

Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction

Human-Food Interaction

Rohit Ashok Khot and Florian Mueller

ISBN: 978-1-68083-576-2

10 Lenses to Design Sports-HCI

Florian Mueller and Damon Young

ISBN: 978-1-68083-528-1

Values and Ethics in Human-Computer Interaction

Katie Shilton

ISBN: 978-1-68083-466-6

Research Fiction and Thought Experiments in Design

Mark Blythe and Enrique Encinas

ISBN: 978-1-68083-418-5

Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook

Erin T. Solovey

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

USA

esolovey@wpi.edu

Felix Putze

University of Bremen

Germany

felix.putze@uni-bremen.de

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

E. T. Solovey and F. Putze. *Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook*. Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 298–379, 2021.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-815-2

© 2021 E. T. Solovey and F. Putze

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The ‘services’ for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction

Volume 13, Issue 4, 2021

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Desney S. Tan
Microsoft Research

Youn-Kyung Lim
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Editors

Ben Bederson
University of Maryland

Sheelagh Carpendale
University of Calgary

Andy Cockburn
University of Canterbury

Jon Froehlich
University of Washington

Juan Pablo Hourcade
University of Iowa

Karrie Karahalios
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Nuria Oliver
Telefonica

Orit Shaer
Wellesley College

Kentaro Toyama
University of Michigan

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research community
- Theory
- Technology
- Computer Supported Cooperative Work
- Interdisciplinary influence
- Advanced topics and trends

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction, 2021, Volume 13, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Foundations and Broader Context	6
2.1	Origins of BCIs	6
2.2	Lessons from “Traditional” BCI Work	7
2.3	Our Focus on Human–Computer Interaction	8
3	Human–Computer Interaction Application Domains	12
4	Brain Input Paradigms in HCI	15
4.1	Explicit Control	15
4.2	Implicit Input	19
4.3	Neurofeedback and Visualization	20
4.4	Mental State Assessment	21
4.5	HCI Evaluation	22
5	Cognitive/Neural States and Processes	25
6	Brain Sensing Technology	29
6.1	Electroencephalography (EEG)	30
6.2	Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (fNIRS)	32
6.3	Eye Tracking and Physiological Measures	35

6.4	Synchronization	36
6.5	Commercial Development	37
7	Architecture and Development Tools	40
7.1	Signal Processing	41
7.2	Machine Learning	42
7.3	Existing Software Tools	45
8	Evaluation Methods, Generalizability, and Reproducibility	48
8.1	User Focused Evaluations	48
8.2	System Focused Evaluations	49
8.3	Generalizability and Reproducibility	50
9	Research Directions	52
9.1	Beyond Simple Cognitive State Classifications	52
9.2	Advancing User Experience and User Evaluation	53
9.3	Integration with Virtual, Mixed, and Augmented Reality	53
9.4	User Centered Design and Rapid Prototyping	54
9.5	Multi-Person BCI, Computer-to-Brain and Brain-to-Brain Interaction	56
10	Conclusions	58
10.1	Strengths	58
10.2	Limitations	59
10.3	What to Make of This?	61
10.4	Summary	62
	Acknowledgements	63
	References	64

Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook

Erin T. Solovey¹ and Felix Putze²

¹*Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA; esolovey@wpi.edu*

²*University of Bremen, Germany; felix.putze@uni-bremen.de*

ABSTRACT

In the field of HCI, researchers from diverse backgrounds have taken a broad view of application domains that could benefit from brain signals, both by applying HCI methods to improve interfaces using brain signals (e.g., human-centered design and evaluation of brain-based user interfaces), as well as integrating brain signals into HCI methods (e.g., using brain metrics in user experience evaluation). Recent advances in brain sensing technologies, new analysis methods, and hardware improvements have opened the door for such research, which will accelerate with the increased commercialization of wearable technology containing brain sensors. In this monograph, we examine brain signals from an HCI perspective, focusing on work that makes an HCI-related contribution. We pursue three main goals. First, we give a primer for HCI researchers on the necessary technology, the possibilities, and limitations for using brain signals in user interfaces. Second, we systematically map out the research field by constructing a taxonomy of applications, input paradigms, and interface designs. For this purpose, we reviewed more than 100 publications in major HCI conferences and journals. Finally, we identify gaps and areas of emerging work to lay a foundation for future research on HCI for and with brain signals.

1

Introduction

Emerging research is providing more practical brain measurement tools as well as greater understanding of brain function. This process will continue through international investments in brain research as well as the commercialization of wearable technology containing brain sensors. These developments open up many research directions that until recently seemed unachievable, but that could soon drastically change our relationship with technology and with each other.

People have been imagining this future for decades. As long as there have been computers, there has been a desire to integrate one's thoughts directly with them. This integration promises improvements in numerous facets of life (e.g., communication, memory, mobility, learning, entertainment, etc.).

As the technology progressively comes into contact with human users, new challenges and opportunities arise that are central to human-computer interaction (HCI). Available brain sensing technology allows us to draw conclusions about a person's cognitive states and processes, for example by providing non-invasive access to the electrical activity measured through sensors on the skull. Thus, brain sensing offers a

window into the user's internal cognitive processes that could inform the design of interactive systems.

In this review, we use the term *brain input* to refer to the use of brain signals as input to an interactive system (to be differentiated from input going into the brain). Continuous brain signals can augment interface evaluation metrics such as task completion time, error rate and subjective feedback to provide a fuller picture of the dynamic state of an individual during HCI. They can also be used in real-time as input to an interactive system, but have characteristics that are fundamentally different from conventional input devices. New input paradigms and interaction techniques are needed for effective and worthwhile use of brain signals that also preserve user values such as privacy, security and safety. These important design considerations will play a key role in future adoption of brain sensing for solving real-world problems and for use by a wider audience.

Consequently, since 2008, brain-related publications have had a stable presence at the ACM CHI conference and in the broader set of primary HCI conferences and journals. To date, over 100 articles employing brain sensor data have been published in HCI-focused venues, tackling HCI questions related to brain sensing and brain interfaces. These papers are diverse in goals, methods, analysis, and reporting practices, due to the interdisciplinary nature of HCI research and the fact that the use of brain signals as computer input is still in its infancy.

Despite this, there is insight to be gained by looking back at the path this research has taken thus far and to identify areas where the studies share common ground. Of particular interest is how these papers build on, but collectively differ, from the more established field of traditional brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), which have historically focused on technical aspects, such as signal processing and machine learning, to provide a communication channel for patients unable to communicate otherwise. As HCI work expands and broadens the reach of brain signals to new application areas, it is a good time to identify common practices and themes that have emerged in this work and that will drive the future research paths in HCI.

In existing HCI work on using brain signals, there are clusters of frequent application domains, control paradigms, and neural processes

used, as well as unique examples that stand out. An underlying challenge is that such investigations require knowledge about recording and processing neural signals, real-time processing and classification of the data, integration into a non-trivial application, as well as experimental paradigms to train and test the systems under realistic conditions. Accordingly, a considerable number of publications concentrate only on parts of this chain, such as the mental state assessment, but leave out others, such as the actual testing of a quantifiable usability improvement. Surprisingly, while many groups are working on similar aspects of this research, there are still only limited shared resources, such as executable paradigms, data sets, and processing pipelines.

The goal of this review is to bring together resources and insights about this evolving field from an HCI perspective. Because its foundations come from diverse disciplines (neuroscience, biomedical engineering, machine learning, traditional BCIs, as well as human–computer interaction), it can be difficult to find resources all in one place for gaining necessary background, or for discovering the state-of-the-art. We aim to provide an entry point on the diverse approaches and methods, and to synthesize the body of existing work to identify trends and emerging research questions that would be of interest to HCI researchers and students. Over the course of this review, we will outline the fundamentals of designing, building and evaluating interactive systems using brain signals. We will discuss steps to improve methods of re-using and reproducing existing results to unlock new and more complex designs for brain input paradigms. Where applicable, we will provide pointers to work that thoroughly covers relevant topics and will give more attention to areas where such resources do not yet exist.

In particular, with the rise of research on brain signals in computing, other recent reviews have covered related areas. Because the field is complex and heterogeneous, these unsurprisingly focus on different areas than this review. Ramadan and Vasilakos (2017) concentrate on using brain input for active control, mostly for the support of disabled individuals. Similarly, Rezeika *et al.* (2018) focus on active control interfaces for text entry. In contrast, Aricò *et al.* (2018) review systems which focus on user state monitoring in the wild. The Brain–Computer Interfaces Handbook (Nam *et al.*, 2018) is a comprehensive textbook

that brings together many aspects of real-time brain input for active control and passive user state monitoring. All of these books and reviews are written from the perspective of the traditional BCI community. Early steps to connect the BCI research to HCI were taken in Tan and Nijholt (2010), but much work has emerged in the ten years since that was written. Our monograph will refer the reader to relevant sections of these related surveys and reviews, instead of providing redundant resources.

The rest of the monograph is organized as follows. Sections 2–4 review and characterize existing literature on the foundations and broader context of using brain signals, discussing different HCI application domains that use these methods, and finally presenting definitions and examples of the main paradigms used in HCI for brain input. Section 5 explains many of the cognitive processes measured and used in HCI research. Sections 6 and 7 are technology-centered and introduce different architectures and development tools to design applications which use brain signals. They also present the fundamentals of the most important brain sensing technologies. Section 8 introduces HCI-centered evaluation methods, as well as generalizability and reproducibility considerations of using brain signals in a scientifically sound and sustainable way. Sections 9 and 10 then discuss future research directions and the strengths and limitations of brain signals as input with currently available technology.

References

- Afergan, D., E. M. Peck, E. T. Solovey, A. Jenkins, S. W. Hincks, E. T. Brown, R. Chang, and R. J. Jacob (2014a). “Dynamic difficulty using brain metrics of workload”. In: *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '14*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: ACM. 3797–3806.
- Afergan, D., T. Shibata, S. W. Hincks, E. M. Peck, B. F. Yuksel, R. Chang, and R. J. Jacob (2014b). “Brain-based target expansion”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. UIST '14*. Honolulu, HI, USA: ACM. 583–593.
- Aggarwal, A., G. Niezen, and H. Thimbleby (2014). “User experience evaluation through the brain’s electrical activity”. In: *Proceedings of the 8th Nordic Conference on Human–Computer Interaction: Fun, Fast, Foundational. NordiCHI '14*. Helsinki, Finland: ACM. 491–500.
- Allison, B. Z. and C. Neuper (2010). “Could anyone use a BCI?” In: *Brain–Computer Interfaces*. Springer. 35–54.
- Anderson, B. B., C. B. Kirwan, J. L. Jenkins, D. Eargle, S. Howard, and A. Vance (2015). “How polymorphic warnings reduce habituation in the brain: Insights from an fMRI study”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '15*. Seoul, Republic of Korea: ACM. 2883–2892.

- Antle, A. N., L. Chesick, and E.-S. McLaren (2018). “Opening up the design space of neurofeedback brain–computer interfaces for children”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 24(6): 38:1–38:33.
- Aranyi, G., F. Charles, and M. Cavazza (2015). “Anger-based BCI using fNIRS neurofeedback”. In: *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software & Technology. UIST '15*. Charlotte, NC, USA: ACM. 511–521.
- Aricò, P., G. Borghini, G. Di Flumeri, N. Sciaraffa, and F. Babiloni (2018). “Passive BCI beyond the lab: Current trends and future directions”. *Physiological Measurement*. 39(8): 08TR02.
- Ayaz, H. and F. Dehais (2018). *Neuroergonomics: The Brain at Work and in Everyday Life*. Academic Press.
- Bandara, D., S. Velipasalar, S. Bratt, and L. Hirshfield (2018). “Building predictive models of emotion with functional near-infrared spectroscopy”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 110: 75–85.
- Barker, A. T., R. Jalinous, and I. L. Freeston (1985). “Non-invasive magnetic stimulation of human motor cortex”. *The Lancet*. 325(8437): 1106–1107.
- Barral, O., I. Kosunen, and G. Jacucci (2017). “No need to laugh out loud: Predicting humor appraisal of comic strips based on physiological signals in a realistic environment”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 24(6): 40:1–40:29.
- Barraza, P., G. Dumas, H. Liu, G. Blanco-Gomez, M. I. van den Heuvel, M. Baart, and A. Pérez (2019). “Implementing EEG hyperscanning setups”. *MethodsX*. 6: 428–436.
- Bilalpur, M., M. Kankanhalli, S. Winkler, and R. Subramanian (2018). “EEG-based evaluation of cognitive workload induced by acoustic parameters for data sonification”. In: *Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. ICMI '18*. Boulder, CO, USA: ACM. 315–323.
- Billinger, M., I. Daly, V. Kaiser, J. Jin, B. Z. Allison, G. R. Müller-Putz, and C. Brunner (2012). “Is it significant? Guidelines for reporting BCI performance”. In: *Towards Practical Brain–Computer Interfaces*. Springer. 333–354.

- Blankertz, B., S. Lemm, M. Treder, S. Haufe, and K.-R. Müller (2011). “Single-trial analysis and classification of ERP components—A tutorial”. *NeuroImage*. 56(2): 814–825.
- Boyer, M., M. L. Cummings, L. B. Spence, and E. T. Solovey (2015). “Investigating mental workload changes in a long duration supervisory control task”. *Interacting with Computers*. 27(5): 512–520.
- Brouwer, A.-M., T. O. Zander, J. B. Van Erp, J. E. Korteling, and A. W. Bronkhorst (2015). “Using neurophysiological signals that reflect cognitive or affective state: Six recommendations to avoid common pitfalls”. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 9: 136.
- Buchanan, D. M., J. Grant, and A. D’Angiulli (2019). “Commercial wireless versus standard stationary EEG systems for personalized emotional brain–computer interfaces: A preliminary reliability check”. *Neuroscience Research Notes*. 2(1): 7–15.
- Burns, C. G. and S. H. Fairclough (2015). “Use of auditory event-related potentials to measure immersion during a computer game”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 73: 107–114.
- Cacioppo, J. T. and L. G. Tassinary (1990). “Inferring psychological significance from physiological signals”. *American Psychologist*. 45(1): 16.
- Cacioppo, J. T., L. G. Tassinary, and G. G. Berntson (2000). “Psychophysiological science”. *Handbook of Psychophysiology*. 2: 3–23.
- Cairns, P. (2019). *Doing Better Statistics in Human–Computer Interaction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chanel, G., J. J. Kierkels, M. Soleymani, and T. Pun (2009). “Short-term emotion assessment in a recall paradigm”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 67(8): 607–627.
- Cherng, F.-Y., Y.-C. Lee, J.-T. King, and W.-C. Lin (2019). “Measuring the influences of musical parameters on cognitive and behavioral responses to audio notifications using EEG and large-scale online studies”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI ’19. Glasgow, Scotland UK: ACM. 409:1–409:12.

- Cherng, F.-Y., W.-C. Lin, J.-T. King, and Y.-C. Lee (2016). “An EEG-based approach for evaluating graphic icons from the perspective of semantic distance”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16*. San Jose, CA, USA: ACM. 4378–4389.
- Chollet, F. (2015). “Keras”. <https://github.com/fchollet/keras>.
- Cohen, M. X. (2014). *Analyzing Neural Time Series Data: Theory and Practice*. MIT Press.
- Combrisson, E. and K. Jerbi (2015). “Exceeding chance level by chance: The caveat of theoretical chance levels in brain signal classification and statistical assessment of decoding accuracy”. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*. 250: 126–136.
- Cowley, B., M. Filetti, K. Lukander, J. Torniaainen, A. Henelius, L. Ahonen, O. Barral, I. Kosunen, T. Valtonen, M. Huutilainen, N. Ravaja, and G. Jacucci (2016). “The psychophysiology primer: A guide to methods and a broad review with a focus on human–computer interaction”. *Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction*. 9(3–4): 151–308.
- Coyle, S., T. Ward, C. Markham, and G. McDarby (2004). “On the suitability of near-infrared (NIR) systems for next-generation brain–computer interfaces”. *Physiological Measurement*. 25(4): 815.
- Crk, I., T. Kluthe, and A. Stefik (2015). “Understanding programming expertise: An empirical study of phasic brain wave changes”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 23(1): 2:1–2:29.
- Cutrell, E. and D. Tan (2008). “BCI for passive input in HCI”. In: *Proceedings of CHI 2008 Workshop on Brain–Computer Interfaces for HCI and Games*. Florence, Italy: ACM. 1–3.
- D’albis, T., R. Blatt, R. Tedesco, L. Sbattella, and M. Matteucci (2012). “A predictive speller controlled by a brain–computer interface based on motor imagery”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 19(3): 20:1–20:25.
- Davies, M. E. and C. J. James (2007). “Source separation using single channel ICA”. *Signal Processing*. 87(8): 1819–1832.

- Delorme, A. and S. Makeig (2004). “EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis”. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*. 134(1): 9–21.
- Dey, A. K., G. D. Abowd, and D. Salber (2001). “A conceptual framework and a toolkit for supporting the rapid prototyping of context-aware applications”. *Human-Computer Interaction*. 16(2–4): 97–166.
- Di Flumeri, G., P. Aricò, G. Borghini, N. Sciaraffa, A. Di Florio, and F. Babiloni (2019). “The dry revolution: Evaluation of three different EEG dry electrode types in terms of signal spectral features, mental states classification and usability”. *Sensors*. 19(6): 1365.
- D’mello, S. K. and J. Kory (2015). “A review and meta-analysis of multimodal affect detection systems”. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*. 47(3): 1–36.
- Doherty, E., C. Bloor, and G. Cockton (1999). “The ‘cyberlink’ brain-body interface as an assistive technology for persons with traumatic brain injury: Longitudinal results from a group of case studies”. *CyberPsychology and Behavior*. 2(3): 249–259.
- Doherty, E., G. Cockton, C. Bloor, and D. Benigno (2000). “Mixing oil and water: Transcending method boundaries in assistive technology for traumatic brain injury”. In: *Proceedings on the 2000 Conference on Universal Usability*. Washington, DC, USA: ACM. 110–117.
- Doherty, E., G. Cockton, C. Bloor, and D. Benigno (2001). “Improving the performance of the cyberlink mental interface with ‘yes/no program’”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI ’01*. Seattle, WA, USA: ACM. 69–76.
- Fairclough, S. H. (2009). “Fundamentals of physiological computing”. *Interacting with Computers*. 21(1–2): 133–145.
- Frey, J., M. Daniel, J. Castet, M. Hachet, and F. Lotte (2016). “Framework for electroencephalography-based evaluation of user experience”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI ’16*. San Jose, CA, USA: ACM. 2283–2294.

- Frey, J., R. Gervais, S. Fleck, F. Lotte, and M. Hachet (2014). “Teegi: Tangible EEG interface”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. UIST '14*. Honolulu, HI, USA: ACM. 301–308.
- Friedman, D., A.-M. Brouwer, and A. Nijholt (2017). “BCIforReal: An application-oriented approach to BCI out of the laboratory”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces Companion*. Limassol, Cyprus: ACM. 5–7.
- Gehrke, L., S. Akman, P. Lopes, A. Chen, A. K. Singh, H.-T. Chen, C.-T. Lin, and K. Gramann (2019). “Detecting visuo-haptic mismatches in virtual reality using the prediction error negativity of event-related brain potentials”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '19*. Glasgow, Scotland UK: ACM. 427:1–427:11.
- Glatz, C., S. S. Krupenia, H. H. Bülthoff, and L. L. Chuang (2018). “Use the right sound for the right job: Verbal commands and auditory icons for a task-management system favor different information processes in the brain”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '18*. Montreal QC, Canada: ACM. 472:1–472:13.
- Gramfort, A., M. Luessi, E. Larson, D. A. Engemann, D. Strohmeier, C. Brodbeck, L. Parkkonen, and M. S. Hämäläinen (2014). “MNE software for processing MEG and EEG data”. *Neuroimage*. 86: 446–460.
- Grimes, D., D. S. Tan, S. E. Hudson, P. Shenoy, and R. P. Rao (2008). “Feasibility and pragmatics of classifying working memory load with an electroencephalograph”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '08*. Florence, Italy: ACM. 835–844.
- Hassib, M., S. Schneegass, P. Eiglsperger, N. Henze, A. Schmidt, and F. Alt (2017). “EngageMeter: A system for implicit audience engagement sensing using electroencephalography”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '17*. Denver, CO, USA: ACM. 5114–5119.

- Haufe, S., F. Meinecke, K. Görgen, S. Dähne, J.-D. Haynes, B. Blankertz, and F. Bießmann (2014). “On the interpretation of weight vectors of linear models in multivariate neuroimaging”. *Neuroimage*. 87: 96–110.
- Herff, C., D. Heger, A. De Pestors, D. Telaar, P. Brunner, G. Schalk, and T. Schultz (2015). “Brain-to-text: Decoding spoken phrases from phone representations in the brain”. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 9: 217.
- Hildt, E. (2019). “Multi-person brain-to-brain interfaces: Ethical issues”. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 13: 1177.
- Hirshfield, L. M., K. Chauncey, R. Gulotta, A. Girouard, E. T. Solovey, R. J. Jacob, A. Sassaroli, and S. Fantini (2009a). “Combining electroencephalograph and functional near infrared spectroscopy to explore users’ mental workload”. In: *International Conference on Foundations of Augmented Cognition*. Springer. 239–247.
- Hirshfield, L. M., R. Gulotta, S. Hirshfield, S. Hincks, M. Russell, R. Ward, T. Williams, and R. Jacob (2011). “This is your brain on interfaces: Enhancing usability testing with functional near-infrared spectroscopy”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 373–382.
- Hirshfield, L. M., E. T. Solovey, A. Girouard, J. Kebinger, R. J. Jacob, A. Sassaroli, and S. Fantini (2009b). “Brain measurement for usability testing and adaptive interfaces: An example of uncovering syntactic workload with functional near infrared spectroscopy”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '09*. Boston, MA, USA: ACM. 2185–2194.
- Huang, J., C. Yu, Y. Wang, Y. Zhao, S. Liu, C. Mo, J. Liu, L. Zhang, and Y. Shi (2014). “FOCUS: Enhancing children’s engagement in reading by using contextual BCI training sessions”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '14*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: ACM. 1905–1908.
- Huppert, T. J., S. G. Diamond, M. A. Franceschini, and D. A. Boas (2009). “HomER: A review of time-series analysis methods for near-infrared spectroscopy of the brain”. *Applied Optics*. 48(10): D280–D298.

- Jacob, R. J. (1993). “Eye movement-based human–computer interaction techniques: Toward non-command interfaces”. *Advances in Human–Computer Interaction*. 4: 151–190.
- Jacucci, G., S. Fairclough, and E. T. Solovey (2015). “Physiological computing”. *Computer*. 48(10): 12–16.
- Jarvis, J., F. Putze, D. Heger, and T. Schultz (2011). “Multimodal person independent recognition of workload related biosignal patterns”. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces. ICMI '11*. Alicante, Spain: ACM. 205–208.
- Jeunet, C., S. Debener, F. Lotte, J. Mattout, R. Scherer, and C. Zich (2018). “Mind the traps! design guidelines for rigorous BCI experiments”. In: *Brain–Computer Interfaces Handbook: Technological and Theoretical Advances*. CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group. 613.
- Jiang, L., A. Stocco, D. M. Losey, J. A. Abernethy, C. S. Prat, and R. P. Rao (2019a). “BrainNet: A multi-person brain-to-brain interface for direct collaboration between brains”. *Scientific Reports*. 9(1): 1–11.
- Jiang, X., G.-B. Bian, and Z. Tian (2019b). “Removal of artifacts from EEG signals: A review”. *Sensors*. 19(5): 987.
- Johnson, D., P. Wyeth, M. Clark, and C. Watling (2015). “Cooperative game play with avatars and agents: Differences in brain activity and the experience of play”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '15*. Seoul, Republic of Korea: ACM. 3721–3730.
- Kaplan, A. Y., S. L. Shishkin, I. P. Ganin, I. A. Basyul, and A. Y. Zhigalov (2013). “Adapting the P300-based brain–computer interface for gaming: A review”. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*. 5(2): 141–149.
- Kober, S. E. and C. Neuper (2012). “Using auditory event-related EEG potentials to assess presence in virtual reality”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 70(9): 577–587.
- Kosmyna, N., F. Tarpin-Bernard, and B. Rivet (2015a). “Adding human learning in brain–computer interfaces (BCIs): Towards a practical control modality”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 22(3): 12:1–12:37.

- Kosmyna, N., F. Tarpin-Bernard, and B. Rivet (2015b). “Conceptual priming for in-game BCI training”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 22(5): 26:1–26:25.
- Lampe, T., L. D. Fiederer, M. Voelker, A. Knorr, M. Riedmiller, and T. Ball (2014). “A brain–computer interface for high-level remote control of an autonomous, reinforcement-learning-based robotic system for reaching and grasping”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces. IUI '14*. Haifa, Israel: ACM. 83–88.
- Lapuschkin, S., S. Wäldchen, A. Binder, G. Montavon, W. Samek, and K.-R. Müller (2019). “Unmasking clever hans predictors and assessing what machines really learn”. *Nature Communications*. 10(1): 1–8.
- Lee, Y.-C., F.-Y. Cherng, J.-T. King, and W.-C. Lin (2019). “To repeat or not to repeat?: Redesigning repeating auditory alarms based on EEG analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '19*. Glasgow, Scotland UK: ACM. 513:1–513:10.
- Lee, Y.-C., W.-C. Lin, J.-T. King, L.-W. Ko, Y.-T. Huang, and F.-Y. Cherng (2014). “An EEG-based approach for evaluating audio notifications under ambient sounds”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '14*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: ACM. 3817–3826.
- Lee, J. C. and D. S. Tan (2006). “Using a low-cost electroencephalograph for task classification in HCI research”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. UIST '06*. Montreux, Switzerland: ACM. 81–90.
- Lees, S., N. Dayan, H. Cecotti, P. McCullagh, L. Maguire, F. Lotte, and D. Coyle (2018). “A review of rapid serial visual presentation-based brain–computer interfaces”. *Journal of Neural Engineering*. 15(2): 021001.
- Lemm, S., B. Blankertz, T. Dickhaus, and K.-R. Müller (2011). “Introduction to machine learning for brain imaging”. *Neuroimage*. 56(2): 387–399.

- Liu, F., L. Dabbish, and G. Kaufman (2017). “Can biosignals be expressive?: How visualizations affect impression formation from shared brain activity”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 1(CSCW): 71:1–71:21.
- Lotte, F. (2014). “A tutorial on EEG signal-processing techniques for mental-state recognition in brain–computer interfaces”. In: *Guide to Brain–Computer Music Interfacing*. Springer. 133–161.
- Lotte, F., L. Bougrain, A. Cichocki, M. Clerc, M. Congedo, A. Rakotomamonjy, and F. Yger (2018). “A review of classification algorithms for EEG-based brain–computer interfaces: A 10 year update”. *Journal of Neural Engineering*. 15(3): 031005.
- Lotte, F., M. Congedo, A. Lécuyer, F. Lamarche, and B. Arnaldi (2007). “A review of classification algorithms for EEG-based brain–computer interfaces”. *Journal of Neural Engineering*. 4(2): R1.
- Lukanov, K., H. A. Maior, and M. L. Wilson (2016). “Using fNIRS in usability testing: Understanding the effect of web form layout on mental workload”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16*. San Jose, CA, USA: ACM. 4011–4016.
- Ma, X., Z. Yao, Y. Wang, W. Pei, and H. Chen (2018). “Combining brain–computer interface and eye tracking for high-speed text entry in virtual reality”. In: *23rd International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces. IUI '18*. Tokyo, Japan: ACM. 263–267.
- Maior, H. A., M. Pike, S. Sharples, and M. L. Wilson (2015). “Examining the reliability of using fNIRS in realistic HCI settings for spatial and verbal tasks”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '15*. Seoul, Republic of Korea: ACM. 3039–3042.
- Maskeliunas, R., R. Damasevicius, I. Martisius, and M. Vasiljevas (2016). “Consumer-grade EEG devices: Are they usable for control tasks?” *PeerJ*. 4(Mar.): e1746.
- McKendrick, R., R. Parasuraman, and H. Ayaz (2015). “Wearable functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): Expanding vistas for neurocognitive augmentation”. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*. 9: 27.

- Midha, S., H. A. Maior, M. L. Wilson, and S. Sharples (2020). “Measuring mental workload variations in office work tasks using fNIRS”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*: 102580.
- Minguillon, J., M. A. Lopez-Gordo, and F. Pelayo (2017). “Trends in EEG-BCI for daily-life: Requirements for artifact removal”. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*. 31: 407–418.
- Miralles, F., E. Vargiu, X. Rafael-Palou, M. Sola, S. Dauwalder, C. Guger, C. Hintermüller, A. Espinosa, H. Lowish, S. Martin, E. Armstrong, and J. Daly (2015). “Brain-computer interfaces on track to home: Results of the evaluation at disabled end-users’ homes and lessons learnt”. *Frontiers in ICT*. 2: 25.
- Morgan, E., H. Gunes, and N. Bryan-Kinns (2015). “Using affective and behavioural sensors to explore aspects of collaborative music making”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 82: 31–47.
- Moses, D. A., M. K. Leonard, J. G. Makin, and E. F. Chang (2019). “Real-time decoding of question-and-answer speech dialogue using human cortical activity”. *Nature Communications*. 10(1): 1–14.
- Musk, E. (2019). “An integrated brain-machine interface platform with thousands of channels”. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 21(10): e16194.
- Mustafa, M., S. Guthe, J.-P. Tauscher, M. Goesele, and M. Magnor (2017). “How human am I?: EEG-based evaluation of virtual characters”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '17. Denver, CO, USA: ACM. 5098–5108.
- Mustafa, M., L. Lindemann, and M. Magnor (2012). “EEG analysis of implicit human visual perception”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '12. Austin, TX, USA: ACM. 513–516.
- Nam, C. S., A. Nijholt, and F. Lotte (2018). *Brain-Computer Interfaces Handbook: Technological and Theoretical Advances*. CRC Press.
- Nitsche, M. A. and W. Paulus (2000). “Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak transcranial direct current stimulation”. *The Journal of Physiology*. 527(3): 633–639.

- Oostenveld, R. and P. Praamstra (2001). “The five percent electrode system for high-resolution EEG and ERP measurements”. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 112(4): 713–719.
- Orihuela-Espina, F., D. R. Leff, D. R. James, A. W. Darzi, and G.-Z. Yang (2017). “Imperial college near infrared spectroscopy neuroimaging analysis framework”. *Neurophotonics*. 5(1): 011011.
- Parasuraman, R. and M. Rizzo (2008). *Neuroergonomics: The Brain at Work*. Oxford University Press.
- Park, J., K.-E. Kim, and S. Jo (2010). “A POMDP approach to P300-based brain–computer interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces. IUI '10*. Hong Kong, China: ACM. 1–10.
- Peck, E. M. M., B. F. Yuksel, A. Ottley, R. J. Jacob, and R. Chang (2013). “Using fNIRS brain sensing to evaluate information visualization interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 473–482.
- Pedregosa, F., G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay (2011). “Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python”. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 12: 2825–2830.
- Pion-Tonachini, L., K. Kreutz-Delgado, and S. Makeig (2019). “ICLabel: An automated electroencephalographic independent component classifier, dataset, and website”. *NeuroImage*. 198: 181–197.
- Poli, R., C. Cinel, A. Matran-Fernandez, F. Sepulveda, and A. Stoica (2013). “Towards cooperative brain–computer interfaces for space navigation”. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces. IUI '13*. Santa Monica, CA, USA: ACM. 149–160.
- Pope, A. T., E. H. Bogart, and D. S. Bartolome (1995). “Biocybernetic system evaluates indices of operator engagement in automated task”. *Biological Psychology*. 40(1–2): 187–195.

- Porbadnigk, A., M. Wester, J. Calliess, and T. Schultz (2009). “EEG-Based speech recognition: Impact of temporal effects”. In: *BIOSIGNALS 2009-Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Bio-Inspired Systems and Signal Processing*. Porto, Portugal: SciTePress. 376–381.
- Putze, F. (2019). “Methods and tools for using BCI with augmented and virtual reality”. In: *Brain Art*. Springer. 433–446.
- Putze, F., S. Hesslinger, C.-Y. Tse, Y. Huang, C. Herff, C. Guan, and T. Schultz (2014). “Hybrid fNIRS-EEG based classification of auditory and visual perception processes”. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 8: 373.
- Putze, F., J. Popp, J. Hild, J. Beyerer, and T. Schultz (2016a). “Intervention-free selection using EEG and eye tracking”. In: *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. ICMI '16*. Tokyo, Japan: ACM. 153–160.
- Putze, F., M. Scherer, and T. Schultz (2016b). “Starring into the void?: Classifying internal vs. external attention from EEG”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction. NordiCHI '16*. Gothenburg, Sweden: ACM. 47:1–47:4.
- Putze, F. and T. Schultz (2014). “Investigating intrusiveness of workload adaptation”. In: *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. ICMI '14*. Istanbul, Turkey: ACM. 275–281.
- Putze, F., M. Schünemann, T. Schultz, and W. Stuerzlinger (2017). “Automatic classification of auto-correction errors in predictive text entry based on EEG and context information”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. ICMI '17*. Glasgow, UK: ACM. 137–145.
- Putze, F., D. Weiß, L.-M. Vortmann, and T. Schultz (2019). “Augmented reality interface for smart home control using SSVEP-BCI and eye gaze”. In: *2019 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (SMC)*. IEEE. 2812–2817.
- Quek, M., D. Boland, J. Williamson, R. Murray-Smith, M. Tavella, S. Perdikis, M. Schreuder, and M. Tangermann (2011). “Simulating the feel of brain-computer interfaces for design, development and social interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 25–28.

- Ramadan, R. A. and A. V. Vasilakos (2017). “Brain computer interface: Control signals review”. *Neurocomputing*. 223: 26–44.
- Rao, R. P., A. Stocco, M. Bryan, D. Sarma, T. M. Youngquist, J. Wu, and C. S. Prat (2014). “A direct brain-to-brain interface in humans”. *PloS One*. 9(11): 1–12.
- Ratti, E., S. Waninger, C. Berka, G. Ruffini, and A. Verma (2017). “Comparison of medical and consumer wireless EEG systems for use in clinical trials”. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 11. DOI: [10.3389/fnhum.2017.00398](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00398). (Accessed on 09/16/2019).
- Renard, Y., F. Lotte, G. Gibert, M. Congedo, E. Maby, V. Delannoy, O. Bertrand, and A. Lécuyer (2010). “Openvibe: An open-source software platform to design, test, and use brain–computer interfaces in real and virtual environments”. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*. 19(1): 35–53.
- Rezeika, A., M. Benda, P. Stawicki, F. Gemblar, A. Saboor, and I. Volosyak (2018). “Brain–computer interface spellers: A review”. *Brain Sciences*. 8(4): 57.
- Rieiro, H., C. Diaz-Piedra, J. M. Morales, A. Catena, S. Romero, J. Roca-Gonzalez, L. J. Fuentes, and L. L. Di Stasi (2019). “Validation of electroencephalographic recordings obtained with a consumer-grade, single dry electrode, low-cost device: A comparative study”. *Sensors*. 19(12): 2808.
- Santosa, H., X. Zhai, F. Fishburn, and T. Huppert (2018). “The NIRS brain AnalyzIR toolbox”. *Algorithms*. 11(5): 73.
- Sauvan, J.-B., A. Lécuyer, F. Lotte, and G. Casiez (2009). “A performance model of selection techniques for P300-based brain–computer interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '09*. Boston, MA, USA: ACM. 2205–2208.
- Schalk, G., D. J. McFarland, T. Hinterberger, N. Birbaumer, and J. R. Wolpaw (2004). “BCI2000: A general-purpose brain–computer interface (BCI) system”. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*. 51(6): 1034–1043.

- Schirrneister, R. T., J. T. Springenberg, L. D. J. Fiederer, M. Glasstetter, K. Eggenesperger, M. Tangermann, F. Hutter, W. Burgard, and T. Ball (2017). “Deep learning with convolutional neural networks for EEG decoding and visualization”. *Human Brain Mapping*. 38(11): 5391–5420.
- Schlögl, A., J. Kronegg, J. E. Huggins, and S. G. Mason (2007). “Evaluation criteria in BCI research”. In: *Toward Brain–Computer Interfacing*. MIT Press.
- Schmidt, A. (2000). “Implicit human computer interaction through context”. *Personal Technologies*. 4(2–3): 191–199.
- Secerbegovic, A., S. Ibric, J. Nisic, N. Suljanovic, and A. Mujcic (2017). “Mental workload vs. stress differentiation using single-channel EEG”. In: *CMBEBIH 2017*. Springer. 511–515.
- Shin, J., A. von Lümann, B. Blankertz, D.-W. Kim, J. Jeong, H.-J. Hwang, and K.-R. Müller (2016). “Open access dataset for EEG+NIRS single-trial classification”. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*. 25(10): 1735–1745.
- Sitaram, R., T. Ros, L. Stoeckel, S. Haller, F. Scharnowski, J. Lewis-Peacock, N. Weiskopf, M. L. Blefari, M. Rana, E. Oblak, N. Birbaumer, and J. Sulzer (2017). “Closed-loop brain training: The science of neurofeedback”. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 18(2): 86–100.
- Sivarajah, Y., E.-J. Holden, R. Togneri, G. Price, and T. Tan (2014). “Quantifying target spotting performances with complex geoscientific imagery using ERP P300 responses”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 72(3): 275–283.
- Sjölie, D., K. Bodin, E. Elgh, J. Eriksson, L.-E. Janlert, and L. Nyberg (2010). “Effects of interactivity and 3D-motion on mental rotation brain activity in an immersive virtual environment”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '10*. Atlanta, GA, USA: ACM. 869–878.
- Škola, F. and F. Liarokapis (2019). “Examining and enhancing the illusory touch perception in virtual reality using non-invasive brain stimulation”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '19*. Glasgow, Scotland UK: ACM. 247:1–247:12.

- Solovey, E. T., D. Afegan, E. M. Peck, S. W. Hincks, and R. J. K. Jacob (2015). “Designing implicit interfaces for physiological computing: Guidelines and lessons learned using fNIRS”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 21(6): 35:1–35:27.
- Solovey, E. T., A. Girouard, K. Chauncey, L. M. Hirshfield, A. Sassaroli, F. Zheng, S. Fantini, and R. J. Jacob (2009). “Using fNIRS brain sensing in realistic HCI settings: Experiments and guidelines”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. UIST '09*. Victoria, BC, Canada: ACM. 157–166.
- Solovey, E. T., F. Lalooses, K. Chauncey, D. Weaver, M. Parasi, M. Scheutz, A. Sassaroli, S. Fantini, P. Schermerhorn, A. Girouard, and R. J. Jacob (2011). “Sensing cognitive multitasking for a brain-based adaptive user interface”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 383–392.
- Solovey, E., P. Schermerhorn, M. Scheutz, A. Sassaroli, S. Fantini, and R. Jacob (2012). “Brainput: Enhancing interactive systems with streaming fNIRS brain input”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '12*. Austin, TX, USA: ACM. 2193–2202.
- Stegman, P., C. S. Crawford, M. Andujar, A. Nijholt, and J. E. Gilbert (2020). “Brain-computer interface software: A review and discussion”. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*. 50(2): 101–115.
- Sturm, I., S. Lapuschkin, W. Samek, and K.-R. Müller (2016). “Interpretable deep neural networks for single-trial EEG classification”. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*. 274: 141–145.
- Szafir, D. and B. Mutlu (2012). “Pay attention!: Designing adaptive agents that monitor and improve user engagement”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '12*. Austin, TX, USA: ACM. 11–20.
- Tan, D. (2006). “Brain-computer interfaces: Applying our minds to human-computer interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the CHI 2006 Workshop on What is the Next Generation of Human-Computer Interaction?* Montreal, Canada: ACM. 1–4.

- Tan, D. and A. Nijholt (2010). “Brain–computer interfaces and human–computer interaction”. In: *Brain–Computer Interfaces*. Springer. 3–19.
- Terasawa, N., H. Tanaka, S. Sakti, and S. Nakamura (2017). “Tracking liking state in brain activity while watching multiple movies”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. ICMI '17*. Glasgow, UK: ACM. 321–325.
- Terkildsen, T. and G. Makransky (2019). “Measuring presence in video games: An investigation of the potential use of physiological measures as indicators of presence”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 126: 64–80.
- Thanh Vi, C., K. Hornbæk, and S. Subramanian (2017). “Neuroanatomical correlates of perceived usability”. In: *Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. UIST '17*. Quebec City, QC, Canada: ACM. 519–532.
- Thompson, D. E., L. R. Quitadamo, L. Mainardi, S. Gao, P.-J. Kindermans, J. D. Simeral, R. Fazel-Rezai, M. Matteucci, T. H. Falk, L. Bianchi, C. A. Chestek, and J. E. Huggins (2014). “Performance measurement for brain–computer or brain–machine interfaces: A tutorial”. *Journal of Neural Engineering*. 11(3): 035001.
- Velichkovsky, B. M. and J. P. Hansen (1996). “New technological windows into mind: There is more in eyes and brains for human–computer interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '96*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 496–503.
- Vi, C. and S. Subramanian (2012). “Detecting error-related negativity for interaction design”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '12*. Austin, TX, USA: ACM. 493–502.
- Weiser, M. (1991). “The computer for the 21st century”. *Scientific American*. 265(3): 94–105.
- Williamson, J. and R. Murray-Smith (2005). “Hex: Dynamics and probabilistic text entry”. In: *Switching and Learning in Feedback Systems*. Springer. 333–342.

- Williamson, J., R. Murray-Smith, B. Blankertz, M. Krauledat, and K.-R. Müller (2009). “Designing for uncertain, asymmetric control: Interaction design for brain–computer interfaces”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 67(10): pp. 827–841.
- Wolpaw, J. R., N. Birbaumer, D. J. McFarland, G. Pfurtscheller, and T. M. Vaughan (2002). “Brain–computer interfaces for communication and control”. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 113(6): 767–791.
- Wolpaw, J. R., R. S. Bedlack, D. J. Reda, R. J. Ringer, P. G. Banks, T. M. Vaughan, S. M. Heckman, L. M. McCane, C. S. Carmack, S. Winden, D. J. McFarland, E. W. Sellers, H. Shi, T. Paine, D. S. Higgins, A. C. Lo, H. S. Patwa, K. J. Hill, G. D. Huang, and R. L. Ruff (2018). “Independent home use of a brain–computer interface by people with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis”. *Neurology*. 91(3): e258–e267.
- Xu, J., X. Liu, J. Zhang, Z. Li, X. Wang, F. Fang, and H. Niu (2015). “FC-NIRS: A functional connectivity analysis tool for near-infrared spectroscopy data”. *BioMed Research International*. 2015: Article ID 248724.
- Xu, Y., H. L. Graber, and R. L. Barbour (2014). “nirsLAB: A computing environment for fNIRS neuroimaging data analysis”. In: *Biomedical Optics*. Optical Society of America. BM3A–1.
- Yan, S., G. Ding, H. Li, N. Sun, Y. Wu, Z. Guan, L. Zhang, and T. Huang (2016). “Enhancing audience engagement in performing arts through an adaptive virtual environment with a brain–computer interface”. In: *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces. IUI '16*. Sonoma, CA, USA: ACM. 306–316.
- Ye, J. C., S. Tak, K. E. Jang, J. Jung, and J. Jang (2009). “NIRS-SPM: Statistical parametric mapping for near-infrared spectroscopy”. *Neuroimage*. 44(2): 428–447.
- Yuksel, B. F., M. Donnerer, J. Tompkin, and A. Steed (2010). “A novel brain–computer interface using a multi-touch surface”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '10*. Atlanta, GA, USA: ACM. 855–858.

- Yuksel, B. F., K. B. Oleson, L. Harrison, E. M. Peck, D. Afergan, R. Chang, and R. J. Jacob (2016). “Learn Piano with BACH: An adaptive learning interface that adjusts task difficulty based on brain state”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16*. San Jose, CA, USA: ACM. 5372–5384.
- Zander, T. O., J. Brönstrup, R. Lorenz, and L. R. Krol (2014). “Towards BCI-based implicit control in human–computer interaction”. In: *Advances in Physiological Computing*. Springer. 67–90.
- Zander, T. O. and C. Kothe (2011). “Towards passive brain–computer interfaces: Applying brain–computer interface technology to human–machine systems in general”. *Journal of Neural Engineering*. 8(2): 025005.
- Zander, T. O., C. Kothe, S. Jatzev, and M. Gaertner (2010). “Enhancing human–computer interaction with input from active and passive brain–computer interfaces”. In: *Brain–Computer Interfaces*. Springer. 181–199.
- Zerafa, R., T. Camilleri, O. Falzon, and K. P. Camilleri (2018). “A comparison of a broad range of EEG acquisition devices—Is there any difference for SSVEP BCIs?” *Brain–Computer Interfaces*. 5(4): 121–131.
- Zhou, F., X. Qu, M. G. Helander, and J. R. Jiao (2011). “Affect prediction from physiological measures via visual stimuli”. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies*. 69(12): 801–819.