

Post-WIMP Interaction for Information Visualization

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction

Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook

Erin T. Solovey and Felix Putzer

ISBN: 978-1-68083-814-5

Patient-Generated Health Data: Dimensions, Challenges, and Open Questions

Mayara Costa Figueiredo and Yunan Cheng

ISBN: 978-1-68083-668-4

Patterns and Themes in Designing with Children

Jessica Korte

ISBN: 978-1-68083-660-8

Gender-Inclusive HCI Research and Design: A Conceptual Review

Simone Stumpf, Anicia Peters, Shaowen Bardzell, Margaret Burnett,
Daniela Busse, Jessica Cauchard, Elizabeth Churchill

ISBN: 978-1-68083-656-1

Post-WIMP Interaction for Information Visualization

Bongshin Lee

Microsoft Research
USA

bongshin@microsoft.com

Arjun Srinivasan

Georgia Institute of Technology
USA

arjun010@gatech.edu

Petra Isenberg

Inria
France

petra.isenberg@inria.fr

John Stasko

Georgia Institute of Technology
USA

stasko@cc.gatech.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

B. Lee, A. Srinivasan, P. Isenberg and J. Stasko. *Post-WIMP Interaction for Information Visualization*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–95, 2021.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-827-5

© 2021 B. Lee, A. Srinivasan, P. Isenberg and J. Stasko

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The ‘services’ for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human-Computer Interaction

Volume 14, Issue 1, 2021

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Youn-Kyung Lim

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Editors

Ben Bederson

University of Maryland

Madeline Balaam

KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Eun Kyoung Choe

University of Maryland, College Park

Andy Cockburn

University of Canterbury

Karrie Karahalios

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Uichin Lee

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Florian Mueller

Exertion Games Lab, RMIT University

Bilge Mutlu

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Marianna Obrist

University of Sussex

Nuria Oliver

Telefonica

Sameer Patil

Indiana University Bloomington

Orit Shaer

Wellesley College

Desney S. Tan

Microsoft Research

Koji Yatani

University of Tokyo

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research community
- Theory
- Technology
- Computer Supported Cooperative Work
- Interdisciplinary influence
- Advanced topics and trends

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction, 2021, Volume 14, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	History	8
2.1	Pen and/or Touch Interaction	9
2.2	Natural Language Interaction	22
2.3	Mid-Air Gestures, Proxemics, Gaze, and Immersive Interfaces	30
2.4	Workshops	33
3	Reflections and Viewpoints	36
3.1	Towards Synergistic Multimodal Visualization Interfaces . .	36
3.2	Towards the Ultimate Synergy: Post-WIMP + WIMP . . .	41
3.3	Towards Consistent Post-WIMP Interactions for InfoVis . .	44
3.4	Designing Speech-Driven Interactions with Visualizations .	46
3.5	Evaluating InfoVis Systems with Post-WIMP Interactions .	50
3.6	Towards Technology-Inspired Research	55
4	Looking Ahead	57
4.1	Creative Adaptations from Broader HCI Research	57
4.2	Toolkits and Frameworks for Prototyping Post-WIMP Visualization Interactions	60
4.3	Context-Aware Visualization Interfaces	62

4.4	Post-WIMP Interfaces for Data Manipulation	64
4.5	Interacting with Data Physicalizations	65
4.6	Reaching Broader Audiences	66
5	Conclusions	70
5.1	Limitations	70
5.2	Concluding Remarks	71
	Acknowledgements	73
	References	74

Post-WIMP Interaction for Information Visualization

Bongshin Lee¹, Arjun Srinivasan², Petra Isenberg³ and John Stasko⁴

¹*Microsoft Research, USA; bongshin@microsoft.com*

²*Georgia Institute of Technology, USA; arjun010@gatech.edu*

³*Inria, France; petra.isenberg@inria.fr*

⁴*Georgia Institute of Technology, USA; stasko@cc.gatech.edu*

ABSTRACT

Well-designed interactions for visualization systems hold great promise both for empowering people to effectively complete their tasks and for providing more natural and engaging user experiences. Leveraging advancements in hardware and software technology, the visualization research community has made considerable progress providing novel input and interaction experiences. These technologies continue to advance at a fast pace, and thus it seems timely to look back at what has been achieved so far and contemplate what might be possible in the future. In this monograph, we first present a condensed summary of research efforts investigating post-WIMP interaction techniques in visualization systems. We also include research from the broader HCI community and several product releases from industry that we believe to be relevant and have influenced visualization interfaces. Furthermore, we reflect on our own projects that investigated post-WIMP InfoVis interaction and systems. We discuss the main challenges we faced and lessons we learned, and we reflect on how our perspectives and viewpoints on post-WIMP for InfoVis have evolved over the

course of these projects. Finally, we identify several open research directions that will help realize the full potential of post-WIMP interaction for and with InfoVis, expanding the boundaries of InfoVis and reaching a broader audience.

1

Introduction

Interaction, a means for people to express their goals and intentions to systems, plays a critical role in information visualization (InfoVis). The importance of interaction grows as the size and complexity of data increases. Well-designed interactions empower people to effectively complete tasks with visualized data, which simply may not be possible with static visualizations. Furthermore, such interactions can provide a more fluid and engaging experience.

Over the past few decades, both industry and the broad human-computer interaction (HCI) research community have made significant advancements in hardware and software technologies that can be leveraged to support novel interaction techniques. We—InfoVis and HCI researchers—have been increasingly empowered to take a step closer to the post-WIMP user interfaces van Dam envisioned (van Dam, 1997), where the “interface disappears,” enabling people to focus on their tasks rather than worrying about user interfaces consisting of icons, buttons, and menus. Touch-enabled devices are now affordable and prevalent, and they are also becoming increasingly compatible with complementary devices such as digital pens or styluses. Today, it is common to interact with touch input, and pinch and swipe gestures have become

de facto standards. Pen interaction allows people to draw, manipulate, and annotate digital artifacts, such as documents and charts in a more flexible and fluid way. Natural language understanding and generation has made astonishing progress, enabling people to talk to systems and helping them understand the visualized data.

Such advancements are particularly timely, encouraging, and important, presenting novel research opportunities across a wide range of application domains. The advancements also expand both the group of people who could use and benefit from InfoVis and the usage contexts and environments in which InfoVis will be used (Lee *et al.*, 2020). Unlike interaction with InfoVis systems so far, going forward, we cannot and should not assume that one person will be performing data analysis while sitting in front of a desktop computer, with access to a mouse and keyboard (Isenberg *et al.*, 2011). Multiple people with different roles and backgrounds may investigate data together on devices such as a wall-sized, multitouch-enabled display. Designers may create novel and engaging visual representations using a tiltable digital canvas equipped with a stylus. Lay people may consume visual data stories on their tablets while lying on a couch or their own data with their smartphones on a bus. Athletes may track how their speed and heart rate change while they are running.

The InfoVis research community has recognized the potential and importance of more natural, flexible, and fluid interactions, going beyond a traditional desktop environment (Lee *et al.*, 2012; Roberts *et al.*, 2014). The community has made slow but steady progress adapting and leveraging advancements in input and interaction technologies in novel ways. We assert that the InfoVis context serves as a great platform to demonstrate the benefits and potential of novel interaction technologies. It is time to take stock of what has been investigated so far and to look into the future.

In this monograph, we discuss recent explorations into post-WIMP interaction for InfoVis. Rather than conducting a comprehensive survey, we instead provide a more personal retrospective of our own projects and experiences. While our reflection is focused on our own research, we refer to other relevant research and commercial systems that have enabled and influenced our research and thinking both directly and indirectly.

We begin this retrospective with a brief overview of research endeavors that have employed and adapted post-WIMP interaction techniques in InfoVis systems. We include and highlight major milestones we believe to be worth mentioning, for example, being the first attempt/application or having a major impact, sparking a thread of research projects. To provide a better context, we also include not only research and activities from the broader HCI community but also product releases from industry (e.g., the Perceptive Pixel, iPhone/iPad, and various Surface devices) that we found relevant and influential to novel interactions within InfoVis. We first collected a purposive sample of 110 publications each author found worth mentioning and categorized them by interaction modality, target device, publication year, and venues. From this sample we selected a representative subset to cover in this monograph. (Throughout the writing process, we incorporated additional papers including the ones suggested by reviewers.) We chose not to cover an in-depth analysis of a wide variety of visualization-related research areas, for example, looking into general HCI research on post-WIMP interaction or including post-WIMP interaction for Scientific Visualization, as we wanted our retrospective to stay close to our personal experiences in the InfoVis area. We acknowledge that what we cover here is by no means exhaustive or comprehensive and that a systematic review on post-WIMP interaction for InfoVis or visualization more broadly would be a good complement to this monograph.

In the scope of this monograph, we generally follow van Dam's notion of post-WIMP (van Dam, 1997), focusing on interaction techniques that do not require a mouse and keyboard. One exception to this is the inclusion of natural language interaction that uses a keyboard because it obviates the need to fully rely on menus and toolbars, and segues into speech-based interaction. Conversely, we exclude interactions purely based on mouse-driven direct manipulation: while they are widely adopted and highly valuable, they inherently rely on a mouse and widgets. Similarly, we do not discuss augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) applications if their interaction relies solely on a WIMP metaphor (e.g., interacting with menus and buttons in AR/VR through a controller).

We also discuss key insights and knowledge collectively gained and synthesized from our own projects that employed and adapted post-WIMP interaction techniques in InfoVis systems. We aim to reflect on the challenges we faced, lessons we learned along with the misconceptions we initially had, and how our perspectives and viewpoints of post-WIMP for InfoVis have evolved over the course of different projects. The key reflections and viewpoints that are explored in-depth are:

1. As we explore the benefits and strengths of multimodal interactions, we must strive to maximize the synergies between different modalities while identifying the most suitable operation-to-modality mappings and logical (i.e., semantically meaningful) combinations of modalities.
2. Post-WIMP and WIMP interfaces have their own strengths and weaknesses, and they can complement each other. We thus can and should create synergy between post-WIMP and WIMP to improve and enhance overall user experiences.
3. While it is important to design natural and novel interactions, to increase the resulting systems' practical viability (beyond research settings), we need to consider consistency in interactions across different visualizations, especially within one system. Going beyond a single system, it may not be possible (or even desirable) to design a universal interaction set. However, it may be beneficial to consider an interaction model that can characterize and describe interactions that can be commonly used across different visualizations and systems.
4. Although the two styles of natural language input—typing and speech—share several characteristics, speech has unique challenges from a system design and development aspect. We discuss three key challenges—triggering of speech input, lack of assistive features like autocomplete, and transcription errors—with potential workarounds to guide future work exploring InfoVis systems with speech-based interactions.

5. As acknowledged by several researchers for other contexts (Olsen Jr., 2007; Ren *et al.*, 2018; Stasko, 2014; Thudt *et al.*, 2017), the traditional comparative studies emphasizing performance metrics (i.e., time and accuracy) are often not appropriate for evaluating post-WIMP visualization systems. We share their viewpoints and suggestions regarding the use of more appropriate evaluation methods and metrics by properly adapting existing methods or devising new ones.
6. Problem-oriented research is a well-established approach with great values (Shneiderman, 2016). However, to spark creative innovations that post-WIMP offers, we need to embrace technology inspired research as well. This will help us take a step closer to our overarching goal, enabling people to stay in the flow of their visual exploration instead of worrying about how to manipulate user interfaces.

Beyond these discussions, to pave the way for future research, we also highlight six open research directions: further leveraging the extensive HCI research on interaction and interfaces, the development of post-WIMP interaction prototyping toolkits for InfoVis, context-aware visualization interfaces, post-WIMP interfaces for data manipulation, interacting with data physicalization, and developing mobile and accessible visualization systems that cater to broader audiences.

Although this monograph describes a personal perspective, we hope it will help readers gain an initial understanding of how post-WIMP interaction techniques have evolved in the context of InfoVis, where we currently stand, and more importantly where we can go in the future. Ultimately, we hope to inspire researchers and practitioners in both the InfoVis and the broader HCI communities. InfoVis-oriented readers will get an overview of the applications and unrealized potential of post-WIMP interactions, while HCI researchers and practitioners may see untapped opportunities where their novel and innovative technologies and interaction techniques might flourish.

References

- Adler, M. J. and C. van Doren (1972). “How to read a book: The classic guide to intelligent reading”. Simon & Schuster.
- Agarwal, M., A. Srinivasan, and J. Stasko (2019). “VisWall: Visual data exploration using direct combination on large touch displays”. In: *2019 IEEE Visualization Conference (VIS)*. 26–30.
- Amazon.com Inc. (2021). “Amazon Alexa”. Product. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amazon_Alexa.
- Apitz, G. and F. Guimbretière (2004). “CrossY: A crossing-based drawing application”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 3–12.
- Apple Inc. (2021). “Apple siri”. Product. URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siri>.
- Aurisano, J., A. Kumar, A. Alsaïari, B. D. Eugenio, and A. Johnson (2020). “Many at once: Capturing intentions to create and use many views at once in large display environments”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 39(3): 229–240.
- Bach, B., M. Cordeil, T. Dwyer, B. Lee, B. Saket, A. Endert, C. Collins, and S. Carpendale (2017). “Immersive analytics: Exploring future visualization and interaction technologies for data analytics”. In: *2017 IEEE Visualization Conference (VIS), Accepted Workshop*. Vol. 2.

- Bach, B., M. Cordeil, U. Engelke, B. Ens, M. Serrano, and W. Willett (2019). “Interaction design and prototyping for immersive analytics”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–8.
- Bach, B., R. Dachselt, S. Carpendale, T. Dwyer, C. Collins, and B. Lee (2016). “Immersive analytics: Exploring future interaction and visualization technologies for data analytics”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Surfaces and Spaces*. 529–533.
- Badam, S. K., F. Amini, N. Elmqvist, and P. Irani (2016). “Supporting visual exploration for multiple users in large display environments”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Visual Analytics Science and Technology*. 1–10.
- Ballendat, T., N. Marquardt, and S. Greenberg (2010). “Proxemic interaction: designing for a proximity and orientation-aware environment”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 121–130.
- Baur, D., B. Lee, and S. Carpendale (2012). “TouchWave: Kinetic multi-touch manipulation for hierarchical stacked graphs”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 255–264.
- Beaudouin-Lafon, M. (2000). “Instrumental interaction: An interaction model for designing post-WIMP user interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 446–453.
- Bolt, R. A. (1980). “‘Put-that-there’ voice and gesture at the graphics interface”. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*. 262–270.
- Bostock, M. and J. Heer (2009). “Protovis: A graphical toolkit for visualization”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 15(6): 1121–1128.
- Bostock, M., V. Ogievetsky, and J. Heer (2011). “D³ data-driven documents”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 17(12): 2301–2309.
- Bott, J. N. and J. J. LaViola Jr. (2010). “A pen-based tool for visualizing vector mathematics”. In: *Proceedings of the Seventh Sketch-Based Interfaces and Modeling Symposium*. 103–110.

- Brooke, J. (1996). “SUS: A ‘quick and dirty’ usability”. *Usability Evaluation in Industry*. 189(104): 1–7.
- Browne, J., B. Lee, S. Carpendale, N. Riche, and T. Sherwood (2011). “Data analysis on interactive whiteboards through sketch-based interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 154–157.
- Card, S. K., J. Mackinlay, and B. Shneiderman (1999). *Readings in Information Visualization: Using Vision to Think*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufman.
- Carpendale, S. (2008). “Evaluating information visualizations”. In: *Information Visualization: Human-Centered Issues and Perspectives*. Vol. 4950. *LNCS*. Berlin: Springer. 19–45.
- Chen, N., F. Guimbretiere, and A. Sellen (2012). “Designing a multi-slate reading environment to support active reading activities”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 19(3): 1–35.
- Choe, E. K., B. Lee, and S.-W. Hwang (2018). “Personal data exploration with speech on mobile devices”. In: *AVI 2018 Workshop on Multimodal Interaction for Data Visualization*.
- Choe, E. K., N. B. Lee, B. Lee, W. Pratt, and J. A. Kientz (2014). “Understanding quantified-selfers’ practices in collecting and exploring personal data”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1143–1152.
- Choe, E., R. Dachsel, P. Isenberg, and B. Lee (2019). “Mobile data visualization” (Dagstuhl Seminar 19292). *Dagstuhl Reports*.
- Cohen, P. R., M. Dalrymple, D. B. Moran, F. Pereira, and J. W. Sullivan (1989). “Synergistic use of direct manipulation and natural language”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 227–233.
- Cohen, P. R., M. Johnston, D. McGee, S. Oviatt, J. Pittman, I. Smith, L. Chen, and J. Clow (1997). “QuickSet: Multimodal interaction for distributed applications”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Multimedia*. 31–40.
- Cohen, P. R. and D. R. McGee (2004). “Tangible multimodal interfaces for safety-critical applications”. *Communications of the ACM*. 47(1): 41–46.

- Cordeil, M., B. Bach, A. Cunningham, B. Montoya, R. T. Smith, B. H. Thomas, and T. Dwyer (2020). “Embodied axes: Tangible, actuated interaction for 3D augmented reality data spaces”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Cordeil, M., A. Cunningham, T. Dwyer, B. H. Thomas, and K. Marriott (2017). “ImAxes: Immersive axes as embodied affordances for interactive multivariate data visualisation”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 71–83.
- Cox, K., R. E. Grinter, S. L. Hibino, L. J. Jagadeesan, and D. Mantilla (2001). “A multi-modal natural language interface to an information visualization environment”. *International Journal of Speech Technology*. 4(3–4): 297–314.
- Cui, W., X. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Huang, B. Chen, L. Fang, H. Zhang, J.-G. Lou, and D. Zhang (2019). “Text-to-viz: Automatic generation of infographics from proportion-related natural language statements”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 26(1): 906–916.
- Dahlbäck, N., A. Jönsson, and L. Ahrenberg (1993). “Wizard of Oz studies: Why and how”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*. 193–200.
- Dietz, P. and D. Leigh (2001). “DiamondTouch: A multi-user touch technology”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 219–226.
- Dragicevic, P. and Y. Jansen (2012). “List of physical visualizations”. URL: <http://dataphys.org/list>.
- Drogemuller, A., A. Cunningham, J. Walsh, M. Cordeil, W. Ross, and B. Thomas (2018). “Evaluating navigation techniques for 3d graph visualizations in virtual reality”. In: *2018 International Symposium on Big Data Visual and Immersive Analytics (BDVA)*. IEEE. 1–10.
- Drucker, S. M., D. Fisher, R. Sadana, J. Herron, and M. Schraefel (2013). “TouchViz: A case study comparing two interfaces for data analytics on tablets”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2301–2310.

- Dunne, C., N. Henry Riche, B. Lee, R. Metoyer, and G. Robertson (2012). “GraphTrail: Analyzing large multivariate, heterogeneous networks while supporting exploration history”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1663–1672.
- Dwyer, T., B. Lee, D. Fisher, K. I. Quinn, P. Isenberg, G. Robertson, and C. North (2009). “A comparison of user-generated and automatic graph layouts”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 15(6): 961–968.
- Eichmann, P., D. Edge, N. Evans, B. Lee, M. Brehmer, and C. White (2020). “Orchard: Exploring multivariate heterogeneous networks on mobile phones”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 39(3): 115–126.
- Ens, B., B. Bach, M. Cordeil, U. Engelke, M. Serrano, and W. Willett (2020). “Envisioning future productivity for immersive analytics”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–7.
- Fast, E., B. Chen, J. Mendelsohn, J. Bassen, and M. S. Bernstein (2018). “Iris: A conversational agent for complex tasks”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 473.
- Forlines, C. and C. Shen (2005). “DTLens: Multi-user tabletop spatial data exploration”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 119–122.
- Frisch, M., J. Heydekorn, and R. Dachsel (2009). “Investigating multi-touch and pen gestures for diagram editing on interactive surfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 149–156.
- Gao, T., M. Dontcheva, E. Adar, Z. Liu, and K. G. Karahalios (2015). “DataTone: Managing ambiguity in natural language interfaces for data visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 489–500.
- Goncu, C. and K. Marriott (2015). “GraCALC: An accessible graphing calculator”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 311–312.
- Goncu, C., K. Marriott, and J. Hurst (2010). “Usability of accessible bar charts”. In: *International Conference on Theory and Application of Diagrams*. Springer. 167–181.

- Gourdol, A. P., L. Nigay, D. Salber, and J. Coutaz (1992). “Two case studies of software architecture for multimodal interactive systems: VoicePaint and a voice-enabled graphical notebook.” *Engineering for Human-Computer Interaction*. 92: 271–84.
- Greenberg, S. and B. Buxton (2008). “Usability evaluation considered harmful (some of the time)”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 111–120.
- Greenberg, S., N. Marquardt, T. Ballendat, R. Diaz-Marino, and M. Wang (2011). “Proxemic interactions: The new ubicomp?” *Interactions*. 18(1): 42–50.
- Han, J. Y. (2005). “Low-cost multi-touch sensing through frustrated total internal reflection”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 115–118.
- Hanrahan, P. (2012). “Analytic database technologies for a new kind of user: the data enthusiast”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGMOD Conference on Management of Data*. 577–578.
- Harada, S., J. O. Wobbrock, and J. A. Landay (2007). “VoiceDraw: A hands-free voice-driven drawing application for people with motor impairments”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 27–34.
- Hauptmann, A. G. (1989). “Speech and gestures for graphic image manipulation”. *ACM SIGCHI Bulletin*. 20(SI): 241–245.
- Heer, J., S. K. Card, and J. A. Landay (2005). “Prefuse: A toolkit for interactive information visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 421–430.
- Hinckley, K., P. Baudisch, G. Ramos, and F. Guimbretiere (2005). “Design and analysis of delimiters for selection-action pen gesture phrases in scriboli”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 451–460.
- Hinckley, K., S. Heo, M. Pahud, C. Holz, H. Benko, A. Sellen, R. Banks, K. O’Hara, G. Smyth, and W. Buxton (2016). “Pre-touch sensing for mobile interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2869–2881.
- Hinckley, K., J. Pierce, M. Sinclair, and E. Horvitz (2000). “Sensing techniques for mobile interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 91–100.

- Hinckley, K., K. Yatani, M. Pahud, N. Coddington, J. Rodenhouse, A. Wilson, H. Benko, and B. Buxton (2010). “Pen + touch = new tools”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 27–36.
- Hoffswell, J., W. Li, and Z. Liu (2020). “Techniques for flexible responsive visualization design”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Hoffswell, J. and Z. Liu (2019). “Interactive repair of tables extracted from PDF documents on mobile devices”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Holland, S. and D. Oppenheim (1999). “Direct combination”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 262–269.
- Hoque, E., V. Setlur, M. Tory, and I. Dykeman (2018). “Applying pragmatics principles for interaction with visual analytics”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 24(1): 309–318.
- Horak, T., S. K. Badam, N. Elmqvist, and R. Dachsel (2018). “When David meets Goliath: Combining smartwatches with a large vertical display for visual data exploration”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Huron, S., S. Carpendale, A. Thudt, A. Tang, and M. Mauerer (2014a). “Constructive visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. 433–442.
- Huron, S., Y. Jansen, and S. Carpendale (2014b). “Constructing visual representations: Investigating the use of tangible tokens”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 20(12): 2102–2111.
- Hurter, C., N. H. Riche, S. M. Drucker, M. Cordeil, R. Alligier, and R. Vuillemot (2018). “Fiberclay: Sculpting three dimensional trajectories to reveal structural insights”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 25(1): 704–714.
- IBM (2018). “IBM Watson analytics”. Product. URL: <http://www.ibm.com/analytics/watson-analytics>.

- Isenberg, P., N. Elmqvist, J. Scholtz, D. Cernea, K.-L. Ma, and H. Hagen (2011). “Collaborative visualization: Definition, challenges, and research agenda”. *Information Visualization Journal (IVS), Special Issue on Information Visualization: State of the Field and New Research Directions*. 10(4): 310–326.
- Isenberg, P. and D. Fisher (2009). “Collaborative brushing and linking for co-located visual analytics of document collections”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 28(3): 1031–1038.
- Isenberg, P., D. Fisher, M. R. Morris, K. Inkpen, and M. Czerwinski (2010). “An exploratory study of co-located collaborative visual analytics around a tabletop display”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Visual Analytics Science and Technology*. 179–186.
- Isenberg, P., D. Fisher, S. A. Paul, M. R. Morris, K. Inkpen, and M. Czerwinski (2012). “Co-located collaborative visual analytics around a tabletop display”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 18(5): 689–702.
- Isenberg, P., T. Isenberg, T. Hesselmann, B. Lee, U. Von Zadow, and A. Tang (2013a). “Data visualization on interactive surfaces: A research agenda”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 33(2): 16–24.
- Isenberg, P., B. Lee, A. Joshi, and T. Isenberg (2015). “ITS workshop DEXIS 2015: Visual data exploration on interactive surfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 491–494.
- Isenberg, T., P. Isenberg, J. Chen, M. Sedlmair, and T. Möller (2013b). “A systematic review on the practice of evaluating visualization”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 19(12): 2818–2827.
- Jakobsen, M. R., Y. S. Haile, S. Knudsen, and K. Hornbæk (2013). “Information visualization and proxemics: Design opportunities and empirical findings”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 19(12): 2386–2395.
- Jansen, Y., P. Dragicevic, P. Isenberg, J. Alexander, A. Karnik, J. Kildal, S. Subramanian, and K. Hornbæk (2015). “Opportunities and challenges for data physicalization”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3227–3236.

- Jo, J., B. Lee, and J. Seo (2015). “WordlePlus: Expanding Wordle’s use through natural interaction and animation”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 35(6): 20–28.
- Jo, J., S. L’Yi, B. Lee, and J. Seo (2017). “TouchPivot: Blending WIMP and post-WIMP interfaces for data exploration on tablet devices”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2660–2671.
- John, R. J. L., N. Potti, and J. M. Patel (2017). “Ava: From data to insights through conversations”. In: *Conference on Innovative Data Systems Research (CIDR)*. 1–10.
- Ju, W., B. A. Lee, and S. R. Klemmer (2008). “Range: Exploring implicit interaction through electronic whiteboard design”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*. 17–26.
- Kandel, S., J. Heer, C. Plaisant, J. Kennedy, F. Van Ham, N. H. Riche, C. Weaver, B. Lee, D. Brodbeck, and P. Buono (2011). “Research directions in data wrangling: Visualizations and transformations for usable and credible data”. *Information Visualization*. 10(4): 271–288.
- Kandel, S., A. Paepcke, J. M. Hellerstein, and J. Heer (2012). “Enterprise data analysis and visualization: An interview study”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 18(12): 2917–2926.
- Kassel, J.-F. and M. Rohs (2018). “Valletto: A multimodal interface for ubiquitous visual analytics”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–6.
- Kim, D. H., E. Hoque, and M. Agrawala (2020). “Answering questions about charts and generating visual explanations”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Kim, N. W., N. Henry Riche, B. Bach, G. Xu, M. Brehmer, K. Hinckley, M. Pahud, H. Xia, M. J. McGuffin, and H. Pfister (2019a). “DataToon: Drawing dynamic network comics with pen + touch interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.

- Kim, N. W., H. Im, N. Henry Riche, A. Wang, K. Gajos, and H. Pfister (2019b). “DataSelfie: Empowering people to design personalized visuals to represent their data”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Kim, Y.-S., N. Henry Riche, B. Lee, M. Brehmer, M. Pahud, K. Hinckley, and J. Hullman (2019c). “Inking your insights: Investigating digital externalization behaviors during data analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Surfaces and Spaces*. 255–267.
- Kim, Y.-H., B. Lee, A. Srinivasan, and E. K. Choe (2021). “Data@Hand: Fostering visual exploration of personal data on smartphones leveraging speech and touch interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. In press. ACM.
- Kister, U., K. Klamka, C. Tominski, and R. Dachsel (2017). “GraSp: Combining spatially-aware mobile devices and a display wall for graph visualization and interaction”. In: *Computer Graphics Forum*. Vol. 36. No. 3. Wiley Online Library. 503–514.
- Klemmer, S. R., M. W. Newman, R. Farrell, M. Bilezikjian, and J. A. Landay (2001). “The designers’ outpost: A tangible interface for collaborative web site”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 1–10.
- Klum, S., P. Isenberg, R. Langner, J.-D. Fekete, and R. Dachsel (2012). “Stackables: Combining tangibles for faceted browsing”. In: *Proceedings of the International Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces*. 241–248.
- Kondo, B. and C. Collins (2014). “DimpVis: Exploring time-varying information visualizations by direct manipulation”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 20(12): 2003–2012.
- Kumar, A., J. Aurisano, B. Di Eugenio, A. Johnson, A. Gonzalez, and J. Leigh (2016). “Towards a dialogue system that supports rich visualizations of data”. In: *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Special Interest Group on Discourse and Dialogue*. 304.
- Kumar, A., T. Paek, and B. Lee (2012). “Voice typing: A new speech interaction model for dictation on touchscreen devices”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2277–2286.

- Lai, C., Z. Lin, R. Jiang, Y. Han, C. Liu, and X. Yuan (2020). “Automatic annotation synchronizing with textual description for visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Lam, H., E. Bertini, P. Isenberg, C. Plaisant, and S. Carpendale (2012). “Empirical studies in information visualization: Seven scenarios”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 18(9): 1520–1536.
- Langner, R., A. Augsburg, and R. Dachsel (2014). “Cubequery: Tangible interface for creating and manipulating database queries”. In: *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 423–426.
- Langner, R., T. Horak, and R. Dachsel (2017). “VisTiles: Coordinating and combining co-located mobile devices for visual data exploration”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 24(1): 626–636.
- Langner, R., U. Kister, and R. Dachsel (2018). “Multiple coordinated views at large displays for multiple users: Empirical findings on user behavior, movements, and distances”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 25(1): 608–618.
- Laput, G. (2019). “Context-driven implicit interactions”. *Ph.D. Thesis*.
- Laput, G. P., M. Dontcheva, G. Wilensky, W. Chang, A. Agarwala, J. Linder, and E. Adar (2013). “Pixeltone: A multimodal interface for image editing”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2185–2194.
- LaViola Jr., J. J. and R. C. Zeleznik (2006). “Mathpad2: A system for the creation and exploration of mathematical sketches”. In: *ACM SIGGRAPH 2006 Courses*. 1–33.
- Le Goc, M., L. H. Kim, A. Parsaei, J.-D. Fekete, P. Dragicevic, and S. Follmer (2016). “Zoids: Building blocks for swarm user interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 97–109.
- Le Goc, M., C. Perin, S. Follmer, J.-D. Fekete, and P. Dragicevic (2019). “Dynamic composite data physicalization using wheeled micro-robots”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 25(1): 737–747.

- Ledo, D., J. Vermeulen, S. Carpendale, S. Greenberg, L. Oehlberg, and S. Boring (2019). “Astral: Prototyping mobile and smart object interactive behaviours using familiar applications”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. 711–724.
- Lee, B., M. Brehmer, P. Isenberg, E. K. Choe, R. Langner, and R. Dachsel (2018a). “Data visualization on mobile devices”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–8.
- Lee, B., E. K. Choe, P. Isenberg, K. Marriott, and J. Stasko (2020). “Reaching broader audiences with data visualization”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 40(2): 82–90.
- Lee, B., K. Isaacs, D. A. Szafir, G. E. Marai, C. Turkay, M. Tory, S. Carpendale, and A. Endert (2019). “Broadening intellectual diversity in visualization research papers”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 39(4): 78–85.
- Lee, B., P. Isenberg, N. H. Riche, and S. Carpendale (2012). “Beyond mouse and keyboard: Expanding design considerations for information visualization interactions”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 18(12): 2689–2698.
- Lee, B., R. H. Kazi, and G. Smith (2013). “SketchStory: Telling more engaging stories with data through freeform sketching”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 19(12): 2416–2425.
- Lee, B., G. Smith, N. H. Riche, A. Karlson, and S. Carpendale (2015). “SketchInsight: Natural data exploration on interactive whiteboards leveraging pen and touch interaction”. In: *2015 IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium (PacificVis)*. 199–206.
- Lee, B., A. Srinivasan, J. Stasko, M. Tory, and V. Setlur (2018b). “Multimodal interaction for data visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces*. 1–3.
- Liu, Z., J. Thompson, A. Wilson, M. Dontcheva, J. Delorey, S. Grigg, B. Kerr, and J. Stasko (2018). “Data illustrator: Augmenting vector design tools with lazy data binding for expressive visualization authoring”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 123:1–123:13.
- Loper, E. and S. Bird (2002). “NLTK: The natural language toolkit”. *arXiv preprint cs/0205028*.

- Lundgard, A., C. Lee, and A. Satyanarayan (2019). “Sociotechnical considerations for accessible visualization design”. In: *2019 IEEE Visualization Conference (VIS)*. 16–20.
- Martin, J.-C. (1998). “TYCOON: Theoretical framework and software tools for multimodal interfaces”.
- Méndez, G. G., M. A. Nacenta, and S. Vandenheste (2016). “iVoLVER: Interactive visual language for visualization extraction and reconstruction”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 4073–4085.
- Metoyer, R., B. Lee, N. Henry Riche, and M. Czerwinski (2012). “Understanding the verbal language and structure of end-user descriptions of data visualizations”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1659–1662.
- Microsoft (2021). “Microsoft Power BI”. Product. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Microsoft_Power_BI.
- Myers, C., A. Furqan, J. Nebolsky, K. Caro, and J. Zhu (2018). “Patterns for how users overcome obstacles in voice user interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–7.
- Mynatt, E. D., T. Igarashi, W. K. Edwards, and A. LaMarca (1999). “Flatland: New dimensions in office whiteboards”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 346–353.
- Narechania, A., A. Srinivasan, and J. Stasko (2021). “NL4DV: A toolkit for generating analytic specifications for data visualization from natural language queries”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 27(2): 369–379.
- North, C., T. Dwyer, B. Lee, D. Fisher, P. Isenberg, G. Robertson, and K. Inkpen (2009). “Understanding multi-touch manipulation for surface computing”. In: *IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. Springer. 236–249.
- Okoe, M., S. S. Alam, and R. Jianu (2014). “A gaze-enabled graph visualization to improve graph reading tasks”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 33(3): 251–260.

- Olsen Jr., D. R. (2007). “Evaluating user interface systems research”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 251–258.
- Olsen, L., F. F. Samavati, M. C. Sousa, and J. A. Jorge (2009). “Sketch-based modeling: A survey”. *Computers & Graphics*. 33(1): 85–103.
- Oviatt, S. (1999). “Ten myths of multimodal interaction”. *Communications of the ACM*. 42(11): 74–81.
- Parnami, A., A. Gupta, G. Reyes, R. Sadana, Y. Li, and G. D. Abowd (2016). “Mogeste: A mobile tool for in-situ motion gesture design”. In: *Proceedings of the 8th Indian Conference on Human Computer Interaction*. 35–43.
- Pausch, R. and J. H. Leatherby (1991). “An empirical study: Adding voice input to a graphical editor”. *Journal of the American Voice Input/Output Society*. 9: 2–55.
- Pfeuffer, K., J. Alexander, M. K. Chong, Y. Zhang, and H. Gellersen (2015). “Gaze-shifting: Direct–indirect input with pen and touch modulated by gaze”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 373–383.
- Pfeuffer, K. and H. Gellersen (2016). “Gaze and touch interaction on tablets”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 301–311.
- Pfeuffer, K., K. Hinckley, M. Pahud, and B. Buxton (2017a). “Thumb + pen interaction on tablets”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3254–3266.
- Pfeuffer, K., B. Mayer, D. Mardanbegi, and H. Gellersen (2017b). “Gaze + pinch interaction in virtual reality”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Spatial User Interaction*. 99–108.
- Plaisant, C. (2004). “The challenge of information visualization evaluation”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces*. 109–116.
- Pretorius, J., H. C. Purchase, and J. T. Stasko (2014). “Tasks for multivariate network analysis”. In: *Multivariate Network Visualization*. Springer. 77–95.

- Reipschläger, P., T. Flemisch, and R. Dachsel (2021). “Personal augmented reality for information visualization on large interactive displays”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 27(2): 1182–1192.
- Ren, D., T. Höllerer, and X. Yuan (2014). “iVisDesigner: Expressive interactive design of information visualizations”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 20(12): 2092–2101.
- Ren, D., B. Lee, and M. Brehmer (2019). “Charticulator: Interactive construction of bespoke chart layouts”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 25(1): 789–799.
- Ren, D., B. Lee, M. Brehmer, and N. H. Riche (2018). “Reflecting on the evaluation of visualization authoring systems: Position paper”. In: *2018 IEEE Evaluation and Beyond-Methodological Approaches for Visualization (BELIV)*. 86–92.
- Ren, D., B. Lee, and T. Höllerer (2017). “Stardust: Accessible and transparent GPU support for information visualization rendering”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 36(3): 179–188.
- Riche, N. H., B. Lee, and C. Plaisant (2010). “Understanding interactive legends: A comparative evaluation with standard widgets”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 29(3): 1193–1202.
- Roberts, J. C., P. D. Ritsos, S. K. Badam, D. Brodbeck, J. Kennedy, and N. Elmqvist (2014). “Visualization beyond the desktop—The next big thing”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 34(6): 26–34.
- Romat, H., N. Henry Riche, K. Hinckley, B. Lee, C. Appert, E. Pietriga, and C. Collins (2019a). “ActiveInk: (Th) inking with data”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Romat, H., E. Pietriga, N. Henry-Riche, K. Hinckley, and C. Appert (2019b). “SpaceInk: Making space for in-context annotations”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 871–882.
- Ryall, K., N. Lesh, T. Lanning, D. Leigh, H. Miyashita, and S. Makino (2005). “Querylines: Approximate query for visual browsing”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1765–1768.

- Rzeszotarski, J. M. and A. Kittur (2014). “Kinetica: Naturalistic multi-touch data visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 897–906.
- Sadana, R., M. Agnihotri, and J. Stasko (2018). “Touching data: A discoverability-based evaluation of a visualization interface for tablet computers”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.06084*.
- Sadana, R. and J. Stasko (2014). “Designing and implementing an interactive scatterplot visualization for a tablet computer”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces*. 265–272.
- Sadana, R. and J. Stasko (2016a). “Designing multiple coordinated visualizations for tablets”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 35(3): 261–270.
- Sadana, R. and J. Stasko (2016b). “Expanding selection for information visualization systems on tablet devices”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Surfaces and Spaces*. 149–158.
- Saket, B., A. Endert, and J. Stasko (2016). “Beyond usability and performance: A review of user experience-focused evaluations in visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Beyond Time and Errors on Novel Evaluation Methods for Visualization (BELIV)*. 133–142.
- Saktheeswaran, A., A. Srinivasan, and J. Stasko (2020). “Touch? Speech? or Touch and speech? Investigating multimodal interaction for visual network exploration and analysis”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 26(6): 2168–2179.
- Satyanarayan, A. and J. Heer (2014). “Lyra: An interactive visualization design environment”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 33(3): 351–360.
- Satyanarayan, A., B. Lee, D. Ren, J. Heer, J. Stasko, J. Thompson, M. Brehmer, and Z. Liu (2019). “Critical reflections on visualization authoring systems”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 26(1): 461–471.
- Satyanarayan, A., D. Moritz, K. Wongsuphasawat, and J. Heer (2016). “Vega-lite: A grammar of interactive graphics”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 23(1): 341–350.

- Satyanarayan, A., R. Russell, J. Hoffswell, and J. Heer (2015). “Reactive vega: A streaming dataflow architecture for declarative interactive visualization”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 22(1): 659–668.
- Schmidt, S., M. A. Nacenta, R. Dachsel, and S. Carpendale (2010). “A set of multi-touch graph interaction techniques”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 113–116.
- Schmitz, A., C. Thoburn, and J. Tangelder (2020). “Hammer.js: JavaScript library for touch gesture recognition”. Javascript Library. URL: <https://hammerjs.github.io/>.
- Schwab, M., S. Hao, O. Vitek, J. Tompkin, J. Huang, and M. A. Borkin (2019a). “Evaluating pan and zoom timelines and sliders”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Schwab, M., J. Tompkin, J. Huang, and M. A. Borkin (2019b). “EasyPZ.js: Interaction binding for pan and zoom visualizations”. In: *2019 IEEE Visualization Conference (VIS)*. 31–35.
- Setlur, V., S. E. Battersby, M. Tory, R. Gossweiler, and A. X. Chang (2016). “Eviza: A natural language interface for visual analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 365–377.
- Setlur, V., M. Tory, and A. Djalali (2019). “Inferencing underspecified natural language utterances in visual analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*. 40–51.
- Sharma, R., M. Yeasin, N. Krahnstoeber, I. Rauschert, G. Cai, I. Brewer, A. M. MacEachren, and K. Sengupta (2003). “Speech-gesture driven multimodal interfaces for crisis management”. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 91(9): 1327–1354.
- Shneiderman, B. (1983). “Direct manipulation: A step beyond programming languages”. *Computer*. 16(8): 57–69.
- Shneiderman, B. (1994). “Dynamic queries for visual information seeking”. *IEEE Software*. 11(6): 70–77.
- Shneiderman, B. (2016). *The New ABCs of Research, Achieving Breakthrough Collaborations*. Oxford University Press.

- Sicat, R., J. Li, J. Choi, M. Cordeil, W.-K. Jeong, B. Bach, and H. Pfister (2018). “DXR: A toolkit for building immersive data visualizations”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 25(1): 715–725.
- Spindler, M., C. Tominski, H. Schumann, and R. Dachsel (2010). “Tangible views for information visualization”. In: *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 157–166.
- Srinivasan, A., B. Lee, N. Riche, S. Drucker, and K. Hinckley (2020a). “InChorus: Designing consistent multimodal interactions for data visualization on tablet devices”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 653:1–653:13.
- Srinivasan, A., B. Lee, and J. Stasko (2018). “Facilitating spreadsheet manipulation on mobile devices leveraging speech”. In: *CHI 2018 Workshop on Data Visualization on Mobile Devices (MobileVis)*.
- Srinivasan, A., B. Lee, and J. T. Stasko (2020b). “Interweaving multimodal interaction with flexible unit visualizations for data exploration”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*.
- Srinivasan, A. and J. Stasko (2018). “Orko: Facilitating multimodal interaction for visual exploration and analysis of networks”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 24(1): 511–521.
- Stasko, J. (2014). “Value-driven evaluation of visualizations”. In: *Proceedings of Workshop on Beyond Time and Errors: Novel Evaluation Methods for Visualization (BELIV)*. 46–53.
- Streit, M., A. Lex, H. Müller, and D. Schmalstieg (2009). “Gaze-based focus adaption in an information visualization system”. In: *IADIS International Conference Computer Graphics, Visualization, Computer Vision and Image Processing*. 303–307.
- Subramonyam, H. and E. Adar (2018). “SmartCues: A multitouch query approach for details-on-demand through dynamically computed overlays”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 25(1): 597–607.

- Subramonyam, H., W. Li, E. Adar, and M. Dontcheva (2018). “Take-Toons: Script-driven performance animation”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 663–674.
- Sun, Y., J. Leigh, A. Johnson, and S. Lee (2010). “Articulate: A semi-automated model for translating natural language queries into meaningful visualizations”. In: *International Symposium on Smart Graphics*. Springer. 184–195.
- Swaminathan, S., C. Shi, Y. Jansen, P. Dragicovic, L. Oehlberg, and J.-D. Fekete (2014). “Supporting the design and fabrication of physical visualizations”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3845–3854.
- Tableau Software (2021). “Tableau ask data”. Product. URL: <https://www.tableau.com/products/new-features/ask-data>.
- Taher, F., J. Hardy, A. Karnik, C. Weichel, Y. Jansen, K. Hornbæk, and J. Alexander (2015). “Exploring interactions with physically dynamic bar charts”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3237–3246.
- Thompson, J., A. Srinivasan, and J. Stasko (2018). “Tangraphe: Interactive exploration of network visualizations using single hand, multi-touch gestures”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces*. 1–5.
- Thudt, A., B. Lee, E. K. Choe, and S. Carpendale (2017). “Expanding research methods for a realistic understanding of personal visualization”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 37(2): 12–18.
- Tory, M. and V. Setlur (2019). “Do what I mean, not what I say! Design considerations for supporting intent and context in analytical conversation”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Visual Analytics Science and Technology*. 93–103.
- Tsandilas, T., A. Bezerianos, and T. Jacob (2015). “SketchSliders: Sketching widgets for visual exploration on wall displays”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3255–3264.
- van Dam, A. (1997). “Post-WIMP user interfaces”. *Communications of the ACM*. 40(2): 63–67.

- Voida, S., M. Tobiasz, J. Stromer, P. Isenberg, and S. Carpendale (2009). “Getting practical with interactive tabletop displays: Designing for dense data, ‘fat fingers,’ diverse interactions, and face-to-face collaboration”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 109–116.
- Walny, J., S. Huron, C. Perin, T. Wun, R. Pusch, and S. Carpendale (2017). “Active reading of visualizations”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 24(1): 770–780.
- Walny, J., B. Lee, P. Johns, N. H. Riche, and S. Carpendale (2012). “Understanding pen and touch interaction for data exploration on interactive whiteboards”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 18(12): 2779–2788.
- Wang, Y., A. Segal, R. Klatzky, D. F. Keefe, P. Isenberg, J. Hurtienne, E. Hornecker, T. Dwyer, and S. Barrass (2019). “An emotional response to the value of visualization”. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*. 39(5): 8–17.
- Watanabe, T. and H. Mizukami (2018). “Effectiveness of tactile scatter plots: Comparison of non-visual data representations”. In: *International Conference on Computers Helping People with Special Needs*. Springer. 628–635.
- Wattenberg, M. (2001). “Sketching a graph to query a time-series database”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human factors in Computing Systems*. 381–382.
- Web Speech API (2019). Online draft community group report. URL: <https://wicg.github.io/speech-api/>.
- Weiser, M. (1999). “The computer for the 21st century”. *ACM SIG-MOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review*. 3(3): 3–11.
- Weizenbaum, J. (1966). “ELIZA—A computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine”. *Communications of the ACM*. 9(1): 36–45.
- Wigdor, D. and D. Wixon (2011). *Brave NUI World: Designing Natural User Interfaces for Touch and Gesture*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Winograd, T. (1972). “Understanding natural language”. *Cognitive psychology*. 3(1): 1–191.

- Wobbrock, J. O., A. D. Wilson, and Y. Li (2007). “Gestures without libraries, toolkits or training: A \$1 recognizer for user interface prototypes”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 159–168.
- Wong, N. and S. Carpendale (2007). “Supporting interactive graph exploration using edge plucking”. In: *Visualization and Data Analysis 2007*. Vol. 6495. International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- Wong, N., S. Carpendale, and S. Greenberg (2003). “Edgelens: An interactive method for managing edge congestion in graphs”. In: *IEEE Symposium on Information Visualization 2003*. 51–58.
- Wu, A., W. Tong, T. Dwyer, B. Lee, P. Isenberg, and H. Qu (2021). “MobileVisFixer: Tailoring web visualizations for mobile phones leveraging an explainable reinforcement learning framework”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 27(2): 464–474.
- Wu, K., S. Tanis, and D. Szafir (2019). “Designing communicative visualization for people with intellectual developmental disabilities”. In: *VIS 2019 Workshop on Visualization for Communication (VisComm)*. OSF Preprints.
- Xia, H., B. Araujo, T. Grossman, and D. Wigdor (2016). “Object-oriented drawing”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 4610–4621.
- Xia, H., N. Henry Riche, F. Chevalier, B. De Araujo, and D. Wigdor (2018). “DataInk: Direct and creative data-oriented drawing”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Yang, Y., K. Marriott, M. Butler, C. Goncu, and L. Holloway (2020). “Tactile presentation of network data: Text, matrix or diagram?” In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Yoon, D., N. Chen, F. Guimbretière, and A. Sellen (2014). “RichReview: blending ink, speech, and gesture to support collaborative document review”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 481–490.

- Yoon, D., N. Chen, B. Randles, A. Cheatle, C. E. Löckenhoff, S. J. Jackson, A. Sellen, and F. Guimbretière (2016). “RichReview++: deployment of a collaborative multi-modal annotation system for instructor feedback and peer discussion”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. 195–205.
- Yoon, D. and P. Mitros (2015). “Multi-modal peer discussion with RichReview on edX”. In: *Adjunct Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 55–56.
- Yu, B. and C. T. Silva (2019). “FlowSense: A natural language interface for visual data exploration within a dataflow system”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 26(1): 1–11.
- Zraggen, E., R. Zeleznik, and S. M. Drucker (2014). “PanoramicData: Data analysis through pen and touch”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 20(12): 2112–2121.
- Zraggen, E., R. Zeleznik, and P. Eichmann (2016). “Tableur: Handwritten spreadsheets”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2362–2368.
- Zhang, J. E., N. Sultanum, A. Bezerianos, and F. Chevalier (2020). “DataQuilt: Extracting visual elements from images to craft pictorial visualizations”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Zhang, Y., M. Pahud, C. Holz, H. Xia, G. Laput, M. McGuffin, X. Tu, A. Mittereder, F. Su, W. Buxton, and K. Hinckley (2019). “Sensing posture-aware pen + touch interaction on tablets”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–14.