

Design Framework for Interactive Highlighting Techniques

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction

Post-WIMP Interaction for Information Visualization

Bongshin Lee, Arjun Srinivasan, Petra Isenberg and John Stasko

ISBN: 978-1-68083-826-8

Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook

Erin T. Solovey and Felix Putzer

ISBN: 978-1-68083-814-5

Patient-Generated Health Data: Dimensions, Challenges, and Open Questions

Mayara Costa Figueiredo and Yunan Cheng

ISBN: 978-1-68083-668-4

Patterns and Themes in Designing with Children

Jessica Korte

ISBN: 978-1-68083-660-8

Gender-Inclusive HCI Research and Design: A Conceptual Review

Simone Stumpf, Anicia Peters, Shaowen Bardzell, Margaret Burnett,

Daniela Busse, Jessica Cauchard, Elizabeth Churchill

ISBN: 978-1-68083-656-1

Design Framework for Interactive Highlighting Techniques

Joshua Leung

University of Canterbury

New Zealand

joshua.leung@canterbury.ac.nz

Andy Cockburn

University of Canterbury

New Zealand

andrew.cockburn@canterbury.ac.nz

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human-Computer Interaction

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

J. Leung and A. Cockburn. *Design Framework for Interactive Highlighting Techniques*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 14, no. 2-3, pp. 96–271, 2021.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-925-8

© 2021 J. Leung and A. Cockburn

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human-Computer Interaction

Volume 14, Issue 2-3, 2021

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Youn-Kyung Lim

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Editors

Ben Bederson

University of Maryland

Madeline Balaam

KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Eun Kyoung Choe

University of Maryland, College Park

Andy Cockburn

University of Canterbury

Karrie Karahalios

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Uichin Lee

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Florian Mueller

Exertion Games Lab, RMIT University

Bilge Mutlu

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Marianna Obrist

University of Sussex

Nuria Oliver

Telefonica

Sameer Patil

Indiana University Bloomington

Orit Shaer

Wellesley College

Desney S. Tan

Microsoft Research

Koji Yatani

University of Tokyo

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research community
- Theory
- Technology
- Computer Supported Cooperative Work
- Interdisciplinary influence
- Advanced topics and trends

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction, 2021, Volume 14, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Key Opportunities	3
1.2	Our Approach	5
1.3	Intended Audience	7
1.4	Key Contributions	9
2	PCCH – A Framework for Construction and Control of Interactive Highlighting Techniques	10
2.1	Overview of Design Framework	11
2.2	Construction of Highlighted Widgets: Element Manipulations	26
2.3	Control over Time: Pattern Languages for Describing Interactive Behaviour	41
2.4	Constructing 3D Highlights using PCCH	67
2.5	Closing Remarks on PCCH	69
3	Previous Studies of Interactive Highlighting Techniques	70
3.1	Prior Design Frameworks	70
3.2	Example Applications of Highlighting Techniques	78
3.3	Methodologies for Empirically Measuring Noticeability and Distraction	87
3.4	Studies of Highlighting Technique Effectiveness	91
3.5	Summary of Highlighting Technique Studies	99

4	Human Factors for Interactive Highlighting Techniques	100
4.1	Overview of Low-Level Mechanics – Vision, Perception, and Attention	101
4.2	Human Sensitivity to Stimuli	119
4.3	The Role of Semantics	137
4.4	Summary of Human Factors	144
5	Summary of Insights	146
5.1	Summary of Our PCCH Framework based on the Construction and Control of Highlights	146
5.2	Review Summary for Prior Studies of Highlighting in HCI .	147
5.3	Insights from Underlying Human Factors	148
5.4	Emergent Guidelines for Effective Highlights	149
5.5	Avoiding Negative Impacts of Highlighting	151
5.6	Commentary on the Limitations of Design Guideline Heuristics	153
5.7	Research Opportunities	154
6	Conclusions	157
	References	159

Design Framework for Interactive Highlighting Techniques

Joshua Leung¹ and Andy Cockburn²

¹*University of Canterbury; joshua.leung@canterbury.ac.nz*

²*University of Canterbury; andrew.cockburn@canterbury.ac.nz*

ABSTRACT

Highlighting techniques are a diverse class of visual communication techniques that make users aware of salient information in a timely manner. Any visual effect can potentially be used and manipulated to create highlighting effects given the right context, making the design space for highlighting techniques broad and rich. Although highlighting techniques are a common and important part of user interfaces, there is a lack of understanding about how to select, apply, and control their effects for achieving the best results.

To address this knowledge gap, we present a new structured design framework – Parametric Control and Construction of Highlights (PCCH) – for describing highlighting techniques in a concise and objective way, using parameters to accurately specify highlighting technique configurations. We then review the current understanding of highlighting techniques, their effects, and prior methods of measuring those effects. We also review underlying human factors that affect how users interact with highlighting techniques.

1

Introduction

Highlighting techniques are a common and important part of user interfaces as they enable users to become aware of salient information in a timely manner. Some commonly encountered examples of highlighting techniques include the shaded rectangles used to indicate the selected item(s) in a list view, the “red number badges” used in mobile apps to indicate unread messages, notification bubbles that alert users to incoming email, “bouncing” icons in the Mac OS X Dock or flashing window title-bars that indicate an application in need of attention, animated window expansion/contraction, the Mac OS X oscillating blue arrow that helps users find menu items, and even the flashing caret in word processing software.

The design space for highlighting techniques is broad and rich, as *any* visual effect can be used to create highlighting effects given the right context. However, user interface designers only have a limited understanding about how to select, apply, and control highlighting techniques to achieve the best results. For example, UI designers often struggle to determine how highlighting techniques can be manipulated to maximise the desired *noticeability* of a stimulus (e.g. to ensure that important / urgent information is able to capture the user’s attention),

while minimising the undesired *distraction* it causes (e.g. to minimise the risk that the user's attention is needlessly diverted away from their primary task).

Rosenholtz *et al.* (2011) found that there is considerable demand among UI designers for more empirical data on the effects of highlighting techniques (along with automated/semi-automated predictive tooling based on this data) to serve as an external/objective source of guidance during the design process. They also found that it is often hard for designers to extrapolate from general “rules of thumb” to the complex scenarios they are faced with (Rosenholtz *et al.*, 2011). This raises the question: What do we need to know to resolve this knowledge gap, and how we can solve these deficiencies?

1.1 Key Opportunities

Leung's PhD thesis (2017) (from which this monograph is derived) argued that there are three key opportunities for bridging this knowledge gap about the design, usage, and resulting effects of highlighting techniques:

1. **There needs to be a structured framework for describing the control and construction of highlighting techniques.** Existing ways of describing highlighting techniques are ad-hoc, imprecise, and inadequate. Currently, highlighting techniques are described and referenced using varying terminology to describe the same effects (e.g. a “side-to-side movement” (Harrison *et al.*, 2011) in one paper may be the same thing as a “linear oscillation” (Bartram, 2001) in another). Furthermore, the intensity/strength or nature of those effects is unclear, as this is often described using ambiguous and imprecise terms (e.g. a “Fast” movement in one paper may refer to an animation cycle which repeats at 2 Hz, whereas another may deem that “Fast” refers to a frequency of 3 Hz or greater (Bartram *et al.*, 2003; Davies and Beeharee, 2012)). Finally, there is a lack of a cohesive understanding of how all these effects fit together (i.e. most attempts, including Bertin (1983), Liang and Huang (2010), and McCrickard *et al.* (2003b),

have followed the “Graphic Design textbook” approach (Koffka, 1935; Bertin, 1983; Carpendale, 2003; Liang and Huang, 2010; Ware, 2000) of considering visual effects such as Colour, Shape, Size, Motion, and Texture as being independent, non-interacting, non-combinable effects that are used in isolation, instead of being used as parts of a visually complex element in a UI).

2. There needs to be more reusable empirical data about the effects of highlighting techniques, so that designers can use/refer to this for objective design guidance.

While many studies in the HCI and Perception literature have examined the noticeability of different visual effects, it is often not clear how these results can be applied to a given highlighting technique instantiation. This problem is accentuated by the fact that there are often fundamental differences between the experimental method/protocols used (e.g. visual search (Kieras and Hornof, 2014) versus contrast matching (Watson *et al.*, 1986)), the units of the measurements (e.g. Lumens/Watts (Watson *et al.*, 1986) versus RGB pixel brightness values (Hasler and Suesstrunk, 2003; Yendrikhovskij *et al.*, 1998)), and the degree of internal versus external validity (i.e. how “abstract” or “concrete/realistic” the tasks and stimuli are). Therefore, practitioners are forced to resort to using generalised heuristics and “rules of thumb” (Rosenholtz *et al.*, 2011).

3. There need to be standardised methods / protocols for empirically measuring the effects of highlighting techniques

In support of the second key opportunity, there is a need for the HCI community to identify and achieve consensus on what empirical method or set of methods should be used for measuring the effects of highlighting techniques. Several classes of protocols have been proposed and used in the literature, as discussed in later sections of this monograph. However, there is currently a lack of clarity on which of these methods are most suited for use by the HCI community – for example, what metrics should be used (e.g. noticeability only, or noticeability and distraction), and how

these metrics should be obtained. Also, the diverse range of use cases for highlighting techniques pose challenges when developing or selecting protocols with sufficient external validity to provide useful insights.

1.2 Our Approach

This monograph lays the foundations for addressing these concerns, with a particular focus on the first one. It attempts to unify several parallel strands of research in the literature by identifying the common body of knowledge underpinning these, and developing a design framework for describing/modelling the construction and control of highlighting techniques. On top of this foundation, we then address some of the knowledge gaps most relevant to the Human Computer Interaction (HCI) community.

This work is important because the literature on Highlighting Techniques currently spans multiple domains including *Graphic Design* (Koffka, 1935), *Information Visualisation* (Ware, 2000; Bertin, 1983; MacEachren, 1995; Liang and Huang, 2010; Bartram, 2001), *Novel UI Techniques* (Willett *et al.*, 2007; Fitchett *et al.*, 2013; Agapie *et al.*, 2013; Findlater *et al.*, 2009), *AR/VR Interfaces* (Trapp *et al.*, 2011), *Information/Cyber Security* (Anderson *et al.*, 2015), *Notifications* (McCrickard *et al.*, 2003b; McCrickard and Chewar, 2003; McCrickard *et al.*, 2003a), and *Psychology/Perception* (Boff *et al.*, 1986; Rensink, 2005; Moher *et al.*, 2015; Gallivan and Chapman, 2014; Rosenholtz *et al.*, 2007; Rosenholtz *et al.*, 2011; Rosenholtz *et al.*, 2012). As a result, there is a considerable amount of duplicated effort and “information siloing” (Vayghan *et al.*, 2007) due to each domain using a slightly different set of terminology and keywords, with little evidence of cross-pollination of relevant knowledge.

1.2.1 Interactive Highlighting Techniques

This monograph introduces the concept of “*Interactive Highlighting Techniques*” (IHT) as a superset and extension of “*Highlighting Techniques*” (HL). The main difference between the two is that IHT’s rep-

resent the full range of temporal and interactive behaviour exhibited by highlighting techniques used in UI's. For example, see Section 2.1.3 for details about how multiple individual highlighting techniques may be combined together to form a single IHT (i.e. one HL for each of the IHT's states). This leads to the following definitions:

Definition 1.1. A **Highlighting Technique** (HL) is a visual communication technique used to make users aware of important or task-relevant information in a timely manner.

Definition 1.2. An **Interactive Highlighting Technique** (IHT) is a set of Highlighting Techniques (HL's) used in conjunction with each other to make users aware of important or task-relevant information in a timely manner as part of a computer-based user-interface.

IHT's are referred to as being "interactive" to emphasize the dynamic nature of these techniques. Specifically, they play an integral role in user-interface systems, where they are used as a way of making the user aware of important or task-relevant information by attempting to direct the user's attention. To do this, IHT's may need to vary their behaviour in response to user input (or the lack thereof), or changes in the system state they may be representing. For example, an IHT with such dynamic behaviour is the flashing taskbar notification in Microsoft Windows (Microsoft, 2018b): when triggered (i.e. because an application wants to draw the user's attention to itself), it blinks/flashes the window title and taskbar button associated with that application to get the user's attention; if the user does not attend to the window after a fixed period of time, the IHT will switch to showing a static non-blinking orange highlight on the affected taskbar button instead. This example demonstrates how a single IHT can be in one of 3 states – *Normal*, *Initial Onset*, and *Highlighted* (see Section 2.3.2 for a deeper discussion of these concepts) – based on the user's interactions with the system. It also demonstrates how each IHT can be composed of set of multiple HL's, with the *Initial Onset* and *Highlighted* states each using a different HL technique (i.e. "flashing" and "static coloured background" respectively).

1.3 Intended Audience

This monograph was written with the following specific audiences in mind:

1. **Industry Practitioners** – e.g. UI Designers, Software Engineers, and/or Domain-Expert Requirements Specialists using highlighting techniques in their UI designs
2. **HCI Researchers** – e.g. Academics and students investigating the design and use of highlighting techniques, either as the primary object of study, or for applications in a particular domains (e.g. as part of a visualisation or interactive environment).
3. **Behaviour Modelling and Design Tooling Specialists** – e.g. Human Behaviour Modelling Researchers, and/or Computer Aided Design Tooling Developers

For the purposes of our discussion, we are referring to “Industry Practitioners” as individuals or groups whose primary interest in highlighting techniques is for using/applying such techniques within an interface they are designing/building (e.g. User Interface Designers, or software engineers building/implementing UI’s). In contrast, “HCI Researchers” are assumed to be more typically academics or research scientists whose focus lies more in understanding and expanding the frontiers of knowledge by exploring “bleeding-edge” techniques, and are assumed to be more well versed in the academic literature and/or related areas (e.g. psychology).

1.3.1 Take-aways for Industry Practitioners

First and foremost, this monograph was originally conceived to answer the question:

What should Industry Practitioners need to know about how to create and manipulate highlighting techniques?

In particular, this monograph was motivated by a sense of frustration and curiosity about understanding *why* some highlighting techniques

appeared to elicit visceral responses from users, while others would be completely ignored.

Therefore, it is intended for this monograph to act as a guidebook to illuminate useful insights and concepts from the literature for further exploration. We envisaged that our work could serve one of the following purposes:

- To provide specific ideas to inspire new design approaches (e.g. findings from comparative studies),
- To help practitioners identify additional factors (and bodies of relevant knowledge) to consider when evaluating designs (e.g. Change/Inattention Blindness and/or the effects of Clutter and Crowding),
- To expose practitioners to processes/techniques that, with some further investigation, become important tools as part of their design process – for example, understanding Colour Science and Colour Representation in Digital Media; or incorporating tools and techniques for considering how highlighting integrates and interacts with the user’s task flows and conceptual/mental models (e.g. Sampling Payoff Matrices, and InfoScent ratings)

1.3.2 Take-aways for HCI Researchers

There were two primary objectives we aimed to achieve with this work for this audience:

1. **Provide a unifying framework/architecture for organising all the work that has been done and is being done on highlighting-related topics.** The key problem we are addressing here is the problem of information silos and the resulting duplication of effort that occurs.
2. **Illustrate to the research community where the gaps in relevant knowledge exists (from the perspective of other interested stakeholders)** - Specifically, this is from the lens of: “What do practitioners need to know that we cannot currently provide simple clean-cut answers for?”

1.3.3 Take-aways for Behaviour Modelling / Computer-Aided Design Tooling

For this audience, our primary objective with this work is to raise awareness of the unmet need for automated/semi-automated tooling in this area, and to identify promising directions for research into what tooling could be developed and how this could be done. In particular, we argue that there are opportunities for developing tools for helping UI designers to predict the effects that different highlighting techniques may have, similar to how there are now code quality “linting” tools and/or automated accessibility assessment tools (e.g. for colour blindness).

1.4 Key Contributions

This monograph presents a structured review of the prior literature and background knowledge necessary for understanding highlighting techniques. It is divided into three main sections, corresponding to the following key contributions:

1. A new structured design framework for parametrically describing the construction and control of highlighting techniques (Section 2).
2. A review of the existing literature on highlighting techniques (Section 3).
3. A review of the underlying human factors (Section 4) governing how we respond and react to highlighting techniques.
4. A summary of the insights gained from this material (Section 5), and suggestions for future research directions.

References

- Adamczyk, P. D. and B. P. Bailey. (2004). “If Not Now, When?: The Effects of Interruption at Different Moments Within Task Execution”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '04*. Vienna, Austria: ACM. 271–278. DOI: [10.1145/985692.985727](https://doi.org/10.1145/985692.985727).
- Adelson, E. H. (1995). “Checkerboard Shadow Illusion”. URL: <http://persci.mit.edu/gallery/checkershadow>.
- Adobe Systems. (1998). “Adobe RGB (1998) Color Image Encoding”. URL: <http://www.adobe.com/digitalimag/adobergb.html>.
- Agapie, E., G. Golovchinsky, and P. Qvarfordt. (2013). “Leading People to Longer Queries”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 3019–3022. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2481418](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481418).
- Alexander, J., A. Cockburn, S. Fitchett, C. Gutwin, and S. Greenberg. (2009). “Revisiting read wear: analysis, design, and evaluation of a footprints scrollbar”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '09*. Boston, MA, USA: ACM. 1665–1674. DOI: [10.1145/1518701.1518957](https://doi.org/10.1145/1518701.1518957).

- Anderson, B. B., C. B. Kirwan, J. L. Jenkins, D. Eargle, S. Howard, and A. Vance. (2015). “How Polymorphic Warnings Reduce Habituation in the Brain: Insights from an fMRI Study”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '15*. Seoul, Republic of Korea: ACM. 2883–2892. DOI: [10.1145/2702123.2702322](https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702322).
- Anderson, M., R. Motta, S. Chandrasekar, and M. Stokes. (1996). “Proposal For A Standard Default Color Space For The Internet – sRGB”. In: *Color and imaging conference*. Vol. 1996. No. 1. Society for Imaging Science and Technology. 238–245.
- Apple. (2018). “Remove Apps from Home Screen (iOS 12)”. URL: <https://support.apple.com/en-gb/guide/iphone/iph248b543ca/12.0/ios/12.0>.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003a). “Blinking, Flashing, and Temporal Response”. URL: http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/flashing_2.php. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003b). “Color Discrimination and Identification”. URL: <http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/discrim.php>. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003c). “Color Guidelines Hierarchy”. URL: <http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/GuidelinesHierarchy.php>. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003d). “Creating Perceptual Layers”. URL: http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/Percept_layers_1.php. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003e). “Designing With Blue”. URL: http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/blue_2.php. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003f). “Designing with Luminance Contrast”. URL: http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/design_lum_0.php. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003g). “Guidelines for Color Discrimination and Identification”. URL: http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/guidelines_discrim_id.php. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.

- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003h). “Labelling with Color”. URL: <http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/labeling.php>. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003i). “Legibility”. URL: http://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/legib_1.php. NASA AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Arend, L., A. Logan, and G. Havin. (2003j). “Luminance and Chromaticity”. URL: https://colorusage.arc.nasa.gov/lum_and_chrom.php. Nasa AMES, Color Usage Research Lab.
- Atwood, J. (2014). “Complaint Driven Design”. URL: <http://www.codinghorror.com/blog/2014/02/complaint-driven-development.html>.
- Autodesk. (2015). “What’s New: Highlight What’s New | Maya”. URL: <https://knowledge.autodesk.com/support/maya/learn-explore/caas/CloudHelp/cloudhelp/2015/ENU/Maya/files/GUID-84AF4FA6-BE21-4F4E-ACCF-7AD005E13EBF-htm.html>.
- Bailey, B. P. and J. A. Konstan. (2006). “On the need for attention-aware systems: Measuring effects of interruption on task performance, error rate, and affective state”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 22(4): 685–708. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2005.12.009>.
- Bartram, L. R. (2001). “Enhancing information visualization with motion”. *PhD thesis*. Simon Fraser University.
- Bartram, L., C. Ware, and T. Calvert. (2003). “Moticons: Detection, Distraction and Task”. *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.* 58(5): 515–545. DOI: [10.1016/S1071-5819\(03\)00021-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00021-1).
- Beeharee, A. K., A. J. West, and R. Hubbard. (2003). “Visual Attention Based Information Culling for Distributed Virtual Environments”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology. VRST '03*. Osaka, Japan: ACM. 213–222. DOI: [10.1145/1008653.1008691](https://doi.org/10.1145/1008653.1008691).
- Benway, J. P. and D. M. Lane. (1998). “Banner Blindness: Web Searchers Often Miss “Obvious” Links”. URL http://www.internettg.org/newsletter/dec98/banner_blindness.html. Internetworking: ITG Newsletter, 1.3.
- Berg, R. van den, F. W. Cornelissen, and J. B. Roerdink. (2009). “A crowding model of visual clutter”. *Journal of Vision*. 9(4): 24.

- Bergman, O., R. Beyth-Marom, R. Nachmias, N. Gradovitch, and S. Whittaker. (2008). “Improved search engines and navigation preference in personal information management”. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.* 26(4): 20:1–20:24. DOI: [10.1145/1402256.1402259](https://doi.org/10.1145/1402256.1402259).
- Bergman, O., O. Elyada, N. Dvir, Y. Vaitzman, and A. Ben Ami. (2014). “Spotting the Latest Version of a File with Old’nGray”. *Interacting with Computers*. DOI: [10.1093/iwc/iwu018](https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwu018).
- Bergman, O., M. Tene-Rubinstein, and J. Shalom. (2013). “The use of attention resources in navigation versus search”. English. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*. 17(3): 583–590. DOI: [10.1007/s00779-012-0544-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-012-0544-z).
- Bergman, O., S. Whittaker, M. Sanderson, R. Nachmias, and A. Rammamoorthy. (2010). “The effect of folder structure on personal file navigation”. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.* 61(12): 2426–2441. DOI: [10.1002/asi.v61:12](https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.v61:12).
- Bertin, J. (1983). *Semiology of Graphics*. University of Wisconsin Press.
- Blattner, M. M., D. A. Sumikawa, and R. M. Greenberg. (1989). “Earcons and Icons: Their Structure and Common Design Principles”. *Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 4(1): 11–44. DOI: [10.1207/s15327051hci0401_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327051hci0401_1).
- Boff, K. R., L. Kaufman, and J. P. Thomas. (1986). “Handbook of perception and human performance”. Wiley, New York.
- Borji, A. and L. Itti. (2013). “State-of-the-art in visual attention modeling”. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*. 35(1): 185–207.
- Borkin, M. A., A. A. Vo, Z. Bylinskii, P. Isola, S. Sunkavalli, A. Oliva, and H. Pfister. (2013). “What Makes a Visualization Memorable?” *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 19(12): 2306–2315. DOI: [10.1109/TVCG.2013.234](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2013.234).
- Bouma, H. (1970). “Interaction effects in parafoveal letter recognition”. *Nature*. 226: 177–178.
- Brachet, P. (2017). “Texmaker – The Universal LaTeX Editor”. URL: <http://www.xmlmath.net/texmaker/>.

- Burke, M., N. Gorman, E. Nilsen, and A. Hornof. (2004). "Banner Ads Hinder Visual Search and Are Forgotten". In: *CHI '04 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI EA '04*. Vienna, Austria: ACM. 1139–1142. DOI: [10.1145/985921.986008](https://doi.org/10.1145/985921.986008).
- Card, S. K., T. P. Moran, and A. Newell. (1986). "The Model Human Processor: An engineering model of human performance". *Handbook of Human Perception*. 2.
- Carpendale, M. (2003). "Considering visual variables as a basis for information visualisation". University of Calgary.
- Chang, B.-W. and D. Ungar. (1995). "Animation: From Cartoons to the User Interface". *Tech. rep.* Mountain View, CA, USA.
- Chaudhri, I. (2013). "Device, method, and graphical user interface for managing folders". *U.S. pat.* No. 8423911. Apple Inc.
- Chen, K., J. M. Hellerstein, and T. S. Parikh. (2010). "Designing adaptive feedback for improving data entry accuracy". In: *Proceedings of the 23rd annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. ACM. 239–248.
- Chevalier, F., P. Dragicevic, A. Bezerianos, and J.-D. Fekete. (2010). "Using text animated transitions to support navigation in document histories". In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 683–692.
- Chimera, R. (1992). "Value Bars: An information visualization and navigation tool for multi-attribute listings". In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM. 293–294.
- Cockburn, A., C. Gutwin, and S. Greenberg. (2007). "A Predictive Model of Menu Performance". In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '07*. San Jose, California, USA: ACM. 627–636. DOI: [10.1145/1240624.1240723](https://doi.org/10.1145/1240624.1240723).
- Colour Blind Awareness CIC. (2010). "Colour Blindness". URL: <https://www.colourblindawareness.org/colour-blindness/>.
- Cutrell, E., M. Czerwinski, and E. Horvitz. (2001). "Notification, Disruption, and Memory: Effects of Messaging Interruptions on Memory and Performance". In: *Human-Computer Interaction—Interact '01*. IOS Press. 263–269. URL: <http://research.microsoft.com/apps/pubs/default.aspx?id=101771>.

- Cyr, D., M. Head, and H. Larios. (2010). “Colour Appeal in Website Design Within and Across Cultures: A Multi-method Evaluation”. *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.* 68(1-2): 1–21. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhcs.2009.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2009.08.005).
- Dabbish, L., G. Mark, and V. M. Gonzalez. (2011). “Why Do I Keep Interrupting Myself?: Environment, Habit and Self-interruption”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 3127–3130. DOI: [10.1145/1978942.1979405](https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979405).
- Davies, T. and A. Beeharee. (2012). “The Case of the Missed Icon: Change Blindness on Mobile Devices”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '12*. Austin, Texas, USA: ACM. 1451–1460. DOI: [10.1145/2207676.2208606](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2208606).
- Dragicevic, P., A. Bezerianos, W. Javed, N. Elmqvist, and J.-D. Fekete. (2011a). “Temporal Distortion for Animated Transitions”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 2009–2018. DOI: [10.1145/1978942.1979233](https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979233).
- Dragicevic, P., S. Huot, and F. Chevalier. (2011b). “Gliimpse: Animating from markup code to rendered documents and vice versa”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th annual ACM symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. ACM. 257–262.
- Enriquez, M. J. and K. E. MacLean. (2003). “The Hapticon Editor: A Tool in Support of Haptic Communication Research”. In: *Proceedings of the 11th Symposium on Haptic Interfaces for Virtual Environment and Teleoperator Systems (HAPTICS'03)*. HAPTICS '03. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society. 356–. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=795683.797560>.
- Findlater, L., K. Moffatt, J. McGrenere, and J. Dawson. (2009). “Ephemeral Adaptation: The use of gradual onset to improve menu selection performance”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1655–1664.
- Fitchett, S. (2014). “Understanding and Improving Personal File Retrieval”. *PhD thesis*. University of Canterbury.

- Fitchett, S., A. Cockburn, and C. Gutwin. (2013). “Improving navigation-based file retrieval”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 2329–2338. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2481323](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481323).
- Fogarty, J., S. E. Hudson, C. G. Atkeson, D. Avrahami, J. Forlizzi, S. Kiesler, J. C. Lee, and J. Yang. (2005). “Predicting Human Interruptibility with Sensors”. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 12(1): 119–146. DOI: [10.1145/1057237.1057243](https://doi.org/10.1145/1057237.1057243).
- Foley, J., S. K. Feiner, and J. F. Hughes. (1990). “Computer Graphics: Principles and Practice”. In: Reading, MA, USA: Addison-Wesley. 1174.
- Fuchs., S., M. Sigel., and R. Dörner. (2016). “Highlighting Techniques for Real Entities in Augmented Reality”. In: *Proceedings of the 11th Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications - Volume 1: GRAPP, (VISI-GRAPP 2016)*. INSTICC. SciTePress. 259–270. DOI: [10.5220/0005674002570268](https://doi.org/10.5220/0005674002570268).
- Furnas, G. (1986). “Generalized Fisheye Views”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '86*. Boston, Massachusetts, United States: ACM. 16–23. DOI: [10.1145/22627.22342](https://doi.org/10.1145/22627.22342).
- Gallivan, J. P. and C. S. Chapman. (2014). “Three-dimensional reach trajectories as a probe of real-time decision-making between multiple competing targets”. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 8(215). DOI: [10.3389/fnins.2014.00215](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00215).
- Giannidakis, E., G. Bailly, S. Malacria, and F. Chevalier. (2017). “IconHK: Using Toolbar Button Icons to Communicate Keyboard Shortcuts”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '17*. Denver, Colorado, USA: ACM. 4715–4726. DOI: [10.1145/3025453.3025595](https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025595).
- Gluck, J., A. Bunt, and J. McGrenere. (2007). “Matching Attentional Draw with Utility in Interruption”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '07*. San Jose, California, USA: ACM. 41–50. DOI: [10.1145/1240624.1240631](https://doi.org/10.1145/1240624.1240631).
- Google. (2016). “Material Design”. URL: <https://material.io/guidelines/>.

- Google. (2017). “Chrome Web Browser”. URL: <http://www.google.com/chrome>.
- Google. (2018). “Picasa”. URL: <https://archive.org/details/Picasa39Setup2>.
- Grossman, T., P. Dragicevic, and R. Balakrishnan. (2007). “Strategies for accelerating on-line learning of hotkeys”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM. 1591–1600.
- Gutwin, C., A. Cockburn, and A. Coveney. (2017). “Peripheral Popout: The Influence of Visual Angle and Stimulus Intensity on Popout Effects”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '17*. Denver, Colorado, USA: ACM. 208–219. DOI: [10.1145/3025453.3025984](https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025984).
- Hall, K. W., C. Perin, P. G. Kusalik, C. Gutwin, and S. Carpendale. (2016). “Formalizing Emphasis in Information Visualization”. *Computer Graphics Forum*. 35(3): 717–737. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12936>.
- Harrison, C., J. Horstman, G. Hsieh, and S. Hudson. (2012). “Unlocking the expressivity of point lights”. In: *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM annual conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1683–1692.
- Harrison, C., G. Hsieh, K. D. Willis, J. Forlizzi, and S. E. Hudson. (2011). “Kineticons: Using iconographic motion in graphical user interface design”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1999–2008.
- Hasler, D. and S. E. Suesstrunk. (2003). “Measuring colorfulness in natural images”. In: *Electronic Imaging 2003*. International Society for Optics and Photonics. 87–95.
- Hill, W. C., J. D. Hollan, D. Wroblewski, and T. McCandless. (1992). “Edit wear and read wear”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '92*. Monterey, California, United States: ACM. 3–9. DOI: [10.1145/142750.142751](https://doi.org/10.1145/142750.142751).
- Hoffman, J. E. (1998). “Visual attention and eye movements”. *Attention*. 31: 119–153. Ed. by H. Pashler.

- Hornof, A. J., Y. Zhang, and T. Halverson. (2010). “Knowing Where and When to Look in a Time-critical Multimodal Dual Task”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '10*. Atlanta, Georgia, USA: ACM. 2103–2112. DOI: [10.1145/1753326.1753647](https://doi.org/10.1145/1753326.1753647).
- Horst, R., S. Diez, and R. Dörner. (2019). “Highlighting Techniques for 360° Video Virtual Reality and Their Immersive Authoring”. In: *Advances in Visual Computing*. Ed. by G. Bebis, R. Boyle, B. Parvin, D. Koracin, D. Ushizima, S. Chai, S. Sueda, X. Lin, A. Lu, D. Thalmann, C. Wang, and P. Xu. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 515–526.
- Hudson, S. E. and J. T. Stasko. (1993). “Animation support in a user interface toolkit: Flexible, robust, and reusable abstractions”. In: *Proceedings of the 6th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*. ACM. 57–67.
- Iqbal, S. T. and B. P. Bailey. (2005). “Investigating the Effectiveness of Mental Workload As a Predictor of Opportune Moments for Interruption”. In: *CHI '05 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI EA '05*. Portland, OR, USA: ACM. 1489–1492. DOI: [10.1145/1056808.1056948](https://doi.org/10.1145/1056808.1056948).
- Itti, L., N. Dhavale, and F. Pighin. (2004). “Realistic avatar eye and head animation using a neurobiological model of visual attention”. In: *Optical Science and Technology, SPIE's 48th Annual Meeting*. International Society for Optics and Photonics. 64–78.
- Itti, L. and C. Koch. (2000). “A saliency-based search mechanism for overt and covert shifts of visual attention”. *Vision research*. 40(10): 1489–1506.
- Jacob, R. and S. Stellmach. (2016). “What You Look At Is What You Get: Gaze-Based User Interfaces”. *Interactions*. 28(5): 62–65. DOI: [10.1145/2978577](https://doi.org/10.1145/2978577).
- Johnson, B. and B. Shneiderman. (1991). “Tree-Maps: a space-filling approach to the visualization of hierarchical information structures”. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd conference on Visualization '91. VIS '91*. San Diego, California: IEEE Computer Society Press. 284–291. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=949607.949654>.

- Johnson, J. (2000). *GUI Bloopers: Don'ts and Do's for software developers and Web designers*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Johnson, J. (2010). *Designing with the mind in mind: Simple guide to understanding user interface design rules*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and Effort*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Kazi, R. H., T. Grossman, N. Umetani, and G. Fitzmaurice. (2016). "SKUID: Sketching Stylized Animated Drawings with Motion Amplifiers". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI EA '16*. Santa Clara, California, USA: ACM. 6–6. DOI: [10.1145/2851581.2889433](https://doi.org/10.1145/2851581.2889433).
- Kerrisk, M. (2017). "diff(1) – Linux manual page". URL: <http://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man1/diff.1.html>.
- Kieras, D. E. and A. J. Hornof. (2014). "Towards Accurate and Practical Predictive Models of Active-Vision-based Visual Search". In: *Proceedings of the 32Nd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '14*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: ACM. 3875–3884. DOI: [10.1145/2556288.2557324](https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557324).
- Kim, J. H. and K. P. Lee. (2005). "Cultural Difference and Mobile Phone Interface Design: Icon Recognition According to Level of Abstraction". In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices & Services. MobileHCI '05*. Salzburg, Austria: ACM. 307–310. DOI: [10.1145/1085777.1085841](https://doi.org/10.1145/1085777.1085841).
- Knight, R., M. Grabowecky, and D. Scabini. (1995). "Role of Human Prefrontal Cortex in Attention Control". *Advances in neurology*. 66: 21.
- Koffka, K. (1935). "Principles of Gestalt psychology". Harcourt, Brace New York.
- Krug, S. (2005). *Don't Make Me Think: A Common Sense Approach to the Web (2nd Edition)*. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: New Riders Publishing.
- Lasseter, J. (1987). "Principles of Traditional Animation Applied to 3D Computer Animation". *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.* 21(4): 35–44. DOI: [10.1145/37402.37407](https://doi.org/10.1145/37402.37407).

- Leung, J. (2009). “Animato – An Improved Animation System for Blender”. URL: <https://wiki.blender.org/index.php/Dev:2.5/Source/Animation/Animato>.
- Leung, J. (2017). “Understanding the Noticeability and Distraction of Interactive Highlighting Techniques”. *PhD thesis*. University of Canterbury.
- Liang, J. and M. L. Huang. (2010). “Highlighting in information visualization: A survey”. In: *Information Visualisation (IV), 2010 14th International Conference*. IEEE. 79–85.
- Lindgaard, G., C. Dudek, D. Sen, L. Sumegi, and P. Noonan. (2011). “An Exploration of Relations Between Visual Appeal, Trustworthiness and Perceived Usability of Homepages”. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 18(1): 1:1–1:30. DOI: [10.1145/1959022.1959023](https://doi.org/10.1145/1959022.1959023).
- Lindgaard, G., G. Fernandes, C. Dudek, and J. Brown. (2006). “Attention web designers: You have 50 milliseconds to make a good first impression!” *Behaviour & information technology*. 25(2): 115–126.
- MacEachren, A. M. (1995). *How maps work: representation, visualization, and design*. Guilford Press.
- Maglio, P. P. and C. S. Campbell. (2000). “Tradeoffs in displaying peripheral information”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM. 241–248.
- Mairena, A., M. Dechant, C. Gutwin, and A. Cockburn. (2020). “A Baseline Study of Emphasis Effects in Information Visualization”. In: *Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2020*. Toronto, Ontario. 13.
- Malacria, S., G. Bailly, J. Harrison, A. Cockburn, and C. Gutwin. (2013). “Promoting Hotkey Use Through Rehearsal with ExposeHK”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 573–582. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2470735](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2470735).
- Mancero, G., W. Wong, and P. Amaldi. (2007). “Looking but Not Seeing: Implications for HCI”. In: *Proceedings of the 14th European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics: Invent! Explore! ECCE '07*. London, United Kingdom: ACM. 167–174. DOI: [10.1145/1362550.1362584](https://doi.org/10.1145/1362550.1362584).

- Mark, G., D. Gudith, and U. Klocke. (2008). “The Cost of Interrupted Work: More Speed and Stress”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '08*. Florence, Italy: ACM. 107–110. DOI: [10.1145/1357054.1357072](https://doi.org/10.1145/1357054.1357072).
- Martindale, C., K. Moore, and J. Borkum. (1990). “Aesthetic Preference: Anomalous findings for Berlyne’s psychobiological theory”. *The American Journal of Psychology*: 53–80.
- Matejka, J., T. Grossman, and G. Fitzmaurice. (2011). “Ambient Help”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 2751–2760. DOI: [10.1145/1978942.1979349](https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979349).
- Matejka, J., T. Grossman, and G. Fitzmaurice. (2013). “Patina: Dynamic Heatmaps for Visualizing Application Usage”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 3227–3236. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2466442](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466442).
- McCrickard, D. S., R. Catrambone, C. M. Chewar, and J. T. Stasko. (2003a). “Establishing tradeoffs that leverage attention for utility: Empirically evaluating information display in notification systems”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 58(5): 547–582.
- McCrickard, D. S. and C. M. Chewar. (2003). “Attuning notification design to user goals and attention costs”. *Communications of the ACM*. 46(3): 67–72.
- McCrickard, D. S., C. M. Chewar, J. P. Somervell, and A. Ndiwalana. (2003b). “A Model for Notification Systems Evaluation – Assessing User Goals for Multitasking Activity”. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 10(4): 312–338. DOI: [10.1145/966930.966933](https://doi.org/10.1145/966930.966933).
- Meyer, G. W. and D. P. Greenberg. (1980). “Perceptual Color Spaces for Computer Graphics”. *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.* 14(3): 254–261. DOI: [10.1145/965105.807502](https://doi.org/10.1145/965105.807502).
- Microsoft. (2018a). “FlashWindow function (winuser.h)”. URL: <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/api/winuser/nf-winuser-flashwindow>.
- Microsoft. (2018b). “FLASHWINFO structure”. URL <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/api/winuser/ns-winuser-flashwinfo>.

- Moher, J., B. A. Anderson, and J.-H. Song. (2015). “Dissociable effects of salience on attention and goal-directed action”. *Current Biology*. 25(15): 2040–2046.
- Moreland, K. (2009). “Diverging Color Maps for Scientific Visualization”. In: *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Advances in Visual Computing: Part II. ISVC '09*. Las Vegas, Nevada: Springer-Verlag. 92–103. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-10520-3_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-10520-3_9).
- Moss, G. and R. Gunn. (2009). “Gender Differences in Website Production and Preference Aesthetics: preliminary implications for ICT in education and beyond”. *Behaviour & Information Technology*. 28(5): 447–460.
- Mozilla Developer Network. (2015). “Frame rate – Firefox Developer Tools | MDN”. URL: https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Tools/Performance/Frame_rate.
- Müller, H., M. Pielot, and R. de Oliveira. (2013). “Towards Ambient Notifications”. *Peripheral Interaction: Embedding HCI in Everyday Life*: 21.
- Norman, D. A. (2013). *The Design of Everyday Things*. Doubleday Business.
- Penner, R. (2001). “Robert Penner Easing Equations”. URL: <http://www.robertpenner.com/easing/>.
- Penner, R. (2002). *Robert Penner's Programming Macromedia Flash MX*. McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Perlin, K. (1995). “Real Time Responsive Animation With Personality”. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*. 1(1): 5–15. DOI: [10.1109/2945.468392](https://doi.org/10.1109/2945.468392).
- Peter, M., R. Horst, and R. Dörner. (2018). “VR-Guide: A Specific User Role for Asymmetric Virtual Reality Setups in Distributed Virtual Reality Applications”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th Workshop Virtual and Augmented Reality of the GI Group VR/AR*. Gesellschaft für Informatik.
- Pirolli, P. and S. Card. (1999). “Information Foraging”. *Psychological Review*. 106(4): 634–675. URL: <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/pirolli99information.html>.

- Quinn, P., A. Cockburn, Indratmo, and C. Gutwin. (2008). “An Investigation of Dynamic Landmarking Functions”. In: *Proceedings of the Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces. AVI '08*. Napoli, Italy: ACM. 322–325. DOI: [10.1145/1385569.1385623](https://doi.org/10.1145/1385569.1385623).
- Raskin, J. (2000). *The Humane Interface: New Directions for Designing Interactive Systems*. Addison-Wesley.
- Reeves, W. T., E. F. Ostby, and S. J. Leffler. (1990). “The Menu Modelling and Animation Environment”. *The Journal of Visualization and Computer Animation*. 1(1): 33–40. DOI: [10.1002/vis.4340010109](https://doi.org/10.1002/vis.4340010109).
- Reinecke, K. and A. Bernstein. (2011). “Improving Performance, Perceived Usability, and Aesthetics with Culturally Adaptive User Interfaces”. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 18(2): 8:1–8:29. DOI: [10.1145/1970378.1970382](https://doi.org/10.1145/1970378.1970382).
- Reinecke, K. and K. Z. Gajos. (2014). “Quantifying Visual Preferences Around the World”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '14*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: ACM. 11–20. DOI: [10.1145/2556288.2557052](https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557052).
- Reinecke, K., T. Yeh, L. Miratrix, R. Mardiko, Y. Zhao, J. Liu, and K. Z. Gajos. (2013). “Predicting Users’ First Impressions of Website Aesthetics with a Quantification of Perceived Visual Complexity and Colorfulness”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 2049–2058. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2481281](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481281).
- Rensink, R. A. (2005). “Change Blindness”. *Neurobiology of Attention*: 76–81.
- Rosenholtz, R., A. Dorai, and R. Freeman. (2011). “Do Predictions of Visual Perception Aid Design?” *ACM Trans. Appl. Percept.* 8(2): 12:1–12:20. DOI: [10.1145/1870076.1870080](https://doi.org/10.1145/1870076.1870080).
- Rosenholtz, R., J. Huang, A. Raj, B. J. Balas, and L. Ilie. (2012). “A summary statistic representation in peripheral vision explains visual search”. *Journal of Vision*. 12(4): 14. DOI: [10.1167/12.4.14](https://doi.org/10.1167/12.4.14).
- Rosenholtz, R., Y. Li, and L. Nakano. (2007). “Measuring Visual Clutter”. *Journal of vision*. 7(2): 17.
- Scarr, J. (2014). “Understanding and Exploiting Spatial Memory in the Design of Efficient Command Selection Interfaces.” *PhD thesis*. University of Canterbury.

- Scarr, J., A. Cockburn, C. Gutwin, and A. Bunt. (2012). “Improving command selection with CommandMaps”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '12*. Austin, Texas, USA: ACM. 257–266. DOI: [10.1145/2207676.2207713](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2207713).
- Scarr, J., A. Cockburn, C. Gutwin, and S. Malacria. (2013). “Testing the robustness and performance of spatially consistent interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13*. Paris, France: ACM. 3139–3148. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2466430](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466430).
- Shaw, B. (2013). “CSS3 = Awesome”. URL: <http://css3.bradshawenterprises.com/>.
- Shreiner, D. and The Khronos OpenGL ARB Working Group. (2009). *OpenGL Programming Guide: The Official Guide to Learning OpenGL, Versions 3.0 and 3.1*. 7th. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Smith, A. R. (1978). “Color Gamut Transform Pairs”. *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.* 12(3): 12–19. DOI: [10.1145/965139.807361](https://doi.org/10.1145/965139.807361).
- Smith, G. and D. A. Atchison. (1997). *The Eye and Visual Optical Instruments*. Cambridge University Press. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609541>.
- Strasburger, H., I. Rentschler, and M. Juttner. (2011). “Peripheral vision and pattern recognition: A review”. *Journal of Vision*. 11(5): 13.
- The Qt Company. (2016). “QEasingCurve”. URL: <http://doc.qt.io/qt-5.6/qeasingcurve.html>.
- The Qt Company. (2017). “QML Applications”. URL: <http://doc.qt.io/qt-5/qmlapplications.html>.
- Tomitsch, M., K. Kappel, A. Lehner, and T. Grechenig. (2007). “Towards a taxonomy for ambient information systems”. In: 42–47.
- Trapp, M., C. Beesk, S. Pasewaldt, and J. Döllner. (2011). “Interactive Rendering Techniques for Highlighting in 3D Geovirtual Environments”. In: *Advances in 3D Geo-Information Sciences*. Ed. by T. H. Kolbe, G. König, and C. Nagel. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 197–210. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-12670-3_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12670-3_12).

- Treisman, A. M. and G. Gelade. (1980). “A feature-integration theory of attention”. *Cognitive Psychology*. 12(1): 97–136. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285\(80\)90005-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(80)90005-5).
- Turner, S., J. Wylde, M. Langham, and A. Morrow. (2014). “Determining optimum flash patterns for emergency service vehicles: An experimental investigation using high definition film”. *Applied ergonomics*. 45(5): 1313–1319.
- Vayghan, J. A., S. M. Garfinkle, C. Walenta, D. C. Healy, and Z. Valentin. (2007). “The internal information transformation of IBM”. *IBM Systems Journal*. 46(4): 669–683. DOI: [10.1147/sj.464.0669](https://doi.org/10.1147/sj.464.0669).
- W3C. (2008a). “Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 – General Flash and Red Flash Thresholds”. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-WCAG20-20081211/#general-thresholddef>. W3C.
- W3C. (2008b). “Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 – Guideline 2.3 Seizures: Do not design content in a way that is known to cause seizures”. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG20/#seizure>. W3C.
- W3C. (2011). “Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) 1.1 (Second Edition) – Recognized color keyword names”. URL: <https://www.w3.org/TR/SVG/types.html#ColorKeywords>.
- W3C. (2015). “Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 – Pause Stop Hide”. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/UNDERSTANDING-WCAG20/time-limits-pause.html>.
- Ware, C. (2000). *Information Visualization – Perception for Design*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Ware, C., J. Bonner, W. Knight, and R. Cater. (1992). “Moving Icons As A Human Interrupt”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*. 4(4): 341–348.
- Ware, C. and N. J. Pioch. (2016). “Multiple Independent Highlighting Techniques”. *Electronic Imaging*. 2016(1): 1–9. DOI: [doi:10.2352/ISSN.2470-1173.2016.1.VDA-483](https://doi.org/10.2352/ISSN.2470-1173.2016.1.VDA-483).
- Watson, A. B. et al. (1986). “Temporal Sensitivity”. *Handbook of Perception and Human Performance*. 1: 6–1. Ed. by K. R. Boff, L. Kaufman, and J. P. Thomas.

- Whitney, D. and D. M. Levi. (2011). “Visual Crowding: A fundamental limit on conscious perception and object recognition”. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 15(4): 160–168.
- Wickens, C. D. (1992). *Engineering Psychology and Human Performance*. 2nd edition. New York: Harper Collens.
- Wikipedia. (2015). “FCurve”. URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FCurve>.
- Wikipedia. (2016a). “Lab Color Space”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lab_color_space.
- Wikipedia. (2016b). “RGB Color Space”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RGB_color_space.
- Wikipedia. (2017a). “List of common resolutions”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_common_resolutions.
- Wikipedia. (2017b). “Sawtooth Wave”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sawtooth_wave.
- Wikipedia. (2017c). “Square Wave”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Square_wave.
- Wikipedia. (2017d). “Triangle Wave”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Triangle_wave.
- Wikipedia. (2020a). “Domain Specific Language”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domain-specific_language.
- Wikipedia. (2020b). “Finite State Machine”. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Finite-state_machine.
- Willett, W., J. Heer, and M. Agrawala. (2007). “Scented Widgets: Improving navigation cues with embedded visualizations”. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*. 13(6): 1129–1136.
- Williams, R. (2009). *The Animator’s Survival Kit—Revised Edition: A Manual of Methods, Principles and Formulas for Classical, Computer, Games, Stop Motion and Internet Animators*. Faber & Faber, Inc.
- Yantis, S. and J. Jonides. (1990). “Abrupt visual onsets and selective attention: Voluntary versus automatic allocation.” *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human perception and performance*. 16(1): 121.

- Yendrikhovskij, S., F. Blommaert, and H. De Ridder. (1998). "Optimizing color reproduction of natural images". In: *Color and Imaging Conference*. Vol. 1998. No. 1. Society for Imaging Science and Technology. 140–145.