

---

**Understanding Web  
Credibility: A Synthesis of  
the Research Literature**

---

# Understanding Web Credibility: A Synthesis of the Research Literature

---

**Jonathan Lazar**

*Towson University  
Towson, MD 21252  
jlazar@towson.edu*

**Gabriele Meiselwitz**

*Towson University  
Towson, MD 21252  
gmeiselwitz@towson.edu*

**Jinjuan Feng**

*Towson University  
Towson, MD 21252  
jfeng@towson.edu*

**now**

the essence of **knowledge**

Boston – Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
USA  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is J. Lazar, G. Meiselwitz and J. Feng, Understanding Web Credibility: A Synthesis of the Research Literature, Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction, vol 1, no 2, pp 139–202, 2007

ISBN: 978-1-60198-080-9

© 2007 J. Lazar, G. Meiselwitz and J. Feng

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

**Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in  
Human–Computer Interaction**

Volume 1 Issue 2, 2007

**Editorial Board**

**Editor-in-Chief:**

**Ben Bederson**

*Human–Computer Interaction Lab*

*University of Maryland*

*3171 A. V. Williams Bldg*

*20742, College Park, MD*

*USA*

*bederson@cs.umd.edu*

**Editors**

Gregory Abowd (Georgia Institute of Technology)

Jonathan Grudin (Microsoft Research)

Clayton Lewis (University of Colorado)

Jakob Nielsen (Nielsen Norman Group)

Don Norman (Nielsen Norman Group and Northwestern University)

Dan Olsen (Brigham Young University)

Gary Olson (University of Michigan)

Sharon Oviatt (Oregon Health and Science University)

## Editorial Scope

### Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction

will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research Community
  - Games
- Design and Evaluation
  - Ergonomics/Human Factors
  - Cognitive engineering and performance models
  - Predictive models of interaction
  - User-centered design processes
  - Participatory design
  - Graphic design
  - Discount evaluation techniques
  - Design and interaction
  - Ethnography
- Theory
  - Models of cognition
  - Empirical methods of evaluation
  - Qualitative methods of design and evaluation
- Technology
  - Programming the graphical user interface
  - Input technologies
  - Output technologies
- Computer supported cooperative work
  - History of CSCW in HCI
  - Organizational issues
  - Online communities
- Interdisciplinary influence
  - The role of the social sciences in HCI
  - MIS and HCI
  - Graphic design
  - Artificial intelligence and the user interface
  - Architecture and the role of the physical environment
- Advanced topics and trends
  - Information visualization
  - Web design
  - Assistive technologies
  - Multimodal interaction
  - Perception and the user interface
  - Specific user groups (children, elders, etc.)
  - Sensor-based or tangible interaction
  - Ubiquitous computing
  - Virtual reality
  - Augmented reality
  - Wearable computing
  - Design and fashion
  - Privacy and social implications

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction, 2007, Volume 1, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in  
Human-Computer Interaction  
Vol. 1, No. 2 (2007) 139-202  
© 2007 J. Lazar, G. Meiselwitz and J. Feng  
DOI: 10.1561/1100000007



## Understanding Web Credibility: A Synthesis of the Research Literature\*

Jonathan Lazar<sup>1</sup>, Gabriele Meiselwitz<sup>2</sup>  
and Jinjuan Feng<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Universal Usability  
Laboratory, Towson University, Towson, MD 21252 USA,  
jlazar@towson.edu*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Universal Usability  
Laboratory, Towson University, Towson, MD 21252 USA,  
gmeiselwitz@towson.edu*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Universal Usability  
Laboratory, Towson University, Towson, MD 21252 USA,  
jfeng@towson.edu*

### Abstract

As more of our communication, commerce, and personal data goes online, credibility becomes an increasingly important issue. How do we determine if our e-commerce sites, our healthcare sites, or our online communication partners are credible? This paper examines the research literature in the area of web credibility. This review starts by examining the cognitive foundations of credibility. Other sections of the paper

---

\* Portions of this paper were presented at the Symposium on Internet Credibility and the User, held in Seattle in April, 2005. No proceedings of the symposium were officially published.

examine not only the general credibility of web sites, but also online communication, such as e-mail, instant messaging, and online communities. Training and education, as well as future issues (such as CAPTCHAs and phishing), will be addressed. The implications for multiple populations (users, web developers, browser designers, and librarians) will be discussed.

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>User Aspects of Credibility</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Cognitive Research Background	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Understanding Credibility in Different Application Areas</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Credibility of Web Sites	14
3.2	Credibility of One–One Communication (E-Mail and IM)	29
3.3	Credibility of Many–Many Communication (Online Communities)	35
<b>4</b>	<b>Education and Training</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Future of Credibility</b>	<b>43</b>
5.1	Phishing	43
5.2	CAPTCHA	45
5.3	WIKIPEDIA and Open Source Models of Information	49



<b>6 Implications</b>	<b>53</b>
6.1 Implications for Browser Designers	53
6.2 Implications for Web Site Developers	54
6.3 Implications for Users	55
6.4 Implications for Librarians	56
6.5 Implications for Researchers	56
<b>7 Summary</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>61</b>

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

The diversity of Internet communication and content is celebrated as one of its strengths. We receive e-mails from friends, family, colleagues, and strangers. We read web pages from schools, non-profit organizations, corporations, and governmental agencies. We take part in online communities, where we share and communicate about our hobbies, our religion, our problems, and our health. When we receive all of this information, we rarely wonder about how credible this information is. However, the credibility of web-based information is a very important issue. When you receive an e-mail, how do you know that it's actually from the person or organization listed as the sender? When you check out a web page, how do you know that the content on the web page is valid? How do you know that the organization is who they are presenting themselves to be? How do you know that the information being provided within the online community is accurate and from a recognized authority? While this might not make a difference if you are in an online community for fans of the new baseball team from Washington, DC, this will make a big difference if you are online to learn more about a rare form of cancer that you are facing. This paper will focus on the issues related to web credibility.

## 2 Introduction

While the main focus of this paper will be on the credibility of web sites, the credibility of e-mails and online communities will also be discussed.

Information comes in many different forms on the Internet and Web. We get information from web pages, through e-mails, and from posted messages in online communities. While the specific interfaces for these information sources might be different, these are all forms of information. Credibility of this information is therefore an important concern. What is credibility? Credible information can be defined as believable information, information that can be relied upon as being accurate and correct [35]. Trust, a closely related concept, can be defined as the belief that a person (or information) is reliable and dependable [35]. Credibility is also closely related to concepts such as quality, authority, as well as persuasion. For instance, when making decisions, individuals may employ credibility as an additional filter to select items from a pool of information that have been judged as of being high quality [79].

How do people determine the credibility of information? There are many different judgments that are used. Some judgments are made consciously after much consideration, while other judgments are made intuitively by the user. For instance, if a user is very familiar with the subject content, he or she might be able to determine the level of credibility simply on how well the information matches up what they already know to be credible [35]. Other than that, users judge the credibility based on appearance. For instance, in the physical world, there are expectations regarding professional dress for certain professions. You would not interact with a mortgage loan officer who wears shorts and a t-shirt, as this person would not appear to be credible. In a similar vein, design features of interfaces can help project the idea of credibility (more information on this will be included in later sections of the paper). In the physical world, certifications can help project credibility. You only want to go to a board-certified doctor. You only want to have your taxes done by a certified public accountant. Web sites also have certifications that can help project credibility.

Credibility of web-based information is an increasing concern. There are multiple reasons for this. The amount and scope of informa-

tion being delivered through the Internet and Web is expanding. Most business communication now takes place through e-mails. When political scandals break, e-mails are usually the form of documentation that are in the news. Our interaction with businesses or individuals through face-to-face contact or telephone calls is now limited. For instance, many companies are now forcing consumers to use web sites as their primary form of communication with the company, charging extra if the individual even wants to speak with a person. For example, Northwest Airlines charges extra if you want to speak to an individual and make a reservation through their call center. Southwest Airlines, and many other companies, offer special discounts available only on their web site. Some banks now charge extra if you want to use a teller. Transactions are only free if they are done over the web or at an ATM machine. Because we no longer have these face-to-face experiences, the interactions are either human-human (mediated by a computer), or human-computer. The ability to determine the credibility of these interactions is therefore paramount. This is especially true as the severity and importance of the task increases. As transactions increase from purchasing a t-shirt to purchasing a car, purchasing a home, or choosing which medical information to follow, the importance of establishing credibility also increases.

Unfortunately, it is highly challenging to accurately and efficiently judge the credibility of web-based information. Due to the unique features of the web, it does not have a validated filtering mechanism to insure the quality of the information, such as the case of the peer review process in the academia field. In addition, compared to information presented in traditionally published materials, information online may not be traced back to a reliable source. The two factors combined make it quite difficult for the general public to judge the credibility of web-based information.

This paper provides an overview of the topic of web credibility. First, the paper discusses the cognitive foundations of credibility. Then, user diversity (including older users, younger users, and users with impairments) will be discussed in the context of credibility. The main focus of the paper is on the topic of credibility within three different applications

#### 4 *Introduction*

areas: web pages, one–one communication (such as e-mail and IM), and many–many communication (such as online communities). The impact of education and training on evaluating credibility is then discussed. Some of the future threats to credibility are addressed in the following sections. The end of the paper summarizes implications for various stakeholders. It is hoped that this paper will provide a thorough reading for individuals interested in the topic of credibility.

## References

---

- [1] M. Ackerman and S. Mainwaring, "Privacy issues and human-computer interaction," in *Security and Usability*, (L. Cranor and S. Garfinkel, eds.), Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, 2005.
- [2] L. Ahn, M. Blum, and J. Langford, "Telling humans and computers apart automatically," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 57–60, February 2004.
- [3] Anti-Phishing Working Group, Phishing Activity Trends Report, January 2005. Retrieved on March 10, 2007 from [http://www.antiphishing.org/reports/APWG\\_Phishing\\_Activity\\_Report-January2005.pdf](http://www.antiphishing.org/reports/APWG_Phishing_Activity_Report-January2005.pdf), 2005.
- [4] Anti-Phishing Working Group, Phishing Attach Trends Reports, Retrieved on March 10, 2007 from [http://www.antiphishing.org/reports/APWG\\_Phishing\\_Activity\\_Report-January2005.pdf](http://www.antiphishing.org/reports/APWG_Phishing_Activity_Report-January2005.pdf), 2006.
- [5] Binational Working Group on Cross-Border Mass Marketing Fraud Report on phishing. Retrieved on March 10, 2007 from [http://www.usdoj.gov/opa/report\\_on\\_phishing.pdf](http://www.usdoj.gov/opa/report_on_phishing.pdf), 2006.
- [6] M. Bishop, "Psychological acceptability revisited," in *Security and Usability*, (L. Cranor and S. Garfinkel, eds.), Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, 2005.
- [7] N. Bos, J. Olson, D. Gergle, G. Olson, and Z. Wright, "Effects of four computer-mediated communications channels on trust development," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 135–140, 2002.
- [8] A. Bouch, A. Kuchinsky, and N. Bhatti, "Quality is in the eye of the beholder: Meeting users' requirements for Internet quality of service," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 297–304, 2000.

62 References

- [9] P. Boykin and V. Roychowdhury, "Personal email networks: An effective anti-spam tool," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 61–68, 2005.
- [10] J. Brown, A. Collins, and P. Duguid, "Situated cognition and the culture of learning," in *Classic Writings on Instructional Technology*, (D. Ely and T. Plomp, eds.), Englewood, Colorado: Libraries Unlimited, Inc., 2001.
- [11] A. Bruckman, "Student research and the Internet," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 35–37, 2005.
- [12] G. Byerly and C. Brodie, "Internet (and/or Institutional) Credibility and the User," *Symposium on Internet Credibility and the User*, Available at: <http://projects.ischool.washington.edu/credibility/symposium-papers.htm>, 2005.
- [13] CAPTCHA Project The CAPTCHA Project website, Retrieved on March 10, 2007 from <http://www.captcha.net/>, 2007.
- [14] I. Ceaparu, D. Demner, E. Hung, H. Zhao, and B. Shneiderman, "Web we trust: Establishing strategic trust among online customers," in *E-Service*, (R. Rust and P. Kannan, eds.), pp. 90–107, Armonk, NY: E. Sharpe Publishers, 2002.
- [15] K. Chellapilla, P. Simard, and M. Czerwinski, "Computers beat humans at single character recognition in reading-based human interaction proofs (HIPs)," in *Proceedings of the Second Conference on E-mail and Anti-Spam (CEAS)*, 2005.
- [16] M. Chen and J. Singh, "Computing and using reputations for Internet ratings," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on E-Commerce*, pp. 154–162, 2001.
- [17] CNET News, *Growing pains for wikipedia*. Available at: <http://news.com.com/Growing+pains+for+Wikipedia/2100-1025-3-5981119.html>, 2005.
- [18] Consumer Reports WebWatch, *Leap of faith: Using the Internet despite the dangers*. 2005. Retrieved on March 10, 2007 from: <http://www.ConsumerWebWatch.org>.
- [19] D. Cook, J. Hartnett, K. Manderson, and J. Scanlan, "Catching spam before it arrives: Domain specific dynamic blacklists," in *Proceedings of the 2006 Australasian Workshops on Grid Computing and E-research*, vol. 54, 2006.
- [20] L. Cranor and S. Garfinkel, eds., *Security and Usability*. Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, 2005.
- [21] S. Curzon, "Internet credibility: Seven teaching challenges," *Symposium on Internet Credibility and the User*, Available at: <http://projects.ischool.washington.edu/credibility/symposium-papers.htm>, 2005.
- [22] K. Daisay, "Trust in E-commerce," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 73–77, 2005.
- [23] P. DiGioia and P. Dourish, "Social navigation as a model for usable security," in *Proceedings of Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS)*, pp. 101–108, 2005.
- [24] S. Diller, L. Lin, and V. Tashjian, "The evolving role of security, privacy, and trust in a digitized world," in *The Handbook of Human-Computer Interaction*, (J. Jacko and A. Sears, eds.), pp. 1213–1225, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2003.
- [25] J. Downs, M. Holbrook, and L. Cranor, "Decision strategies and susceptibility to phishing," in *Proceedings of Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS)*, pp. 79–90, 2006.

- [26] J. Earp and P. Baumer, "Innovative web use to learn about consumer behavior and online privacy," *CACM*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 81–83, 2003.
- [27] Epistemology, *The American Heritage<sup>®</sup> Dictionary of the English Language*, fourth ed. Retrieved March 07, 2007. Available at: <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/epistemology>, no date.
- [28] D. Fallows, "Search engine users: Internet searchers are confident, satisfied and trusting — but they are also unaware and naive," *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: [http://www.pewinternet.org/report\\_display.asp?r=146](http://www.pewinternet.org/report_display.asp?r=146), 2005.
- [29] J. Feng, J. Lazar, and J. Preece, "Empathy and online interpersonal trust: A fragile relationship," *Behaviour and Information Technology*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 97–106, 2004.
- [30] M. Fitzgerald, "Skills for evaluating web-based information," *Symposium on Internet Credibility and the User*, Available at: [http://projects.ischool.washington.edu/credibility/symposium\\_papers.htm](http://projects.ischool.washington.edu/credibility/symposium_papers.htm), 2005.
- [31] B. Fogg, *Persuasive Technology*. New York, NY: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2003.
- [32] B. Fogg, J. Marshall, T. Kameda, J. Solomon, A. Rangnekar, J. Boyd, and B. Brown, "Web credibility research: A method for online experiments and early study results," in *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 295–296, 2001.
- [33] B. Fogg, J. Marshall, O. Laraki, A. Osipovich, C. Varma, N. Fang, J. Paul, A. Rangnekar, J. Shon, P. Swani, and M. Treinen, "What makes web sites credible? A report on a large quantitative study," in *Proceedings of CHI 2001: Human Factors in Computing*, pp. 61–68, 2001.
- [34] B. Fogg, C. Soohoo, D. Danielson, L. Marable, J. Stanford, and E. Tauber, "How do users evaluate the credibility of web sites?: A study with over 2500 participants," in *Proceedings of the 2003 Conference on Designing for User Experiences*, pp. 1–15, 2003.
- [35] B. Fogg and H. Tseng, "The elements of computer credibility," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 80–86, 1999.
- [36] S. Fox, "Older Americans and the Internet," *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: <http://www.pewinternet.org/reports.asp>, 2004.
- [37] S. Fox, "Are "Wired Seniors" sitting ducks?," *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: <http://www.pewinternet.org/reports.asp>, 2006.
- [38] S. Fox and L. Rainie, "The online health care revolution: How the Web helps Americans take better care of themselves," *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: [http://www.pewinternet.org/report\\_display.asp?r=26](http://www.pewinternet.org/report_display.asp?r=26), 2000.
- [39] S. Fox and L. Rainie, "Vital decisions: How internet users decide what information to trust when they or their loved ones are sick," *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: [http://www.pewinternet.org/report\\_display.asp?r=59](http://www.pewinternet.org/report_display.asp?r=59), 2002.



64 References

- [40] J. Fritch, "Heuristics, tools, and systems for evaluating Internet information: helping users assess a tangled Web," *Online Information Review*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 321–327, 2003.
- [41] S. Garfinkel, J. Schiller, E. Nordlander, D. Margrave, and R. Miller, "How to make secure email easier to use," in *Proceeding of ACM CHI 2005*, pp. 701–710, Portland, Oregon, April 2–7 2005.
- [42] S. Garfinkel, J. Schiller, E. Nordlander, D. Margrave, and R. Miller, "Views, reactions and impact of digitally-signed mail in e-commerce," in *Proceedings of Financial Cryptography and Data Security Ninth International Conference*, Roseau, The Commonwealth of Dominica, 2005.
- [43] J. Golbeck, "The science of trust on the web," *Foundations and Trends in Web Science*, (To appear), 2007.
- [44] J. Golbeck and J. Hendler, "Reputation network analysis for email filtering," in *Proceedings of the First Conference on E-mail and Anti-Spam*, Mountain View, California, 2004.
- [45] P. Golle and N. Ducheneaut, "Keeping bots out of online games," in *Proceedings of the 2005 ACM SIGCHI International Conference on Advances in Computer Entertainment Technology*, pp. 262–265, Spain, 2005.
- [46] J. Goodman, G. Cormack, and D. Heckerman, "Spam and the ongoing Battle for the inbox," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 25–33, 2007.
- [47] J. Hancock, J.Thom-Santelli, and T. Ritchie, "Deception and design: The impact of communication technology on lying behavior," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 129–134, 2004.
- [48] R. Hiltz and M. Turoff, "Structuring computer-mediated communication systems to avoid information overload," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 680–689, 1985.
- [49] H. Hochheiser and B. Shneiderman, "Universal usability statements: Marking the trail for all users," *Interactions*, pp. 16–18, 2001.
- [50] J. Holman, J. Lazar, J. Feng, and J. D'Arcy, "Developing usable CAPTCHAs for blind users," in *Proceedings of ASSETS 2007*, 2007.
- [51] J. Horrigan, *The Internet as a Resource for News and Information About Science*, Available at: [http://www.pewinternet.org/PPF/r/191/report\\_display.asp](http://www.pewinternet.org/PPF/r/191/report_display.asp), 2006.
- [52] J. Jacko, A. Sears, and M. Borella, "The effect of network delay and media on user perceptions of web resources," *Behaviour and Information Technology*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 427–439, 2000.
- [53] C. Jensen, J. Davis, and S. Farnham, "Finding others online: Reputation systems for social spaces online," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 447–454, 2002.
- [54] D. Jonassen, "Objectivism versus constructivism: Do we need a new philosophical paradigm?," in *Classic Writings on Instructional Technology*, (D. Ely and T. Plomp, eds.), Englewood, Colorado: Libraries Unlimited, Inc. (Original Work published 1991), 2001.
- [55] F. Langfitt, "Women file suit to defend reputation," *National Public Radio*, Retrieved July 26, 2007 from <http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=11159611&ft=1&f=1070>, 2007.

- [56] J. Lazar, *Web Usability: A User-Centered Design Approach*. Boston: Addison-Wesley, 2006.
- [57] J. Lazar, P. Beere, K. Greenidge, and Y. Nagappa, "Web accessibility in the Mid-Atlantic United States: A study of 50 web sites," *Universal Access in the Information Society*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 331–341, 2003.
- [58] J. Lazar and J. Preece, "Classification schema for online communities," in *Proceedings of the 1998 Association for Information Systems Americas Conference*, pp. 84–86, Baltimore, MD, 1998.
- [59] J. Lazar and A. Sears, "Design of E-business web sites," in *Handbook of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, (G. Salvendy, ed.), pp. 1344–1363, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- [60] E. Loiacono and S. McCoy, "Web site accessibility: An online sector analysis," *Information Technology and People*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 87–101, 2004.
- [61] W. Luo and M. Najdawi, "Trust building measures: A review of consumer health portals," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 109–113, 2004.
- [62] C. Lynch, "When documents deceive: Trust and provenance as the new factors for information retrieval into tangled web," *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 12–17, 2001.
- [63] MAAWG (Messaging Anti-Abuse Working Group), *MAAWG Email metrics program*. first quarter 2006 reprts. June 2006. Available at [www.maawg.org/about/FINAL\\_1Q2006\\_metrics\\_report.pdf](http://www.maawg.org/about/FINAL_1Q2006_metrics_report.pdf), 2006.
- [64] M. Metzger, "Understanding how Internet users make sense of credibility: A review of the state of our knowledge and recommendations for theory, policy, and practice," *Symposium on Internet Credibility and the User*, Available at: [http://projects.ischool.washington.edu/credibility/symposium\\_papers.htm](http://projects.ischool.washington.edu/credibility/symposium_papers.htm), 2005.
- [65] M. Metzger, A. Flanagin, K. Eyal, D. Lemus, and R. McCann, "Credibility for the 21st Century: Integrating perspectives on source, message, and media credibility in the contemporary media environment," in *Communication Yearbook 27*, (P. Kalbfleisch, ed.), pp. 293–335, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2003.
- [66] G. Milne and M. Culnan, "Using the content of online privacy notices to inform public policy: A longitudinal analysis of the 1998–2001 U.S. web surveys," *The Information Society*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 345–360, 2002.
- [67] G. Mori and J. Malik, "Recognizing objects in adversarial clutter: Breaking a visual CAPTCHA," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 134–141, 2003.
- [68] B. Nardi, S. Whittaker, and E. Bradner, "Interaction and outeraction: Instant messaging in action," in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, pp. 79–88, 2000.
- [69] National Telecommunications and Information Administration [NTIA], *A Nation Online: Entering the Broadband Age*. U.S. Department of Commerce, Available at: <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/reports/anol/NationOnlineBroadband04.htm>, 2004.

66 References

- [70] Nielsen Norman Group, *Web Usability for Senior Citizens — Summary*, Available at: <http://www.nngroup.com/reports/seniors/>, 2002.
- [71] J. O’Donovan and B. Smyth, “Trust in recommender systems,” in *Proceedings of ACM 2005 Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*, pp. 167–174, 2005.
- [72] R. Petty and J. Cacioppo, *Communication and Persuasion: Central and Peripheral Routes to Attitude Change*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [73] Pew Internet, “Internet activities latest trends,” *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: <http://www.pewinternet.org/trends/Internet.Activities.1.11.07.htm>, 2007.
- [74] J. Preece, *Online Communities: Designing Usability, Supporting Sociability*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- [75] J. Preece, J. Lazar, E. Churchill, H. DeGraaff, B. Friedman, and J. Konstan, “Spam, spam, spam, spam: How can we stop it?,” *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 706–707, 2003.
- [76] L. Rainie and P. Hitlin, “The use of online reputation and rating systems,” *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: [http://www.pewinternet.org/report\\_display.asp?r=140](http://www.pewinternet.org/report_display.asp?r=140), 2004.
- [77] L. Rainie and B. Tancer, “Wikipedia users,” *Pew Internet and American Life Project*, Available at: [http://www.pewinternet.org/PPF/r/212/report\\_display.asp](http://www.pewinternet.org/PPF/r/212/report_display.asp), 2007.
- [78] J. Ramsay, A. Barbesi, and J. Preece, “A psychological investigation of long retrieval times on the World Wide Web,” *Interacting with Computers*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 77–86, 1998.
- [79] S. Y. Rieh and D. Danielson, “Credibility: A multidisciplinary framework,” in *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, (B. Cronin, ed.), pp. 307–364, 2007.
- [80] M. Sahami, S. Dumais, D. Heckerman, and E. Horvitz, “A Bayesian approach to filtering junk e-mail,” in *Learning for Text Categorization Papers from the AAAI Workshop*, AAAI Technical Report WS-98-5, 1998.
- [81] M. Sasse and I. Flechais, “Usable security,” in *Security and Usability*, (L. Cranor and S. Garfinkel, eds.), Sebastopol, CA: O’Reilly Media, 2005.
- [82] B. Shneiderman, “Designing trust into online experiences,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 57–59, 2000.
- [83] E. Silience, P. Briggs, L. Fishwick, and P. Harris, “Trust and mistrust of online health sites,” in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 663–670, 2004.
- [84] I. Simonson and P. Nye, “The effect of accountability on susceptibility to decision errors,” *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 416–446, 1992.
- [85] S. Stolfo, S. Hershkop, K. Wang, O. Nimeskern, and C. Hu, “A behavior-based approach to securing email systems: Mathematical methods, models and architectures for computer networks security,” in *Proceedings*, Springer Verlag, September 2003.
- [86] Q. Su and C. Adams, “Will B2C E-commerce developed in one cultural environment be suitable for another culture: A cross-cultural study between

- amazon.co.uk and dangdang.com,” in *Proceedings of International Conference of E-commerce (ICEC)*, pp. 236–243, 2005.
- [87] T. Sullivan and R. Matson, “Barriers to use: Usability and content accessibility on the web’s most popular sites,” in *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Universal Usability*, pp. 139–144, 2000.
- [88] S. Sussman and L. Sproull, “Straight talk: Delivering bad news through electronic communication,” *Information Systems Research*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 150–166, 1999.
- [89] N. VanHouse, “Trust and epistemic communities in biodiversity data sharing,” in *Proceedings of ACM 2002 Joint Conference on Digital Libraries*, pp. 231–239, 2002.
- [90] Wikipedia, *CAPTCHA*, Retrieved on March 10, 2007, from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Captcha.jpg>, 2007.
- [91] World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), *Inaccessibility of CAPTCHA*. Retrieved on March 10, 2007 from <http://www.w3.org/TR/turingtest/>, 2007.
- [92] J. Zheng, E. Veinott, N. Bos, J. S. Olson, and G. M. Olson, “Trust without touch: Jumpstarting long-distance trust with initial social activities,” in *Proceedings of Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 131–146, 2002.