

# **Readability Research: An Interdisciplinary Approach**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction**

*Human-Computer Interaction in Industry: A Systematic Review on the Applicability and Value-added of Operator Assistance Systems*

Mirco Moencks, Elisa Roth, Thomas Bohné and Per Ola Kristensson  
ISBN: 978-1-63828-122-1

*Modes of Uncertainty in HCI*

Robert Soden, Laura Devendorf, Richmond Wong, Yoko Akama and Ann Light  
ISBN: 978-1-63828-054-5

*A Design Space of Sports Interaction Technology*

Dees B.W. Postma, Robby W. van Delden, Jeroen H. Koekoek, Wytse W. Walinga, Ivo M. van Hilvoorde, Bert Jan F. van Beijnum, Fahim A. Salim and Dennis Reidsma  
ISBN: 978-1-63828-064-4

*Designs on Transcendence: Sketches of a TX machine*

Mark Blythe and Elizabeth Buie  
ISBN: 978-1-68083-846-6

*Auditing Algorithms: Understanding Algorithmic Systems from the Outside In*

Danaë Metaxa, Joon Sung Park, Ronald E. Robertson, Karrie Karahalios, Christo Wilson, Jeff Hancock and Christian Sandvig  
ISBN: 978-1-68083-916-6

# Readability Research: An Interdisciplinary Approach

---

Sofie Beier	Jennifer Healey	Shelley Rodrigo
Sam Berlow	Rajiv Jain	Ben D. Sawyer
Esat Boucaud	Marjorie Jordan	Shannon M. Sheppard
Zoya Bylinskii	Bernard Kerr	Bram Stein
Tianyuan Cai	Qisheng Li	Rick Treitman
Jenae Cohn	Dave B. Miller	Jen Vanek
Kathy Crowley	Susanne Nobles	Shaun Wallace
Stephanie L. Day	Alexandra Papoutsaki	Benjamin Wolfe
Tilman Dingler	Jing Qian	
Jonathan Dobres	Tina Rezvanian	

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

# Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

S. Beier *et al.*. *Readability Research: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 214–324, 2022.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-131-3

© 2022 S. Beier *et al.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human-Computer Interaction

Volume 16, Issue 4, 2022

## Editorial Board

### Editor-in-Chief

**Youn-Kyung Lim**

*Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology*

### Editors

Ben Bederson

*University of Maryland*

Orit Shaer

*Wellesley College*

Madeline Balaam

*KTH Royal Institute of Technology*

Desney S. Tan

*Microsoft Research*

Eun Kyoung Choe

*University of Maryland, College Park*

Koji Yatani

*University of Tokyo*

Andy Cockburn

*University of Canterbury*

Karrie Karahalios

*University of Illinois at  
Urbana-Champaign*

Rohit Ashok Khot

*HAFP Research Lab, RMIT University*

Uichin Lee

*Korea Advanced Institute of Science and  
Technology*

Florian Mueller

*Exertion Games Lab, RMIT University*

Bilge Mutlu

*University of Wisconsin-Madison*

Marianna Obrist

*University of Sussex*

Nuria Oliver

*Telefonica*

Sameer Patil

*University of Utah*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human-Computer Interaction publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research community
- Theory
- Technology
- Computer Supported Cooperative Work
- Interdisciplinary influence
- Advanced topics and trends

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human-Computer Interaction, 2022, Volume 16, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Types of Reading</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Reading on the Temporal Axis . . . . .	7
2.2	Reading on the Purpose Axis . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Readers</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Who Are the Readers? . . . . .	12
3.2	Population Size: Quantitative Versus Qualitative Considerations . . . . .	16
3.3	Recruiting Participants . . . . .	18
3.4	Where to Conduct Studies . . . . .	19
3.5	Ethics . . . . .	22
<b>4</b>	<b>Reading Materials</b>	<b>24</b>
4.1	Content Curation and Leveling . . . . .	24
4.2	Typographic and Visual Considerations . . . . .	26
4.3	Licensing . . . . .	37
<b>5</b>	<b>Equipment, Devices, and Software Tools</b>	<b>38</b>
5.1	Digital Displays . . . . .	38
5.2	Research Equipment . . . . .	42
5.3	Software Tools . . . . .	46

<b>6</b>	<b>Experimental Methodologies</b>	<b>49</b>
6.1	Metrics . . . . .	49
6.2	Other Methodological Considerations . . . . .	57
<b>7</b>	<b>Data Analysis for Readability Studies</b>	<b>61</b>
7.1	Data Quality Management . . . . .	61
7.2	Exploration and Visualization . . . . .	62
7.3	Statistical Modeling . . . . .	63
7.4	Machine Learning . . . . .	64
<b>8</b>	<b>Looking to the Future of Readability Research</b>	<b>66</b>
8.1	Take-Aways . . . . .	68
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Sample Survey Questions</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Openly Available Reading Corpora</b>	<b>78</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>80</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>81</b>



# 1

---

## Introduction

---

From the moment we wake up to the moment we end our day, we use interfaces built out of the written word. Textual information remains now, as it has for centuries, the cornerstone of human information acquisition. The wide adoption of smartphones, tablets, e-readers and personal computers has shifted the bulk of this reading from inflexible paper to digital content. The amount of information we acquire through reading digitally has grown rapidly over the last 15 years, and continues to grow. At the same time, literacy rates in the United States are staggeringly low: 130 million U.S. adults ages 16 to 74 (54% of the population) read below a sixth-grade level (Rothwell, 2020). Alarming, as of a 2022 report by the National Center for Education Statistics, young children’s reading scores have experienced the largest decline since 1990 (U.S. Department of Education, 2022). Furthermore, dyslexia – the most common language-based learning disability – affects 15–20% of the population and represents 80–90% of all those with learning disabilities (International Dyslexia Association, 2022; The Yale Center for Dyslexia & Creativity, 2022). Readability research, as we describe here, takes a fundamentally individual approach to what each reader needs. Each reader, even readers who may not struggle, have their

own individual needs. Meanwhile, adapting the written word to the individual reader has never been easier, and the goal of maximizing individual reading efficacy is increasingly attainable.

**Readability** encapsulates the properties of a document which determine the ease and success with which individual readers decipher, process, and determine meaning from the text. These include (1) content, (2) document-level aspects, and (3) format features. These format features, which include all typographic elements, can have profound impacts on individual readers' speed and comprehension. Readability is discrete from legibility, which refers, in print or handwriting, to the property of being clear enough to read. In traditional printing, a single legible aesthetically pleasing layout was all that was possible, but digital displays now allow the potential of individuation, changing how text appears for each reader. Digital flexibility allows readability interventions to increase accessibility and efficacy. Here, we argue that this opportunity can be addressed with interdisciplinary methods spanning Human-Computer Interaction (HCI), design, user research, psychophysics, neuroscience, and data science.

**This monograph is about *Format Readability* – the visual and typographic features of the text**, which include font choice, size, spacing, and related attributes. We focus on format readability, rather than content and document factors, although we acknowledge and discuss their importance. We begin by discussing reading itself before turning to the readers. We then talk about reading materials for research, how those materials can be shown to readers, the research tools used to study readability, the experimental paradigms used in this research, and how the resulting data can be analyzed. We conclude by inviting researchers to ask their own questions in readability, using our review as a starting point for conducting readability studies and designing reading interfaces.

**The time is now.** To date, writers, publishers, and designers have been in control of the reading experience. However, digital reading provides a paradigm shift, through the multitude of device types, screen qualities, digital interfaces, and software settings available to readers. Depending on the technology, the readers – literate or nearly literate children or adults – can now control font size, screen polarity, spacing,

font choice, and other formatting choices. Amazon’s Kindle, Apple’s iBooks, Microsoft’s Immersive Reader, Adobe’s Liquid Mode, and modern web browsers all provide some of these controls, occasionally branded as accessibility features. Recent studies indicate that it is possible to dramatically improve reading for each individual – to make it much easier for struggling readers to read and for good readers to read even more efficiently by changing and, more significantly, personalizing the appearance of the text. The power of personalization and individuation has been shown with young (Crowley and Jordan, 2019a,b; Day *et al.*, 2022; Sheppard *et al.*, 2022a,b) and adult readers (Ball *et al.*, 2021; Cai *et al.*, 2022; Wallace *et al.*, 2020a, 2022a,b; Watson and Wallace, 2021), and suggests that every reader, at every level, can realize benefits if we can determine what they, individually, need and give it to them.

**No one discipline or field has all the tools or answers**, and readability work is inherently interdisciplinary. The authors of this monograph include vision scientists, technology experts, educators, designers, typographers, and data scientists; together, we represent voices from academia, the tech industry, and non-profit institutions, driven by common goals to improve the reading interfaces of today. This monograph is intended as a practical foundational resource for anyone interested in pushing readability research forward, including HCI researchers, practitioners, educators, tech companies, type designers, policymakers, and engineers. Our review cannot cover every topic we touch upon in full detail so we extensively reference related literature, to provide a starting point for our reader to build on. Different sections of this review may be individually useful to different readers from different backgrounds. Taken as a whole, if read from front to back, our review should be accessible to the budding HCI researcher, with prior exposure to cognitive science, computer science, or related disciplines, but without assuming specific knowledge about the psychology of reading, typography, or the latest technological advances, all of which we introduce here.

## References

---

- Agarwal, N., A. Chaudhari, D. R. Hansberry, K. L. Tomei, and C. J. Prestigiacomo (2013). “A comparative analysis of neurosurgical online education materials to assess patient comprehension”. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*. 20(10): 1357–1361.
- Agarwal, A. and A. Meyer (2009). “Beyond usability: Evaluating emotional response as an integral part of the user experience”. *Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*: 2919–2930. DOI: [10.1145/1520340.1520420](https://doi.org/10.1145/1520340.1520420).
- Ahlström, C., K. Kircher, M. Nyström, and B. Wolfe (2021). “Eye tracking in driver attention research—How gaze data interpretations influence what we learn”. *Frontiers in Neuroergonomics*. 2. DOI: [10.3389/fnrgo.2021.778043](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnrgo.2021.778043).
- Ahrens, T. (2008). *Size-Specific Adjustments to Type Designs. An Investigation of the Principles Guiding the Design of Optical Sizes*. Mark Batty Publisher Academic.
- Ahrens, T. (2012). “A closer look at font rendering”. *Smashing Magazine*. URL: <https://www.smashingmagazine.com/2012/04/a-closer-look-at-font-rendering/>.
- Ahrens, T. and S. Mugikura (2014). *Size-Specific Adjustments to Type Designs: An Investigation of the Principles Guiding the Design of Optical Sizes*. Just Another Foundry.

- Ailon, N. (2008). "Reconciling real scores with binary comparisons: A unified logistic model for ranking". *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 21: 34–38.
- Alexander, P. A., J. M. Kulikowich, and S. K. Schulze (1994). "How subject-matter knowledge affects recall and interest". *American Educational Research Journal*. 31(2): 313–337.
- Alto, K. M., K. M. McCullough, and R. F. Levant (2018). "Who is on craigslist? A novel approach to participant recruitment for masculinities scholarship". *Psychology of Men and Masculinity*. 19(2): 319–324.
- Ball, R. V., D. B. Miller, S. Wallace, K. C. Macias, M. Ibrahim, E. R. Gonzaga, O. Karasik, D. R. Rohlsen-Neal, S. Barrientos, E. A. Ross, A. Asmar, A. M. Hughes, P. A. Hancock, and B. D. Sawyer (2021). "Optimizing electronic health records through readability". *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Human Factors and Ergonomics in Health Care*. 10(1): 65–70.
- Banerjee, J. and M. Bhattacharyya (2011). "Selection of the optimum font type and size interface for on screen continuous reading by young adults: An ergonomic approach". *Journal of Human Ergology*. 40(1\_2): 47–62.
- Barnard, L., J. S. Yi, J. A. Jacko, and A. Sears (2007). "Capturing the effects of context on human performance in mobile computing systems". *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*. 11(2): 81–96.
- Barnett, A. G. (2004). "Regression to the mean: What it is and how to deal with it". *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 34(1): 215–220.
- Beier, S. (2012). *Reading Letters: Designing for Legibility*. BIS Publishers.
- Beier, S. (2013). *Legibility Investigations: Controlling Typeface Variables*. APA.
- Beier, S. (2017). *Type Tricks: Your Personal Guide to Type Design*. BIS Publishers.
- Beier, S., J.-B. Bernard, and E. Castet (2018). *Numerical Legibility and Visual Complexity*. DRS Design Research Society. DOI: [10.21606/drs.2018.246](https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2018.246).

- Beier, S. and M. C. Dyson (2014). “The influence of serifs on ‘h’ and ‘i’: Useful knowledge from design-led scientific research”. *Visible Language*. 47(3): 74–95.
- Beier, S. and K. Larson (2010). “Design improvements for frequently misrecognized letters”. *Information Design Journal*. 18(2): 118–137.
- Beier, S. and K. Larson (2013). “How does typeface familiarity affect reading performance and reader preference?” *Information Design Journal*. 20(1): 16–31.
- Beier, S. and C. A. T. Oderkerk (2019a). “The effect of age and font on reading ability”. *Visible Language*. 53(3): 51–69.
- Beier, S. and C. A. T. Oderkerk (2019b). “Smaller visual angles show greater benefit of letter boldness than larger visual angles”. *Acta Psychologica*. 199: 102904.
- Beier, S., C. A. T. Oderkerk, B. Bay, and M. Larsen (2021). “Increased letter spacing and greater letter width improve reading acuity in low vision readers”. *Information Design Journal*. 26(1): 73–88.
- Berglund, L. (2012). “Regression dilution bias: Tools for correction methods and sample size calculation”. *Uppsala Journal of Medical Sciences*. 117(3): 279–283.
- Bernard, M. L., B. S. Chaparro, M. M. Mills, and C. G. Halcomb (2003). “Comparing the effects of text size and format on the readability of computer-displayed Times New Roman and Arial text”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 59(6): 823–835.
- Bernard, M., C. H. Liao, and M. Mills (2001). “The effects of font type and size on the legibility and reading time of online text by older adults”. In: *CHI’01 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 175–176.
- Berry, J. D. (2004). *Now Read This: The Microsoft ClearType Font Collection*; [www.microsoft.com/typography/ctfonts](http://www.microsoft.com/typography/ctfonts). Microsoft Corporation.
- Bhatia, S. K., A. Samal, N. Rajan, and M. T. Kiviniemi (2011). “Effect of font size, italics, and colour count on web usability”. *International Journal of Computational Vision and Robotics*. 2(2): 156–179.
- Bizup, J. (2008). “BEAM: A rhetorical vocabulary for teaching research-based writing”. *Rhetoric Review*. 27(1): 72–86.

- Blanchard, H. E., A. Pollatsek, and K. Rayner (1989). “The acquisition of parafoveal word information in reading”. *Perception and Psychophysics*. 46(1): 85–94.
- Blignaut, P. (2010). “Visual span and other parameters for the generation of heatmaps”. In: *Proceedings of the 2010 Symposium on Eye-Tracking Research and Applications*. 125–128. DOI: [10.1145/1743666.1743697](https://doi.org/10.1145/1743666.1743697).
- Bokulich, N. A., J. R. Rideout, W. G. Mercurio, A. Shiffer, B. Wolfe, C. F. Maurice, R. J. Dutton, P. J. Turnbaugh, R. Knight, and J. G. Caporaso (2016). “Mockrobiota: A public resource for microbiome bioinformatics benchmarking”. *MSystems*. 1(5). DOI: [10.1128/mSystems.00062-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00062-16).
- Bolthausen, E. and M. V. Wüthrich (2013). “Bernoulli’s law of large numbers”. *ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA*. 43(2): 73–79.
- Bouaud, J. and B. Seroussi (1996). “Navigating through a document-centered electronic medical record: A mock-up based on www technology”. In: *Proceedings of the AMIA Annual Fall Symposium*. 488.
- Bouma, H. (1970). “Interaction effects in parafoveal letter recognition”. *Nature*. 226(5241): 177–178.
- Boyaci, O., A. Forte, S. A. Baset, and H. Schulzrinne (2009). “vDelay: A tool to measure capture-to-display latency and frame rate”. In: *2009 11th IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia*. 194–200. DOI: [10.1109/ISM.2009.46](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISM.2009.46).
- Boyarski, D., C. Neuwirth, J. Forlizzi, and S. H. Regli (1998). “A study of fonts designed for screen display”. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI’98*: 87–94.
- Bradshaw, M. T. (2011). “Analysts’ forecasts: What do we know after decades of work?” Available at *SSRN 1880339*.
- Brady, E., M. R. Morris, and J. P. Bigham (2015). “Gauging receptiveness to social microvolunteering”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1055–1064. DOI: [10.1145/2702123.2702329](https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702329).
- Brandt, D. (1990). *Literacy as Involvement: The Acts of Writers, Readers, and Texts*. Southern Illinois University Press.

- Brehm, J. W. (1966). *A Theory of Psychological Reactance*. Academic Press.
- Brigo, F., W. M. Otte, S. C. Igwe, F. Tezzon, and R. Nardone (2015). “Clearly written, easily comprehended? The readability of websites providing information on epilepsy”. *Epilepsy and Behavior*. 44: 35–39.
- Brinberg, M., N. Ram, D. E. Conroy, A. L. Pincus, and D. Gerstorf (2022). “Dyadic analysis and the reciprocal one-with-many model: Extending the study of interpersonal processes with intensive longitudinal data”. *Psychological Methods*. DOI: [10.1037/met0000380](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000380).
- Bringhurst, R. (2004). *The Elements of Typographic Style*. WA: Hartley & Marks Point Roberts.
- Brishtel, I., A. A. Khan, T. Schmidt, T. Dingler, S. Ishimaru, and A. Dengel (2020). “Mind wandering in a multimodal reading setting: Behavior analysis and automatic detection using eye-tracking and an EDA sensor”. *Sensors*. 20(9): Article 9.
- Broberg, P. (2013). “Sample size re-assessment leading to a raised sample size does not inflate type I error rate under mild conditions”. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 13(1): 94.
- Brooks, J., S. Nagels, and P. Lopes (2020). “Trigeminal-based temperature illusions”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Brothers, T. and M. J. Traxler (2016). “Anticipating syntax during reading: Evidence from the boundary change paradigm”. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*. 42(12): 1894.
- Brown, M., V. Savova, and E. Gibson (2012). “Syntax encodes information structure: Evidence from on-line reading comprehension”. *Journal of Memory and Language*. 66(1): 194–209.
- Brysbaert, M. (2019). “How many words do we read per minute? A review and meta-analysis of reading rate”. *Journal of Memory and Language*. 109: 104047. DOI: [10.1016/j.jml.2019.104047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2019.104047).
- Buckner, R. L., J. R. Andrews-Hanna, and D. L. Schacter (2008). “The brain’s default network: Anatomy, function, and relevance to disease”. In: *The Year in Cognitive Neuroscience 2008*. Blackwell Publishing. 1–38.



- Buhrmester, M., T. Kwang, and S. D. Gosling (2011). “Amazon’s Mechanical Turk: A new source of inexpensive, yet high-quality data”. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 6(1): 3–5.
- Burch, M., A. Veneri, and B. Sun (2019). “EyeClouds: A visualization and analysis tool for exploring eye movement data”. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Visual Information Communication and Interaction*. 1–8. DOI: [10.1145/3356422.3356423](https://doi.org/10.1145/3356422.3356423).
- Burmistrov, I., T. Zlokazova, I. Ishmuratova, and M. Semenova (2016). “Legibility of light and ultra-light fonts: Eyetracking study”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. 1–6. DOI: [10.1145/2971485.2996745](https://doi.org/10.1145/2971485.2996745).
- Büttner, A., S. M. Grünvogel, and A. Fuhrmann (2020). “The influence of text rotation, font and distance on legibility in VR”. In: *2020 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW)*. 662–663. DOI: [10.1109/VRW50115.2020.00182](https://doi.org/10.1109/VRW50115.2020.00182).
- Cai, T., S. Wallace, T. Rezvanian, J. Dobres, B. Kerr, S. Berlow, J. Huang, B. D. Sawyer, and Z. Bylinskii (2022). “Personalized font recommendations: Combining ML and typographic guidelines to optimize readability”. In: *Designing Interactive Systems Conference*. 1–25. DOI: [10.1145/3532106.3533457](https://doi.org/10.1145/3532106.3533457).
- Calabrese, A., A. M. Cheong, S.-H. Cheung, Y. He, M. Kwon, J. S. Mansfield, A. Subramanian, D. Yu, and G. E. Legge (2016). “Baseline MNREAD measures for normally sighted subjects from childhood to old age”. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*. 57(8): 3836–3843.
- Carillo, E. C. (2017). *A Writer’s Guide to Mindful Reading: Practices and Possibilities*. The WAC Clearinghouse and University Press of Colorado. URL: <https://wac.colostate.edu/books/practice/mindful/>.
- Carver, R. P. (1990). *Reading Rate: A Review of Research and Theory*. Academic Press.
- Catts, H. W., A. McIlraith, M. S. Bridges, and D. C. Nielsen (2017). “Viewing a phonological deficit within a multifactorial model of dyslexia”. *Reading and Writing*. 30(3): 613–629.

- Christoff, K., A. M. Gordon, J. Smallwood, R. Smith, and J. W. Schooler (2009). "Experience sampling during fMRI reveals default network and executive system contributions to mind wandering". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 106(21): 8719–8724.
- Chung, S. T. and J.-B. Bernard (2018). "Bolder print does not increase reading speed in people with central vision loss". *Vision Research*. 153: 98–104. DOI: [10.1016/j.visres.2018.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2018.10.012).
- Cichy, R. M. and A. Oliva (2020). "A M/EEG-fMRI fusion primer: Resolving human brain responses in space and time". *Neuron*. 107(5): 772–781.
- Clinton, V. (2019). "Reading from paper compared to screens: A systematic review and meta-analysis". *Journal of Research in Reading*. 42(2): 288–325.
- Coates, D. R., D. M. Levi, P. Touch, and R. Sabesan (2018). "Foveal crowding resolved". *Scientific Reports*. 8(1): Article 1.
- Cognolato, M., M. Atzori, and H. Müller (2018). "Head-mounted eye gaze tracking devices: An overview of modern devices and recent advances". *Journal of Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies Engineering*. 5: 2055668318773991.
- Cohen, L., S. Lehéricy, F. Chochon, C. Lemer, S. Rivaud, and S. Dehaene (2002). "Language-specific tuning of visual cortex? Functional properties of the visual word form area". *Brain*. 125(5): 1054–1069.
- Cooke, L. (2006). "Is the mouse a 'poor man's eye tracker?'" In: *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Conference of the Society for Technical Communication*. 252–255.
- Cramer, E. D., L. Gonzalez, and C. Pellegrini-Lafont (2014). "From classmates to inmates: An integrated approach to break the school-to-prison pipeline". *Equity and Excellence in Education*. 47(4): 461–475.
- Crossley, S. A., D. B. Allen, and D. S. McNamara (2011). "Text readability and intuitive simplification: A comparison of readability formulas". *Reading in a Foreign Language*. 23(1): 84–101.
- Crowley, K. and M. Jordan (2019a). *Base Font Effect on Reading Performance*. URL: <https://readabilitymatters.org/articles/font-effect>.

- Crowley, K. and M. Jordan (2019b). *Tech Proof of Concept Results Summary*. URL: <https://readabilitymatters.org/results-summary>.
- Davis, C. J. (2010). “The spatial coding model of visual word identification”. *Psychological Review*. 117(3): 713.
- Davis, C. J. and J. S. Bowers (2004). “What do letter migration errors reveal about letter position coding in visual word recognition?” *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*. 30(5): 923.
- Day, S. L., A. Giroux, S. Wallace, R. Treitman, K. Crowley, M. Jordan, and B. D. Sawyer (2022). “The effect of font formats on reading speed and comprehension in grades 3–5”. In: *Society for the Scientific Study of Reading (SSSR) Annual Conference*.
- Dehaene, S. and L. Cohen (2011). “The unique role of the visual word form area in reading”. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 15(6): 254–262.
- Demb, J. B., G. M. Boynton, and D. J. Heeger (1997). “Brain activity in visual cortex predicts individual differences in reading performance”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 94: 13363–13366.
- Demets, D. L. and K. K. G. Lan (1994). “Interim analysis: The alpha spending function approach”. *Statistics in Medicine*. 13(13–14): 1341–1352.
- Dimigen, O., W. Sommer, A. Hohlfeld, A. M. Jacobs, and R. Kliegl (2011). “Coregistration of eye movements and EEG in natural reading: Analyses and review”. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 140(4): 552.
- Dingler, T., K. S. Kunze, and B. Outram (2018). “VR reading UIs: Assessing text parameters for reading in VR”. In: *CHI, 2018—Extended Abstracts of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems: Engage with CHI, LBW094*. DOI: [10.1145/3170427.3188695](https://doi.org/10.1145/3170427.3188695).
- Dingler, T., S. Li, N. van Berkel, and V. Kostakos (2020). “Page-turning techniques for reading interfaces in virtual environments”. In: *32nd Australian Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. 454–461.
- Dobres, J., N. Chahine, and B. Reimer (2017a). “Effects of ambient illumination, contrast polarity, and letter size on text legibility under glance-like reading”. *Applied Ergonomics*. 60: 68–73.

- Dobres, J., S. T. Chrysler, B. Wolfe, N. Chahine, and B. Reimer (2017b). “Empirical assessment of the legibility of the highway gothic and clearview signage fonts”. *Transportation Research Record*. 2624(1): 1–8.
- Dobres, J., B. Reimer, and N. Chahine (2016). “The effect of font weight and rendering system on glance-based text legibility”. In: *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications*. 91–96.
- Downs, D. (2010). “Teaching first-year writers to use texts: Scholarly readings in writing-about-writing in first-year comp”. *Reader*. 60(1): 19–50.
- Drew, T., M. L.-H. Võ, and J. M. Wolfe (2013). “The invisible gorilla strikes again: Sustained inattentive blindness in expert observers”. *Psychological Science*. 24(9): 1848–1853.
- Duranovic, M., S. Senka, and B. Babic-Gavric (2018). “Influence of increased letter spacing and font type on the reading ability of dyslexic children”. *Annals of Dyslexia*. 68(3): 218–228.
- Ehrlich, M., L. Wisniewski, H. Trsek, D. Mahrenholz, and J. Jasperneite (2017). “Automatic mapping of cyber security requirements to support network slicing in software-defined networks”. In: *22nd IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*. 1–4.
- Eisfeld, H. and F. Kristallovich (2020). *The Rise of Dark Mode: A Qualitative Study of an Emerging User Interface Design Trend*. URL: <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:hj:diva-50563>.
- Elbro, C. and I. Buch-Iversen (2013). “Activation of background knowledge for inference making: Effects on reading comprehension”. *Scientific Studies of Reading*. 17(6): 435–452.
- Elson, R. B. and D. P. Connelly (1995). “Computerized patient records in primary care: Their role in mediating guideline-driven physician behavior change”. *Archives of Family Medicine*. 4(8): 698.
- Fang, Z. (2016). “Teaching close reading with complex texts across content areas”. *Research in the Teaching of English*. 51: 106–116.
- Fiset, D., C. Blais, M. Arguin, K. Tadros, C. Ethier-Majcher, D. Bub, and F. Gosselin (2009). “The spatio-temporal dynamics of visual letter recognition”. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*. 26(1): 23–35.

- Fisher, D. and N. Frey (2014). “Contingency teaching during close reading”. *The Reading Teacher*. 68(4): 277–286.
- Fitchett, S. and A. Cockburn (2009). “Evaluating reading and analysis tasks on mobile devices: A case study of tilt and flick scrolling”. *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference of the Australian Computer-Human Interaction Special Interest Group: Design: Open*. 24(7): 225–232.
- Fletcher, J. M., G. R. Lyon, L. S. Fuchs, and M. A. Barnes (2019). *Learning Disabilities: From Identification to Intervention*. 2nd ed. The Guilford Press.
- Fostick, L. and H. Revah (2018). “Dyslexia as a multi-deficit disorder: Working memory and auditory temporal processing”. *Acta Psychologica*. 183: 19–28.
- Fraser, C. A. (2007). “Reading rate in L1 Mandarin Chinese and L2 English across five reading tasks”. *The Modern Language Journal*. 91(3): 372–394.
- Fuchs, L. S., D. Fuchs, M. K. Hosp, and J. R. Jenkins (2001). “Oral reading fluency as an indicator of reading competence: A theoretical, empirical, and historical analysis”. *Scientific Studies of Reading*. 5(3): 239–256.
- Gabbard, J. L., J. E. Swan, and D. Hix (2006). “The effects of text drawing styles, background textures, and natural lighting on text legibility in outdoor augmented reality”. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*. 15(1): 16–32.
- Gaillard, W. D., L. M. Balsamo, Z. Ibrahim, B. C. Sachs, and B. Xu (2003). “fMRI identifies regional specialization of neural networks for reading in young children”. *Neurology*. 60(1): 94–100.
- Galliussi, J., L. Perondi, G. Chia, W. Gerbino, and P. Bernardis (2020). “Inter-letter spacing, inter-word spacing, and font with dyslexia-friendly features: Testing text readability in people with and without dyslexia”. *Annals of Dyslexia*. 70(1): 141–152.
- Gao, X., J. Dera, A. D. Nijhof, and R. M. Willems (2019). “Is less readable liked better? The case of font readability in poetry appreciation”. *PLoS One*. 14(12): e0225757.

- Germanò, E., A. Gagliano, and P. Curatolo (2010). “Comorbidity of ADHD and Dyslexia”. *Developmental Neuropsychology*. 35(5): 475–493.
- Goel, M., L. Findlater, and J. Wobbrock (2012). “WalkType: Using accelerometer data to accomodate situational impairments in mobile touch screen text entry”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2687–2696. DOI: [10.1145/2207676.2208662](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2208662).
- Gooding, S., Y. Berzak, T. Mak, and M. Sharifi (2021). “Predicting text readability from scrolling interactions”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*. 380–390. DOI: [10.18653/v1/2021.conll-1.30](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.conll-1.30).
- Graesser, A., D. Greenberg, A. Olney, and M. Lovett (2019). “Educational technologies that support reading comprehension for adults who have low literacy skills”. In: *Wiley Handbook of Adult Literacy*. Wiley. 471–493. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9781119261407.ch22>.
- Grainger, J., A. Rey, and S. Dufau (2008). “Letter perception: From pixels to pandemonium”. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 12(10): 381–387.
- Grainger, J., W. J. Van Heuven, and P. Bonin (2004). “Modeling letter position coding in printed word perception”. In: *The Mental Lexicon*. Nova Science, Publishers. 1–23.
- Grohmann, B., J. L. Giese, and I. D. Parkman (2013). “Using type font characteristics to communicate brand personality of new brands”. *Journal of Brand Management*. 20(5): 389–403.
- Gugerty, L., R. A. Tyrrell, T. R. Aten, and K. A. Edmonds (2004). “The effects of subpixel addressing on users’ performance and preferences during reading-related tasks”. *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception*. 1(2): 81–101.
- Gürtler, A. and C. Mengelt (1985). “Fundamental research methods and form innovation in type design compared to technological developments in type production”. *Visible Language*. 19(1): 123.
- Haas, C. and L. Flower (1988). “Rhetorical reading strategies and the construction of meaning”. *College Composition and Communication*. 39(2): 167–183.

- Hammoud, R. I. (2008). *Passive Eye Monitoring: Algorithms, Applications and Experiments*. Springer. URL: <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783540754114>.
- Hancock, P. A., A. A. Pepe, and L. L. Murphy (2005). “Hedonomics: The power of positive and pleasurable ergonomics”. *Ergonomics in Design*. 13(1): 8–14.
- Hancock, P. A., B. D. Sawyer, and S. Stafford (2015). “The effects of display size on performance”. *Ergonomics*. 58(3): 337–354.
- Hendrickson, K. and K. L. Ailawadi (2014). “Six lessons for in-store marketing from six years of mobile eye-tracking research”. In: *Shopper Marketing and the Role of In-Store Marketing*. Vol. 11. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. 57–74. DOI: [10.1108/S1548-643520140000011002](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1548-643520140000011002).
- Henriksen, B. S., I. H. Goldstein, A. Rule, A. E. Huang, H. Dusek, A. Igelman, M. F. Chiang, and M. R. Hribar (2020). “Electronic health records in ophthalmology: Source and method of documentation”. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 211: 191–199. DOI: [10.1016/j.ajo.2019.11.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2019.11.030).
- Hernandez, D. J. and J. S. Napierala (2013). “Early education, poverty, and parental circumstances among hispanic children: Pointing toward needed public policies”. *Journal of the Association of Mexican American Educators*. 7(2): 30–39.
- Hiebert, E. H., P. D. Pearson, E. H. Hiebert, and P. D. Pearson (2010). *An Examination of Current Text Difficulty Indices with Early Reading Texts*. Reading Research Report No. 10-01.
- Highsmith, C. (2020). *Inside Paragraphs: Typographic Fundamentals*. 2nd ed. Princeton Architectural Press.
- Ho, C.-J., A. Slivkins, S. Suri, and J. W. Vaughan (2015). “Incentivizing high quality crowdwork”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web*. 419–429. URL: [10.1145/2736277.2741102](https://doi.org/10.1145/2736277.2741102).
- Hoitash, R., U. Hoitash, and L. Morris (2021). “eXtensible business reporting language: A review and implications for future research”. *AUDITING: A Journal of Practice and Theory*. 40(2): 107–132. DOI: [10.2308/AJPT-2019-517](https://doi.org/10.2308/AJPT-2019-517).

- Holcomb, P. J. and J. Grainger (2007). “Exploring the temporal dynamics of visual word recognition in the masked repetition priming paradigm using event-related potentials”. *Brain Research*. 1180: 39–58. DOI: [10.1016/j.brainres.2007.06.110](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.06.110).
- Huang, Y.-M. and T.-H. Liang (2015). “A technique for tracking the reading rate to identify the e-book reading behaviors and comprehension outcomes of elementary school students”. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 46(4): 864–876.
- Hudson, J. (2016). “Introducing OpenType variable fonts”. *Medium*. URL: <https://medium.com/variable-fonts/https-medium-com-tiro-introducing-opentype-variable-fonts-12ba6cd2369>.
- Huey, E. B. (1908). *The Psychology and Pedagogy of Reading*. Macmillan.
- Hughes, L. and A. Wilkins (2002). “Reading at a distance: Implications for the design of text in children’s big books”. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology*. 72: 213–226. DOI: [10.1348/000709902158856](https://doi.org/10.1348/000709902158856).
- Hutcheon, J. A., A. Chiolero, and J. A. Hanley (2010). “Random measurement error and regression dilution bias”. *BMJ*. 340: c2289.
- Idsardi, W. (1992). *The Computation of Prosody*. Doctoral Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. URL: <https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/12897/27832131-MIT.pdf?sequence=2>.
- Ikeda, K. and M. S. Bernstein (2016). “Pay it backward: Per-task payments on crowdsourcing platforms reduce productivity”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 4111–4121. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858327](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858327).
- International Dyslexia Association (2022). *Dyslexia Basics*. URL: <https://dyslexiaida.org/dyslexia-basics/>.
- Jamieson, S. (2013). “Reading and engaging sources: What students’ use of sources reveals about advanced reading skills”. *Across the Disciplines*. 10(4): 1–22.
- Jang-Jaccard, J. and S. Nepal (2014). “A survey of emerging threats in cybersecurity”. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*. 80(5): 973–993.



- Jo, J., B. Kim, and J. Seo (2015). “EyeBookmark: Assisting recovery from interruption during reading”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Association for Computing Machinery. 2963–2966. DOI: [10.1145/2702123.2702340](https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702340).
- Johnston, P. (1984). “Prior knowledge and reading comprehension test bias”. *Reading Research Quarterly*. 19(2): 219–239.
- Joo, S. J., A. L. White, D. J. Strodtman, and J. D. Yeatman (2018). “Optimizing text for an individual’s visual system: The contribution of visual crowding to reading difficulties”. *Cortex*. 103: 291–301. DOI: [10.1016/j.cortex.2018.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2018.03.013).
- Jung, T.-P., S. Makeig, C. Humphries, T.-W. Lee, M. J. Mckeown, V. Iragui, and T. J. Sejnowski (2000). “Removing electroencephalographic artifacts by blind source separation”. *Psychophysiology*. 37(2): 163–178.
- Just, M. A. and P. A. Carpenter (1987). *The Psychology of Reading and Language Comprehension*. Allyn and Bacon.
- Kessler, B. and R. Treiman (2015). “Writing systems: Their properties and implications for reading”. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Reading*. Oxford University Press.
- Killingsworth, M. A. and D. T. Gilbert (2010). “A wandering mind is an unhappy mind”. *Science*. 330(6006): 932.
- Kim, S., M. A. Nussbaum, and J. L. Gabbard (2019). “Influences of augmented reality head-worn display type and user interface design on performance and usability in simulated warehouse order picking”. *Applied Ergonomics*. 74: 186–193. DOI: [10.1016/j.apergo.2018.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2018.08.026).
- Klein, S. A. (2001). “Measuring, estimating, and understanding the psychometric function: A commentary”. *Perception and Psychophysics*. 63(8): 1421–1455.
- Kleitman, N. (1923). “Studies on the physiology of sleep: I. The effects of prolonged sleeplessness on man”. *American Journal of Physiology-Legacy Content*. 66(1): 67–92.
- Klemm, P., S. Oeltze-Jafra, K. Lawonn, K. Hegenscheid, H. Volzke, and B. Preim (2014). “Interactive visual analysis of image-centric cohort study data”. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 20(12): 1673–1682.

- Knaack, L., A.-K. Lache, O. Preikszas, S. Reinhold, and M. Teistler (2019). *Improving Readability of Text in Realistic Virtual Reality Scenarios: Visual Magnification Without Restricting User Interactions*. Proceedings of Mensch Und Computer. 749–753. DOI: [10.1145/3340764.3344902](https://doi.org/10.1145/3340764.3344902).
- Knowles, M. S. (1970). *The Modern Practice of Adult Education: Androgogy Versus Pedagogy*. New York Association Press.
- Ko, Y.-H. (2017). “The effects of luminance contrast, colour combinations, font, and search time on brand icon legibility”. *Applied Ergonomics*. 65: 33–40. DOI: [10.1016/j.apergo.2017.05.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2017.05.015).
- Kou, G., D. Ergu, C. Lin, and Y. Chen (2016). “Pairwise comparison matrix in multiple criteria decision making”. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*. 22(5): 738–765.
- Krafka, K., A. Khosla, P. Kellnhofer, H. Kannan, S. Bhandarkar, W. Matusik, and A. Torralba (2016). *Eye Tracking for Everyone*. 2176–2184. URL: [https://www.cv-foundation.org/openaccess/content\\_cvpr\\_2016/html/Krafka\\_Eye\\_Tracking\\_for\\_CVPR\\_2016\\_paper.html](https://www.cv-foundation.org/openaccess/content_cvpr_2016/html/Krafka_Eye_Tracking_for_CVPR_2016_paper.html).
- Kumar, G. and S. T. L. Chung (2014). “Characteristics of fixational eye movements in people with macular disease”. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*. 55(8): 5125–5133.
- Kutas, M. and K. D. Federmeier (2011). “Thirty years and counting: Finding meaning in the N400 component of the event-related brain potential (ERP)”. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 62: 621–647. DOI: [10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.131123](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.131123).
- Kutas, M. and S. A. Hillyard (1980). “Reading senseless sentences: Brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity”. *Science*. 207(4427): 203–205.
- Larson, K. (2007). “The technology of text”. *IEEE Spectrum*. 44(5): 26–31.
- LeCun, Y., Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton (2015). “Deep learning”. *Nature*. 521(7553): 436–444.
- Lee, D.-S., K.-K. Shieh, S.-C. Jeng, and I.-H. Shen (2008). “Effect of character size and lighting on legibility of electronic papers”. *Displays*. 29(1): 10–17.

- Lee, M. and S. Hong (2021). “Adequate Sample Sizes for a Three-Level Growth Model”. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 12: 685496.
- Lee, J., D. Moon, I. Kim, and Y. Lee (2019). “A semantic approach to improving machine readability of a large-scale attack graph”. *The Journal of Supercomputing*. 75(6): 3028–3045.
- Leek, M. R. (2001). “Adaptive procedures in psychophysical research”. *Perception and Psychophysics*. 63(8): 1279–1292.
- Legge, G. E. (2007). *Psychophysics of Reading in Normal and Low Vision*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Legge, G. E., S.-H. Cheung, D. Yu, S. T. L. Chung, H.-W. Lee, and D. P. Owens (2007). “The case for the visual span as a sensory bottleneck in reading”. *Journal of Vision*. 7(2): 9.
- Legge, G. E., G. S. Rubin, and A. Luebker (1987). “Psychophysics of reading—V. The role of contrast in normal vision”. *Vision Research*. 27(7): 1165–1177.
- Legge, G. E., G. S. Rubin, D. G. Pelli, and M. M. Schleske (1985). “Psychophysics of reading—II. Low vision”. *Vision Research*. 25(2): 253–265.
- Lehavy, R., F. Li, and K. Merkley (2011). “The effect of annual report readability on analyst following and the properties of their earnings forecasts”. *The Accounting Review*. 86(3): 1087–1115.
- Levi, D. M. (2008). “Crowding—An essential bottleneck for object recognition: A mini-review”. *Vision Research*. 48(5): 635–654.
- Levitt, H. (1971). “Transformed up-down methods in psychoacoustics”. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 49(2B): 467–477.
- Li, F. (2010). “Survey of the literature”. *Journal of Accounting Literature*. 29: 143–165.
- Li, J., R. K. Mantiuk, J. Wang, S. Ling, and P. L. Callet (2018a). “Hybrid-MST: A hybrid active sampling strategy for pairwise preference aggregation”. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.08851>.
- Li, J., R. K. Mantiuk, J. Wang, S. Ling, and P. L. Callet (2018b). “Hybrid-MST: A hybrid active sampling strategy for pairwise preference aggregation”. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*: 31.

- Li, J., J. Wang, M. Barkowsky, and P. L. Callet (2018c). “Exploring the effects of subjective methodology on assessing visual discomfort in immersive multimedia”. *Electronic Imaging*. 2018(14): 1–6.
- Li, Q., S. J. Joo, J. D. Yeatman, and K. Reinecke (2020). “Controlling for participants’ viewing distance in large-scale, psychophysical online experiments using a virtual chinrest”. *Scientific Reports*. 10(1): 904.
- Lindlbauer, D., A. M. Feit, and O. Hilliges (2019). “Context-aware online adaptation of mixed reality interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 147–160.
- Ling, J. and P. Schaik (2007). “The influence of line spacing and text alignment on visual search of web pages”. *Displays*. 28: 60–67.
- Liu, T.-Y. (2007). “Learning to rank for information retrieval”. *Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Information Retrieval*. 3(3): 225–331.
- Lotem, A., G. Cohen, I. Horn, and M. Meiseles (2012). *U.S. Patent No. 8,239,951*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Loughran, T. and B. McDonald (2010). *Measuring Readability in Financial Text*. SSRN ELibrary.
- Loughran, T. and B. McDonald (2014). “Measuring readability in financial disclosures”. *The Journal of Finance*. 69(4): 1643–1671.
- Macfadyen, H. (2011). “The reader’s devices: The affordances of ebook readers”. *Dalhousie Journal of Interdisciplinary Management*. 7. DOI: [10.5931/djim.v7i1.70](https://doi.org/10.5931/djim.v7i1.70).
- Majaj, N. J., D. G. Pelli, P. Kurshan, and M. Palomares (2002). “The role of spatial frequency channels in letter identification”. *Vision Research*. 42(9): 1165–1184.
- Mäkelä, V., R. Rivu, S. Alsherif, M. Khamis, C. Xiao, L. Borchert, A. Schmidt, and F. Alt (2020). *Virtual Field Studies: Conducting Studies on Public Displays in Virtual Reality*. DOI: [10.1145/3313831.3376796](https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376796).
- Margolin, S. J., C. Driscoll, M. J. Toland, and J. L. Kegler (2013). “E-readers, computer screens, or paper: Does reading comprehension change across media platforms?” *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 27(4): 512–519.
- Martelli, M., G. Filippo, D. Spinelli, and P. Zoccolotti (2009). “Crowding, reading, and developmental dyslexia”. *Journal of Vision*. 9(4): 14.

- Mason, W. and S. Suri (2011). “Conducting behavioral research on Amazon’s Mechanical Turk”. *Behavior Research Methods*. 44(1): 1–23.
- Massimi, M., R. Campigotto, A. Attarwala, and R. M. Baecker (2013). “Reading together as a leisure activity: Implications for E-reading”. In: *Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT*. Ed. by P. Kotzé, G. Marsden, G. Lindgaard, J. Wesson, and M. Winckler. Springer. 19–36. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-40480-1\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40480-1_2).
- McCallum, D. R. and J. L. Peterson (1982). “Computer-based readability indexes”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM ’82 Conference*. 44–48. DOI: [10.1145/800174.809754](https://doi.org/10.1145/800174.809754).
- McClelland, J. L. and D. E. Rumelhart (1981). “An interactive activation model of context effects in letter perception: I. An account of basic findings”. *Psychological Review*. 88(5): 375–407.
- McElree, B., G. L. Murphy, and T. Ochoa (2006). “Time course of retrieving conceptual information: A speed-accuracy trade-off study”. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*. 13(5): 848–853.
- McLaughlin, G. H. (1969). “SMOG grading-a new readability formula”. *Journal of Reading*. 12(8): 639–646.
- Microsoft HoloLens (2019). HoloLens 2 – Overview, Features, and Specs. URL: <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/hololens/hardware>.
- Millett, S. (2007). “Asian and pacific speed readings for ESL learners twenty passages written at the one thousand word level”. *English Language Institute Occasional Publication*. 24. URL: <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/citations;jsessionid=4CD613749890C9C2E1E64CFFE7EAF77C?doi=10.1.1.163.4187>.
- Mills, C. B. and L. J. Weldon (1987). “Reading text from computer screens”. *ACM Computing Surveys*. 19(4): 329–357.
- Minakata, K., C. Oderkerk, and S. Beier (2020). “Low contrast in letter-stroke facilitates lexical identification”. *Journal of Vision*. 20(11): 369.
- Morris, R. A., K. Aquilante, D. Yager, and C. Bigelow (2002). *P-13: Serifs Slow RSVP Reading at Very Small Sizes, But Don’t Matter at Larger Sizes*. Vol. 33. 244–247.

- Mustonen, T., M. Olkkonen, and J. Hakkinen (2004). “Examining mobile phone text legibility while walking”. In: *CHI '04 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1243–1246. DOI: [10.1145/985921.986034](https://doi.org/10.1145/985921.986034).
- Nam, S., Z. Bylinskii, C. Tensmeyer, C. Wigington, R. Jain, and T. Sun (2020). “Using behavioral interactions from a mobile device to classify the reader’s prior familiarity and goal conditions”. ArXiv: 2004.12016 [Cs]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.12016>.
- Negahban, A. and C.-H. Chung (2014). “Discovering determinants of users perception of mobile device functionality fit”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 35: 75–84.
- Ngiam, W. X., K. L. Khaw, A. O. Holcombe, and P. T. Goodbourn (2018). “Visual working memory for letters varies with familiarity but not complexity”. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory, and Cognition*. 45(10): 1761.
- Niantic, I. (2021). *Pokémon GO*. *Pokémon GO*. URL: <https://pokemon.golive.com/>.
- Niehorster, D. C., T. H. W. Cornelissen, K. Holmqvist, I. T. C. Hooge, and R. S. Hessels (2018). “What to expect from your remote eye-tracker when participants are unrestrained”. *Behavior Research Methods*. 50(1): 213–227.
- Nygren, E., M. Johnson, and P. Henriksson (1992). “Reading the medical record. II. Design of a human-computer interface for basic reading of computerized medical records”. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*. 39(1–2): 13–25.
- Oderkerk, C. A. T. and S. Beier (2022). “Fonts of wider letter shapes improve letter recognition in parafovea and periphery”. *Ergonomics*. 65(5): 753–761.
- O’Donovan, P., J. Libeks, A. Agarwala, and A. Hertzmann (2014). “Exploratory font selection using crowdsourced attributes”. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*. 33(4): 92:1–92:9.
- Olson, K. (2010). “An examination of questionnaire evaluation by expert reviewers”. *Field Methods*. 22(4): 295–318.
- Olulade, O. A., E. M. Napoliello, and G. F. Eden (2013). “Abnormal visual motion processing is not a cause of dyslexia”. *Neuron*. 79(1): 180–190.

- Ophir, E., C. Nass, and A. D. Wagner (2009). “Cognitive control in media multitaskers”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 106(37): 15583–15587.
- Orlosky, J., K. Kiyokawa, and H. Takemura (2013). “Dynamic text management for see-through wearable and heads-up display systems”. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*. 363–370. DOI: [10.1145/2449396.2449443](https://doi.org/10.1145/2449396.2449443).
- Osterhout, L. and P. J. Holcomb (1992). “Event-related brain potentials elicited by syntactic anomaly”. *Journal of Memory and Language*. 31(6): 785–806.
- Owsley, C. (2011). “Aging and vision”. *Vision Research*. 51(13): 1610–1622.
- Paolacci, G. and J. Chandler (2014). “Inside the Turk: Understanding mechanical Turk as a participant pool”. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 23(3): 184–188.
- Papoutsaki, A., P. Sangkloy, J. Laskey, N. Daskalova, J. Huang, and J. Hays (2017). “WebGazer: Scalable webcam eye tracking using user interactions”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI’16)*. 3839–3845.
- Parker, A., T. Slattery, and J. Kirkby (2019). “Return-sweep saccades during reading in adults and children”. *Vision Research*. 155: 35–43. DOI: [10.1016/j.visres.2018.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2018.12.007).
- Peer, E., L. Brandimarte, S. Samat, and A. Acquisti (2017). “Beyond the Turk: Alternative platforms for crowdsourcing behavioral research”. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 70: 153–163. DOI: [10.1016/j.jesp.2017.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2017.01.006).
- Pelli, D. G., C. W. Burns, B. Farell, and D. C. Moore-Page (2006). “Feature detection and letter identification”. *Vision Research*. 46(28): 4646–4674.
- Pelli, D. G. and K. A. Tillman (2007). “Parts, wholes, and context in reading: A triple dissociation”. *PLoS One*. 2(8): e680.
- Pennington, B. F. (2006). “From single to multiple deficit models of developmental disorders”. *Cognition*. 101(2): 385–413.
- Perea, M. and P. Gomez (2012). “Subtle increases in interletter spacing facilitate the encoding of words during normal reading”. *PLoS One*. 7(10): e47568.

- Peterson, N. N., C. E. Schroeder, and J. C. Arezzo (1995). “Neural generators of early cortical somatosensory evoked potentials in the awake monkey”. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology/Evoked Potentials Section*. 96(3): 248–260.
- Petrosky, A., D. Bartholomae, and T. Petrosky (2010). *Ways of Reading: An Anthology for Writers*. Bedford/St. Martin’s.
- Pew Internet Center (2013). *New Reading Data from the NEA’s Survey of Public Participation in the Arts*. Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech. URL: <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2013/10/02/new-reading-data-from-the-neas-survey-of-public-participation-in-the-arts/>.
- Piepenbrock, C., S. Mayr, and A. Buchner (2014). “Smaller pupil size and better proofreading performance with positive than with negative polarity displays”. *Ergonomics*. 57(11): 1670–1677.
- Pires, I. M., N. M. Garcia, N. Pombo, F. Flórez-Revuelta, S. Spinsante, and M. C. Teixeira (2018). “Identification of activities of daily living through data fusion on motion and magnetic sensors embedded on mobile devices”. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*. 47: 78–93. DOI: [10.1016/j.pmcj.2018.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2018.05.005).
- Plöchl, M., J. P. Ossandón, and P. König (2012). “Combining EEG and eye tracking: Identification, characterization, and correction of eye movement artifacts in electroencephalographic data”. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 6. DOI: [10.3389/fnhum.2012.00278](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2012.00278).
- Powell, S. L. and A. D. Trice (2020). “The impact of a specialized font on the reading performance of elementary children with reading disability”. *Contemporary School Psychology*. 24(1): 34–40.
- Pušnik, N., A. Podlesek, and K. Možina (2016). “Typeface comparison—Does the x-height of lower-case letters increased to the size of upper-case letters speed up recognition?” *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*. 54: 164–169. DOI: [10.1016/j.ergon.2016.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2016.06.002).
- Qian, L., J. Gao, and H. V. Jagadish (2015). “Learning user preferences by adaptive pairwise comparison”. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*. 8(11): 1322–1333.



- Raichle, M. E., A. M. MacLeod, A. Z. Snyder, W. J. Powers, D. A. Gusnard, and G. L. Shulman (2001). "A default mode of brain function". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 98(2): 676–682.
- Ramus, F., S. Rosen, S. C. Dakin, B. L. Day, J. M. Castellote, S. White, and U. Frith (2003). "Theories of developmental dyslexia: Insights from a multiple case study of dyslexic adults". *Brain*. 126(4): 841–865.
- Rasinski, T. V., S.-C. Chang, E. Edmondson, J. Nageldinger, J. Nigh, L. Remark, K. S. Kenney, E. Walsh-Moorman, K. Yildirim, W. D. Nichols, D. D. Paige, and W. H. Rupley (2017). "Reading Fluency and College Readiness". *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*. 60(4): 453–460.
- Rasinski, T. V., N. D. Padak, C. A. McKeon, L. G. Wilfong, J. A. Friedauer, and P. Heim (2005). "Is reading fluency a key for successful high school reading?" *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*. 49(1): 22–27.
- Ravula, S. (2021). "Text analysis in financial disclosures". Preprint ArXiv: 2101.04480.
- Rayner, K. (1975). "The perceptual span and peripheral cues in reading". *Cognitive Psychology*. 7(1): 65–81.
- Rayner, K. (1998). "Eye movements in reading and information processing: 20 years of research". *Psychological Bulletin*. 124(3): 372–422.
- Rayner, K., ed. (2012). *Psychology of Reading*. 2nd ed. Psychology Press.
- Rayner, K., M. S. Castelano, and J. Yang (2010). "Preview benefit during eye fixations in reading for older and younger readers". *Psychology and Aging*. 25(3): 714.
- Reed, A. V. (1973). "Speed-accuracy trade-off in recognition memory". *Science*. 181(4099): 574–576.

- Reeves, B., N. Ram, T. N. Robinson, J. J. Cummings, C. L. Giles, J. Pan, A. Chiatti, M. Cho, K. Roehrick, X. Yang, A. Gagneja, M. Brinberg, D. Muise, Y. Lu, M. Luo, A. Fitzgerald, and L. Yeykelis (2019). “Screenomics: A framework to capture and analyze personal life experiences and the ways that technology shapes them”. *Human-Computer Interaction*. 36(2): 150–201.
- Reeves, B., T. Robinson, and N. Ram (2020). “Time for the human screenome project”. *Nature*. 577: 314–317. DOI: [10.1038/d41586-020-00032-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-00032-5).
- Reicher, G. M. (1969). “Perceptual recognition as a function of meaningfulness of stimulus material”. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*. 81: 275–280. DOI: [10.1037/h0027768](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0027768).
- Reichle, E. D. (2021). *Computational Models of Reading: A Handbook*. Oxford University Press.
- Reid, L., M. Reid, and A. Bennett (2004). “Towards a reader-friendly font: Rationale for developing a typeface that is friendly for beginning readers, particularly those labelled dyslexic”. *Visible Language*. 38: 246–259.
- Rello, L. and R. Baeza-Yates (2016). “The effect of font type on screen readability by people with dyslexia”. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing*. 8(4): 15:1–15:33.
- Rello, L. and R. Baeza-Yates (2017). “How to present more readable text for people with dyslexia”. *Universal Access in the Information Society*. 16(1): 29–49.
- Rello, L., G. Kanvinde, and R. Baeza-Yates (2012). “Layout guidelines for web text and a web service to improve accessibility for dyslexics”. In: *Proceedings of the International Cross-Disciplinary Conference on Web Accessibility*. 1–9. DOI: [10.1145/2207016.2207048](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207016.2207048).
- Rello, L., M. Pielot, and M.-C. Marcos (2016). “Make it big!: The effect of font size and line spacing on online readability”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3637–3648. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858204](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858204).
- Rello, L., M. Pielot, M.-C. Marcos, and R. Carlini (2013). “Size matters (spacing not): 18 points for a dyslexic-friendly Wikipedia”. *10th International Cross-Disciplinary Conference on Web Accessibility*. 10: 2461121–2461125. DOI: [10.1145/2461121.2461125](https://doi.org/10.1145/2461121.2461125).

- Riehmman, P., M. Hanfler, and B. Froehlich (2005). “Interactive Sankey diagrams”. *IEEE Symposium on Information Visualization, 2005. INFOVIS 2005*. 233–240. DOI: [10.1109/INFVIS.2005.1532152](https://doi.org/10.1109/INFVIS.2005.1532152).
- Rothwell, J. (2020). *Assessing the Economic Gains of Eradicating Illiteracy Nationally and Regionally in the United States*. Barbara Bush Foundation for Family Literacy. URL: [https://www.barbarabush.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/BBFoundation\\_GainsFromEradicatingIlliteracy\\_9\\_8.pdf](https://www.barbarabush.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/BBFoundation_GainsFromEradicatingIlliteracy_9_8.pdf).
- Rubin, G. S. and G. E. Legge (1989). “Psychophysics of reading. VI. The role of contrast in low vision”. *Vision Research*. 29(1): 79–91.
- Ružický, E., J. Lacko, J. Štefanovič, J. Hlaváč, and M. Šramka (2020). “Processing and visualization of medical data in a multiuser environment using artificial intelligence”. *2020 Cybernetics Informatics (KI)*: 1–5. DOI: [10.1109/KI48306.2020.9039890](https://doi.org/10.1109/KI48306.2020.9039890).
- Rzayev, R., P. W. Wozniak, T. Dingler, and N. Henze (2018). *Reading on Smart Glasses: The Effect of Text Position, Presentation Type and Walking*. Vol. 9. DOI: [10.1145/3173574.3173619](https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173619).
- Sabatini, J. P., J. Shore, S. Holtzman, and H. S. Scarborough (2011). “Relative effectiveness of reading intervention programs for adults with low literacy”. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*. 4(2): 118–133.
- Samek, W., T. Wiegand, and K.-R. Müller (2017). “Explainable artificial intelligence: Understanding, visualizing and interpreting deep learning models”. ArXiv: 1708.08296 [Cs, Stat]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.08296>.
- Sanford, E. C. (1888). “The relative legibility of the small letters”. *The American Journal of Psychology*. 1(3): 402–435.
- Sawyer, B. D., B. Wolfe, J. Dobres, N. Chahine, B. Mehler, and B. Reimer (2020). “Glanceable, legible typography over complex backgrounds”. *Ergonomics*. 63(7): 864–883.
- Scaltritti, M., A. Miniukovich, P. Venuti, R. Job, A. De Angeli, and S. Sulpizio (2019). “Investigating effects of typographic variables on webpage reading through eye movements”. *Scientific Reports*. 9(1): 12711.

- Schildbach, B. and E. Rukzio (2010). “Investigating selection and reading performance on a mobile phone while walking”. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*. 93–102.
- Schneegass, C. and F. Draxler (2021). “Designing task resumption cues for interruptions in mobile learning scenarios”. In: *Technology-Augmented Perception and Cognition*. Ed. by T. Dingler and E. Niforatos. Springer International Publishing. 125–181. URL: [10.1007/978-3-030-30457-7\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30457-7_5).
- Schnell, T., L. Yekhshatyan, and R. Daiker (2009). “Effect of luminance and text size on information acquisition time from traffic signs”. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. 2122(1): 52–62.
- Seaboyer, J. and T. Barnett (2019). *New Perspectives on Reading and Writing Across the Disciplines*. DOI: [10.1080/07294360.2019.1544111](https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1544111).
- Shatz, I. (2017). “Fast, free, and targeted: Reddit as a source for recruiting participants online”. *Social Science Computer Review*. 35(4): 537–549.
- Shaywitz, M. D. S. and M. D. J. Shaywitz (2020). *Overcoming Dyslexia, A New and Complete Science-Based Program for Reading Problems at Any Level*. 2nd ed. Vintage Books, a division of Random House, Inc.
- Sheedy, J. E., M. V. Subbaram, A. B. Zimmerman, and J. R. Hayes (2005). “Text legibility and the letter superiority effect”. *Human Factors*. 47(4): 797–815.
- Sheppard, S., S. Nobles, S. Kajfez, A. Palma, K. Crowley, M. Jordan, and S. Beier (2022a). “Influences of font format on reading comprehension: Implications of font personalization in K-8 students”. In: *Society for the Scientific Study of Reading (SSSR) Annual Conference*.
- Sheppard, S., S. Nobles, A. Palma, S. Kajfez, M. Jordan, K. Crowley, and S. Beier (2022b). “The influence of font format and font format personalization on comprehension in child and adolescent readers”. Under Review.

- Siegenthaler, E., Y. Bochud, P. Bergamin, and P. Wurtz (2012). "Reading on LCD vs e-Ink displays: Effects on fatigue and visual strain." *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*. 32(5): 367–374.
- Siegenthaler, E., P. Wurtz, P. Bergamin, and R. Groner (2011). "Comparing reading processes on e-ink displays and print". *Displays*. 32(5): 268–273.
- Sihoe, A. D. L. (2015). "Rationales for an accurate sample size evaluation". *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 7(11): E531–E536.
- Slattery, T. J. and K. Rayner (2013). "Effects of intraword and interword spacing on eye movements during reading: Exploring the optimal use of space in a line of text". *Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics*. 75(6): 1275–1292.
- Snell, J., S. van Leipsig, J. Grainger, and M. Meeter (2018). "OB1-reader: A model of word recognition and eye movements in text reading". *Psychological Review*. 125(6): 969.
- Soleimani, H., S. Ketabi, and M. R. Talebinejad (2008). "The noticing function of output in acquisition of rhetorical structure of contrast paragraphs of iranian EFL university students". *Linguistik Online*. 34(2): Article 2. DOI: [10.13092/lo.34.527](https://doi.org/10.13092/lo.34.527).
- Soleimani, H. and E. Mohammadi (2012). "The effect of text typographical features on legibility, comprehension, and retrieval of EFL learners". *English Language Teaching*. 5(8): 207–216.
- Sorenson Duncan, T., C. Mimeau, N. Crowell, and S. H. Deacon (2020). "Not all sentences are created equal: Evaluating the relation between children's understanding of basic and difficult sentences and their reading comprehension". *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 113(2): 268–278.
- Spearman, C. (1908). "The method of 'right and wrong cases' ('constant stimuli') without Gauss's formulae". *British Journal of Psychology*, 1904–1920. 2(3): 227–242.
- Spencer, M. and R. K. Wagner (2017). "The comprehension problems for second-language learners with poor reading comprehension despite adequate decoding: A meta-analysis". *Journal of Research in Reading*. 40(2): 199–217.

- Spyridakis, J. H. and M. J. Wenger (1991). “An empirical method of assessing topic familiarity in reading comprehension research”. *British Educational Research Journal*. 17(4): 353–360.
- Srivastava, N., R. Jain, J. Healey, Z. Bylinskii, and T. Dingler (2021). “Mitigating the effects of reading interruptions by providing reviews and previews”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–6. DOI: [10.1145/3411763.3451610](https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3451610).
- Stevens, J. P. (2012). *Applied Multivariate Statistics for the Social Sciences*. 5th ed. Routledge.
- Stevens, S. S. (1946). “On the theory of scales of measurement”. *Science, New Series*. 103(2684): 677–680.
- Storer, K. M. and S. M. Branham (2019). “That’s the way sighted people do it: What blind parents can teach technology designers about co-reading with children”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference*. 385–398. DOI: [10.1145/3322276.3322374](https://doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322374).
- Sweeney, M. A. (2018). “Audience awareness as a threshold concept of reading: An examination of student learning in biochemistry”. *Research in the Teaching of English*. 53(1): 58–79.
- Taptagaporn, S. and S. Saito (1990). “How display polarity and lighting conditions affect the pupil size of VDT operators”. *Ergonomics*. 33(2): 201–208.
- Taylor, S. E. (1965). “Eye movements in reading: Facts and fallacies”. *American Educational Research Journal*. 2(4): 187–202.
- Tejero, P., B. Insa, and J. Roca (2018). “Increasing the default interletter spacing of words can help drivers to read traffic signs at longer distances”. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*. 117: 298–303. DOI: [10.1016/j.aap.2018.04.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2018.04.028).
- The Yale Center for Dyslexia & Creativity (2022). *Dyslexia FAQ*. URL: <https://dyslexia.yale.edu/dyslexia/dyslexia-faq>.
- Tinker, M. A. (1946). “The study of eye movements in reading”. *Psychological Bulletin*. 43(2): 93–120.
- Tinker, M. A. (1963). *Legibility of Print (Z250 A4 T5)*. Iowa City, IA: Iowa State University Press.

- Tracy, W. (1986). *Letters of Credit: A View of Type Design*. London: Gordon Fraser.
- Treisman, A. M. and G. Gelade (1980). “A feature-integration theory of attention”. *Cognitive Psychology*. 12(1): 97–136.
- Treutwein, B. and H. Strasburger (1999). “Fitting the psychometric function”. *Perception and Psychophysics*. 61(1): 87–106.
- U.S. Department of Education (2022). *NAEP Long-Term Trend Assessment Results: Reading and Mathematics*. URL: <https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/highlights/ltt/2022/>.
- Valliappan, N., N. Dai, E. Steinberg, J. He, K. Rogers, V. Ramachandran, P. Xu, M. Shojaeizadeh, L. Guo, K. Kohlhoff, and V. Navalpakkam (2020). “Accelerating eye movement research via accurate and affordable smartphone eye tracking”. *Nature Communications*. 11(1): Article 1. DOI: [10.1038/s41467-020-18360-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18360-5).
- van der Mark, S., P. Klaver, K. Bucher, U. Maurer, E. Schulz, S. Brem, E. Martin, and D. Brandeis (2011). “The left occipitotemporal system in reading: Disruption of focal fMRI connectivity to left inferior frontal and inferior parietal language areas in children with dyslexia”. *Neuroimage*. 54(3): 2426–2436.
- van Engen-Verheul, M. M., L. W. Peute, N. F. de Keizer, N. Peek, and M. W. Jaspers (2016). “Optimizing the user interface of a data entry module for an electronic patient record for cardiac rehabilitation: A mixed method usability approach”. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 87: 15–26. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.12.007).
- Vellutino, F. R., D. M. Scanlon, S. Small, and D. P. Fanuele (2006). “Response to intervention as a vehicle for distinguishing between children with and without reading disabilities: Evidence for the role of kindergarten and first-grade interventions”. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*. 39(2): 157–169.
- VIVE (2018). “VIVE Pro Eye Overview”. URL: <https://www.vive.com/eu/product/vive-pro-eye/overview/>.

- Wallace, S., Z. Bylinskii, J. Dobres, K. Kerr, S. Berlow, R. Treitman, N. Kumawat, K. Arpin, M. Miller, J. Huang, and B. D. Sawyer (2022a). “Towards individuated reading experiences: Different fonts increase reading speed for different Individuals”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*. 29(4). DOI: [10.1145/3502222](https://doi.org/10.1145/3502222).
- Wallace, S., J. Dobres, Z. Bylinskii, and B. D. Sawyer (2022b). “Space for readability: Effects of reading speed from individuated character and word spacing”. *Journal of Vision*. 22.
- Wallace, S., R. Treitman, J. Huang, B. D. Sawyer, and Z. Bylinskii (2020a). “Accelerating adult readers with typeface: A study of individual preferences and effectiveness”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–9. URL: [10.1145/3334480.3382985](https://doi.org/10.1145/3334480.3382985).
- Wallace, S., R. Treitman, N. Kumawat, K. Arpin, J. Huang, B. Sawyer, and Z. Bylinskii (2020b). “Towards readability individuation: The right changes to text format make large impacts on reading speed”. *Journal of Vision*. 20(10): 17.
- Wang, Y., Y. Gao, and Z. Lian (2020). “Attribute2Font: Creating fonts you want from attributes”. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*. 39(4): 69:69:1–69:69:15.
- Warm, J. S., R. Parasuraman, and G. Matthews (2008). “Vigilance requires hard mental work and is stressful”. *Human Factors*. 50(3): 433–441.
- Watson, A. B. and D. G. Pelli (1983). “Quest: A Bayesian adaptive psychometric method”. *Perception and Psychophysics*. 33(2): 113–120.
- Watson, A. and S. Wallace (2021). “Improving reading outcomes using digital reading rulers for readers with and without dyslexia”. *Journal of Vision*. 21(9): 2650.
- Wei, C., D. Yu, and T. Dingier (2020). “Reading on 3D surfaces in virtual environments”. In: *IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*. 721–728.
- Wheeler, D. D. (1970). “Processes in word recognition”. *Cognitive Psychology*. 1(1): 59–85.



- Whitney, C. (2001). "How the brain encodes the order of letters in a printed word: The SERIOL model and selective literature review". *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*. 8(2): 221–243.
- Wisiecka, K., K. Krejtz, I. Krejtz, D. Sromek, A. Cellary, Lewandowska, B., and A. Duchowski (2022). "Comparison of webcam and remote eye tracking". In: *2022 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications*. 1–7. DOI: [10.1145/3517031.3529615](https://doi.org/10.1145/3517031.3529615).
- Wisotzky, E. L., J.-C. Rosenthal, P. Eisert, A. Hilsmann, F. Schmid, M. Bauer, A. Schneider, and F. C. Uecker (2019). "Interactive and multimodal-based augmented reality for remote assistance using a digital surgical microscope". In: *2019 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*. 1477–1484.
- Wolf, M. (2018). *Reader, Come Home: The Reading Brain in A Digital World*. Harper.
- Wolfe, B., A. Kosovicheva, S. Stent, and R. Rosenholtz (2021). "Attentional cueing in the world: Temporal and spatiotemporal cues for road hazards". *Journal of Vision*. 21(9): 2218.
- Wolfe, B., B. D. Sawyer, and R. Rosenholtz (2020). "Toward a theory of visual information acquisition in driving". *Human Factors*. 64(4): 694–713. DOI: [10.1177/0018720820939693](https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720820939693).
- Wolfe, J. M. (2021). "Guided search 6.0: An updated model of visual search". *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*. 28: 1–33.
- Wolfe, J. M., K. R. Cave, and S. L. Franzel (1989). "Guided search: An alternative to the feature integration model for visual search". *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*. 15(3): 419–433.
- Wong, C. A., V. A. Miller, K. Murphy, D. Small, C. A. Ford, S. M. Willi, J. Feingold, A. Morris, Y. P. Ha, J. Zhu, W. Wang, and M. S. Patel (2017). "Effect of financial incentives on glucose monitoring adherence and glycemic control among adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes: A randomized clinical trial". *JAMA Pediatrics*. 171(12): 1176–1183.
- Xiong, Y.-Z., E. A. Lorsche, J. S. Mansfield, C. Bigelow, and G. E. Legge (2018). "Fonts designed for macular degeneration: Impact on reading". *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*. 59(10): 4182–4189.

- Xu, R. and D. Wunsch (2005). “Survey of clustering algorithms”. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*. 16(3): 645–678.
- Yamabe, T. and K. Takahashi (2007). “Experiments in Mobile User Interface Adaptation for Walking Users”. *The 2007 International Conference on Intelligent Pervasive Computing (IPC)*: 280–284. DOI: [10.1109/IPC.2007.94](https://doi.org/10.1109/IPC.2007.94).
- Yeung, A. W., T. K. Goto, and W. K. Leung (2018). “Readability of the 100 most-cited neuroimaging papers assessed by common readability formulae”. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 12: 308. DOI: [10.3389/fnhum.2018.00308](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00308).
- Yeykelis, L., J. J. Cummings, and B. Reeves (2014). “Multitasking on a single device: Arousal and the frequency, anticipation, and prediction of switching between media content on a computer”. *Journal of Communication*. 64(1): 167–192.
- Zhang, X., Y. Sugano, and A. Bulling (2019). “Evaluation of appearance-based methods and implications for gaze-based applications”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13. DOI: [10.1145/3290605.3300646](https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300646).
- Zhou, S., H. Jeong, and P. A. Green (2017). “How consistent are the best-known readability equations in estimating the readability of design standards?” *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*. 60(1): 97–111.
- Zineddin, A. Z., P. M. Garvey, R. A. Carlson, and M. T. Pietrucha (2003). “Effects of practice on font legibility”. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*. 47(13): 1717–1720.