; Rosa and Dawson, 2006) and Italy (Civera *et al.*, 2021). The lack of women in advanced academic positions results in several challenges, including an overburdening of those few women in these roles (Ward and Wolf-Wendel, 2012) and a lack of role models for the next generation (Clark Blickenstaff, 2005; Tartari and Salter, 2015). Thus, studies have shown that female faculty members are often burdened with a heavier service and teaching load than their male colleagues (Guarino and Borden, 2017; Hart, 2016).

Although women represent fewer than half of the faculty in several disciplines, their presence is especially low in engineering and some technology fields (Tartari and Salter, 2015). The particularly unbalanced nature of the demographics of female and male academics in the so-called STEM (Science Technology Engineering and Math) disciplines exacerbates the underrepresentation of female entrepreneurs since these areas are where academic entrepreneurship is more likely to

emerge. Scholars who have tried to investigate the dynamics of leaky pipelines have suggested that the gender gap is attributable both to personal characteristics (Vázquez-Cupeiro and Elston, 2006) and to discrimination experienced in their context (Parker *et al.*, 2017).

In spite of the current situation, the gender gap can be reduced more easily in academic entrepreneurship than in other contexts (Civera and Meoli, 2023; Lauto *et al.*, 2022; Sugimoto *et al.*, 2015; Whittington, 2011). Women and men in academia are characterized by similar levels of human capital and by similar attitudes, pressures, and motivations (Rosa and Dawson, 2006). Moreover, universities have oriented themselves toward a more entrepreneurial attitude (Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff, 2000) by stimulating new enterprises and creating a supportive environment for both men and women who are willing to be entrepreneurs.

Regardless of all this, the underrepresentation of women in academic entrepreneurship is a significant issue that warrants thorough investigation. This is not only a matter of fairness and equality but also of economic efficiency and innovation potential (Abreu and Grinevich, 2017; Muscio and Vallanti, 2022). Women represent a substantial portion of the intellectual talent in our society, and their underutilization in the entrepreneurial sector represents a significant loss of potential innovation and economic growth (Bell *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, diversity, including gender diversity, has been shown to enhance problem solving and creativity, key elements in the entrepreneurial process (Minniti, 2009). Therefore, understanding and addressing the gender gap in academic entrepreneurship could lead to more effective utilization of human resources, increased innovation, and improved economic performance.

This monograph is devoted to analyzing what we know so far about female engagement in academic entrepreneurship and the main theories and methodologies adopted. It then uses these analyses to delineate future research on the topic. The objective of this literature review is to synthesize existing research on gender issues in academic entrepreneurship. Despite the growing interest in this topic, the current body of literature is fragmented and lacks a comprehensive overview. This review aims to fill this gap by providing a narrative review built on a comprehensive framework derived from the process-based definition of academic entrepreneurship: from mindset to intention and finally

to action. First, we focus on the entrepreneurial mindset of academic entrepreneurs by investigating specific differences in cognitive attributes and personality traits between men and women. Second, we consider the entrepreneurial intentions of academic entrepreneurs who can perceive academia as more or less supportive depending on their gender.

Third, the entrepreneurial action phase is deeply analyzed by disentangling the formal, informal, and noncommercial mechanisms of academic entrepreneurial activities. Divergences in men's and women's participation in each activity are discussed. Moreover, as academic entrepreneurship is made up of both student and faculty entrepreneurship, we maintain these two streams separately to understand the main findings from the respective literature and suggest either complementary or substitute keys of interpretation. Finally, special attention is devoted to the role of context, as special elements at the university level and at a finer-grained level can moderate the gender gap in academic entrepreneurship, providing ideas for future research. By doing so, this review aims to provide a clearer picture of what is currently known about gender issues in academic entrepreneurship, what questions remain unanswered, and what areas require further investigation. This will not only contribute to the academic understanding of this topic but also provide practical insights for policymakers, educators, and entrepreneurs.

The remainder of the monograph is structured as follows. The next section provides definitions and background literature on the entrepreneurial phases, with particular attention to academia. Section 3 introduces the main theories adopted in the context of academic entrepreneurship, specifically encompassing gender issues. Section 4 proposes the theoretical framework that we adopted to organize the literature and the general descriptive statistics of the examined corpus of articles. Section 5 details several research areas identified in our scheme. The paper concludes with a discussion of future research avenues and the implications of the findings for theory, practice, and policy.

References

- Abidi, O., K. Nimer, A. Bani-Mustafa, and S. Toglaw (2022). “Relationship between faculty characteristics and their entrepreneurial orientation in higher education institutions in Kuwait”. *J. Innov. Entrep.* 11. DOI: [10.1186/s13731-022-00206-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00206-7).
- Abreu, M. and V. Grinevich (2014). “Academic entrepreneurship in the creative arts”. *Environ. Plan. C Gov. Policy.* 32: 451–470. DOI: [10.1068/c11144r](https://doi.org/10.1068/c11144r).
- Abreu, M. and V. Grinevich (2017). “Gender patterns in academic entrepreneurship”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 42: 763–794. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-016-9543-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-016-9543-y).
- Abreu, M. and V. Grinevich (2024). “The entrepreneurial university: Strategies, processes, and competing goals”. *J. Technol. Transf.* DOI: [10.1007/s10961-024-10085-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-024-10085-7).
- Acs, Z. J., P. Arenius, M. Hay, and M. Minniti (2004). “Global entrepreneurship monitor”.
- Acs, Z. J., P. Braunerhjelm, D. B. Audretsch, and B. Carlsson (2009). “The knowledge spillover theory of entrepreneurship”. *Small Bus. Econ.* 32: 15–30. DOI: [10.1007/s11187-008-9157-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-008-9157-3).
- Adeniyi, A. O., V. Gamede, and E. Derera (2024). “Individual entrepreneurial orientation for entrepreneurial readiness”. *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.* 11. DOI: [10.1057/s41599-024-02728-9](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02728-9).

- Agrawal, A. and R. Henderson (2002). "Putting patents in context: Exploring knowledge transfer from MIT". *Management Science*. 48(1): 44–60. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.48.1.44.14279](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.48.1.44.14279).
- Ahl, H. (2006). "Why research on women entrepreneurs needs new directions". *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 30(5): 595–621. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2006.00138.xsocial](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2006.00138.xsocial).
- Ahl, H. and S. Marlow (2012). "Exploring the dynamics of gender, feminism and entrepreneurship: Advancing debate to escape a dead end?" *Organization*. 19: 543–562. DOI: [10.1177/1350508412448695](https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508412448695).
- Ajzen, I. (1985). "From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior". In: *Action-Control: From Cognition to Behavior*. Springer. 1–39. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3_2).
- Ajzen, I. (1987). "Attitudes, traits, and actions: Dispositional prediction of behavior in personality and social psychology". *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. 20: 1–63.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). "The theory of planned behavior". *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 50(2): 179–211. DOI: [10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T).
- Ajzen, I. (2011). "The theory of planned behaviour: Reactions and reflections". *Psychol. Health*. 26(9): 1113–1127. DOI: [10.1080/08870446.2011.613995](https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2011.613995).
- Allen, S. D., A. N. Link, and D. T. Rosenbaum (2007). "Entrepreneurship and human capital: Evidence of patenting activity from the academic sector". *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 31: 937–951. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00207.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00207.x).
- Almobaireek, W. N. and T. S. Manolova (2013). "Entrepreneurial motivations among female university youth in Saudi Arabia". *J. Bus. Econ. Manag.* 14. DOI: [10.3846/16111699.2012.711364](https://doi.org/10.3846/16111699.2012.711364).
- Alonso-Galicia, P. E., V. Fernández-Pérez, L. Rodríguez-Ariza, and M. D. M. Fuentes-Fuentes (2015). "Entrepreneurial cognitions in academia: Exploring gender differences". *J. Manag. Psychol.* 30: 630–644. DOI: [10.1108/JMP-08-2013-0262](https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-08-2013-0262).
- Amara, N., N. Halilem, and N. Traoré (2016). "Adding value to companies' value chain: Role of business schools scholars". *J. Bus. Res.* 69: 1661–1668. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.10.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.10.035).

- Amentie, C. and E. Negash (2015). “The study on female undergraduates’ attitudes and perceptions of entrepreneurship development (comparison public and private universities in Ethiopia)”. *J. Account. Mark.* 4(1): 1–12.
- American Society for Engineering Education (2023). “ASEE Profiles Survey Team”.
- Arauzo-Carod, J. M. and A. Segarra-Blasco (2005). “The determinants of entry are not independent of start-up size: Some evidence from Spanish manufacturing”. *Rev. Ind. Organ.* 27: 147–165. DOI: [10.1007/s11151-005-8321-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11151-005-8321-z).
- Armitage, C. J. and M. Conner (2001). “Efficacy of the theory of planned behavior: A meta-analytic review”. *British Journal of Social Psychology.* 40: 471–499.
- Arshad, M., M. Farooq, M. Atif, and O. Farooq (2021). “A motivational theory perspective on entrepreneurial intentions: A gender comparative study”. *Gend. Manag.* 36: 221–240. DOI: [10.1108/GM-12-2019-0253](https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-12-2019-0253).
- Arshad, M., O. Farooq, N. Sultana, and M. Farooq (2016). “Determinants of individuals’ entrepreneurial intentions: A gender-comparative study”. *Career Dev. Int.* 21: 318–339. DOI: [10.1108/CDI-10-2015-0135](https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-10-2015-0135).
- Åstebro, T. (2003). “The return to independent invention: Evidence of unrealistic optimism, risk seeking or skewness loving?” *Econ. J.* 113: 226–239. DOI: [10.1111/1468-0297.00089](https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0297.00089).
- Bajwa, S. U., K. Shahzad, and H. Aslam (2017). “Exploring big five personality traits and gender as predictors of entrepreneurs’ cognitive adaptability”. *J. Model. Manag.* 12(1): 143–161. DOI: [10.1108/JM2-04-2014-0026](https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-04-2014-0026).
- Bandura, A. (1977). “Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change”. *Psychological Review.* URL: <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>.
- Bandura, A. (1989). “Human agency in social cognitive theory”. *Am. Psychol.* 44: 1175–1184. DOI: [10.1037/0003-066X.44.9.1175](https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.9.1175).
- Bandura, A. (2001). “Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective”. *Annual Review of Psychology.* 52(1): 1–26. DOI: [10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1).

- Battistelli, A. and C. Odoardi (2008). “La psicologia dell’imprenditorialità”. In: *Psicologia Del Lavoro. Raffaello Cortina Editore*. 315–340.
- Baum, J. R. and E. A. Locke (2004). “The relationship of entrepreneurial traits, skill, and motivation to subsequent venture growth”. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 89: 587–598. DOI: [10.1037/0021-9010.89.4.587](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.4.587).
- Baumol, W. J. (1990). “Entrepreneurship: Productive, unproductive and destructive”. *Journal of Political Economy*. 98(5): 893–921.
- Bazkiaei, H. A., L. H. Heng, N. U. Khan, R. B. A. Saufi, and R. S. R. Kasim (2020). “Do entrepreneurial education and big-five personality traits predict entrepreneurial intention among universities students?” *Cogent Bus. Manag.* 7(1): 1801217. DOI: [10.1080/23311975.2020.1801217](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1801217).
- Becker, S. O. and L. Woessmann (2009). “Was Weber wrong? A human capital theory of Protestant economic history”. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 124(2): 531–596. DOI: [10.1162/qjec.2009.124.2.531](https://doi.org/10.1162/qjec.2009.124.2.531).
- Bell, A., R. Chetty, X. Jaravel, N. Petkova, and J. Van Reenen (2019). “Who becomes an inventor in America? The importance of exposure to innovation”. *Q. J. Econ.* 134: 647–713. DOI: [10.1093/qje/qjy028](https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjy028).
- Bem, S. L. (1974). “The measurement of psychological androgyny”. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 42: 155–162. DOI: [10.1037/h0036215](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0036215).
- Bem, S. L. (1981). “Gender schema theory: A cognitive account of sex typing”. *Psychological Review*. 88(4): 354. DOI: [10.1037/0033-295X.88.4.354](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.88.4.354).
- Benschop, Y. and M. Brouns (2003). “Crumbling Ivory towers: Academic organizing and its gender effects”. *Gender, Work Organ.* 10: 194–212. DOI: [10.1111/1468-0432.t01-1-00011](https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0432.t01-1-00011).
- Bercovitz, J. and M. Feldman (2008). “Academic entrepreneurs: Organizational change at the individual level”. *Organization Science*. 19(1): 69–89. DOI: [10.1287/orsc.1070.0295](https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1070.0295).
- Berger, J., B. P. Cohen, and M. Zelditch (1972). “Status characteristics and social interaction”. *Am. Sociol. Rev.* 37: 241. DOI: [10.2307/2093465](https://doi.org/10.2307/2093465).

- Berryman, S. E. (1983). *Who Will Do Science? Trends, and their Causes in Minority and Female Representation among Holders of Advanced Degrees in Science and Mathematics. A Special Report*". The Rockefeller Foundation.
- Bertrand, M. and K. F. Hallock (2001). "The gender gap in top corporate jobs". *Ind. Labor Relations Rev.* 55: 3–21. DOI: [10.1177/001979390105500101](https://doi.org/10.1177/001979390105500101).
- Best, K., M. Heidingsfelder, and M. Schraudner (2017). "The gender dimension in German knowledge and technology transfer: A double-edged sword". In: *Technology, Commercialization and Gender: A Global Perspective*. Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. 17–46. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-49923-9_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49923-9_2).
- Bianchi, N. and M. Giorelli (2020). "Scientific education and innovation: From technical diplomas to university stem degrees". *J. Eur. Econ. Assoc.* 18: 2608–2646. DOI: [10.1093/JEEA/JVZ049](https://doi.org/10.1093/JEEA/JVZ049).
- Bird, B. (1988). "Implementing entrepreneurial ideas: The case for intention". *Acad. Manag. Rev.* 13(3): 442–453. DOI: [10.2307/258091](https://doi.org/10.2307/258091).
- Bird, S. R. (2011). "Unsettling universities' incongruous, gendered bureaucratic structures: A case-study approach". *Gender, Work Organ.* 18: 202–230. DOI: [10.1111/j.1468-0432.2009.00510.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0432.2009.00510.x).
- Blanchflower, D. G. and B. D. Meyer (1994). "A longitudinal analysis of the young self-employed in Australia and the United States". *Small Bus. Econ.* 6: 1–19. DOI: [10.1007/BF01066108](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01066108).
- Blanchflower, D. G. and A. J. Oswald (1998). "What makes an entrepreneur?" *J. Labor Econ.* 16: 26–60. DOI: [10.1086/209881](https://doi.org/10.1086/209881).
- Blume-Kohout, M. (2014). "Understanding the gender gap in STEM fields entrepreneurship". *SSRN Electronic Journal*. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.2506835](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2506835).
- Blumenthal, D., N. Causino, E. Campbell, and K. S. Louis (1996). "Relationships between academic institutions and industry in the life sciences—an industry survey". *New England Journal of Medicine*. 334(6): 368–374. DOI: [10.1056/NEJM199602083340606](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199602083340606).
- Blumenthal, D., M. Gluck, K. S. Louis, and D. Wise (1986). "Industrial support of university research in biotechnology". *Science*. 231(4735): 242–246. DOI: [10.1126/science.3941897](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3941897).

- Bosma, N., S. Hill, A. Ionescu-Somers, D. Kelley, M. Guerrero, and T. Schott (2021). *GEM 2020/2021 Global Report*. Babson College.
- Bozeman, B. and M. Gaughan (2007). “Impacts of grants and contracts on academic researchers’ interactions with industry”. *Res. Policy*. 36: 694–707. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2007.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.01.007).
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Bygrave, W. D. (2009). “The entrepreneurial process”. In: *The Portable MBA in Entrepreneurship*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1–26.
- Byrnes, J. P., D. C. Miller, and W. D. Schafer (1999). “Gender differences in risk-taking: A meta-analysis”. *Psychol. Bull.* 125(3): 367–383. DOI: [10.1037/0033-2909.125.3.367](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.3.367).
- Calás, M. B., L. Smircich, and K. A. Bourne (2009). “Extending the boundaries: Reframing “entrepreneurship as social change” through feminist perspectives”. *Acad. Manag. Rev.* 34: 552–569. DOI: [10.5465/amr.2009.40633597](https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.40633597).
- Camerer, C. and D. Lovo (1999). “Overconfidence and excess entry: An experimental approach”. *Am. Econ. Rev.* 89: 306–318.
- Cardella, G. M., B. R. Hernández Sánchez, J. M. R. de Miranda Justo, and J. C. Sánchez García (2024). “Understanding social entrepreneurial intention in higher education: Does gender and type of study matter?” *Stud. High. Educ.* 49: 670–689. DOI: [10.1080/03075079.2023.2250384](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2023.2250384).
- Carrigan, C., K. O’Leary, E. Riskin, J. Yen, and M. O’Donnell (2017). “On-ramping: Following women scientists and engineers through their transition from nonacademic to faculty careers”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 42: 98–115. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-015-9460-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-015-9460-5).
- Carter, N. M. and M. L. Williams (2003). “Comparing social feminism and liberal feminism: The case of new firm growth”. In: *New Perspectives on Women Entrepreneurs*. Ed. by J. E. Butler. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing. 25–50.
- Caviggioli, F., A. Colombelli, and C. Ravetti (2023). “Gender differences among innovators: A patent analysis of stars”. *Econ. Innov. New Technol.* 32: 1000–1018. DOI: [10.1080/10438599.2022.2065634](https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2022.2065634).

- Chen, C. C., P. G. Greene, and A. Crick (1998). “Does entrepreneurial self-efficacy distinguish entrepreneurs from managers?” *J. Bus. Ventur.* 13: 295–316. DOI: [10.1016/S0883-9026\(97\)00029-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(97)00029-3).
- Cho, E., Z. K. Moon, and T. Bounkhong (2019). “A qualitative study on motivators and barriers affecting entrepreneurship among Latinas”. *Gend. Manag.* 34: 326–343. DOI: [10.1108/GM-07-2018-0096](https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-07-2018-0096).
- Civera, A. and M. Meoli (2023). “Empowering female entrepreneurs through university affiliation: Evidence from Italian academic spin-offs”. *Small Bus. Econ.* 61: 1337–1355. DOI: [10.1007/s11187-022-00729-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-022-00729-z).
- Civera, A., M. Meoli, and S. Paleari (2021). “When austerity means inequality: The case of the Italian university compensation system in the period 2010–2020”. *Stud. High. Educ.* 46: 926–937. DOI: [10.1080/03075079.2021.1896800](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2021.1896800).
- Civera, A., M. Meoli, and S. Vismara (2020). “Engagement of academics in university technology transfer: Opportunity and necessity academic entrepreneurship”. *Eur. Econ. Rev.* 123: 103376. DOI: [10.1016/j.euroecorev.2020.103376](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2020.103376).
- Clark Blickenstaff, J. (2005). “Women and science careers: Leaky pipeline or gender filter?” *Gend. Educ.* 17: 369–386. DOI: [10.1080/09540250500145072](https://doi.org/10.1080/09540250500145072).
- Clarysse, B., M. Wright, and E. Van de Velde (2011). “Entrepreneurial origin, technological knowledge, and the growth of spin-off companies”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 48(6): 1420–1442. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00991.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00991.x).
- Coelho, M., D. de Meza, and D. Reyniers (2004). “Irrational exuberance, entrepreneurial finance and public policy”. *Int. Tax Public Financ.* 11: 391–417. DOI: [10.1023/B:ITAX.0000033985.96539.65](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:ITAX.0000033985.96539.65).
- Colombelli, A., S. Loccisano, A. Panelli, O. A. M. Pennisi, and F. Serraino (2022a). “Entrepreneurship education: The effects of challenge-based learning on the entrepreneurial mindset of university students”. *Adm. Sci.* 12: 10. DOI: [10.3390/admsci12010010](https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci12010010).
- Colombelli, A., A. Panelli, and F. Serraino (2022b). “A learning-by-doing approach to entrepreneurship education: Evidence from a short intensive online international program”. *Adm. Sci.* 12: 16. DOI: [10.3390/admsci12010016](https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci12010016).

- Colyvas, J. A., K. Snellman, J. Bercovitz, and M. Feldman (2012). “Disentangling effort and performance: A renewed look at gender differences in commercializing medical school research”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 37: 478–489. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-011-9235-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-011-9235-6).
- Corley, E. and M. Gaughan (2005). “Scientists’ participation in university research centers: What are the gender differences?” *J. Technol. Transf.* 30: 371–381. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-005-2582-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-005-2582-4).
- Correia, M. P., C. S. Marques, R. Silva, and V. Ramadani (2024). “Academic entrepreneurship ecosystems: Systematic literature review and future research directions”. *J. Knowl. Econ.* DOI: [10.1007/s13132-024-01819-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-01819-x).
- Covin, J. G. and D. Miller (2014). “International entrepreneurial orientation: Conceptual considerations, research themes, measurement issues, and future research directions”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 38: 11–44. DOI: [10.1111/etap.12027](https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12027).
- Covin, J. G. and D. P. Slevin (2017). “The entrepreneurial imperatives of strategic leadership”. In: *Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating a New Mindset*. 307–327.
- Creedy, J. and P. S. Johnson (1983). “Firm formation in manufacturing industry”. *Appl. Econ.* 15: 177–185. DOI: [10.1080/00036848300000028](https://doi.org/10.1080/00036848300000028).
- Cui, J., J. Sun, and R. Bell (2021). “The impact of entrepreneurship education on the entrepreneurial mindset of college students in China: The mediating role of inspiration and the role of educational attributes”. *Int. J. Manag. Educ.* 19: 100296. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijme.2019.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2019.04.001).
- Dahlstrand, A. L. and D. Politis (2013). “Women business ventures in Swedish university incubators”. *Int. J. Gend. Entrep.* 5: 78–96. DOI: [10.1108/17566261311305229](https://doi.org/10.1108/17566261311305229).
- Daniel, A. D. and L. Alves (2020). “University-industry technology transfer: The commercialization of university’s patents”. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*. 18(3): 276–296. DOI: [10.1080/14778238.2019.1638741](https://doi.org/10.1080/14778238.2019.1638741).

- Daspit, J. J., C. J. Fox, and S. K. Findley (2023). “Entrepreneurial mindset: An integrated definition, a review of current insights, and directions for future research”. *Journal of Small Business Management*. 61(1): 12–44. DOI: [10.1080/00472778.2021.1907583](https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2021.1907583).
- Datta, A., C. Bazan, and K. A. Arnold (2022). “Effect of gender role identity on the entrepreneurial intention of university students”. *J. Small Bus. Entrep.* 34: 618–644. DOI: [10.1080/08276331.2021.1981729](https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2021.1981729).
- Davidsson, P. and B. Honig (2003). “The role of social and human capital among nascent entrepreneurs”. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 18(3): 301–331.
- Dawson, C. and A. Henley (2012). ““Push” versus “pull” entrepreneurship: An ambiguous distinction?” *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*. 18(6): 697–719. DOI: [10.1108/13552551211268139](https://doi.org/10.1108/13552551211268139).
- Dawson, C. and A. Henley (2015). “Gender, risk, and venture creation intentions”. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 53: 501–515. DOI: [10.1111/jsbm.12080](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12080).
- de Los Dolores González, M. and B. W. Husted (2011). “Gender, human capital, and opportunity identification in Mexico”. *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 3: 236–253. DOI: [10.1108/17566261111169322](https://doi.org/10.1108/17566261111169322).
- de Melo-Martín, I. (2013). “Patenting and the gender gap: Should women be encouraged to patent more?” *Sci. Eng. Ethics*. 19: 491–504. DOI: [10.1007/s11948-011-9344-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-011-9344-5).
- De Noble, A. F., D. Jung, and S. B. Ehrlich (1999). “Entrepreneurial self-efficacy: The development of a measure and its relationship to entrepreneurial action”. *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research*. 1999(1): 73–87.
- Dempsey, D. and J. Jennings (2014). “Gender and entrepreneurial self-efficacy: a learning perspective”. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*. 6(1): 28–49. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-02-2013-0013](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-02-2013-0013).
- Dess, G. G. and G. T. Lumpkin (2005). “The role of entrepreneurial orientation in stimulating effective corporate entrepreneurship”. *Acad. Manag. Perspect.* 19: 147–156. DOI: [10.5465/ame.2005.15841975](https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2005.15841975).

- D'Este, P. and P. Patel (2007). "University-industry linkages in the UK: What are the factors underlying the variety of interactions with industry?" *Research Policy*. 36(9): 1295–1313. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2007.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.05.002).
- DeTienne, D. R. and G. N. Chandler (2007). "The role of gender in opportunity identification". *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 31: 365–386. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00178.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00178.x).
- Di Gregorio, D. and S. Shane (2003). "Why do some universities generate more start-ups than others?" *Research Policy*. 32(2): 209–227. DOI: [10.1016/S0048-7333\(02\)00097-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(02)00097-5).
- Di Paola, N. (2021). "Pathways to academic entrepreneurship: The determinants of female scholars' entrepreneurial intentions". *J. Technol. Transf.* 46: 1417–1441. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-020-09824-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-020-09824-3).
- Díaz-García, M. C. and J. Jiménez-Moreno (2010). "Entrepreneurial intention: The role of gender". *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 6: 261–283. DOI: [10.1007/s11365-008-0103-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-008-0103-2).
- Dickel, P. and G. Eckardt (2021). "Who wants to be a social entrepreneur? The role of gender and sustainability orientation". *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 59: 196–218. DOI: [10.1080/00472778.2019.1704489](https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2019.1704489).
- Digman, J. M. (1990). "Personality structure: Emergence of the five-factor model". *Annual Review of Psychology*. 41(1): 417–440.
- Ding, W. W., F. Murray, and T. E. Stuart (2006). "Gender differences in patenting in the academic life sciences". *Science*. 313: 665–667. DOI: [10.1126/science.1124832](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1124832).
- Ding, W. W., F. Murray, and T. E. Stuart (2013). "From bench to board: Gender differences in university scientists' participation in corporate scientific advisory boards". *Acad. Manag. J.* 56: 1443–1464. DOI: [10.5465/amj.2011.0020](https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0020).
- Ding, W. and E. Choi (2011). "Divergent paths to commercial science: A comparison of scientists' founding and advising activities". *Res. Policy*. 40: 69–80. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2010.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.09.011).
- Djokovic, D. and V. Souitaris (2008). "Spinouts from academic institutions: A literature review with suggestions for further research". *J. Technol. Transf.* DOI: [10.1007/s10961-006-9000-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-006-9000-4).

- Donaldson, C., M. H. González-Serrano, and F. C. Moreno (2023). “Intentions for what? Comparing entrepreneurial intention types within female and male entrepreneurship students”. *Int. J. Manag. Educ.* 21. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100817](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100817).
- Douglas, E. J. and D. A. Shepherd (2000). “Entrepreneurship as a utility maximizing response”. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 15: 231–251. DOI: [10.1016/S0883-9026\(98\)00008-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(98)00008-1).
- Duch, J., X. H. T. Zeng, M. Sales-Pardo, F. Radicchi, S. Otis, T. K. Woodruff, and L. A. N. Amaral (2012). “The possible role of resource requirements and academic career-choice risk on gender differences in publication rate and impact”. *PLOS One*. 7. DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0051332](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051332).
- Duval-Couetil, N., C. M. Gotch, and S. Yi (2014). “The characteristics and motivations of contemporary entrepreneurship students”. *J. Educ. Bus.* 89: 441–449. DOI: [10.1080/08832323.2014.933156](https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2014.933156).
- Eagly, A. H. (2013). *Sex differences in social behavior: A social-role interpretation*. Psychology Press.
- Eagly, A. H. and S. J. Karau (2002). “Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders”. *Psychol. Rev.* 109: 573–598. DOI: [10.1037/0033-295X.109.3.573](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.109.3.573).
- Eagly, A. H., S. J. Karau, and B. T. Johnson (1992). “Gender and leadership style among school principals: A meta-analysis”. *Educ. Adm. Q.* 28: 76–102. DOI: [10.1177/0013161X92028001004](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X92028001004).
- Eagly, A. H., S. J. Karau, and M. G. Makhijani (1995). “Gender and the effectiveness of leaders: A meta-analysis”. *Psychol. Bull.* 117: 125–145. DOI: [10.1037/0033-2909.117.1.125](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.1.125).
- Eddleston, K. A., J. J. Ladge, C. Mitteness, and L. Balachandra (2016). “Do you see what I see? Signaling effects of gender and firm characteristics on financing entrepreneurial ventures”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 40(3): 489–514. DOI: [10.1111/etap.12117](https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12117).
- Epstein, A., N. Duval-Couetil, and A. Huang-Saad (2022). “Gender differences in academic entrepreneurship: Experience, attitudes and outcomes among NSF I-CORPS participants”. *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 14: 117–141. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-10-2020-0166](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-10-2020-0166).

- Etzkowitz, H., E. Germain-Alamartine, J. Keel, C. Kumar, K. N. Smith, and E. Albats (2019). “Entrepreneurial university dynamics: Structured ambivalence, relative deprivation and institution-formation in the Stanford innovation system”. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change.* 141: 159–171. DOI: [10.1016/j.techfore.2018.10.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.10.019).
- Etzkowitz, H. and L. Leydesdorff (2000). “The dynamics of innovation: From national systems and ‘Mode 2’ to a triple helix of university–industry–government relations”. *Res. Policy.* 29: 109–123. DOI: [10.1016/S0048-7333\(99\)00055-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00055-4).
- Etzkowitz, H., A. Webster, C. Gebhardt, and B. R. C. Terra (2000). “The future of the university and the university of the future: Evolution of ivory tower to entrepreneurial paradigm”. *Research Policy.* 29(2): 313–330. DOI: [10.1016/S0048-7333\(99\)00069-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00069-4).
- Evans, D. S. and L. S. Leighton (1990). “Small business formation by unemployed and employed workers”. *Small Bus. Econ.* 2: 319–330. DOI: [10.1007/BF00401628](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00401628).
- Eyal, T., N. Liberman, Y. Trope, and E. Walther (2004). “The pros and cons of temporally near and distant action”. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 86(6): 781. DOI: [10.1037/0022-3514.86.6.781](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.86.6.781).
- Fernández-Pérez, V., P. E. Alonso-Galicia, M. del Mar Fuentes-Fuentes, and L. Rodríguez-Ariza (2014). “Business social networks and academics’ entrepreneurial intentions”. *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* 114: 292–320. DOI: [10.1108/IMDS-02-2013-0076](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-2013-0076).
- Figuroa-Domecq, C., A. Kimbu, A. de Jong, and A. M. Williams (2022). “Sustainability through the tourism entrepreneurship journey: A gender perspective”. *J. Sustain. Tour.* 30: 1562–1585. DOI: [10.1080/09669582.2020.1831001](https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2020.1831001).
- Fini, R., R. Grimaldi, and A. Meoli (2020). “The effectiveness of university regulations to foster science-based entrepreneurship”. *Research Policy.* 49(10): 104048. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2020.104048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2020.104048).
- Fini, R., E. Rasmussen, D. Siegel, and J. Wiklund (2018). “Rethinking the commercialization of public science: From entrepreneurial outcomes to societal impacts”. *Acad. Manag. Perspect.* 32: 4–20. DOI: [10.5465/amp.2017.0206](https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2017.0206).

- Fox, M. F. and W. Xiao (2013). “Perceived chances for promotion among women associate professors in computing: Individual, departmental, and entrepreneurial factors”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 38: 135–152. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-012-9250-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-012-9250-2).
- Gaughan, M. and E. A. Corley (2010). “Science faculty at US research universities: The impacts of university research center-affiliation and gender on industrial activities”. *Technovation*. 30: 215–222. DOI: [10.1016/j.technovation.2009.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2009.12.001).
- Gibson, D. E. (2004). “Role models in career development: New directions for theory and research”. *J. Vocat. Behav.* 65(1): 134–156. DOI: [10.1016/j.jvb.2003.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2003.07.001).
- Gimenez-Jimenez, D., A. Calabrò, and D. Urbano (2020). “The neglected role of formal and informal institutions in women’s entrepreneurship: A multi-level analysis”. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*. 18(2): 196–226. DOI: [10.1007/s10843-020-00270-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10843-020-00270-8).
- Goel, R. K., D. Göktepe-Hultén, and R. Ram (2015). “Academics’ entrepreneurship propensities and gender differences”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 40: 161–177. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-014-9372-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-014-9372-9).
- Goethner, M. and M. Wyrwich (2020). “Cross-faculty proximity and academic entrepreneurship: The role of business schools”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 45: 1016–1062. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-019-09725-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-019-09725-0).
- Goktan, A. B. and V. K. Gupta (2015). “Sex, gender, and individual entrepreneurial orientation: Evidence from four countries”. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 11: 95–112. DOI: [10.1007/s11365-013-0278-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-013-0278-z).
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (2001). *Volition*. Encyclopedia of Psychology. 210–214.
- Gomes, S., T. Santos, M. Sousa, J. C. Oliveira, M. Oliveira, and J. M. Lopes (2022). “Entrepreneurial intention among women: A case study in the Portuguese academy”. *Strateg. Chang.* 31: 197–209. DOI: [10.1002/jsc.2489](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2489).
- Gorman, E. H. and J. A. Kmec (2009). “Hierarchical rank and women’s organizational mobility: Glass ceilings in corporate law firms”. *Am. J. Sociol.* DOI: [10.1086/595950](https://doi.org/10.1086/595950).
- Grimaldi, R. and A. Grandi (2005). “Business incubators and new venture creation: An assessment of incubating models”. *Technovation*. 25: 111–121. DOI: [10.1016/S0166-4972\(03\)00076-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972(03)00076-2).

- Grimaldi, R., M. Kenney, D. S. Siegel, and M. Wright (2011). “30 years after Bayh-Dole: Reassessing academic entrepreneurship”. *Research Policy*. 40(8): 1045–1057. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2011.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.04.005).
- Guarino, C. M. and V. M. H. Borden (2017). “Faculty service loads and gender: Are women taking care of the academic family?” *Res. High. Educ.* 58: 672–694. DOI: [10.1007/s11162-017-9454-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-017-9454-2).
- Gupta, A., S. Batra, and V. K. Gupta (2022). “Gender, culture, and implicit theories about entrepreneurs: A cross-national investigation”. *Small Bus. Econ.* 58: 1073–1089. DOI: [10.1007/s11187-020-00434-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00434-9).
- Gupta, V. K., D. B. Turban, and N. M. Bhawe (2008). “The effect of gender stereotype activation on entrepreneurial intentions”. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 93: 1053–1061. DOI: [10.1037/0021-9010.93.5.1053](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.5.1053).
- Gupta, V. K., D. B. Turban, S. A. Wasti, and A. Sikdar (2009). “The role of gender stereotypes in perceptions of entrepreneurs and intentions to become an entrepreneur”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 33: 397–417. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00296.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00296.x).
- Guzman, J. and A. O. Kacperczyk (2019). “Gender gap in entrepreneurship”. *Research Policy*. 48(7): 1666–1680. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2019.03.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2019.03.012).
- Hackett, G. and N. E. Betz (1981). “A self-efficacy approach to the career development of women”. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 18(3): 326–339. DOI: [10.1016/0001-8791\(81\)90019-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(81)90019-1).
- Haeussler, C. and J. A. Colyvas (2011). “Breaking the ivory tower: Academic entrepreneurship in the life sciences in UK and Germany”. *Res. Policy*. 40: 41–54. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2010.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.09.012).
- Halilem, N., N. Amara, and R. Landry (2011). “Is the academic Ivory tower becoming a managed structure? A nested analysis of the variance in activities of researchers from natural sciences and engineering in Canada”. *Scientometrics*. 86: 431–448. DOI: [10.1007/s11192-010-0278-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-010-0278-5).
- Halilem, N., N. Amara, J. Olmos-Peñuela, and M. Mohiuddin (2017). “‘To own, or not to own?’ A multilevel analysis of intellectual property right policies on academic entrepreneurship”. *Res. Policy*. 46: 1479–1489. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2017.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2017.07.002).

- Halilem, N., M. D. Silva, and N. Amara (2022). “Fairly assessing unfairness: An exploration of gender disparities in informal entrepreneurship amongst academics in business schools”. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*. 174: 121295. DOI: [10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121295](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121295).
- Hansemark, O. C. (1998). “The effects of an entrepreneurship programme on need for achievement and locus of control of reinforcement”. *Int. J. Entrep. Behav. Res.* 4: 28–50. DOI: [10.1108/13552559810203957](https://doi.org/10.1108/13552559810203957).
- Hart, J. (2016). “Dissecting a gendered organization: Implications for career trajectories for mid-career faculty women in STEM”. *J. Higher Educ.* 87: 605–634. DOI: [10.1080/00221546.2016.11777416](https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2016.11777416).
- Haus, I., H. Steinmetz, R. Isidor, and R. Kabst (2013). “Gender effects on entrepreneurial intention: A meta-analytical structural equation model”. *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 5: 130–156. DOI: [10.1108/17566261311328828](https://doi.org/10.1108/17566261311328828).
- Haynie, J. M., D. Shepherd, E. Mosakowski, and P. C. Earley (2010). “A situated metacognitive model of the entrepreneurial mindset”. *J. Bus. Vent.* 25(2): 217–229. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2008.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2008.10.001).
- Hayter, C. S., A. J. Nelson, S. Zayed, and A. C. O’Connor (2018). “Conceptualizing academic entrepreneurship ecosystems: A review, analysis and extension of the literature”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 43: 1039–1082. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-018-9657-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-018-9657-5).
- Hechavarria, D. M. and A. E. Ingram (2016). “The entrepreneurial gender divide: Hegemonic masculinity, emphasized femininity and organizational forms”. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*. 8(3). DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-09-2014-0029](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-09-2014-0029).
- HEFCE (2007). “Higher education-business and community interaction survey”.
- Heilman, M. E. (1983). “Sex bias in work settings: The lack of fit model”. *Res. Organizational Behav.* 5: 269–298.
- Heilman, M. E. (2001). “Description and prescription: How gender stereotypes prevent women’s ascent up the organizational ladder”. *J. Soc. Issues*. 57: 657–674. DOI: [10.1111/0022-4537.00234](https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00234).

- Henderson, R., A. B. Jaffe, and M. Trajtenberg (1998). “Universities as a source of commercial technology: A detailed analysis of university patenting, 1965–1988”. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 80(1): 119–127. DOI: [10.1162/003465398557221](https://doi.org/10.1162/003465398557221).
- Henry, C., L. Foss, and H. Ahl (2016). “Gender and entrepreneurship research: A review of methodological approaches”. *International Small Business Journal*. 34(3): 217–241. DOI: [10.1177/0266242614549779](https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242614549779).
- Hill, C., C. Corbett, and A. St. Rose (2010). “Why So Few? Women in STEM”.
- Hill, R. J., M. Fishbein, and I. Ajzen (1977). “Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to theory and research”. *Contemp. Sociol.* 6: 244. DOI: [10.2307/2065853](https://doi.org/10.2307/2065853).
- Hmieleski, K. M. and E. E. Powell (2018). “The psychological foundations of university science commercialization: A review of the literature and directions for future research”. *Acad. Manag. Perspect.* 32: 43–77. DOI: [10.5465/amp.2016.0139](https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2016.0139).
- Hockerts, K. (2017). “Determinants of social entrepreneurial intentions”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 41(1): 105–130.
- Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture’s Consequences: International Differences in Work-related Values*. Beverly Hills: SagePublications.
- Hofstede, G. and R. R. McCrae (2004). “Personality and culture revisited: Linking traits and dimensions of culture”. *Cross-Cultural Research*. 38(1): 52–88.
- Hossain, M. U., M. S. Arefin, and V. Yukongdi (2024). “Personality traits, social self-efficacy, social support, and social entrepreneurial intention: The moderating role of gender”. *J. Soc. Entrep.* 15: 119–139. DOI: [10.1080/19420676.2021.1936614](https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2021.1936614).
- Hughes, K. D., J. E. Jennings, C. Brush, S. Carter, and F. Welter (2012). “Extending women’s entrepreneurship research in new directions”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 36(3): 429–442. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00504](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00504).
- Hunt, J., J. P. Garant, H. Herman, and D. J. Munroe (2013). “Why are women underrepresented amongst patentees?” *Res. Policy*. 42: 831–843. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2012.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.11.004).

- Jack, S. L. and A. R. Anderson (2002). “The effects of embeddedness on the entrepreneurial process”. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 17(5): 467–487. DOI: [10.1016/S0883-9026\(01\)00076-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(01)00076-3).
- Jennings, J. E. and C. G. Brush (2013). “Research on women entrepreneurs: Challenges to (and from) the broader entrepreneurship literature?” *Academy of Management Annals*. 7(1): 663–715. DOI: [10.5465/19416520.2013.782190](https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2013.782190).
- Jensen, R. A., J. G. Thursby, and M. C. Thursby (2003). “Disclosure and licensing of university inventions: ‘The best we can do with the s**t we get to work with’”. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*. 21(9): 1271–1300. DOI: [10.1016/S0167-7187\(03\)00083-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7187(03)00083-3).
- Jensen, R., J. Thursby, and M. C. Thursby (2010). *University-Industry Spillovers, Government Funding, and Industrial Consulting (No. w15732)*. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Jensen, R. and M. Thursby (2001). “Proofs and prototypes for sale: The licensing of university inventions”. *American Economic Review*. 91(1): 240–259. DOI: [10.1257/aer.91.1.240](https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.91.1.240).
- Jhamb, S., D. G. Erwin, D. N. Holmes, and S. Ferntheil (2022). “The Alaskan entrepreneurial ecosystem: A systematic literature review of individual and organizational variables”. *J. Mark. Dev. Compet.* 16(2): . DOI: [10.33423/jmdc.v16i2.5253](https://doi.org/10.33423/jmdc.v16i2.5253).
- Joensuu, S., A. Viljamaa, E. Varamäki, and E. Tornikoski (2013). “Development of entrepreneurial intention in higher education and the effect of gender—A latent growth curve analysis”. *Educ. Train.* 55: 781–803. DOI: [10.1108/ET-06-2013-0084](https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-06-2013-0084).
- John, O. P. and S. Srivastava (1999). “The big-five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives”.
- Johnson, B. R. (1990). “Toward a multidimensional model of entrepreneurship: The case of achievement motivation and the entrepreneur”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 14: 39–54. DOI: [10.1177/104225879001400306](https://doi.org/10.1177/104225879001400306).
- Jones, S. (2014). “Gendered discourses of entrepreneurship in UK higher education: The fictive entrepreneur and the fictive student”. *Int. Small Bus. J.* 32: 237–258. DOI: [10.1177/0266242612453933](https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242612453933).

- Jones, S. (2015). “‘You would expect the successful person to be the man’: Gendered symbolic violence in UK HE entrepreneurship education”. *Int. J. Gend. Entrep.* 7: 303–320. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-03-2013-0025](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-03-2013-0025).
- Jones, S. and J. P. Warhuus (2018). “‘This class is not for you’: An investigation of gendered subject construction in entrepreneurship course descriptions”. *J. Small Bus. Enterp. Dev.* 25: 182–200. DOI: [10.1108/JSBED-07-2017-0220](https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-07-2017-0220).
- Karataş-Özkan, M. and E. Chell (2015). “Gender inequalities in academic innovation and enterprise: A Bourdieuan analysis”. *Br. J. Manag.* 26: 109–125. DOI: [10.1111/1467-8551.12020](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12020).
- Karimi, S., H. J. A. Biemans, T. Lans, M. Chizari, and M. Mulder (2014). “Effects of role models and gender on students’ entrepreneurial intentions”. *Eur. J. Train. Dev.* 38: 694–727. DOI: [10.1108/EJTD-03-2013-0036](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-03-2013-0036).
- Khemani, R. S. and D. M. Shapiro (1986). “The determinants of new plant entry in Canada”. *Appl. Econ.* 18: 1243–1257. DOI: [10.1080/00036848600000077](https://doi.org/10.1080/00036848600000077).
- Kickul, J. and R. S. D’Intino (2005). “Measure for measure: Modeling entrepreneurial self-efficacy onto instrumental tasks within the new venture creation process”. *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship*. 8(2): 39–47. DOI: [10.1108/NEJE-08-02-2005-B005](https://doi.org/10.1108/NEJE-08-02-2005-B005).
- Kirkwood, J. (2009). “Motivational factors in a push-pull theory of entrepreneurship”. *Gend. Manag.* 24: 346–364. DOI: [10.1108/17542410910968805](https://doi.org/10.1108/17542410910968805).
- Krueger, N. (2015). *Entrepreneurial Education in Practice-Part 1 the Entrepreneurial Mindset*. Paris: OCDE.
- Krueger, N. F. and A. L. Carsrud (1993). “Entrepreneurial intentions: Applying the theory of planned behaviour”. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*. 5(4): 315–330.
- Krueger, N. F., M. D. Reilly, and A. L. Carsrud (2000). “Competing models of entrepreneurial intentions”. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 15: 411–432. DOI: [10.1016/S0883-9026\(98\)00033-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(98)00033-0).
- Kumar, S. and S. Das (2019). “An extended model of theory of planned behaviour: Entrepreneurial intention, regional institutional infrastructure and perceived gender discrimination in India”. *J. Entrep. Emerg. Econ.* 11: 369–391. DOI: [10.1108/JEEE-09-2018-0089](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-09-2018-0089).

- Kuschel, K., K. Ettl, C. Díaz-García, and G. A. Alsos (2020). “Stemming the gender gap in STEM entrepreneurship—insights into women’s entrepreneurship in science, technology, engineering and mathematics”. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 16: 1–15. DOI: [10.1007/s11365-020-00642-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-020-00642-5).
- Lam, A. (2007). “Knowledge networks and careers: Academic scientists in industry-university links”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 44(6): 993–1016. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00696.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00696.x).
- Langowitz, N. and M. Minniti (2007). “The entrepreneurial propensity of women”. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*. 31(3): 341–364. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00177](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00177).
- Laouiti, R., M. Y. Haddoud, W. A. Nakara, and A. K. E. Onjewu (2022). “A gender-based approach to the influence of personality traits on entrepreneurial intention”. *J. Bus. Res.* 142: 819–829. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.01.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.01.018).
- Larivière, V., C. Ni, Y. Gingras, B. Cronin, and C. R. Sugimoto (2013). “Global gender disparities in science”. *Nature*. DOI: [10.1038/504211a](https://doi.org/10.1038/504211a).
- Laudano, M. C., L. Zollo, C. Ciappei, and V. Zampi (2019). “Entrepreneurial universities and women entrepreneurship: A cross-cultural study”. *Manag. Decis.* 57: 2541–2554. DOI: [10.1108/MD-04-2018-0391](https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-04-2018-0391).
- Lauto, G., E. Salvador, and F. Visintin (2022). “For what they are, not for what they bring: The signaling value of gender for financial resource acquisition in academic spin-offs”. *Res. Policy*. 51. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2022.104554](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2022.104554).
- Lee, L., P. K. Wong, M. D. Foo, and A. Leung (2011). “Entrepreneurial intentions: The influence of organizational and individual factors”. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 26(1): 124–136. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.06.002).
- Lent, R. W., S. D. Brown, and G. Hackett (2002). “Social cognitive career theory in Career choice and development”. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 45(1): 79–122.
- Levin, S. G. and P. E. Stephan (1991). “Research productivity over the life cycle: Evidence for academic scientists”. *The American Economic Review*: 114–132.
- Lincoln, A. E., S. Pincus, J. B. Koster, and P. S. Leboy (2012). “The Matilda effect in science: Awards and prizes in the US, 1990s, and 2000s”. *Soc. Stud. Sci.* 42: 307–320. DOI: [10.1177/0306312711435830](https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312711435830).

- Llados-Masllorens, J. and E. Ruiz-Dotras (2022). “Are women’s entrepreneurial intentions and motivations influenced by financial skills?” *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 14: 69–94. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-01-2021-0017](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-01-2021-0017).
- Lopes, J. M., S. Gomes, and C. Dias (2024). “How do gender attitudes influence the relationships between perceived desirability, perceived feasibility and social entrepreneurial intentions?” *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 16: 27–46. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-03-2023-0074](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-03-2023-0074).
- López-Delgado, P., P. P. Iglesias-Sánchez, and C. Jambrino-Maldonado (2019). “Gender and university degree: A new analysis of entrepreneurial intention”. *Educ. Train.* 61: 797–814. DOI: [10.1108/ET-04-2018-0085](https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-04-2018-0085).
- López-Núñez, M. I., S. Rubio-Valdehita, M. E. Aparicio-García, and E. M. Díaz-Ramiro (2020). “Are entrepreneurs born or made? The influence of personality”. *Pers Individ Dif.* 154: 109699. DOI: [10.1016/j.paid.2019.109699](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109699).
- Lumpkin, G. T. and G. G. Dess (1996). “Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance”. *Academy of Management Review.* 21(1): 135–172. DOI: [10.5465/amr.1996.9602161568](https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1996.9602161568).
- Maes, J., H. Leroy, and L. Sels (2014). “Gender differences in entrepreneurial intentions: A TPB multi-group analysis at factor and indicator level”. *Eur. Manag. J.* 32: 784–794. DOI: [10.1016/j.emj.2014.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2014.01.001).
- Mair, J. and E. Noboa (2006). “Social entrepreneurship: How intentions to create a social venture are formed”. In: *Social Entrepreneurship*. Palgrave Macmillan UK. 121–135.
- Majumdar, S. and D. Varadarajan (2013). “Students’ attitude towards entrepreneurship: Does gender matter in the UAE?” *Foresight.* 15(4): 278–293. DOI: [10.1108/FS-04-2013-0028](https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-04-2013-0028).
- Malach-Pines, A. and D. Schwartz (2008). “Now you see them, now you don’t: Gender differences in entrepreneurship”. *J. Manag. Psychol.* 23: 811–832. DOI: [10.1108/02683940810896358](https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940810896358).
- Mansfield, E. (1962). “Entry, Gibrat’s Law, innovation, and the growth of firms”. *Am. Econ. Rev.* 52: 1023–1051.

- Margaça, C., B. Hernández-Sánchez, J. C. Sánchez-García, and G. M. Cardella (2021). “The roles of psychological capital and gender in university students’ entrepreneurial intentions”. *Front. Psychol.* 11. DOI: [10.3389/fpsyg.2020.615910](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.615910).
- Markman, G. D., P. H. Phan, D. B. Balkin, and P. T. Gianiodis (2005). “Entrepreneurship and university-based technology transfer”. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 20(2): 241–263. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2003.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2003.12.003).
- Markussen, S. and K. Røed (2017). “The gender gap in entrepreneurship—The role of peer effects”. *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.* 134: 356–373. DOI: [10.1016/j.jebo.2016.12.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2016.12.013).
- Marlow, S. and M. McAdam (2012). “Analyzing the influence of gender upon high-technology venturing within the context of business incubation”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 36(4): 655–676.
- Marlow, S. and D. Patton (2005). “All credit to men? Entrepreneurship, finance, and gender”. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 29(6): 717–735. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00105.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00105.x).
- Marques, C. S. E., G. Santos, A. Galvão, C. Mascarenhas, and E. Justino (2018). “Entrepreneurship education, gender and family background as antecedents on the entrepreneurial orientation of university students”. *Int. J. Innov. Sci.* 10: 58–70. DOI: [10.1108/IJIS-07-2017-0067](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-07-2017-0067).
- Marvel, M. R., J. L. Davis, and C. R. Sproul (2016). “Human capital and entrepreneurship research: A critical review and future directions”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 40(3): 599–626. DOI: [10.1111/etap.12136](https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12136).
- Marvel, M. R. and G. T. Lumpkin (2007). “Technology entrepreneurs’ human capital and its effects on innovation radicalness”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 31(6): 807–828. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00209.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00209.x).
- Mayer, S. J. and J. M. K. Rathmann (2018). “How does research productivity relate to gender? Analyzing gender differences for multiple publication dimensions”. *Scientometrics*. 117: 1663–1693. DOI: [10.1007/s11192-018-2933-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2933-1).

- McGowan, P., C. L. Redeker, S. Y. Cooper, and K. Greenan (2012). “Female entrepreneurship and the management of business and domestic roles: Motivations, expectations and realities”. *Entrep. Reg. Dev.* 24: 53–72. DOI: [10.1080/08985626.2012.637351](https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2012.637351).
- Meeralam, E. A. and I. Adeinat (2022). “Understanding the role of universities in fostering female entrepreneurship in the emerging ecosystem”. *Gend. Manag.* 37: 388–404. DOI: [10.1108/GM-02-2021-0041](https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-02-2021-0041).
- Meng, Y. (2016). “Collaboration patterns and patenting: Exploring gender distinctions”. *Res. Policy.* 45: 56–67. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2015.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2015.07.004).
- Menzies, T. V. and H. Tatroff (2006). “The propensity of male vs. female students to take courses and degree concentrations in entrepreneurship¹”. *J. Small Bus. Entrep.* 19: 203–223. DOI: [10.1080/08276331.2006.10593367](https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2006.10593367).
- Meoli, A., R. Fini, M. Sobrero, and J. Wiklund (2020). “How entrepreneurial intentions influence entrepreneurial career choices: The moderating influence of social context”. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 35(3): 105982. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2019.105982](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2019.105982).
- Minniti, M. (2009). “Gender issues in entrepreneurship”. *Found. Trends Entrep.* 5: 497–621. DOI: [10.1561/03000000021](https://doi.org/10.1561/03000000021).
- Miranda, F. J., A. Chamorro, and S. Rubio (2017). “Determinants of the intention to create a spin-off in Spanish universities”. *Int. J. Entrep. Innov. Manag.* 21: 299–317. DOI: [10.1504/IJEIM.2017.085685](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEIM.2017.085685).
- Mody, C. C. (2006). “Corporations, universities, and instrumental communities: Commercializing probe microscopy, 1981–1996”. *Technology and Culture.* 47(1): 56–80. DOI: [10.1353/tech.2006.0085](https://doi.org/10.1353/tech.2006.0085).
- Moreno-Gómez, J., E. Gómez-Araujo, D. Ferrer-Ortíz, and R. Peña-Ruiz (2022). “Gender perspective of parental role model influence on nascent entrepreneurs: Evidence from Colombia”. *European Research on Management and Business Economics.* 28. DOI: [10.1016/j.iedeen.2021.100156](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iedeen.2021.100156).
- Morganson, V. J., M. P. Jones, and D. A. Major (2010). “Understanding women’s underrepresentation in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics: The role of social coping”. *Career Dev. Q.* 59: 169–179. DOI: [10.1002/j.2161-0045.2010.tb00060.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-0045.2010.tb00060.x).

- Morris, M. and M. Schindehutte (2005). “Entrepreneurial values and the ethnic enterprise: An examination of six subcultures”. *Journal of Small Business Management*. 43(4): 453–479.
- Mueller, D. C. (1972). “A life cycle theory of the firm”. *J. Ind. Econ.* 20: 199–219.
- Mueller, S. L. and M. C. Dato-On (2008). “Gender-role orientation as a determinant of entrepreneurial self-efficacy”. *J. Dev. Entrep.* 13: 3–20. DOI: [10.1142/S108494670800082X](https://doi.org/10.1142/S108494670800082X).
- Mueller, S. L. and M. C. Dato-On (2013). “A cross cultural study of gender-role orientation and entrepreneurial self-efficacy”. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 9: 1–20. DOI: [10.1007/s11365-011-0187-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-011-0187-y).
- Mukhtar, S., L. W. Wardana, A. Wibowo, and B. S. Narmaditya (2021). “Does entrepreneurship education and culture promote students’ entrepreneurial intention? The mediating role of entrepreneurial mindset”. *Cogent. Educ.* 8. DOI: [10.1080/2331186X.2021.1918849](https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1918849).
- Munyo, I. and L. Veiga (2022). “Entrepreneurship and economic growth”. *J. Knowl. Econ.* DOI: [10.1007/s13132-022-01032-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-022-01032-8).
- Murray, F. and L. Graham (2007). “Buying science and selling science: Gender differences in the market for commercial science”. *Ind. Corp. Chang.* 16: 657–689. DOI: [10.1093/icc/dtm021](https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtm021).
- Murugesan, R. and R. Jayavelu (2017). “The influence of big five personality traits and self-efficacy on entrepreneurial intention: The role of gender”. *J. Entrep. Innov. Emerg. Econ.* 3: 41–61. DOI: [10.1177/2393957516684569](https://doi.org/10.1177/2393957516684569).
- Muscio, A. and G. Vallanti (2022). “The gender gap in Ph.D. entrepreneurship: How do students perceive the academic environment?” *PLOS One*. 17. DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0261495](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261495).
- Muscio, A. and G. Vallanti (2024). “The gender gap in PhD entrepreneurship: Why balancing employment in academia really matters”. *Res. Policy*. 53: 104907. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2023.104907](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2023.104907).
- National Science Foundation (2023). *Diversity and STEM: Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities 2023 | NSF-National Science Foundation*. National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics.

- Ng, J. C. Y., M. M. D. Huang, and Y. Liu (2016). “The ‘feminine’ entrepreneurial personality trait: The competitive advantage of female college-student entrepreneurs in Chinese Wei-Shang businesses?” *Asian Bus. Manag.* 15: 343–369. DOI: [10.1057/s41291-016-0012-0](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41291-016-0012-0).
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nowiński, W., M. Y. Haddoud, D. Lančarič, D. Egerová, and C. Czeglédi (2019). “The impact of entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and gender on entrepreneurial intentions of university students in the Visegrad countries”. *Stud. High. Educ.* 44: 361–379. DOI: [10.1080/03075079.2017.1365359](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1365359).
- Orr, D. (1974). “The determinants of entry: A study of the Canadian manufacturing industries”. *Rev. Econ. Stat.* 56: 58. DOI: [10.2307/1927527](https://doi.org/10.2307/1927527).
- Orser, B. and S. Hogarth-Scott (2002). “Opting for growth: Gender dimensions of choosing enterprise development”. *Can. J. Adm. Sci.* 19: 284–300. DOI: [10.1111/j.1936-4490.2002.tb00273.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-4490.2002.tb00273.x).
- O’Shea, R. P., T. J. Allen, K. P. Morse, C. O’Gorman, and F. Roche (2007). “Delineating the anatomy of an entrepreneurial university: The Massachusetts institute of technology experience”. *R&D Management*. 37(1): 1–16. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-9310.2007.00454.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9310.2007.00454.x).
- Owen-Smith, J. and W. W. Powell (2003). “The expanding role of university patenting in the life sciences: Assessing the importance of experience and connectivity”. *Research Policy*. 32(9): 1695–1711. DOI: [10.1016/S0048-7333\(03\)00045-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(03)00045-3).
- Pandey, D. L., S. K. Uprety, and N. Risal (2023). “Personality traits and their impact on the social entrepreneurial intentions of management students: A test of big five personality approach”. *J. Innov. Entrep.* 12. DOI: [10.1186/s13731-023-00342-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-023-00342-8).
- Parker, M., C. S. Hayter, L. Lynch, and R. Mohammed (2017). “Barriers to academic entrepreneurship among women: A review of the constituent literatures”. In: *Gender and Entrepreneurial Activity*. 117–150. DOI: [10.4337/9781785364747.00010](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781785364747.00010).

- Passah, K. H. and N. M. Panda (2022). “A structural relationship model of gender-role orientation and entrepreneurial intention: Examining the mediating effect of motivational antecedents in Northeast India”. *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 14: 167–187. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-03-2021-0039](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-03-2021-0039).
- Perez-Quintana, A., E. Hormiga, J. C. Martori, and R. Madariaga (2017). “The influence of sex and gender-role orientation in the decision to become an entrepreneur”. *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 9: 8–30. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-12-2015-0047](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-12-2015-0047).
- Pergelova, A., F. Angulo-Ruiz, T. S. Manolova, and D. Yordanova (2023). “Entrepreneurship education and its gendered effects on feasibility, desirability and intentions for technology entrepreneurship among STEM students”. *Int. J. Gen. Entrep.* 15: 191–228. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-08-2022-0139](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-08-2022-0139).
- Perkmann, M., V. Tartari, M. McKelvey, E. Autio, A. Broström, P. D’este, and M. Sobrero (2013). “Academic engagement and commercialisation: A review of the literature on university-industry relations”. *Research Policy*. 42(2): 423–442. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2012.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.09.007).
- Perkmann, M. and K. Walsh (2008). “Engaging the scholar: Three types of academic consulting and their impact on universities and industry”. *Research Policy*. 37(10): 1884–1891. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2008.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2008.07.009).
- Petridou, E., A. Sarri, and L. P. Kyrgidou (2009). “Entrepreneurship education in higher educational institutions: The gender dimension”. *Gen. Manag.* 24: 286–309. DOI: [10.1108/17542410910961569](https://doi.org/10.1108/17542410910961569).
- Petticrew, M. and H. Roberts (2008). *Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide*. Wiley. DOI: [10.1002/9780470754887](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887).
- Pfeifer, S., N. Šarlija, and M. Zekić Sušac (2016). “Shaping the entrepreneurial mindset: Entrepreneurial intentions of business students in Croatia”. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 54: 102–117. DOI: [10.1111/jsbm.12133](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12133).
- Piacentini, M. (2013). *Women Entrepreneurs in the OECD*. OECD report. DOI: [10.1787/5k43bvtkmb8v-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/5k43bvtkmb8v-en).

- Pidduck, R. J., D. R. Clark, and G. T. Lumpkin (2023). “Entrepreneurial mindset: Dispositional beliefs, opportunity beliefs, and entrepreneurial behavior”. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 61: 45–79. DOI: [10.1080/00472778.2021.1907582](https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2021.1907582).
- Piva, E. and P. Rovelli (2022). “Mind the gender gap: The impact of university education on the entrepreneurial entry of female and male STEM graduates”. *Small Bus. Econ.* 59: 143–161. DOI: [10.1007/s11187-021-00525-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-021-00525-1).
- Poggesi, S., M. Mari, L. De Vita, and L. Foss (2020). “Women entrepreneurship in STEM fields: Literature review and future research avenues”. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*. 16: 17–41. DOI: [10.1007/s11365-019-00599-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-019-00599-0).
- Poggesi, S., M. Mari, and L. D. Vita (2019). “Women entrepreneurs and work-family conflict: An analysis of the antecedents”. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 15: 431–454. DOI: [10.1007/s11365-017-0484-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-017-0484-1).
- Polin, B. A. (2022). “Disentangling the roles of academic major and gender in determining entrepreneurial intentions among students”. *Educ. Train.* DOI: [10.1108/ET-08-2021-0303](https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-08-2021-0303).
- Polkowska, D. (2013). “Women scientists in the leaking pipeline: Barriers to the commercialisation of scientific knowledge by women”. *J. Technol. Manag. Innov.* 8: 25–26. DOI: [10.4067/S0718-27242013000200013](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242013000200013).
- Prokop, D. (2021). “University entrepreneurial ecosystems and spinoff companies: Configurations, developments and outcomes”. *Technovation*. 107: 102286. DOI: [10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102286](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102286).
- Puuska, H. M. (2010). “Effects of scholar’s gender and professional position on publishing productivity in different publication types. Analysis of a Finnish university”. *Scientometrics*. 82: 419–437. DOI: [10.1007/s11192-009-0037-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0037-7).
- Ramadani, V., M. M. Rahman, A. Salamzadeh, M. S. Rahaman, and H. Abazi-Alili (2022). “Entrepreneurship education and graduates’ entrepreneurial intentions: Does gender matter? A multi-group analysis using AMOS”. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*. 180. DOI: [10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121693](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121693).

- Reed, D. A., F. Enders, R. Lindor, M. McClees, and K. D. Lindor (2011). "Gender differences in academic productivity and leadership appointments of physicians throughout academic careers". *Acad. Med.* 86: 43–47. DOI: [10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181ff9ff2](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181ff9ff2).
- Reynolds, P. D., S. M. Camp, W. D. Bygrave, E. Autio, and M. Hay (2002). *Global entrepreneurship monitor: 2001 executive report*.
- Ridgeway, C. L. (2001). "Gender, status, and leadership". *J. Soc. Issues.* 57: 637–655. DOI: [10.1111/0022-4537.00233](https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00233).
- Ridgeway, C. L. and K. G. Erickson (2000). "Creating and spreading status beliefs". *Am. J. Sociol.* 10: 579–615. DOI: [10.1086/318966](https://doi.org/10.1086/318966).
- Robb, A. M. and J. Watson (2012). "Gender differences in firm performance: Evidence from new ventures in the United States". *J. Bus. Ventur.* 27: 544–558. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2011.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2011.10.002).
- Romer, P. M. (1986). "Increasing returns and long-run growth". *J. Polit. Econ.* 94: 1002–1037. DOI: [10.1086/261420](https://doi.org/10.1086/261420).
- Rosa, P. and A. Dawson (2006). "Gender and the commercialization of university science: Academic founders of spinout companies". *Entrep. Reg. Dev.* 18: 341–366. DOI: [10.1080/08985620600680059](https://doi.org/10.1080/08985620600680059).
- Rosser, S. V. (2012). "Breaking into the Lab: Engineering Progress for Women in Science". DOI: [10.5860/choice.50-0845](https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.50-0845).
- Rothaermel, F. T., S. D. Agung, and L. Jiang (2007). "University entrepreneurship: A taxonomy of the literature". *Ind. Corp. Chang.* 16: 691–791. DOI: [10.1093/icc/dtm023](https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtm023).
- Roy, R. and N. Das (2020). "A critical comparison of factors affecting science and technology students' entrepreneurial intention: A tale of two genders". *Int. J. Educ. Vocat. Guid.* 20: 49–77. DOI: [10.1007/s10775-019-09393-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10775-019-09393-4).
- Ryan, R. M. and E. L. Deci (2000). "Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being". *Am. Psychol.* 55: 68–78. DOI: [10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68](https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68).
- Salavou, H. E., G. Chalkos, and S. Lioukas (2021). "Linkages between entrepreneurial intentions and entrepreneurship education: New evidence on the gender imbalance". *Educ. Train.* 63: 906–919. DOI: [10.1108/ET-10-2020-0301](https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-10-2020-0301).

- Sánchez Cañizares, S. M. and F. J. Fuentes García (2010). “Gender differences in entrepreneurial attitudes”. *Equal. Divers. Incl. An Int. J.* 29: 766–786. DOI: [10.1108/02610151011089519](https://doi.org/10.1108/02610151011089519).
- Santos, F. J., M. A. Roomi, and F. Liñán (2016). “About gender differences and the social environment in the development of entrepreneurial intentions”. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 54(1): 49–66. DOI: [10.1111/jsbm.12118](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12118).
- Sassler, S., J. Glass, Y. Levitte, and K. M. Michelmore (2017). “The missing women in STEM? Assessing gender differentials in the factors associated with transition to first jobs”. *Soc. Sci. Res.* 63: 192–208. DOI: [10.1016/j.ssresearch.2016.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2016.09.014).
- Schlaegel, C. and M. Koenig (2014). “Determinants of entrepreneurial intent: A meta-analytic test and integration of competing models”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 38: 291–332. DOI: [10.1111/etap.12087](https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12087).
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Shane, S. (2004). “Academic entrepreneurship: University spinoffs and wealth creation”. DOI: [10.4337/9781843769828](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781843769828).
- Shane, S. and S. Venkataraman (2000). “The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research”. *Academy of Management Review.* 25(1): 217–226. DOI: [10.5465/AMR.2000.2791611](https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2000.2791611).
- Shapero, A. and L. Sokol (1982). “The social dimensions of entrepreneurship”. In: *Encyclopedia of Entrepreneurship: 72-90. Englewood Cliffs*. Ed. by C. Kent, D. Sexton, and K. Vesper. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Sheeran, P. (2002). “Intention-behavior relations: A conceptual and empirical review”. *Eur. Rev. Soc. Psychol.* 12(1): 1–36. DOI: [10.1080/10463280240000011](https://doi.org/10.1080/10463280240000011).
- Shinnar, R. S., O. Giacomini, and F. Janssen (2012). “Entrepreneurial perceptions and intentions: The role of gender and culture”. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 36: 465–493. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00509.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00509.x).
- Shinnar, R. S., D. K. Hsu, and B. C. Powell (2014). “Self-efficacy, entrepreneurial intentions, and gender: Assessing the impact of entrepreneurship education longitudinally”. *Int. J. Manag. Educ.* 12: 561–570. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijme.2014.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2014.09.005).

- Shinnar, R. S., D. K. Hsu, B. C. Powell, and H. Zhou (2018). “Entrepreneurial intentions and start-ups: Are women or men more likely to enact their intentions?” *Int. Small Bus. J. Res. Entrep.* 36: 60–80. DOI: [10.1177/0266242617704277](https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242617704277).
- Shneor, R., S. M. Camgöz, and P. B. Karapinar (2013). “The interaction between culture and sex in the formation of entrepreneurial intentions”. *Entrep. Reg. Dev.* 25: 781–803. DOI: [10.1080/08985626.2013.862973](https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2013.862973).
- Siegel, D. S., D. Waldman, and A. Link (2003). “Assessing the impact of organizational practices on the relative productivity of university technology transfer offices: An exploratory study”. *Research Policy*. 32(1): 27–48. DOI: [10.1016/S0048-7333\(01\)00196-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(01)00196-2).
- Siegel, D. S. and M. Wright (2015). “Academic entrepreneurship: Time for a rethink?” *Br. J. Manag.* 26: 582–595. DOI: [10.1111/1467-8551.12116](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12116).
- Sinell, A., R. Müller-Wieland, and A. Muschner (2018). “Gender-specific constraints on academic entrepreneurship and engagement in knowledge and technology transfer”. *Technology Innovation Management Review*. 8(2).
- Sitaridis, I. and F. Kitsios (2019). “Entrepreneurship as a career option for information technology students: Critical barriers and the role of motivation”. *J. Knowl. Econ.* 10: 1133–1167. DOI: [10.1007/s13132-018-0519-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-018-0519-z).
- Sitaridis, I. and F. C. Kitsios (2022). “Gendered personality traits and entrepreneurial intentions: Insights from information technology education”. *Educ. Train.* 64: 1018–1034. DOI: [10.1108/ET-12-2020-0378](https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-12-2020-0378).
- Slate, D. J. and D. A. Harris (2017). “Female faculty members at Texas community colleges: Any changes since 2000?” *Adv. Women Leadersh. J.* 30. DOI: [10.21423/awlj-v30.a292](https://doi.org/10.21423/awlj-v30.a292).
- Smith, S., M. Hamilton, and K. Fabian (2020). “Entrepreneurial drivers, barriers and enablers of computing students: Gendered perspectives from an Australian and UK university”. *Stud. High. Educ.* 45: 1892–1905. DOI: [10.1080/03075079.2019.1637840](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1637840).

- Smith-Doerr, L. (2004). “Flexibility and fairness: Effects of the network form of organization on gender equity in life science careers”. *Sociological Perspectives*. 47(1): 25–54. DOI: [10.1525/sop.2004.47.1.25](https://doi.org/10.1525/sop.2004.47.1.25).
- Sohar, K., N. Mercier, L. Goble, F. Ghahramani, and B. Loftin (2018). “Gender data gap: Baseline of U.S”. *Academic Institutions. Technol. Innov.* 19: 671–683. DOI: [10.21300/19.4.2018.671](https://doi.org/10.21300/19.4.2018.671).
- Souitaris, V., S. Zerbinati, and A. Al-Laham (2007). “Do entrepreneurship programmes raise entrepreneurial intention of science and engineering students? The effect of learning, inspiration and resources”. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 22(4): 566–591. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2006.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2006.05.002).
- Spence, J. T. (1984). “Masculinity, femininity, and gender-related traits: A conceptual analysis and critique of current research”. *Prog. Exp. Pers. Res.* 13: 1–97.
- Stephan, P. E. and A. El-Ganainy (2007). “The entrepreneurial puzzle: Explaining the gender gap”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 32: 475–487. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-007-9033-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-007-9033-3).
- Stephan, P. E., S. Gurmu, A. J. Sumell, and G. Black (2007). “Who’s patenting in the university? Evidence from the survey of doctorate recipients”. *Econ. Innov. New Technol.* 16: 71–99. DOI: [10.1080/10438590600982806](https://doi.org/10.1080/10438590600982806).
- Stuart, T. E. and W. W. Ding (2006). “When do scientists become entrepreneurs? The social structural antecedents of commercial activity in the academic life sciences”. *American Journal of Sociology*. 112(1): 97–144. DOI: [10.1086/502691](https://doi.org/10.1086/502691).
- Sugimoto, C. R., C. Ni, J. D. West, and V. Larivière (2015). “The academic advantage: Gender disparities in patenting”. *PLOS One*. 10: e0128000. DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0128000](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128000).
- Tartari, V. and A. Salter (2015). “The engagement gap: Exploring gender differences in University—Industry collaboration activities”. *Res. Policy*. 44: 1176–1191. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2015.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2015.01.014).
- Tedmanson, D., K. Verduyn, C. Essers, and W. B. Gartner (2012). “Critical perspectives in entrepreneurship research”. *Organization*. 19: 531–541. DOI: [10.1177/1350508412458495](https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508412458495).

- Thébaud, S. (2015). “Business as Plan B: Institutional foundations of gender inequality in entrepreneurship across 24 industrialized countries”. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 60(4): 671–711.
- Thursby, J. G. and M. C. Thursby (2005). “Gender patterns of research and licensing activity of science and engineering faculty”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 30: 343–353. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-005-2580-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-005-2580-6).
- Toivanen, O. and L. Väänänen (2016). “Education and invention”. *Rev. Econ. Stat.* 98: 382–396. DOI: [10.1162/REST_a_00520](https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00520).
- Vamvaka, V., C. Stoforos, T. Palaskas, and C. Botsaris (2020). “Attitude toward entrepreneurship, perceived behavioral control, and entrepreneurial intention: Dimensionality, structural relationships, and gender differences”. *J. Innov. Entrep.* 9. DOI: [10.1186/s13731-020-0112-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-020-0112-0).
- Van den Brink, M. and Y. Benschop (2014). “Gender in academic networking: The role of gatekeepers in professorial recruitment”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 51(3): 460–492. DOI: [10.1111/joms.12060](https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12060).
- van Ewijk, A. R. and S. Belghiti-Mahut (2019). “Context, gender and entrepreneurial intentions: How entrepreneurship education changes the equation”. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*. 11(1): 75–98. DOI: [10.1108/IJGE-07-2018-0030](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJGE-07-2018-0030).
- Vansteenkiste, M., W. Lens, and E. L. Deci (2006). “Intrinsic versus extrinsic goal contents in self-determination theory: Another look at the quality of academic motivation”. *Educational Psychologist*. 41(1): 19–31. DOI: [10.1207/s15326985ep4101_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4101_4).
- Vázquez-Cupeiro, S. and M. A. Elston (2006). “Gender and academic career trajectories in Spain: From gendered passion to consecration in a Sistema Endogámico?” *Empl. Relations*. 28: 588–603. DOI: [10.1108/01425450610704515](https://doi.org/10.1108/01425450610704515).
- Verheul, I., A. V. Stel, and R. Thurik (2006). “Explaining female and male entrepreneurship at the country level”. *Entrep. Reg. Dev.* 18: 151–183. DOI: [10.1080/08985620500532053](https://doi.org/10.1080/08985620500532053).
- Vivarelli, M. (2007). *Entry and Post-Entry Performance of Newborn Firms (No. 21630)*. London: Routledge.

- Ward, A., B. R. Hernández-Sánchez, and J. C. Sánchez-García (2019). “Entrepreneurial potential and gender effects: The role of personality traits in university students’ entrepreneurial intentions”. *Front. Psychol.* 10. DOI: [10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02700](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02700).
- Ward, K. and L. Wolf-Wendel (2012). “Academic motherhood: How faculty manage work and family”.
- Weiskopf, R. and C. Steyaert (2009). “Metamorphoses in entrepreneurship studies: Towards an affirmative politics of entrepreneuring”. In: *The Politics and Aesthetics of Entrepreneurship*. Edward Elgar Publishing. DOI: [10.4337/9781848446076.00021](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781848446076.00021).
- Wennberg, K., J. Wiklund, and M. Wright (2011). “The effectiveness of university knowledge spillovers: Performance differences between university spinoffs and corporate spinoffs”. *Research Policy*. 40(8): 1128–1143. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2011.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.05.014).
- Westhead, P. and M. Z. Solesvik (2016). “Entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intention: Do female students benefit?” *Int. Small Bus. J. Res. Entrep.* 34: 979–1003. DOI: [10.1177/0266242615612534](https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242615612534).
- Wheeler, S. C. and R. E. Petty (2001). “The effects of stereotype activation on behavior: A review of possible mechanisms”. *Psychol. Bull.* 127(6): 797–826. DOI: [10.1037/0033-2909.127.6.797](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.6.797).
- Whetten, D. A. (1989). “What constitutes a theoretical contribution?” *Acad. Manag. Rev.* 14: 490–495. DOI: [10.5465/amr.1989.4308371](https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308371).
- Whittington, K. B. (2011). “Mothers of invention? Gender, motherhood, and new dimensions of productivity in the science profession”. *Work Occup.* 38: 417–456. DOI: [10.1177/0730888411414529](https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888411414529).
- Whittington, K. B. (2018). “A tie is a tie? Gender and network positioning in life science inventor collaboration”. *Res. Policy*. 47: 511–526. DOI: [10.1016/j.respol.2017.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2017.12.006).
- Whittington, K. B. and L. Smith-Doerr (2005). “Gender and commercial science: Women’s patenting in the life sciences”. *J. Technol. Transf.* 30: 355–370. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-005-2581-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-005-2581-5).
- Whittington, K. B. and L. Smith-Doerr (2008). “Women inventors in context: Disparities in patenting across academia and industry”. *Gend. Soc.* 22: 194–218. DOI: [10.1177/0891243207313928](https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243207313928).

- Wilson, F., J. Kickul, and D. Marlino (2007). "Gender, entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and entrepreneurial career intentions: Implications for entrepreneurship education". *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 31: 387–406. DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00179.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00179.x).
- Wilson, F., J. Kickul, D. Marlino, S. D. Barbosa, and M. D. Griffiths (2009). "An analysis of the role of gender and self-efficacy in developing female entrepreneurial interest and behavior". *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*. 14(02): 105–119.
- Wilson, F., D. Marlino, and J. Kickul (2004). "Our entrepreneurial future: Examining the diverse attitudes and motivations of teens across gender and ethnic identity". *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*. 9(3): 177. DOI: [10.1142/S1084946709001247](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1084946709001247).
- Wolfinger, N. H., M. A. Mason, and M. Goulden (2009). "Stay in the game: Gender, family formation and alternative trajectories in the academic life course". *Soc. Forces*. 87: 1591–1621. DOI: [10.1353/sof.0.0182](https://doi.org/10.1353/sof.0.0182).
- Wood, M. S. (2011). "A process model of academic entrepreneurship". *Business Horizons*. 54(2): 153–161. DOI: [10.1016/j.bushor.2010.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2010.11.004).
- Woolley, J. L. (2019). "Gender, education, and occupation: How founder experiences influence firm outcomes". *Acad. Manag. Discov.* 5: 266–290. DOI: [10.5465/amd.2017.0069](https://doi.org/10.5465/amd.2017.0069).
- Wright, M., B. Clarysse, P. Mustar, and A. Lockett (2007). *Academic Entrepreneurship in Europe*. Edward Elgar Publishing. DOI: [10.4337/9781847205575](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781847205575).
- Wright, M., E. Piva, S. Mosey, and A. Lockett (2009). "Academic entrepreneurship and business schools". *The Journal of Technology Transfer*. 34: 560–587. DOI: [10.1007/s10961-009-9128-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-009-9128-0).
- Zampetakis, L. A., M. Bakatsaki, K. Kafetsios, and V. S. Moustakis (2016). "Sex differences in entrepreneurs' business growth intentions: An identity approach". *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. 5: 1–20. DOI: [10.1186/s13731-016-0057-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-016-0057-5).

- Zhang, Z., M. J. Zyphur, J. Narayanan, R. D. Arvey, S. Chaturvedi, B. J. Avolio, and G. Larsson (2009). "The genetic basis of entrepreneurship: Effects of gender and personality". *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 110(2): 93–107. DOI: [10.1016/j.obhdp.2009.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2009.07.002).
- Zhao, H. and S. E. Seibert (2006). "The big five personality dimensions and entrepreneurial status: A meta-analytical review". *J. Appl. Psychol.* 91(2): 259.
- Zhao, H., S. E. Seibert, and G. E. Hills (2005). "The mediating role of self-efficacy in the development of entrepreneurial intentions". *J. Appl. Psychol.* 90(6): 1265. DOI: [10.1037/0021-9010.90.6.1265](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.6.1265).