

Searching the Enterprise

Udo Kruschwitz

University of Essex, UK

udo@essex.ac.uk

Charlie Hull

Flax, UK

charlie@flax.co.uk

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Information Retrieval

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

U. Kruschwitz and C. Hull. *Searching the Enterprise*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Information Retrieval, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–142, 2017.

This Foundations and Trends[®] issue was typeset in L^AT_EX using a class file designed by Neal Parikh. Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-304-1

© 2017 U. Kruschwitz and C. Hull

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Information Retrieval**
Volume 11, Issue 1, 2017
Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Maarten de Rijke
University of Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Mark Sanderson
Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology
Australia

Editors

Ben Carterette
University of Delaware

Charles L.A. Clarke
University of Waterloo

Claudia Hauff
Delft University of Technology

Diane Kelly
University of Tennessee

Doug Oard
University of Maryland

Ellen M. Voorhees
*National Institute of Standards and
Technology*

Emine Yilmaz
University College London

Fabrizio Sebastiani
ISTI-CNR

Ian Ruthven
University of Strathclyde

Jaap Kamps
University of Amsterdam

James Allan
University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Jamie Callan
Carnegie Mellon University

Jian-Yun Nie
University of Montreal

Jimmy Lin
University of Maryland

Leif Azzopardi
University of Glasgow

Marie-Francine Moens
Catholic University of Leuven

Mark D. Smucker
University of Waterloo

Rodrygo Luis Teodoro Santos
Federal University of Minas Gerais

Ryen White
Microsoft Research

Soumen Chakrabarti
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

Tie-Jan Liu
Microsoft Research

Yiqun Liu
Tsinghua University

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Applications of IR
- Architectures for IR
- Collaborative filtering and recommender systems
- Cross-lingual and multilingual IR
- Distributed IR and federated search
- Evaluation issues and test collections for IR
- Formal models and language models for IR
- IR on mobile platforms
- Indexing and retrieval of structured documents
- Information categorization and clustering
- Information extraction
- Information filtering and routing
- Metasearch, rank aggregation, and data fusion
- Natural language processing for IR
- Performance issues for IR systems, including algorithms, data structures, optimization techniques, and scalability
- Question answering
- Summarization of single documents, multiple documents, and corpora
- Text mining
- Topic detection and tracking
- Usability, interactivity, and visualization issues in IR
- User modelling and user studies for IR
- Web search

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval, 2017, Volume 11, 5 issues. ISSN paper version 1554-0669. ISSN online version 1554-0677. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in Information Retrieval
Vol. 11, No. 1 (2017) 1–142
© 2017 U. Kruschwitz and C. Hull
DOI: 10.1561/15000000053



Searching the Enterprise

Udo Kruschwitz
University of Essex, UK
udo@essex.ac.uk

Charlie Hull
Flax, UK
charlie@flax.co.uk

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Overview	3
1.2	Examples	5
1.3	Perception and Reality	9
1.4	Recent Developments	10
1.5	Outline	11
2	Plotting the Landscape	13
2.1	The Changing Face of Search	13
2.2	Defining Enterprise Search	14
2.3	Related Search Areas and Applications	17
2.4	Search Techniques	34
2.5	Contextualisation	37
2.6	Concluding Remarks	49
3	Enterprise Search Basics	52
3.1	Structure of Data	53
3.2	Collection Gathering	59
3.3	Search Architectures	63
3.4	Information Needs and Applications	68
3.5	Search Context	76

3.6	User Modelling	78
3.7	Tools, Frameworks and Resources	81
4	Evaluation	82
4.1	Relevance and Metrics	83
4.2	Evaluation Paradigms and Campaigns	85
4.3	Test Collections	89
4.4	Lessons Learned	94
5	Making Enterprise Search Work	95
5.1	Putting the User in Control	96
5.2	Relevance Tuning and Support	103
6	The Future	110
6.1	General Trends	110
6.2	Technical Developments	111
6.3	Moving towards Cooperative Search	113
6.4	Some Research Challenges	114
6.5	Final Words	117
7	Conclusion	118
	Acknowledgements	120
	References	121

Abstract

Search has become ubiquitous but that does not mean that search has been solved. Enterprise search, which is broadly speaking the use of information retrieval technology to find information within organisations, is a good example to illustrate this. It is an area that is of huge importance for businesses, yet has attracted relatively little academic interest. This monograph will explore the main issues involved in enterprise search both from a research as well as a practical point of view. We will first plot the landscape of enterprise search and its links to related areas. This will allow us to identify key features before we survey the field in more detail. Throughout the monograph we will discuss the topic as part of the wider information retrieval research field, and we use Web search as a common reference point as this is likely the search application area that the average reader is most familiar with.

1

Introduction

“Enterprise Search doesn’t work”. Does that sound familiar? Well, it is a view commonly held by employees trying to find information within their organisation. On the other hand, an information retrieval (IR) researcher or student might never have heard this or even thought about it.

Given the wide-spread sentiment among users and search practitioners that enterprise search does not deliver on its promises, the question arises as to what is it that causes these perceptions and do they properly reflect the reality? One of the fundamental issues underlying the overall discussion is the question of how does enterprise search relate to search in general and Web search in particular. This monograph will provide a thorough discussion of the topic and outline implications and guidance resulting from this. We will focus on both theoretical and practical issues as well as their interplay.

We expect our main audience to be researchers and PhD students with some background in information retrieval who want to learn something about enterprise search. Apart from that, we do hope that practitioners facing the challenge of having to implement an enterprise search system and those that need to understand the technical and user in-

terface problems associated with enterprise search will also find the monograph valuable.

There are at least two ways of approaching this topic academically. One approach might be to review the refereed literature and provide a contextualisation of enterprise search by comparing and contrasting it with related work. A second approach could do this by highlighting research gaps in enterprise search and providing a research agenda in the spirit of the *Strategic Workshops on Information Retrieval (SWIRL)* in Lorne.¹ Given the relatively limited academic interest that enterprise search has attracted, in particular a lack of detailed comparison with other search areas, we opted for the first approach as the first step. We do however need to note that due to the applied nature of the field the refereed literature will only be able to paint a partial picture and not necessarily represent where the technology is at the present time. We therefore complement the analysis with appropriate references to studies and surveys from the practitioners' community. In concluding the review we will also provide a number of interesting future research directions.

1.1 Overview

Web search is a relatively recent development that has attracted much attention and for many it has become a synonym for 'search' in general. But Web search is just one – perhaps the most prominent – search context. There are many other application areas, enterprise search being one of them, which require fundamentally different solutions. Note that we do not simply want to reduce our discussion to a comparison of searching the Web with searching within an organisation – other types of search include Web site search, database search, and desktop search among others. Identifying the key features of each search type allows a systematic comparison and these features can be characterised by investigating a number of dimensions that reflect, for example:

- Generic properties characterising the document collection (e.g., scale of the collection, document formats)

¹<http://www.cs.rmit.edu.au/swirl112/>

- Specific structural and organisational differences (e.g., link structure, internal document structure, distribution of documents across data silos)
- Individual document properties (e.g., time stamp, version number, metadata)
- Different types of information needs (e.g., navigational search *versus* attempting to find an expert in a particular subject)
- Differences between the target users (e.g., a heterogeneous set of Web searchers on the one hand and on the other a clearly defined user population whose members have different information needs, interests and access rights according to their role within the enterprise)
- The level of support needed (e.g., working out of the box *versus* requiring continuous support).

Unpacking these differences results in a fairly complex picture with equally complex implications. To choose just one way of contrasting enterprise search with related areas, we will see that while Web search is aimed at high *precision*, in enterprise search *recall* is often at least as important as precision.

Comparing and contrasting enterprise search with other search applications allows us to work out exactly what the fundamental features of enterprise search are and, following from that, what needs to be done in order to make enterprise search work. In short, we will identify the importance of ‘putting the user in control’, and present customisation and continuous tuning as *essential* requirements for those wishing to maximise the value of their investment in a practical search solution – in other words to avoid failure.

The survey aims to be a thoroughly compiled resource and a primer for a range of interested readers as outlined earlier. References that offer a more general entry point into enterprise search include [Mukherjee and Mao, 2004] and [Hawking, 2010]. White approaches the problem from the perspective of a manager who has been put in charge of enterprise search [White, 2007, 2015b]. Any publication on enterprise search

exemplifies a particular characteristic of the area, the fact that it is difficult to separate the fundamental technical challenges from organisational and pragmatic considerations.

1.2 Examples

Enterprise search tools must provide support for many more functions than simply indexing and query processing so that a basic search tool will not be enough [Hawking, 2010]. To illustrate this we present some introductory examples, all drawn from real applications in industry and academia. Each of these highlights a number of core issues that enterprise search has to deal with, including different types of data structures, access to data silos, the need for manual customisation, the application of domain-specific taxonomies, the varying user needs that need to be catered for etc. One could also argue that none of the examples might be seen as *traditional* enterprise search implementations which just shows what variety of problems need to be considered in any specific use case.

Some of the key concepts that we will encounter again are *emphasized*.

1.2.1 Reed

Reed Specialist Recruitment is part of Reed Global, which also includes Europe's biggest jobs Web site² receiving more than 1.5 million job applications per month.³ Founded in 1960, Reed is a specialist provider of permanent, contract, temporary and outsourced recruitment solutions, and IT and HR consulting, with more than 3,000 permanent employees working out of 350 offices worldwide. As part of a major IT development programme the company moved to a new search framework, accessible to staff in local offices and centrally, that had to deal with a mix of document *repositories* of varying structure including a complex database consisting of millions of records (i.e. *structured* data)

²<http://www.reed.co.uk>

³http://www.flax.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/reed_case_study_oct2011.pdf

as well as a database of CVs represented as flat files in a multiplicity of different formats such as Microsoft Word and PDF (i.e. *unstructured / semi-structured* content). Most of the files are in English, but other languages include Polish, Arabic and Chinese. The *open-source* Apache Lucene/Solr platform was chosen as the new search framework which provides *faceted search* and geospatial filtering and ranking based on complex business rules as well as custom *boost* options. A custom-built performance tester was built for *continuous monitoring* of the system's performance.

1.2.2 Australian National University

An example from academia is reported by Li et al. [2013] who investigate methods for *federated search* at the Australian National University. Information at this university is available in a variety of formats including *structured* databases such as a telephone directory, a course catalogue, and a library catalogue. Furthermore, *semi-structured* documents are sourced from more than 500 different Web servers. *Email lists*, *file shares*, local Web servers and other resources add further internal repositories. The university also makes use of external services such as Twitter, Facebook and YouTube. The individual sources *vary in size* ranging 'from quite small to more than a million documents', in subject matter, and in language among other characteristics. In this setting the creation of a central index is impossible due to the range of sources and *restrictions on access*. Instead, different repositories need to be accessed individually and then results *aggregated*. The authors conclude that this setting is not just realistic for the chosen institution but also for many others.

1.2.3 IBM

A number of studies looking at different aspects of search within IBM⁴ have been published illustrating yet again the specific problems aris-

⁴Obviously, it needs to be appreciated that many of the studies being published by the research teams of large companies such as Microsoft and IBM might represent experimental applications and might never be the core engines underlying the organisation's enterprise search application.

1.2. Examples

ing in enterprise search. We will look into a number of these studies throughout the survey but here we only focus on one aspect which is people-focussed searches.⁵

Guy and colleagues investigate *expertise finding* as a central information need within an enterprise, i.e. finding people knowledgeable in a given topic [Guy et al., 2013]. They explore enterprise social media applications and what makes this another typical enterprise setting is the multitude of sources including blogs, wikis, forums, bookmarks, microblogs, communities, shared files, and people tags. Each of the different data sources turns out to cover a different fraction of the 400,000 employees within the organisation, ranging from around 20,000 to about 290,000 with the overlap among the individuals retrieved based on each application being very low so that each social media application tends to identify different people.

Another *people-searching* study using the internal tool ‘Faces’ demonstrates that enterprise people search should be considered a very important tool for the workforce in a large enterprise [Guy et al., 2012]. Faces goes beyond expertise search and offers searching the name, organisation unit, management chain, phone number, email, office location etc. A rapid adoption was reported within the organisation gaining tens of thousands of users per month.

1.2.4 GOV.UK

As a last example, we would like to introduce GOV.UK⁶, the Web site of the UK government which offers a single access point to information and services for citizens and businesses, guidance for professionals as well as information on government and policy. This is an example of *site search* rather than *enterprise search* and it illustrates the point that

⁵We would like to refer to a concern raised by Treem and Leonardi who review the use of social media in organisations and who observed that a disproportionate number of studies referenced in their review are the result of research conducted at IBM and involving that organisation’s employees simply because they are among the most active in publishing work on social media use in organisations [Treem and Leonardi, 2012]. We note the same is true for research published on enterprise search developments.

⁶<http://www.gov.uk>

different search areas, such as site search, Web search and enterprise search, share some properties but differ in others. GOV.UK indexes about 300,000 items of content and about 250,000 downloadable files⁷ all driven by Elasticsearch⁸. These documents are reported to originate from 870 different organisations⁹ covering 140 different *formats*¹⁰. Issues to be tackled include *duplicated* pages which might be identical or older *versions*¹¹. The difficulty in finding the right information has led to the conclusion that a *taxonomy* covering the entire content of GOV.UK needs to be developed¹² and that *tagging*¹³ content needs to be an integral part of the publishing process. The use of ‘*best bets*’ makes sure that some fixed results will always be at the top of the result list for certain queries.¹⁴

This example demonstrates clear differences to Web search (e.g., the size of the collection, the use of hard-coded matching, control over the publishing process), and close similarity with many of the features observed in the three enterprise search examples. Nevertheless, in contrast to enterprise search, it is also worth pointing out that there was no mention of *email* search, or of *access control* issues. In addition to that, all the content on GOV.UK is actually intended for publishing rather than just being *deposited*.

⁷<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2016/12/05/gov-uks-content-operating-model-whats-next-after-discovery/>

⁸<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2014/06/13/how-gov-uk-site-search-works/>

⁹<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2014/05/12/new-search-results-page-design-unified-search/>

¹⁰<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2017/01/09/formats-and-templates-whats-the-difference/>

¹¹<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2013/06/12/duplicate-titles-in-site-search/>

¹²<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2017/03/21/presenting-our-new-taxonomy-beta/>

¹³<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2017/04/18/making-tagging-part-of-publishing/>

¹⁴<https://insidegovuk.blog.gov.uk/2014/06/13/how-gov-uk-site-search-works/>

1.3 Perception and Reality

The heterogeneous structure and variety of formats of underlying data sources turns out to be a particularly prominent feature of enterprise search but there are other such features that make searching in an enterprise stand out. For example, a ‘typical’ non-enterprise search scenario might be characterised by a user trying to find a document that contains some relevant information, but a more common use case in an enterprise is the search for people who have the right expertise and a simple reason for that might be to avoid spending time and resources on work that has already been conducted within the organisation [Hertzum and Pejtersen, 2000].

With these motivating examples in mind let us step back a bit and look at the extent to which search and findability actually affect an everyday worker within an organisation. According to the most recent ‘Enterprise Search and Findability Survey’¹⁵, two thirds of responding organisations state that more than half of their employees depend upon good findability of information in their *daily work* [Findwise, 2016]. We conclude that enterprise search is not a *nice-to-have* but an essential requirement to work effectively within an enterprise context. Note that this need is in contrast to the perception of actual enterprise search users, as in the same survey almost half of the respondents expressed they are *dissatisfied* or *very dissatisfied* with existing search applications within their organisation. This discrepancy is also highlighted by another major enterprise search survey conducted by the Association for Information and Image Management (AIIM)¹⁶ which found that while almost three quarters of organisations polled expressed that search is vital or essential, hardly more than ten percent actually have an enterprise search capability in place that allows search across the organisation, a number that is consistent across different sizes of organ-

¹⁵The *Enterprise Search and Findability Survey* is an annual survey of enterprises conducted by Findwise focussing on the state of play of search and findability within enterprises. While the overall objective is to observe trends across years the questions asked are not identical every year. This is also the reason why we reference three different surveys as they each provide insight into different aspects in addition to the overall picture.

¹⁶<http://www.aiim.org/>

isations [Miles, 2014]. Obviously, not much has changed then in more than 15 years [Feldman and Sherman, 2001].

1.4 Recent Developments

Despite this monograph approaching the topic from an academic perspective, we do want to offer a glimpse into the enterprise search market. What is remarkable is the rapid change in the enterprise search landscape in recent years. To illustrate the point, David Hawking's milestone publication [Hawking, 2010] lists a broad range of enterprise search software systems but hardly any of them are still available, most prominently Google's Search Appliance (GSA) is now being retired¹⁷, FAST Search & Transfer has disappeared once acquired by Microsoft, Autonomy was taken over by HP, Vivisimo was acquired by IBM and so on. Companies like Funnelback¹⁸ on the other hand have become more prominent providers of enterprise search solutions, and a number of new vendors such as Sinequa¹⁹, Coveo²⁰ and Mindbreeze²¹ have appeared. The biggest shift has however been the rise in open source solutions. Elasticsearch²² and Apache Lucene/Solr²³, both based on the Apache Lucene²⁴ library, have developed into powerful tools that are widely applied. Bloomberg, for example, does not just deploy Apache Lucene/Solr in over 100 of its applications but the company also actively engages in the community by committing code.²⁵ Enterprise search is a core part of these applications.²⁶ More broadly speaking, the deployment of open source code has become mainstream. For example, in an attempt to create a level playing field between pro-

¹⁷<http://fortune.com/2016/02/04/google-ends-search-appliance/>

¹⁸<https://www.funnelback.com>

¹⁹<https://www.sinequa.com>

²⁰<http://www.coveo.com>

²¹<https://www.mindbreeze.com>

²²<https://www.elastic.co/products/elasticsearch>

²³<http://lucene.apache.org/solr/>

²⁴<http://lucene.apache.org>

²⁵[http://www.bloomberg.com/company/announcements/
open-source-at-bloomberg-expanding-our-engagement-with-solr/](http://www.bloomberg.com/company/announcements/open-source-at-bloomberg-expanding-our-engagement-with-solr/)

²⁶[http://www.bloomberg.com/company/announcements/
open-source-bloomberg-solr-work-enhance-enterprise-search/](http://www.bloomberg.com/company/announcements/open-source-bloomberg-solr-work-enhance-enterprise-search/)

proprietary and open source software, the UK Government IT strategy²⁷ explicitly states that government will procure open source solutions where appropriate given that “open source presents significant opportunities for the design and delivery of interoperable solutions” [Cabinet Office, 2011].

1.5 Outline

As part of plotting the landscape we will first look at the changing face of search in Chapter 2 before defining enterprise search and then contextualising it with many other common search applications, such as general Web search and more specialised applications like patent search. This analysis should offer useful insights into the different types of search areas and goes beyond enterprise search. As such the mapping of the search landscape into some form of ‘feature vector of search applications’ should be a self-contained chapter which can be used as an easy reference and overview of where enterprise search fits within the bigger picture.

The second part will be dedicated entirely to enterprise search. We decided to split the discussion into four main chapters.

Chapter 3 starts by providing a systematic overview of what defines enterprise search. We drill down into the actual characteristics by adopting a topical structure that should allow the reader to easily refer back to the discussion that contextualises enterprise search within the broader world of other search applications in Chapter 2. This chapter is meant to be a survey of the academic literature providing a solid account of the state of the art in the field while also highlighting issues around enterprise search that need to be addressed properly in order to make it work successfully.

Chapter 4 is devoted to the discussion of how to evaluate enterprise search. We present commonly applied metrics and evaluation approaches and contrast them with other areas of search. In line with the previous chapter, we will again highlight the fundamental difficulties emerging from evaluating enterprise search applications.

²⁷<https://www.gov.uk/service-manual/making-software/open-source.html>

Chapter 5 then picks up the issues and difficulties identified in Chapters 3 and 4 in a less theoretical and more practical discussion of what can and needs to be done to fully exploit the potential of enterprise search. This is the chapter that we expect to be of most interest to the practitioners among our readers while at the same time offering our academic readership an understanding of why enterprise search so often fails to perform.

Chapter 6 will look at current and future developments in this exciting application area and also identify a number of research directions that are emerging from the survey. We conclude in Chapter 7.

References

- M-D. Albakour, G. Ducatel, and U. Kruschwitz. The Role of Search for Field Force Knowledge Management. In *Transforming Field and Service Operations: Methodologies for Successful Technology-Driven Business Transformation*, Theory and Applications of Natural Language Processing, pages 117–132. Springer, 2013.
- D. Alberts, C. B. Yang, D. Fobare-DePonio, K. Koubek, S. Robins, M. Rodgers, E. Simmons, and D. DeMarco. Introduction to Patent Searching. In M. Lupu, K. Mayer, J. Tait, and A. J. Trippe, editors, *Current Challenges in Patent Information Retrieval*, pages 3–43. Springer, 2011.
- A. Alhindi, U. Kruschwitz, C. Fox, and M-D. Albakour. Profile-based summarisation for web site navigation. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 33(1):4:1–4:39, March 2015.
- J. Allan, B. Croft, A. Moffat, and M. Sanderson. Frontiers, Challenges, and Opportunities for Information Retrieval: Report from SWIRL 2012 the Second Strategic Workshop on Information Retrieval in Lorne. *SIGIR Forum*, 46(1):2–32, 2012.
- E. Amitay, D. Carmel, N. Har’El, S. Ofek-Koifman, A. Soffer, S. Yogev, and N. Golbandi. Social Search and Discovery Using a Unified Approach. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Hypermedia*, HT ’09, pages 199–208. ACM, 2009.
- J. Arguello. Aggregated Search. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 10:365–502, 2017.

- L. Azzopardi, M. de Rijke, and K. Balog. Building Simulated Queries for Known-item Topics: An Analysis Using Six European Languages. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '07, pages 455–462. ACM, 2007.
- R. Baeza-Yates and B. Ribeiro-Neto, editors. *Modern Information Retrieval*. Addison-Wesley, 2nd edition, 2010.
- P. Bailey, D. Hawking, and B. Matson. Secure search in enterprise webs: Tradeoffs in efficient implementation for document level security. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '06, pages 493–502. ACM, 2006.
- P. Bailey, N. Craswell, I. Soboroff, and A. P. de Vries. The CSIRO Enterprise Search Test Collection. *SIGIR Forum*, 41(2):42–45, 2007.
- P. Bailey, N. Craswell, A. P. de Vries, and I. Soboroff. Overview of the TREC 2007 Enterprise Track. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2007)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-274. NIST, 2008a.
- P. Bailey, N. Craswell, I. Soboroff, P. Thomas, A. P. de Vries, and E. Yilmaz. Relevance Assessment: Are Judges Exchangeable and Does It Matter. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '08, pages 667–674. ACM, 2008b.
- K. Balog, T. Bogers, L. Azzopardi, M. de Rijke, and A. van den Bosch. Broad Expertise Retrieval in Sparse Data Environments. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '07, pages 551–558. ACM, 2007.
- K. Balog, I. Soboroff, P. Thomas, P. Bailey, N. Craswell, and A. P. de Vries. Overview of the TREC 2008 Enterprise Track. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2008)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-277. NIST, 2009.
- K. Balog, Y. Fang, M. de Rijke, P. Serdyukov, and L. Si. Expertise retrieval. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 6(2-3):127–256, 2012.
- Z. Bao, B. Kimelfeld, and Y. Li. Automatic suggestion of query-rewrite rules for enterprise search. In *Proceedings of the 35th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '12, pages 591–600. ACM, 2012a.

- Z. Bao, B. Kimelfeld, Y. Li, S. Raghavan, and H. Yang. Gumshoe quality toolkit: Administering programmable search. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '12, pages 2716–2718. ACM, 2012b.
- J. R. Baron, D. D. Lewis, and D. W. Oard. TREC 2006 Legal Track Overview. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2006)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-272. NIST, 2007.
- P. Baumard. *Tacit Knowledge in Organizations*. SAGE, 1999.
- D. A. D. Bedford. Understanding and managing taxonomies as economic goods and services. *Bulletin of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 40(4):15–22, 2014.
- S. M. Beitzel, E. C. Jensen, A. Chowdhury, D. Grossman, and O. Frieder. Hourly Analysis of a Very Large Topically Categorized Web Query Log. In *Proceedings of the 27th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 321–328, Sheffield, 2004. ACM.
- S. M. Beitzel, E. C. Jensen, A. Chowdhury, O. Frieder, and D. Grossman. Temporal Analysis of a Very Large Topically Categorized Web Query Log. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*, 58(2):166–178, January 2007.
- O. Ben-Yitzhak, N. Golbandi, N. Har'El, R. Lempel, A. Neumann, S. Ofek-Koifman, D. Sheinwald, E. Shekita, B. Sznajder, and S. Yogev. Beyond basic faceted search. In *Proceedings of the 2008 International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, WSDM '08, pages 33–44. ACM, 2008.
- P. N. Bennett, R. W. White, W. Chu, S. T. Dumais, P. Bailey, F. Borisyuk, and X. Cui. Modeling the Impact of Short- and Long-term Behavior on Search Personalization. In *Proceedings of the 35th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '12, pages 185–194. ACM, 2012.
- B. Berendt and M. Spiliopoulou. Analysis of navigation behaviour in web sites integrating multiple information systems. *The VLDB Journal*, 9(1): 56–75, March 2000.
- O. Bergman, R. Beyth-Marom, R. Nachmias, N. Gradovitch, and S. Whitaker. Improved Search Engines and Navigation Preference in Personal Information Management. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 26(4):20:1–20:24, October 2008.
- T. Berners-Lee. Information Management: A Proposal. Technical report, CERN, 1989.

- T. Berners-Lee, J. Hendler, and O. Lassila. The Semantic Web. *Scientific American*, 5:34–43, May 2001.
- B. Best, J. Jürjens, and B. Nuseibeh. Model-Based Security Engineering of Distributed Information Systems Using UMLsec. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Software Engineering, ICSE '07*, pages 581–590. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
- S. Bhatia, D. Majumdar, and P. Mitra. Query Suggestions in the Absence of Query Logs. In *Proceedings of the 34th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '11*, pages 795–804. ACM, 2011.
- M. Bishop. *Computer Security: Art and Science*. Addison-Wesley, 2003.
- D. C. Blair and M. E. Maron. An Evaluation of Retrieval Effectiveness for a Full-text Document-retrieval System. *Communications of the ACM*, 28(3): 289–299, March 1985.
- T. Bogers and K. Balog. UvT Expert Collection documentation. ILK Research Group Technical Report Series no. 07-06, 2007.
- P. Boldi, F. Bonchi, C. Castillo, D. Donato, and S. Vigna. Query suggestions using query-flow graphs. In *Proceedings of the 2009 workshop on Web Search Click Data*, pages 56–63. ACM, 2009.
- C.P. Bourne and T.B. Hahn. *A History of Online Information Services, 1963-1976*. MIT Press, 2003.
- S. Brin and L. Page. The Anatomy of a Large-Scale Hypertextual Web Search Engine. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International World Wide Web Conference (WWW7)*, pages 107–117, Brisbane, 1998.
- A. Broder. A Taxonomy of Web Search. *SIGIR Forum*, 36(2):3–10, 2002.
- A. Brooking. *Corporate Memory: Strategies for Knowledge Management*. International Thomson Business Press, 1999.
- V. Bush. As we may think. *The Atlantic Monthly*, 176(1):101–108, 1945.
- Cabinet Office. Government ICT Strategy, March 2011.
- L. Carata, S. Akoush, N. Balakrishnan, T. Bytheway, R. Sohan, M. Seltzer, and A. Hopper. A Primer on Provenance. *Queue*, 12(3):10:10–10:23, March 2014.
- K. M. Carter, R. S. Caceres, and B. Priest. Latent Community Discovery Through Enterprise User Search Query Modeling. In *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '14*, pages 871–874. ACM, 2014.

- C. Castillo and B. D. Davison. Adversarial web search. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 4(5):377–486, 2011.
- R. Cattell. Scalable SQL and NoSQL Data Stores. *SIGMOD Record*, 39(4): 12–27, 2010.
- M. Chau, X. Fang, and O. R. L. Sheng. Analysis of the Query Logs of a Web Site Search Engine. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*, 56(13):1363–1376, November 2005.
- S. Chaudhuri, U. Dayal, and V. Narasayya. An overview of business intelligence technology. *Communications of the ACM*, 54(8):88–98, 2011.
- L. Cherkasova, K. Eshghi, C. B. Morrey, J. Tucek, and A. Veitch. Applying Syntactic Similarity Algorithms for Enterprise Information Management. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD '09, pages 1087–1096. ACM, 2009.
- M. Clark, Y. Kim, U. Kruschwitz, D. Song, M-D. Albakour, S. Dignum, U.C. Beresi, M. Fasli, and A. De Roeck. Automatically Structuring Domain Knowledge from Text: an Overview of Current Research. *Information Processing and Management*, 48(3):552–568, 2012.
- C. Cleverdon. The Cranfield Tests on Index Language Devices. In K. Sparck Jones and P. Willett, editors, *Readings in Information Retrieval*, pages 47–59. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 1997.
- P. H. Cleverley and S. Burnett. The best of both worlds: highlighting the synergies of combining manual and automatic knowledge organization methods to improve information search and discovery. *Knowledge Organization*, 42(6):428–444, 2015a.
- P. H. Cleverley and S. Burnett. Retrieving haystacks: a data driven information needs model for faceted search. *Journal of Information Science*, 41(1): 97–113, 2015b.
- P. H. Cleverley, S. Burnett, and L. Muir. Exploratory information searching in the enterprise: A study of user satisfaction and task performance. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(1):77–96, 2017.
- G. V. Cormack and M. R. Grossman. Engineering Quality and Reliability in Technology-Assisted Review. In *Proceedings of the 39th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '16, pages 75–84. ACM, 2016.

- G. V. Cormack, M. R. Grossman, B. Hedin, and D. W. Oard. Overview of the TREC 2010 Legal Track. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2010)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-294. NIST, 2011.
- E. Cortez, P. A. Bernstein, Y. He, and L. Novik. Annotating Database Schemas to Help Enterprise Search. *PVLDB*, 8(12):1936–1939, 2015.
- N. Craswell, A. P. de Vries, and I. Soboroff. Overview of the TREC 2005 enterprise track. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Text REtrieval Conference, TREC 2005, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA, November 15-18, 2005*, 2005.
- B. Croft, D. Metzler, and T. Strohman, editors. *Search Engines: Information Retrieval in Practice*. Pearson Education, international edition, 2010.
- J. Delgado, R. Laplanche, and V. Krishnamurthy. The new face of enterprise search: Bridging structured and unstructured information. *Information Management Journal*, 39(6):40–46, 2005.
- H. Deng, I. King, and M.R. Lyu. Entropy-biased models for query representation on the click graph. In *Proceedings of the 32nd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 339–346. ACM, 2009.
- S. Dignum, U. Kruschwitz, M. Fasli, Y. Kim, D. Song, U. Cervino, and A. De Roeck. Incorporating Seasonality into Search Suggestions Derived from Intranet Query Logs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conferences on Web Intelligence (WI'10)*, pages 425–430, Toronto, 2010.
- P. Dmitriev, P. Serdyukov, and S. Chernov. Enterprise and desktop search. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW '10*, pages 1345–1346. ACM, 2010.
- P. A. Dmitriev, N. Eiron, M. Fontoura, and E. Shekita. Using annotations in enterprise search. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW '06*, pages 811–817. ACM, 2006.
- M. Doane. Cost-benefit analysis: Integrating an enterprise taxonomy into a SharePoint environment. *Journal of Digital Asset Management*, 6(5):262–278, 2010.
- C. Doctorow. Metacrap: Putting the torch to seven straw-men of the meta-utopia. <https://www.well.com/~doctorow/metacrap.htm>, 2001.
- S. Dumais, E. Cutrell, and H. Chen. Optimizing search by showing results in context. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '01*, pages 277–284. ACM, 2001.

- S. Dumais, E. Cutrell, JJ Cadiz, G. Jancke, R. Sarin, and D. C. Robbins. Stuff i've seen: A system for personal information retrieval and re-use. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Informaion Retrieval*, SIGIR '03, pages 72–79. ACM, 2003.
- D. Elsweiler, G. Jones, L. Kelly, and J. Teevan. Workshop on Desktop Search. *SIGIR Forum*, 44(2):28–34, 2010.
- R. Fagin, R. Kumar, K. McCurley, J. Novak, D. Sivakumar, J. A. Tomlin, and D. P. Williamson. Searching the Workplace Web. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth International World Wide Web Conference (WWW2003)*, pages 366–375, Budapest, 2003. ACM.
- R. Fagin, B. Kimelfeld, Y. Li, S. Raghavan, and S. Vaithyanathan. Understanding queries in a search database system. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-ninth ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems*, PODS '10, pages 273–284. ACM, 2010.
- R. Fagin, B. Kimelfeld, Y. Li, S. Raghavan, and S. Vaithyanathan. Rewrite rules for search database systems. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems*, PODS '11, pages 271–282. ACM, 2011.
- S. Feldman and C. Sherman. The High Cost of Not Finding Information: An IDC White Paper. Technical Report 29127, IDC, 2001.
- D. F. Ferraiolo, J. F. Barkley, and D. R. Kuhn. A Role-based Access Control Model and Reference Implementation Within a Corporate Intranet. *ACM Transactions on Information and System Security*, 2(1):34–64, February 1999.
- D. Ferrucci, E. Brown, J. Chu-Carroll, J. Fan, D. Gondek, A. Kalyanpur, A. Lally, J. Murdock, E. Nyberg, J. Prager, N. Schlaefler, and C.A. Welty. Building Watson: an overview of the Deep QA project. *AI Magazine*, 31(3):59–79, 2010.
- Findwise. Enterprise Search and Findability Survey 2014. <http://www2.findwise.com/findabilitysurvey2014>, 2014.
- Findwise. Enterprise Search and Findability Survey 2015. <http://www2.findwise.com/findabilitysurvey2015>, 2015.
- Findwise. Enterprise Search and Findability Survey 2016. <https://findwise.com/Enterprise-Search-Findability-Report-2016>, 2016.

- B.M. Fonseca, P.B. Golgher, E.S. De Moura, B. Póssas, and N. Ziviani. Discovering search engine related queries using association rules. *Journal of Web Engineering*, 2(4):215–227, 2003.
- M. Fontoura, E. Shekita, J. Y. Zien, S. Rajagopalan, and A. Neumann. High performance index build algorithms for intranet search engines. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Conference on Very Large Data Bases - Volume 30*, VLDB '04, pages 1122–1133. VLDB Endowment, 2004.
- G. Forman, K. Eshghi, and J. Suermondt. Efficient Detection of Large-scale Redundancy in Enterprise File Systems. *SIGOPS Operating Systems Review*, 43(1):84–91, January 2009.
- E. A. Fox and O. Sornil. Digital libraries. In *Encyclopedia of Computer Science*, pages 576–581. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK, 2003.
- L. Freund and E. G. Toms. Enterprise Search Behaviour of Software Engineers. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '06, pages 645–646. ACM, 2006.
- L. Freund, E. G. Toms, and C. L.A. Clarke. Modeling Task-genre Relationships for IR in the Workplace. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '05, pages 441–448. ACM, 2005.
- J. Freyne, R. Farzan, P. Brusilovsky, B. Smyth, and M. Coyle. Collecting community wisdom: Integrating social search & social navigation. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*, IUI '07, pages 52–61. ACM, 2007.
- M. E. Frisse. Searching for information in a hypertext medical handbook. *Communications of the ACM*, 31(7):880–886, 1988.
- S. Gauch, M. Speretta, A. Chandramouli, and A. Micarelli. User profiles for personalized information access. *The Adaptive Web*, pages 54–89, 2007.
- J. C. Gomez and M.-F. Moens. A Survey of Automated Hierarchical Classification of Patents. In *Professional Search in the Modern World - COST Action IC1002 on Multilingual and Multifaceted Interactive Information Access*, volume 8830 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 215–249. Springer, 2014.
- M. A. Gonçalves, E. A. Fox, L. T. Watson, and N. A. Kipp. Streams, structures, spaces, scenarios, societies (5s): A formal model for digital libraries. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 22(2):270–312, 2004.

- D. Graus, D. van Dijk, M. Tsagkias, W. Weerkamp, and M. de Rijke. Recipient recommendation in enterprises using communication graphs and email content. In *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '14, pages 1079–1082. ACM, 2014.
- G. Grefenstette and L. Wilber. *Search-Based Applications*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2010.
- C. Grevet, D. Choi, D. Kumar, and E. Gilbert. Overload is Overloaded: Email in the Age of Gmail. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '14, pages 793–802. ACM, 2014.
- M. R. Grossman, G. V. Cormack, B. Hedin, and D. W. Oard. Overview of the TREC 2011 Legal Track. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2011)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-296. NIST, 2012.
- M. R. Grossman, G. V. Cormack, and A. Roegiest. TREC 2016 Total Recall Track Overview. In *Proceedings of the 25rd Text Retrieval Conference (TREC 2016)*. NIST, 2016.
- C. Gurrin, A. F. Smeaton, and A. R. Doherty. Lifelogging: Personal big data. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 8(1):1–125, 2014.
- I. Guy, S. Ur, I. Ronen, S. Weber, and T. Oral. Best Faces Forward: A Large-scale Study of People Search in the Enterprise. In *Proceedings of CHI 2012*, pages 1775–1784. ACM, 2012.
- I. Guy, U. Avraham, D. Carmel, S. Ur, M. Jacovi, and I. Ronen. Mining Expertise and Interests from Social Media. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '13, pages 515–526. ACM, 2013.
- I. Guy, I. Ronen, N. Zwerdling, I. Zuyev-Grabovitch, and M. Jacovi. What is Your Organization 'Like'? : A Study of Liking Activity in the Enterprise. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '16, pages 3025–3037. ACM, 2016.
- S. Han, S. Sörås, and O. Schjødt-Osmo. Governance of an Enterprise Social Intranet Implementation: The Statkraft Case. In *23rd European Conference on Information Systems, ECIS 2015, Münster, Germany, May 26-29, 2015*, 2015.
- P. Hansen and K. Järvelin. The Information Seeking and Retrieval process at the Swedish Patent- and Registration Office: Moving from Lab-based to real life work-task environment. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGIR 2000 Workshop on Patent Retrieval*, 2000.

- D. Hawking. Challenges in enterprise search. In *Proceedings of the 15th Australasian Database Conference - Volume 27*, ADC '04, pages 15–24. Australian Computer Society, Inc., 2004.
- D. Hawking. Enterprise Search. In R. Baeza-Yates and B. Ribeiro-Neto, editors, *Modern Information Retrieval*, pages 645–686. Addison-Wesley, 2nd edition, 2010.
- D. Hawking and K. Griffiths. An Enterprise Search Paradigm Based on Extended Query Auto-completion: Do We Still Need Search and Navigation? In *Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Document Computing Symposium*, ADCS '13, pages 18–25. ACM, 2013.
- D. Hawking and J. Zobel. Does Topic Metadata Help with Web Search? *JASIST*, 58(5):613–628, March 2007.
- D. Hawking, C. Paris, R. Wilkinson, and M. Wu. Context in enterprise search and delivery. In *Proceedings of ACM SIGIR 2005 Workshop on Information Retrieval in Context (IRiX)*, pages 14–16. Royal School of Library and Information Science, Copenhagen, 2005.
- D. Hawking, P. Thomas, T. Gedeon, T. Jones, and T. Rowlands. New methods for creating testfiles: Tuning enterprise search with C-TEST. In *Proceedings of the SIGIR 2009 Workshop on the Future of IR Evaluation*, Boston, 2009.
- M. Hertzum. Expertise Seeking: A Review. *Information, Processing and Management*, 50(5):775–795, September 2014.
- M. Hertzum and A. M. Pejtersen. The information-seeking practices of engineers: Searching for documents as well as for people. *Information Processing and Management*, 36(5):761–778, 2000.
- K. Hofmann, L. Li, and F. Radlinski. Online Evaluation for Information Retrieval. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 10:1–117, 2016.
- B. J. Jansen, J. Bateman, and T. Saracevic. Real life information retrieval: A study of user queries on the web. *SIGIR Forum*, 32(1):5–17, 1998.
- B. J. Jansen, A. Spink, C. Blakely, and S. Koshman. Defining a session on Web search engines. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*, 58(6):862–871, April 2007.
- B. J. Jansen, A. Spink, and I. Taksa, editors. *Handbook of Research on Web Log Analysis*. IGI, 2009.
- K. Järvelin, P. Vakkari, P. Arvola, F. Baskaya, A. Järvelin, J. Kekäläinen, H. Keskustalo, S. Kumpulainen, M. Saastamoinen, R. Savolainen, and E. Sormunen. Task-Based Information Interaction Evaluation: The Viewpoint of Program Theory. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 33(1):3:1–3:30, March 2015.

- H. Jhamtani, R. Saha Roy, N. Chhaya, and E. Nyberg. Leveraging Site Search Logs to Identify Missing Content on Enterprise Webpages. In *Advances in Information Retrieval: 39th European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2017, Aberdeen, UK, April 8-13, 2017, Proceedings*, pages 506–512. Springer, 2017.
- T. Joachims. Optimizing Search Engines Using Clickthrough Data. In *Proceedings of the Eighth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '02*, pages 133–142. ACM, 2002.
- T. Joachims, L. Granka, B. Pan, H. Hembrooke, and G. Gay. Accurately Interpreting Clickthrough Data As Implicit Feedback. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '05*, pages 154–161. ACM, 2005.
- M. Johansson and L. Westerling. Designing for Enterprise Search in a Global Organization. In *Third Workshop on Human-Computer Interaction and Information Retrieval (HCIR)*, pages 74–77, 2009.
- N. Kanhabua, R. Blanco, and K. Nørvåg. Temporal information retrieval. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 9(2):91–208, 2015.
- P. B. Kantor, E. Boros, B. Melamed, V. Meňkov, B. Shapira, and D. J. Neu. Capturing human intelligence in the net. *Communications of the ACM*, 43(8):112–115, August 2000.
- J. Karim, I. Antonellis, V. Ganapathi, and H. Garcia-Molina. A dynamic navigation guide for webpages. In *CHI 2009*, pages 1–4. Stanford InfoLab, September 2009.
- D. Kelly. *Understanding implicit feedback and document preference: A naturalistic user study*. PhD thesis, Rutgers University, 2004.
- D. Kelly. Methods for evaluating interactive information retrieval systems with users. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 3(1-2):1–224, 2009.
- S. Khan, U. Kanturska, T. Waters, J. Eaton, R. Bañares Alcántara, and M. Chen. Ontology-assisted Provenance Visualization for Supporting Enterprise Search of Engineering and Business Files. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 30(2):244–257, April 2016.
- J. Kim and W. B. Croft. Retrieval Experiments Using Pseudo-desktop Collections. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM '09*, pages 1297–1306. ACM, 2009.

- J. M. Kleinberg. Authoritative Sources in a Hyperlinked Environment. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 668–677. ACM, 1998.
- B. Klimt and Y. Yang. The Enron Corpus: A New Dataset for Email Classification Research. In Jean-François Boulicaut, Floriana Esposito, Fosca Giannotti, and Dino Pedreschi, editors, *ECML*, volume 3201 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 217–226. Springer, 2004.
- R. Kohavi, R. M. Henne, and D. Sommerfield. Practical guide to controlled experiments on the web: listen to your customers not to the hippo. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, KDD '07, pages 959–967. ACM, 2007.
- R. Kraft and J. Zien. Mining anchor text for query refinement. In *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 666–674. ACM, 2004.
- D. R. Krathwohl. A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(4):212–218, November 2002.
- U. Kruschwitz. An Adaptable Search System for Collections of Partially Structured Documents. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 18(4):44–52, July/August 2003.
- U. Kruschwitz. *Intelligent Document Retrieval: Exploiting Markup Structure*, volume 17 of *The Information Retrieval Series*. Springer, 2005.
- U. Kruschwitz, D. Lungley, M-D. Albakour, and D. Song. Deriving Query Suggestions for Site Search. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*, 64(10):1975–1994, October 2013.
- C. C. Kuhlthau. Inside the search process: Information seeking from the user's perspective. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science (JASIS)*, 42(5):361–371, 1991.
- S. Laqua, M. A. Sasse, S. Greenspan, and C. Gates. Do You KnowDis?: A User Study of a Knowledge Discovery Tool for Organizations. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '11, pages 2887–2896. ACM, 2011.
- N. Leavitt. Will NoSQL Databases Live Up to Their Promise? *Computer*, 43(2):12–14, February 2010.
- P. M. Leonardi, M. Huysman, and C. Steinfield. Enterprise social media: Definition, history, and prospects for the study of social technologies in organizations. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19(1):1–19, 10 2013.

- H. Li, Y. Cao, J. Xu, Y. Hu, S. Li, and D. Meyerzon. A New Approach to Intranet Search Based on Information Extraction. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM '05*, pages 460–468. ACM, 2005.
- P. Li, P. Thomas, and D. Hawking. Merging Algorithms for Enterprise Search. In *Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Document Computing Symposium, ADCS '13*, pages 42–49. ACM, 2013.
- Y. Li, Z. Liu, and H. Zhu. Enterprise Search in the Big Data Era: Recent Developments and Open Challenges. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 7(13):1717–1718, August 2014.
- X. Liu, H. Fang, C.-L. Yao, and M. Wang. Finding Relevant Information of Certain Types from Enterprise Data. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM '11*, pages 47–56. ACM, 2011.
- X. Liu, F. Chen, H. Fang, and M. Wang. Exploiting entity relationship for query expansion in enterprise search. *Information Retrieval*, 17(3):265–294, 2014.
- J. Lu, S. Pan, J. C. Lai, and Z. Wen. Information at your fingertips: Contextual ir in enterprise email. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, IUI '11*, pages 205–214. ACM, 2011.
- H. Lund and S. Ørnager. Company Taxonomy development: The case of an international emergency response organization. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 68(2):193–211, 1 2016.
- M. Lupu and A. Hanbury. Patent retrieval. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 7(1):1–97, 2013.
- A. Makhani. Structure, Personalization, Scale: A Deep Dive into LinkedIn Search. In *Proceedings of the 38th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '15*, pages 1081–1081. ACM, 2015.
- T. Mandl, C. Womser-Hacker, and N. Gätzke. Has Retrieval Technology in Vertical Site Search Systems Improved over the Years? A Holistic Evaluation for Real Web Systems. *Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice*, 3(4):19–34, 2015.
- C. Manning, R. Prabhakar, and H. Schütze. *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

- J. Manyika, M. Chui, B. Brown, J. Bughin, R. Dobbs, C. Roxburgh, and A. H. Byers. Big data: The next frontier for innovation, competition, and productivity. Technical report, McKinsey Global Institute, May 2011.
- G. Marchionini. Exploratory Search: From Finding to Understanding. *Communications of the ACM*, 49(4):41–46, April 2006.
- G. Marchionini and R.W. White. Information-seeking support systems. *IEEE Computer*, 42(3):30–32, 2009.
- G. Mark, I. Guy, S. Kremer-Davidson, and M. Jacovi. Most Liked, Fewest Friends: Patterns of Enterprise Social Media Use. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, CSCW '14, pages 393–404. ACM, 2014.
- K. Markey. Twenty-five years of end-user searching, Part 1: Research findings. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*, 58(8):1071–1081, June 2007.
- C. Massey, T. Lennig, and S. Whittaker. Cloudy Forecast: An Exploration of the Factors Underlying Shared Repository Use. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '14, pages 2461–2470. ACM, 2014.
- C. Mathieu. Practical Application of the Dublin Core Standard for Enterprise Metadata Management. *Bulletin of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 43(2):29–34, 2017.
- D. Maxwell and L. Azzopardi. Agents, Simulated Users and Humans: An Analysis of Performance and Behaviour. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '16, pages 731–740. ACM, 2016.
- M. Melucci. Contextual search: A computational framework. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 6(4-5):257–405, 2012.
- I. Mergel. *The Social Intranet : Insights on Managing and Sharing Knowledge Internally*. IBM Center for the Business of Government, 2016.
- D. Miles. AIIM Industry Watch: Search and Discovery - Exploiting Knowledge, Minimizing Risk. AIIM, 2014.
- M. R. Morris, J. Teevan, and S. Bush. Enhancing collaborative web search with personalization: Groupization, smart splitting, and group highlighting. In *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, CSCW '08, pages 481–484. ACM, 2008.
- P. Morville and J. Callander. *Search Patterns*. O'Reilly, 2010.

- P. Morville and L. Rosenfeld. *Information Architecture for the World Wide Web*. O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2006.
- L. Muchemi and G. Grefenstette. Rapid Induction of Multiple Taxonomies for Enhanced Faceted Text Browsing. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence & Applications (IJAA)*, 7(4):1–13, 2016.
- R. Mukherjee and J. Mao. Enterprise search: Tough stuff. *Queue*, 2(2):36–46, April 2004.
- K. Norling and B. Lamb, editors. *Intranets – Handbook for Intranet Managers*. Intranätverk, 2017.
- D. W. Oard and W. Webber. Information retrieval for e-discovery. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 7(2-3):99–237, 2013.
- D. W. Oard, B. Hedin, S. Tompkinson, and J. B. Baron. Overview of the TREC 2008 Legal Track. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2008)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-277. NIST, 2009.
- D. W. Oard, W. Webber, D. Kirsch, and S. Golitsynskiy. Avocado Research Email Collection LDC2015T03. DVD, 2015. Philadelphia: Linguistic Data Consortium.
- C. Olston and E.H. Chi. Scenttrails: Integrating browsing and searching on the web. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 10:177–197, September 2003.
- A. Ortiz-Cordova, Y. Yang, and B. J. Jansen. External to internal search: Associating searching on search engines with searching on sites. *Information Processing and Management*, 51(5):718–736, September 2015.
- A. Pal. Discovering Experts Across Multiple Domains. In *Proceedings of the 38th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '15, pages 923–926. ACM, 2015.
- S. A. Paul. Find an Expert: Designing Expert Selection Interfaces for Formal Help-Giving. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '16, pages 3038–3048. ACM, 2016.
- K. Pernice, M. Schwartz, and J. Nielsen. Intranet Design Annual: The 10 Best Intranets of 2006. <https://www.nngroup.com/reports/10-best-intranets-2006/>, 2006.
- K. Pernice, A. Schade, and J. Nielsen. Intranet Usability Guidelines, vol. 6: Searching the Intranet and the Employee Directory. <https://www.nngroup.com/reports/intranet-searching/>, 2007.

- J. Pitkow, H. Schütze, T. Cass, R. Cooley, D. Turnbull, A. Edmonds, E. Adar, and T. Breuel. Personalized search. *Communications of the ACM*, 45(9): 50–55, September 2002.
- J. Pokorný. Nosql databases: a step to database scalability in web environment. *International Journal of Web Information Systems*, 9(1):69–82, 2013.
- A. Popescu, O. Etzioni, and H. Kautz. Towards a theory of natural language interfaces to databases. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces (IUI'03)*, pages 149–157. ACM, 2003.
- J. Prager. Open-domain question-answering. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 1(2):99–231, 2007.
- B. Priest and K. M. Carter. Characterizing Latent User Interests on Enterprise Networks. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference, FLAIRS 2014, Pensacola Beach, Florida, May 21-23, 2014*. AAAI Press, 2014.
- F. Radlinski and N. Craswell. Comparing the Sensitivity of Information Retrieval Metrics. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '10, pages 667–674. ACM, 2010.
- P. Ramarao, S. Iyengar, P. Chitnis, R. Udupa, and B. Ashok. InLook: Revisiting Email Search Experience. In *Proceedings of the 39th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '16, pages 1117–1120. ACM, 2016.
- H. Roeckle, G. Schimpf, and R. Weidinger. Process-oriented Approach for Role-finding to Implement Role-based Security Administration in a Large Industrial Organization. In *Proceedings of the Fifth ACM Workshop on Role-based Access Control*, RBAC '00, pages 103–110. ACM, 2000.
- L. Rosenfeld. *Search Analytics for Your Site: Conversations with Your Customers*. Rosenfeld Media, Brooklyn, New York, 1st edition, 2011.
- T. Rowlands, D. Hawking, and R. Sankaranarayana. Workload sampling for enterprise search evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '07, pages 887–888. ACM, 2007.
- T. Russell-Rose and J. Chamberlain. Real-World Expertise Retrieval: The Information Seeking Behaviour of Recruitment Professionals. In *Advances in Information Retrieval: 38th European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2016, Padua, Italy, March 20-23, 2016. Proceedings*, pages 669–674. Springer, 2016.

- T. Russell-Rose and T. Tate. *Designing the Search Experience: The Information Architecture of Discovery*. Elsevier (Morgan Kaufmann), 2013.
- T. Russell-Rose, J. Lamantia, and M. Burrell. A Taxonomy of Enterprise Search and Discovery. In *Proceedings of the European Workshop on Human-Computer Interaction and Information Retrieval (EuroHCIR)*, volume 763, pages 15–18. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 2011.
- I. Ruthven. Information retrieval in context. In R. Baeza-Yates and M. Melucci, editors, *Advanced Topics in Information Retrieval*, chapter 8, pages 195–216. Springer, 2011.
- S. Z. Saad and U. Kruschwitz. Applying web usage mining for adaptive intranet navigation. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Information Retrieval Facility Conference*, volume 6653 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 118–133. Springer, 2011.
- M. Sanderson. Test collection based evaluation of information retrieval systems. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 4(4):247–375, 2010.
- M. Sanderson and B. Croft. Deriving concept hierarchies from text. In *Proceedings of the 22nd annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 206–213. ACM, 1999.
- R. S. Sandhu, E. J. Coyne, H. L. Feinstein, and C. E. Youman. Role-Based Access Control Models. *Computer*, 29(2):38–47, February 1996.
- R. L. T. Santos, C. Macdonald, R. McCreddie, I. Ounis, and I. Soboroff. Information retrieval on the blogosphere. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 6(1):1–125, 2012.
- F. Scholer, D. Kelly, and B. Carterette. Information Retrieval Evaluation Using Test Collections. *Information Retrieval*, 19(3):225–229, June 2016.
- G. Schymik, K. Corral, D. Schuff, and R. D. St. Louis. The Benefits and Costs of Using Metadata to Improve Enterprise Document Search. *Decision Sciences*, 46(6):1049–1075, 2015.
- C. Shah. *Collaborative Information Seeking - The Art and Science of Making the Whole Greater than the Sum of All*, volume 34 of *The Information Retrieval Series*. Springer, 2012.
- J. Shin, S. Wu, F. Wang, C. De Sa, C. Zhang, and C. Ré. Incremental Knowledge Base Construction Using DeepDive. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 8(11):1310–1321, July 2015.
- M. Shokouhi and L. Si. Federated search. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 5(1):1–102, 2011.

- C. Silverstein, M. Henzinger, and H. Marais. Analysis of a Very Large AltaVista Query Log. Digital SRC Technical Note 1998-014, 1998.
- F. Silvestri. Mining query logs: Turning search usage data into knowledge. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 4(1-2):1–174, 2010.
- A. Singh, M. Srivatsa, and L. Liu. Efficient and Secure Search of Enterprise File Systems. In *2007 IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS 2007), July 9-13, 2007, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA*, pages 18–25, 2007.
- A. Singh, M. Srivatsa, and L. Liu. Search-as-a-service: Outsourced Search over Outsourced Storage. *ACM Transactions on the Web*, 3(4):13:1–13:33, September 2009.
- B. Smyth. A community-based approach to personalizing web search. *Computer*, 40(8):42–50, August 2007.
- I. Soboroff, A. P. de Vries, and N. Craswell. Overview of the TREC 2006 Enterprise Track. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2006)*, NIST Special Publication: SP 500-272. NIST, 2007.
- D. Stenmark. One week with a corporate search engine: A time-based analysis of intranet information seeking. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh Americas Conference on Information Systems*, Omaha, Nebraska, 2005a.
- D. Stenmark. Searching the intranet: Corporate users and their queries. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 42(1), 2005b.
- D. Stenmark. Corporate intranet failures: interpreting a case study through the lens of formative context. *International Journal of Business Environment*, 1(1):112–125, 2006.
- D. Stenmark. Analysing terms, pairs, triplets and full queries used in intranet searching. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Web Information Systems and Technologies (WEBIST 2007)*, Barcelona, 2007.
- D. Stenmark. Identifying clusters of user behavior in intranet search engine log files. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(14):2232–2243, 2008.
- D. Stenmark. Information Seeking in Organisations: A Comparative Survey of Intranet Usage. In *Proceedings of the 16th Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS)*, Lima, Peru, 2010.
- D. Stenmark and T. Jadaan. Intranet Users' Information-Seeking Behaviour: A Longitudinal Study of Search Engine Logs. In *Proceedings of ASIS&T*, Austin, TX, 2006.

- D. Stenmark, F. Gardelöv, and V. Larsson. Why should Organisations Govern Enterprise Search? In *Proceedings of the Twenty-first Americas Conference on Information Systems*, Puerto Rico, 2015.
- A. Stocker, M. Zoier, Selver S. Softic, S. Paschke, H. Bischofter, and R. Kern. Is Enterprise Search Useful at All?: Lessons Learned from Studying User Behavior. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Knowledge Technologies and Data-driven Business*, i-KNOW '14, pages 22:1–22:8. ACM, 2014.
- A. Stocker, A. Richter, Christian Kaiser, and S. Softic. Exploring barriers of enterprise search implementation: a qualitative user study. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 67(5):470–491, 2015.
- D. M. Strong, Y. W. Lee, and R. Y. Wang. Data quality in context. *Communications of the ACM*, 40(5):103–110, May 1997.
- T. Svarre and M. Lykke. Simulated Work Tasks: The Case of Professional Users. In *Proceedings of the 5th Information Interaction in Context Symposium*, IiX '14, pages 215–218. ACM, 2014.
- M. Taghavi, A. Patel, N. Schmidt, C. Wills, and Y. Tew. An Analysis of Web Proxy Logs with Query Distribution Pattern Approach for Search Engines. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 34(1):162–170, January 2012.
- J. Tait. An Introduction to Professional Search. In *Professional Search in the Modern World - COST Action IC1002 on Multilingual and Multifaceted Interactive Information Access*, volume 8830 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–5. Springer, 2014.
- J. Teevan and S. Dumais. Web retrieval, ranking and personalization. In I. Ruthven and D. Kelly, editors, *Interactive Information Seeking, Behaviour and Retrieval*, pages 189–203. Facet Publishing, 2011.
- J. Teevan, M. R. Morris, and S. Bush. Discovering and using groups to improve personalized search. In *Proceedings of the Second ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, WSDM '09, pages 15–24. ACM, 2009.
- J. Teevan, S. T. Dumais, and E. Horvitz. Potential for personalization. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 17(1):4:1–4:31, April 2010.
- P. Thomas and D. Hawking. Evaluation by Comparing Result Sets in Context. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '06, pages 94–101. ACM, 2006.
- P. Thomas and D. Hawking. Experiences evaluating personal metasearch. In *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Information Interaction in Context*, IiX '08, pages 136–138. ACM, 2008.

- P. Thomas, K. Noack, and C. Paris. Evaluating interfaces for government metasearch. In *Proceedings of the Third Symposium on Information Interaction in Context, IiiX '10*, pages 65–74. ACM, 2010.
- J. W. Treem and P. M. Leonardi. Social Media Use in Organizations: Exploring the Affordances of Visibility, Editability, Persistence, and Association. *Communication Yearbook*, 36:143–189, 2012.
- D. J. Tunkelang. *Faceted search*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2009.
- D. Turnbull and J. Berryman. *Relevant Search*. Manning Publications, 2016.
- M. Upshall. Text mining: Using search to provide solutions. *Business Information Review*, 31(2):91–99, 2014.
- S. Vaithyanathan. Building search systems for the enterprise. <http://www.slideshare.net/Yunyaoli/sigir-keynote>, 2011.
- A. van der Lans. Enterprise Search and Retrieval (ESR): The Binding Factor. In P. Baan, editor, *Enterprise Information Management: When Information Becomes Inspiration*, pages 175–209. Springer, 2013.
- H. M. Venkateshprasanna, R. D. Gandhi, K. Mahesh, and J. K. Suresh. Enterprise search through automatic synthesis of tag clouds. In *Proceedings of the 4th Bangalore Annual Compute Conference, Compute 2011, Bangalore, India, March 25-26, 2011*, 2011.
- E. M. Voorhees. The TREC Medical Records Track. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Bioinformatics, Computational Biology and Biomedical Informatics, BCB'13*, pages 239:239–239:246. ACM, 2013.
- W. Weerkamp, R. Berendsen, B. Kovachev, E. Meij, K. Balog, and M. de Rijke. People searching for people: Analysis of a people search engine log. In *Proceedings of the 34th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '11*, pages 45–54. ACM, 2011.
- S. Weibel, J. Kunze, C. Lagoze, and M. Wolf. Dublin Core Metadata for Resource Discovery. RFC 2413, 1998.
- A. Wexelblat and P. Maes. Footprints: History-rich Tools for Information Foraging. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '99*, pages 270–277. ACM, 1999.
- G. J. White. *The Medieval English Landscape, 1000-1540*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2012.
- M. White. *Making Search Work: Implementing Web, Intranet and Enterprise Search*. Facet Publishing, 2007.

- M. White. Critical success factors for enterprise search. *Business Information Review*, 32(2):110–118, 2015a.
- M. White. *Enterprise Search*. O'Reilly, 2nd edition, 2015b.
- M. White and S. G. Nikolov. Enterprise Search in the European Union: A Techno-economic Analysis. Scientific and Policy Report by the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission, 2013.
- R. W. White. *Interacting with Search Systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- R. W. White and R. A. Roth. *Exploratory Search: Beyond the Query-Response Paradigm*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2009.
- R. W. White, I. Ruthven, and J. M. Jose. Finding relevant documents using top ranking sentences: An evaluation of two alternative schemes. In *Proceedings of the 25th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '02, pages 57–64. ACM, 2002.
- R.W. White, M. Bilenko, and S. Cucerzan. Studying the use of popular destinations to enhance web search interaction. In *Proceedings of the 30th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 159–166. ACM, 2007.
- S. Whittaker and C. Sidner. Email Overload: Exploring Personal Information Management of Email. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '96, pages 276–283. ACM, 1996.
- M. Wu, A. Turpin, J. A. Thom, F. Scholer, and R. Wilkinson. Cost and benefit estimation of experts' mediation in an enterprise search. *JASIST*, 65(1):146–163, 2014.
- W. Wu, D. Kelly, A. Edwards, and J. Arguello. Grannies, Tanning Beds, Tattoos and NASCAR: Evaluation of Search Tasks with Varying Levels of Cognitive Complexity. In *Proceedings of the 4th Information Interaction in Context Symposium*, IIX '12, pages 254–257. ACM, 2012.
- J. Yan, W. Chu, and R. W. White. Cohort modeling for enhanced personalized search. In *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '14, pages 505–514. ACM, 2014.
- L. Yang, S. T. Dumais, P. N. Bennett, and A. H. Awadallah. Characterizing and Predicting Enterprise Email Reply Behavior. In *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR 2017, Tokyo, Japan. ACM, 2017.

- K.-P. Yee, K. Swearingen, K. Li, and M. Hearst. Faceted Metadata for Image Search and Browsing. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '03, pages 401–408. ACM, 2003.
- B. Yu and R. Wang. Research of Access Control List in Enterprise Network Management. In W. Du, editor, *Informatics and Management Science VI*, pages 121–129. Springer, 2013.
- C. Zhai and S. Massung. *Text Data Management and Analysis: A Practical Introduction to Information Retrieval and Text Mining*. Association for Computing Machinery and Morgan & Claypool, 2016.
- W. Zheng, H. Fang, C. Yao, and M. Wang. Search Result Diversification for Enterprise Data. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '11, pages 1901–1904. ACM, 2011.
- H. Zhu, A. Löser, S. Raghavan, and S. Vaithyanathan. Navigating the intranet with high precision. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '07, pages 491–500. ACM, 2007.
- A. Zouzias, M. Vlachos, and V. Hristidis. Templated Search over Relational Databases. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM International Conference on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '14, pages 21–30. ACM, 2014.