

# **Extracting, Mining and Predicting Users' Interests from Social Media**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval**

*Information Retrieval: The Early Years*

Donna Harman

ISBN: 978-1-68083-584-7

*Bandit Algorithms in Information Retrieval*

Dorota Glowacka

ISBN: 978-1-68083-574-8

*Neural Approaches to Conversational AI*

Jianfeng Gao, Michel Galley and Lihong Li

ISBN: 978-1-68083-552-6

*An Introduction to Neural Information Retrieval*

Bhaskar Mitra and Nick Craswell

ISBN: 978-1-68083-532-8

# Extracting, Mining and Predicting Users' Interests from Social Media

---

**Fattane Zarrinkalam**  
Ryerson University  
Canada  
fzarrinkalam@ryerson.ca

**Stefano Faralli**  
University of Rome Unitelma Sapienza  
Italy  
stefano.faralli@unitelmasapienza.it

**Guangyuan Piao**  
Insight Centre for Data Analytics, NUI Galway  
Ireland  
guangyuan.piao@insight-centre.org

**Ebrahim Bagheri**  
Ryerson University  
Canada  
bagheri@ryerson.ca

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Information Retrieval

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

F. Zarrinkalam, S. Faralli, G. Piao and E. Bagheri. *Extracting, Mining and Predicting Users' Interests from Social Media*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Information Retrieval, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 445–617, 2020.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-739-1

© 2020 F. Zarrinkalam, S. Faralli, G. Piao and E. Bagheri

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Information Retrieval

Volume 14, Issue 5, 2020

## Editorial Board

### Editors-in-Chief

**Maarten de Rijke**

University of Amsterdam and  
Ahold Delhaize  
The Netherlands

**Yiqun Liu**

Tsinghua University  
China

**Diane Kelly**

University of Tennessee  
USA

### Editors

Barbara Poblete

*University of Chile*

Lynda Tamine

*University of Toulouse*

Claudia Hauff

*Delft University of Technology*

Mark Sanderson

*RMIT University*

Doug Oard

*University of Maryland*

Rodrygo Luis Teodoro Santos

*Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais*

Ellen M. Voorhees

*National Institute of Standards and  
Technology*

Ruihua Song

*Microsoft Xiaoice*

Fabrizio Sebastiani

*Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy*

Ryen White

*Microsoft Research*

Hang Li

*Bytedance Technology*

Shane Culpepper

*MIT*

Isabelle Moulinier

*Capital One*

Soumen Chakrabarti

*Indian Institute of Technology*

Jaap Kamps

*University of Amsterdam*

Xuanjing Huang

*Fudan University*

Jimmy Lin

*University of Waterloo*

Zi Helen Huang

*University of Queensland*

Leif Azzopardi

*University of Glasgow*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Applications of IR
- Architectures for IR
- Collaborative filtering and recommender systems
- Cross-lingual and multilingual IR
- Distributed IR and federated search
- Evaluation issues and test collections for IR
- Formal models and language models for IR
- IR on mobile platforms
- Indexing and retrieval of structured documents
- Information categorization and clustering
- Information extraction
- Information filtering and routing
- Metasearch, rank aggregation and data fusion
- Natural language processing for IR
- Performance issues for IR systems, including algorithms, data structures, optimization techniques, and scalability
- Question answering
- Summarization of single documents, multiple documents, and corpora
- Text mining
- Topic detection and tracking
- Usability, interactivity, and visualization issues in IR
- User modelling and user studies for IR
- Web search

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval, 2020, Volume 14, 5 issues. ISSN paper version 1554-0669. ISSN online version 1554-0677. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Definitions . . . . .	5
1.2	Related Review Papers . . . . .	6
1.3	Related Research Areas . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Foundations of Social User Interest Modeling</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Information Sources . . . . .	13
2.2	User Interest Representation Unit . . . . .	17
2.3	Temporal User Interest Modeling . . . . .	27
2.4	Semantics-Enabled User Interest Profile Representation . . . . .	30
2.5	Cross-System User Interest Modeling . . . . .	37
<b>3</b>	<b>User Interest Modeling Approaches</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1	Explicit User Interest Detection . . . . .	40
3.2	Implicit User Interest Mining . . . . .	55
3.3	Future User Interest Prediction . . . . .	72
<b>4</b>	<b>Evaluation of User Interest Models</b>	<b>79</b>
4.1	Evaluation Methodologies . . . . .	79
4.2	Benchmark Datasets . . . . .	84
4.3	Evaluation Metrics . . . . .	86
4.4	Summary and Discussion . . . . .	94

<b>5 Applications of User Interest Models</b>	<b>106</b>
5.1 Applications on Social Media Platforms . . . . .	106
5.2 Third-Party Applications . . . . .	117
5.3 Other Applications . . . . .	121
5.4 Integration of Social Media and Third-Party Applications .	123
<b>6 Open Challenges and Future Directions</b>	<b>126</b>
6.1 Semantics . . . . .	126
6.2 Cross-System Models . . . . .	127
6.3 Dynamicity . . . . .	128
6.4 Comprehensiveness . . . . .	129
6.5 Explainability . . . . .	130
6.6 Reproducibility . . . . .	131
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>132</b>
A.1 Glossary . . . . .	133
A.2 Acronyms . . . . .	141
<b>References</b>	<b>143</b>



# Extracting, Mining and Predicting Users' Interests from Social Media

Fattane Zarrinkalam<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Faralli<sup>2</sup>, Guangyuan Piao<sup>3</sup>  
and Ebrahim Bagheri<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Ryerson University, Canada; fzarrinkalam@ryesron.ca*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Rome Unitelma Sapienza, Italy;  
stefano.faralli@unitelmasapienza.it*

<sup>3</sup>*Insight Centre for Data Analytics, NUI Galway, Ireland;  
guangyuan.piao@insight-centre.org*

<sup>4</sup>*Ryerson University, Canada; bagheri@ryesron.ca*

---

## ABSTRACT

The abundance of user generated content on social media provides the opportunity to build models that are able to accurately and effectively extract, mine and predict users' interests with the hopes of enabling more effective user engagement, better quality delivery of appropriate services and higher user satisfaction. While traditional methods for building user profiles relied on AI-based preference elicitation techniques that could have been considered to be intrusive and undesirable by the users, more recent advances are focused on a non-intrusive yet accurate way of determining users' interests and preferences. In this monograph, we will cover five important subjects related to the mining of user interests from social media: (1) the foundations of social user interest modeling, such as information sources, various types of representation models and temporal features, (2) techniques that have been adopted or proposed for

mining user interests, (3) different evaluation methodologies and benchmark datasets, (4) different applications that have been taking advantage of user interest mining from social media platforms, and (5) existing challenges, open research questions and opportunities for further work.

---

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

Mining user interests from user behavioral data is critical for many applications, ranging from homophily analysis to recommender systems. Based on user interests, service providers such as advertisers can significantly reduce service delivery costs by offering the most relevant products (e.g., ads) to their customers. The challenge of accurately and efficiently identifying user interests has been the subject of increasing attention in the past several years (Zarrinkalam *et al.*, 2019a). Early approaches were based on explicit input from individuals about their own interests (Maron *et al.*, 1986). To avoid the extra burden of manually filling in and maintaining interest profiles, most methods in the past two decades have focused on the development of techniques that can automatically and unobtrusively determine users' interests based on user behavioral data from data sources such as browsing history, page visits, the links they click on, the searches they perform and the topics they interact with (Gasparetti, 2017; Holub and Bieliková, 2010; Li and Zhang, 2013).

With the emergence and growing popularity of social media such as blogging systems, wikis, social bookmarking, social networks and microblogging services, many users are extensively engaged in at least some of these applications to express their feelings and views about a wide variety of social events/topics as they happen in real time by

commenting, tagging, joining, sharing, liking, and publishing posts (Abel *et al.*, 2011b; Li *et al.*, 2008). According to Statista, a company which provides statistics and survey results, there were an estimated 3.6 billion people using social media in 2020, a number projected to increase to almost 4.41 billion in 2025.<sup>1</sup> This has made social media an exciting and unique source of information about users' interests.

For instance, when looking at Twitter data during the first week of March 2019, the rivalry between the two English Premier League soccer clubs, i.e., *Tottenham Hotspur* and *Arsenal*, is a topic that has attracted a lot of discussion and interest. The development of techniques that can automatically detect such topics and model users' interests towards them has the potential to improve the quality of applications that work on a user modeling basis, such as filtering twitter streams (Kapanipathi *et al.*, 2011), news recommendation (Abel *et al.*, 2011b) and retweet prediction (Feng and Wang, 2013), among others.

This monograph is a valuable resource for those who have familiarity with social media mining and basics of information retrieval (IR) techniques. Where appropriate, the monograph will not make any assumptions about the researchers' knowledge on more advanced techniques such as link prediction, matrix factorization, entity linking and knowledge graph-based reasoning, among others. As such, sufficient details about user interest modeling from social media will be provided as appropriate so that the content will be accessible and understandable to those who have fundamental understanding of IR principles. The monograph will only assume familiarity with topics included in an undergraduate IR course such as those covered in Manning *et al.* (2008).

The monograph is structured as follows.

- Section 1 provides the motivations of user interest modeling from social media and the scope and delimitation of this monograph by highlighting the difference between this monograph and other related review monographs and introducing some related research areas which are out of the scope of this monograph.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.statista.com/statistics/278414/number-of-worldwide-social-network-users/>.

- Section 2 introduces the foundations of user interest modeling from social media such as information sources, representation units to represent each topic of interest and user interest profile, temporal aspects and cross-system user interest modeling.
- Section 3 describes user interest modeling approaches by focusing on three main perspectives: (1) explicit user interest detection, (2) implicit user interest mining, and (3) future user interest prediction.
- Section 4 describes the main evaluation methodologies which have been widely adopted in the literature followed by the existing benchmark datasets and evaluation metrics.
- Section 5 introduces different applications that have been taking advantage of user interest modeling from social media platforms to improve their services.
- Section 6 presents exciting open challenges, future directions and research questions in the state-of-the-art for modeling users' interests from social media.

## 1.1 Definitions

In this section, we provide concrete definitions of *social media* and *user interest modeling* as two key terms used in this monograph.

**Social Media.** The term *social media* has been defined in the literature in various ways by different communities such as communications, management, and computer science (Boyd and Ellison, 2007; Fuchs, 2014; Rohani and Hock, 2010). In this monograph, we follow the same definition provided by Obar and Wildman (2015), which synthesize the definitions presented in the literature by defining *social media* as a service that has the following four distinct commonalities:

- *Social media services are (currently) Web 2.0 Internet-based applications.* In Web 2.0 applications, users have become not only content consumers but also active producers.

- *User-generated content is the lifeblood of social media.* Social media services are not sustainable without user-generated content. For example, the videos that we upload to YouTube, the connections and posts that we generate on Facebook or Twitter play crucial roles in making those social media platforms live.
- *Individuals and groups create user-specific profiles for a site or application designed and maintained by a social media service.* User profile in a social media service provides a unique way of identifying each user which is important to enable social networks between users. A user profile here can refer to any information to uniquely identify a user such as a username, IP address, locations, contact information, etc.
- *Social media services facilitate the development of social networks online by connecting a profile with those of other individuals and/or groups.* For example, friends on Facebook, connections on LinkedIn and followers on Twitter and Instagram. Users are motivated to create their social networks in social media services for consuming content generated by their social networks or interact with them.

**User Interest Modeling.** Piao and Breslin (2018a) provided a general definition about user interest modeling and user profiles. We use a refined definition as follows.

The process of obtaining the user interest profile is called user interest modeling. A user interest profile is a data structure that represents the degree of interest of an individual user over a set of topics represented by words or concepts.

## 1.2 Related Review Papers

Despite the importance of user interest modeling from social media, there is a lack of an extensive review on this domain that covers the ideas, insights and applications of different approaches in user interest modeling from social media. Piao and Breslin (2018a) have reviewed studies in user interest modeling from microblogging websites such as Twitter by focusing on four dimensions: (1) data collection, (2) representation of

user interests, (3) construction and enhancement of user interest profiles, and (4) evaluation methodologies.

The authors in Piao and Breslin (2018a) have presented the foundations of user interest modeling from microblogging websites and overlooked other social media platforms (e.g., Facebook, Flickr and Pinterest). Further, they have not covered the potential applications of the extracted user interest models. Since we categorize different approaches proposed in the literature with respect to three main perspectives: (1) explicit user interest detection, (2) implicit user interest mining, and (3) future user interest prediction, this has set the stage for a more detailed presentation of the ideas and insights about different user interest modeling approaches. Moreover, in addition to providing the fundamental information that new researchers need for understanding this field, we extensively discuss the potential applications of the extracted user interest models and promising techniques which can be applied for future exploration in this field.

As another related review monograph, Safari *et al.* (2019) have recently reviewed different studies on user behavior mining from social media (UBMSM). They have provided different statistical and demographic information (e.g., venue types and publishers) about the publications in this domain. Further, based on the focus area of their studies, they have identified four main aspects which affect user behavior mining from social media: (1) user, (2) content, (3) network structure, and (4) information diffusion. For each aspect, multiple characteristics are defined and their impact and consequences on UBMSM are discussed. The authors have considered user interest modeling as one of the four characteristics of the user aspect and reviewed different related studies on this topic.

Therefore, in contrast to our work, the focus of the authors in Safari *et al.* (2019) is not directly on user interest modeling from social media and they have concentrated more on the effect of different characteristics and aspects of user behavior. As a result, they don't provide more in-depth analysis and discussion of the studies in this field. However, our monograph describes the specific techniques, evaluation strategies, benchmarks and challenges of user interest modeling from social media, in addition to concrete directions for future work. Consequently, we

believe that our review monograph is more insightful for a researcher interested in this specific field, i.e., user interest modeling from social media.

### 1.3 Related Research Areas

There are some research areas/topics which are related to user interest modeling from social media. Because each of these areas is a mature and active field of research and includes a rich line of studies in the literature, we will not cover them in detail and they are beyond the scope of this monograph. To provide a more in-depth analysis, we only review the studies that focus directly on user interest modeling from social media. In the following, some of the most important examples of these areas/topics, e.g., topic detection, personality prediction and latent user modeling from social media are introduced and their similarities and differences to the subject of this monograph are highlighted.

#### 1.3.1 Topic/Event Detection from Social Media

There is already a well-established body of work in the literature that extracts topics/events from social media (Aiello *et al.*, 2013; Huang *et al.*, 2017a; Petkos *et al.*, 2014; Yan *et al.*, 2015). Applying topic modeling methods, such as LDA, over social posts is the main approach to extract topics from social media. However, since the majority of standard topic modeling methods are designed for regular documents such as news articles, they fail to identify the essential information of social posts which are short, noisy and informal. An intuitive solution to address this issue is first using a *pooling scheme* to aggregate the related social posts to a single document (e.g., posts published by a given user or in a given time interval) and then applying a standard topic modeling method on the resulting documents to extract topics from social posts. This allows for the discovery of better topics without modifying the existing topic modeling methods (Alvarez-Melis and Saveski, 2016; Mehrotra *et al.*, 2013; Rajani *et al.*, 2014).

To extract topics from social posts, instead of applying pooling scheme, some studies have applied some restrictions to simplify the



conventional topic models or developed novel topic models. For example, in Zhao *et al.* (2011), Twitter-LDA model has been proposed which assumes that a single tweet contains only one topic. Similarly, in Yan *et al.* (2015), the authors have extended the Biterm Topic Model (BTM) (Yan *et al.*, 2013), which models word pairs instead of words for effective topic modeling in short texts, by incorporating the burstiness of word pairs as prior knowledge in BTM for bursty topic modeling from social posts.

There is also another line of studies that extracts topics/events from social media by applying clustering methods over social posts or their features (Comito *et al.*, 2019a,b; Long *et al.*, 2011). As one of the earlier studies that focused on microblogging data, in Long *et al.* (2011), a *co-occurrence graph* is constructed by extracting topical words from daily posts. To extract events during a time period, the authors have applied a top-down hierarchical clustering algorithm over the co-occurrence graph.

The above studies can be considered as a related area to user interest modeling as they are applied in some studies to first extract active topics in social media and then the interest profile of users are modeled over the extracted topics (Arabzadeh *et al.*, 2018; Zarrinkalam *et al.*, 2018). In these studies, it is assumed that existing state-of-the-art techniques can be employed for extracting and modeling topics. Therefore, they are not engaged with proposing a new method for the identification of topics and only have focused on determining the degree of interest of users towards the topics once they are identified. Given this focus, we review the work related to the problem of user interest modeling from social media. Interested readers are encouraged to see Bhardwaj *et al.* (2019), Farzindar and Khreich (2015), Zarrinkalam and Bagheri (2016) for the state of the art on topic and event detection from social media.

### 1.3.2 Personality Prediction of Social Media Users

Predicting the personality of users from social media is another related research area to user interest modeling. In Kosinski *et al.* (2015), the authors have provided a comprehensive discussion about opportunities,

challenges and ethical aspects of social media involvement in psychological studies. As an example, they have studied users' profiles in social media in order to perform personality classification. Similarly, in Youyou *et al.* (2015), the authors have concluded that digital footprints created on top of user preferences (i.e., Facebook likes) are more accurate and valid than judgments made by social-cognitive experts. Therefore, there is already a well-established body of work in the literature that predicts users' personality traits from social media (Golbeck *et al.*, 2011; Souri *et al.*, 2018).

Most studies in this area have used the *Big Five* model (Halverson, 1994) as one of the most well-known measures for personality traits (the five personality traits according to *Big Five* model are openness, conscientiousness, extroversion, agreeableness and neuroticism). For example, in Souri *et al.* (2018), the authors have adopted the *Big Five* model to design a classifier which is able to automatically identify five classes of personality traits based on the users' social media profiles. In Golbeck *et al.* (2011), social behavior of a user is considered as a strong indicator to predict her personality. To model the behavior of a user in social media, the authors have developed a set of behavioral features based on the intensity and number of social interactions that the user has with her friends along a number of dimensions such as reciprocity and priority. They have analyzed these behavioral features along with a set of textual features and showed that behavioral features perform as well as textual features for predicting user personality.

Similar to user interest modeling approaches, the above studies try to model the users' behavior on social media by mainly analysing the textual content of users and their social relations. However, since these studies aim at extracting personality traits of users instead of extracting the users' interests, they dig more into the linguistic features. For example, to identify the personality of users, in many studies LIWC (Pennebaker *et al.*, 1999), which produces statistics on 81 different linguistic features of text, is utilized to study various emotional, cognitive, structural, and process components presented in the users' textual content. Interested readers about the state-of-the-art approaches on personality identification from social media are encouraged to see Kaushal and Patwardhan (2018).

### 1.3.3 Latent User Modeling from Social Media

There are plenty of studies that embed a user's information in social media such as the user's relations, textual content and demographic profile, into a latent low dimensional space (Benton *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). These studies are usually tied to a specific task from which the model is learned and they represent user models by latent features which are not human readable.

For example, in Ding *et al.* (2017), the user's information on Facebook (e.g., *likes* and *status updates*) is embedded in order to build substance use detection systems to identify users who are at risk of substance use disorder. The authors have employed Doc2Vec (Le and Mikolov, 2014), which produces a dense low dimensional feature vector for a document, as one of their approaches to embed users. To learn user embeddings from social media posts, they introduced two methods: (1) User-D2V which treats all the posts published by each user as one document and trains a document vector to represent each user. (2) Post-D2V which learns a vector for each post and then aggregates all the post vectors from the same user to derive the user embedding.

As other examples, in Benton *et al.* (2016), the authors have proposed to embed different information of a user on Twitter (e.g., the user's posts, followers and friends) into a single embedding vector by applying a multiview approach. They have shown the effectiveness of their model on three different prediction tasks (i.e., user engagement prediction, friend recommendation and demographic characteristics inference). Word2Vec (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013) is one of the methods the authors have applied to represent each view of the user by simply averaging the word embeddings for all the words within that view (e.g., the user's posts). In Piao and Breslin (2018b), the latent representation of social posts and users on Twitter are learned for the application of tweet recommendation. Their proposed model employs Long Short-Term Memory neural networks (LSTMs) (Piao and Breslin, 2018b) for learning tweet embeddings, and calculates the degree of interest of a user to a tweet based on the similarity between the user and the tweet embeddings as well as the similarity between the user and tweet's publisher embeddings.

In this monograph, our focus is on reviewing the user interest modeling approaches that identify the degree of interest of a user over a set of topics each of which are represented by words or concepts. In other words, we do not review user modeling approaches that result in latent user models represented by a vector of numbers which are not human readable. A recent survey about social media-based user embedding can be found in Pan and Ding (2019).

## References

---

- Abbas, A., M. U. S. Khan, M. Ali, S. U. Khan, and L. T. Yang (2015). “A cloud based framework for identification of influential health experts from Twitter”. In: *2015 IEEE 12th Intl. Conf. on Ubiquitous Intelligence and Computing and 2015 IEEE 12th Intl. Conf. on Autonomic and Trusted Computing and 2015 IEEE 15th Intl. Conf. on Scalable Computing and Communications and Its Associated Workshops (UIC-ATC-ScalCom), Beijing, China, August 10–14*. 831–838.
- Abel, F., N. Henze, E. Herder, and D. Krause (2010). “Linkage, aggregation, alignment and enrichment of public user profiles with mypes”. In: *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Semantic Systems. I-SEMANTICS '10*. Graz, Austria: ACM. 11:1–11:8.
- Abel, F., Q. Gao, G. Houben, and K. Tao (2011a). “Analyzing temporal dynamics in Twitter profiles for personalized recommendations in the social web”. In: *WebSci '11: Proceedings of the 3rd International Web Science Conference, Koblenz, Germany, June 15–17*. Article 2, 1–8.
- Abel, F., Q. Gao, G. Houben, and K. Tao (2011b). “Analyzing user modeling on Twitter for personalized news recommendations”. In: *User Modeling, Adaption and Personalization – 19th International Conference, UMAP 2011, Girona, Spain, July 11–15. Proceedings*. 1–12.

- Abel, F., Q. Gao, G. Houben, and K. Tao (2011c). “Semantic enrichment of Twitter posts for user profile construction on the social web”. In: *The Semantic Web: Research and Applications – 8th Extended Semantic Web Conference, ESWC 2011, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, May 29–June 2, Proceedings, Part II*. 375–389.
- Abel, F., C. Hauff, G. Houben, and K. Tao (2012). “Leveraging user modeling on the social web with linked data”. In: *Web Engineering – 12th International Conference, ICWE 2012, Berlin, Germany, July 23–27. Proceedings*. 378–385.
- Abel, F., Q. Gao, G.-J. Houben, and K. Tao (2013a). “Twitter-based user modeling for news recommendations”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. IJCAI '13*. Beijing, China: AAAI Press. 2962–2966. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2540128.2540558>.
- Abel, F., E. Herder, G. Houben, N. Henze, and D. Krause (2013b). “Cross-system user modeling and personalization on the social web”. *User Model. User-Adapt. Interact.* 23(2–3): 169–209.
- Adomavicius, G. and A. Tuzhilin (2005). “Toward the next generation of recommender systems: A survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions”. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.* 17(6): 734–749.
- Ahmed, A., Y. Low, M. Aly, V. Josifovski, and A. J. Smola (2011). “Scalable distributed inference of dynamic user interests for behavioral targeting”. In: *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, San Diego, CA, USA, August 21–24*. 114–122.
- Aiello, L. M., G. Petkos, C. J. Martin, D. Corney, S. Papadopoulos, R. Skraba, A. Göker, I. Kompatsiaris, and A. Jaimes (2013). “Sensing trending topics in Twitter”. *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*. 15(6): 1268–1282.
- Alvarez-Melis, D. and M. Saveski (2016). “Topic modeling in Twitter: Aggregating tweets by conversations”. In: *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Web and Social Media, Cologne, Germany, May 17–20*. 519–522. URL: <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM16/paper/view/13162>.
- Anita, W. (2013). “Why people use social media: A uses and gratifications approach”. *Qualitative Market Research*. 16(4): 362–369.

- Antelmi, A., D. Malandrino, and V. Scarano (2019). “Characterizing the behavioral evolution of Twitter users and the truth behind the 90-9-1 rule”. In: *Companion of the 2019 World Wide Web Conference, WWW 2019, San Francisco, CA, USA, May 13–17*. 1035–1038.
- Arabzadeh, N., H. Fani, F. Zarrinkalam, A. Navivala, and E. Bagheri (2018). “Causal dependencies for future interest prediction on Twitter”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM 2018, Torino, Italy, October 22–26*. 1511–1514.
- Asur, S. and B. A. Huberman (2010). “Predicting the future with social media”. In: *WI2010*. 492–499.
- Bakhoun, M., B. C. Gallego, M.-O. Mackenrodt, and G. Surblyt-Namaviien (2018). *Personal Data in Competition, Consumer Protection and Intellectual Property Law: Towards a Holistic Approach?* 1st edn. Springer.
- Balan, M. and S. Mathew (2020). “Personalize, summarize or let them read? A study on online word of mouth strategies and consumer decision process”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. DOI: [10.1007/s10796-020-09980-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-09980-9).
- Balog, K., F. Radlinski, and S. Arakelyan (2019). “Transparent, scrutable and explainable user models for personalized recommendation”. In: *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR 2019, Paris, France, July 21–25*. 265–274.
- Bao, H., Q. Li, S. S. Liao, S. Song, and H. Gao (2013). “A new temporal and social PMF-based method to predict users’ interests in micro-blogging”. *Decision Support Systems*. 55(3): 698–709.
- Benton, A., R. Arora, and M. Dredze (2016). “Learning multiview embeddings of Twitter users”. In: *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2016, August 7–12, Berlin, Germany, Volume 2: Short Papers*. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P16-2003/>.
- Berners-Lee, T. (2005). “WWW at 15 years: Looking forward”. In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on World Wide Web. WWW '05*. Chiba, Japan: ACM. 1–1.

- Besel, C., J. Schlötterer, and M. Granitzer (2016). “Inferring semantic interest profiles from Twitter followees: Does Twitter know better than your friends?” In: *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, Pisa, Italy, April 4–8*. 1152–1157.
- Bhardwaj, A., A. Blarer, P. Cudre-Mauroux, V. Lenders, B. Motik, A. Tanner, and A. Tonon (2019). “Event detection on microposts: A comparison of four approaches”. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*. PP(Oct.): 1–1.
- Bhattacharya, P., M. B. Zafar, N. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, and K. P. Gum-madi (2014). “Inferring user interests in the Twitter social network”. In: *Eighth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, RecSys '14, Foster City, Silicon Valley, CA, USA – October 6–10*. 357–360.
- Bian, R., Y. S. Koh, G. Dobbie, and A. Divoli (2019). “Network embedding and change modeling in dynamic heterogeneous networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR 2019, Paris, France, July 21–25*. 861–864.
- Blei, D. M. (2012). “Probabilistic topic models”. *Commun. ACM*. 55(4): 77–84.
- Blei, D. and J. Lafferty (2006). “Dynamic topic models”. In: *ICML '06 – Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Machine Learning*. 113–120.
- Blei, D. M., A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan (2003). “Latent dirichlet allocation”. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 3: 993–1022. URL: <http://www.jmlr.org/papers/v3/blei03a.html>.
- Bojārs, U., J. Breslin, A. Finn, and S. Decker (2008). “Using the semantic web for linking and reusing data across Web 2.0 communities”. *Journal of Web Semantics*. 6(1): 21–28.
- Bojars, U., A. Passant, J. Breslin, and S. Decker (2008). “Social networks and data portability using semantic web technologies”. In: *2nd Workshop on Social Aspects of the Web (SAW 2008), in Conjunction with 11th International Conference on Business Information Systems (BIS 2008)*.



- Boldi, P. and C. Monti (2016). “Cleansing Wikipedia categories using centrality”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2016, Montreal, Canada, April 11–15, Companion Volume*. 969–974.
- Bontcheva, K. and D. P. Rout (2014). “Making sense of social media streams through semantics: A survey”. *Semantic Web*. 5(5): 373–403.
- Boyd, D. M. and N. B. Ellison (2007). “Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship”. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 13(1): 210–230.
- Breslin, J. G., S. Decker, A. Harth, and U. Bojars (2006). “SIOC: An approach to connect web-based communities”. *Int. J. Web Based Communities*. 2(2): 133–142.
- Budak, C., A. Kannan, R. Agrawal, and J. Pedersen (2014). “Inferring user interests from microblogs”. In: *Technical Report, MSR-TR-2014-68*.
- Çano, E. and M. Morisio (2015). “Characterization of public datasets for recommender systems”. In: *2015 IEEE 1st International Forum on Research and Technologies for Society and Industry Leveraging a better tomorrow (RTSI)*. 249–257.
- Cao, C., H. Ge, H. Lu, X. Hu, and J. Caverlee (2017). “What are you known for? Learning user topical profiles with implicit and explicit footprints”. In: *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '17*. Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan: Association for Computing Machinery. 743–752.
- Cao, Y., L. Hou, J. Li, and Z. Liu (2018). “Neural collective entity linking”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, COLING 2018, Santa Fe, NM, USA, August 20–26*. Ed. by E. M. Bender, L. Derczynski, and P. Isabelle. Association for Computational Linguistics. 675–686. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/C18-1057/>.
- Cao, Y., X. Wang, X. He, Z. Hu, and T. Chua (2019). “Unifying knowledge graph learning and recommendation: Towards a better understanding of user preferences”. In: *The World Wide Web Conference, WWW 2019, San Francisco, CA, USA, May 13–17*. 151–161.

- Cavallari, S., V. W. Zheng, H. Cai, K. C. Chang, and E. Cambria (2017). “Learning community embedding with community detection and node embedding on graphs”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM 2017, Singapore, November 6–10*. 377–386.
- Ceccarelli, D., C. Lucchese, S. Orlando, R. Perego, and S. Trani (2013). “Learning relatedness measures for entity linking”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management. CIKM '13*. San Francisco, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 139–148.
- Chang, Y., J. Tang, D. Yin, M. Yamada, and Y. Liu (2016). “Timeline summarization from social media with life cycle models”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. IJCAI'16*. New York, NY, USA: AAAI Press. 3698–3704.
- Chen, J., J. Yu, Y. Qian, P. Li, and C. Bian (2020). “A novel recommender algorithm based on graph embedding and diffusion sampling”. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*. 32(17): e5664.
- Chen, J., R. Nairn, L. Nelson, M. S. Bernstein, and E. H. Chi (2010). “Short and tweet: Experiments on recommending content from information streams”. In: *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI 2010, Atlanta, GA, USA, April 10–15*. 1185–1194.
- Chen, P. P.-S. (1976). “The entity-relationship model: Toward a unified view of data”. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*. 1: 9–36.
- Chen, Y., J. Zhao, X. Hu, X. Zhang, Z. Li, and T. Chua (2013). “From interest to function: Location estimation in social media”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, July 14–18, Bellevue, WA, USA*. URL: <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI13/paper/view/6286>.

- Cheng, Z., J. Caverlee, H. Barthwal, and V. Bachani (2014). “Who is the barbecue king of Texas? A geo-spatial approach to finding local experts on Twitter”. In: *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '14*. Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia: Association for Computing Machinery. 335–344.
- Cinar, Y. G., S. Zoghbi, and M. Moens (2015). “Inferring user interests on social media from text and images”. In: *IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshop, ICDMW 2015, Atlantic City, NJ, USA, November 14–17*. 1342–1347.
- Comito, C., A. Forestiero, and C. Pizzuti (2019a). “Bursty event detection in Twitter streams”. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data*. 13(4): 41:1–41:28.
- Comito, C., A. Forestiero, and C. Pizzuti (2019b). “Word embedding based clustering to detect topics in social media”. In: *IEEE WIC ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence. WI '19*. Thessaloniki, Greece: ACM. 192–199.
- DiMaggio, P. (2003). “Culture and cognition”. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 23(Nov.): 263–287.
- Ding, T., W. K. Bickel, and S. Pan (2017). “Multi-view unsupervised user feature embedding for social media-based substance use prediction”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2017, Copenhagen, Denmark, September 9–11*. 2275–2284.
- Dinh, X. T. and H. Van Pham (2020). “A proposal of deep learning model for classifying user interests on social networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Machine Learning and Soft Computing. ICMLSC 2020*. Haiphong City, Viet Nam: Association for Computing Machinery. 10–14.
- Elmongui, H. G., R. Mansour, H. Morsy, S. Khater, A. El-Sharkasy, and R. Ibrahim (2015). “TRUPI: Twitter recommendation based on users’ personal interests”. In: *Computational Linguistics and Intelligent Text Processing – 16th International Conference, CICLing 2015, Cairo, Egypt, April 14–20, Proceedings, Part II*. 272–284.

- Fani, H., E. Bagheri, F. Zarrinkalam, X. Zhao, and W. Du (2018). “Finding diachronic like-minded users”. *Computational Intelligence*. 34(1): 124–144.
- Faralli, S., G. Stilo, and P. Velardi (2015). “Recommendation of microblog users based on hierarchical interest profiles”. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*. 5(1): 25.
- Faralli, S., G. Stilo, and P. Velardi (2017). “Automatic acquisition of a taxonomy of microblogs users’ interests”. *Journal of Web Semantics*. 45: 23–40.
- Fard, A. M., E. Bagheri, and K. Wang (2019). “Relationship prediction in dynamic heterogeneous information networks”. In: *Advances in Information Retrieval – 41st European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2019, Cologne, Germany, April 14–18, Proceedings, Part I*. 19–34.
- Farzindar, A. and W. Khreich (2015). “A survey of techniques for event detection in Twitter”. *Computational Intelligence*. 31(1): 132–164.
- Feng, W. and J. Wang (2013). “Retweet or not?: Personalized tweet re-ranking”. In: *Sixth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM 2013, Rome, Italy, February 4–8*. 577–586.
- Feng, Y., F. Zarrinkalam, E. Bagheri, H. Fani, and F. Al-Obeidat (2018). “Entity linking of tweets based on dominant entity candidates”. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*. 8(1): 46.
- Ferragina, P. and U. Scaiella (2012). “Fast and accurate annotation of short texts with Wikipedia pages”. *IEEE Software*. 29(1): 70–75.
- Fiallos, A. and K. Jimenes (2019). “Using reddit data for multi-label text classification of Twitter users interests”. In: *2019 Sixth International Conference on eDemocracy eGovernment (ICEDEG)*. 324–327.
- Flati, T., D. Vannella, T. Pasini, and R. Navigli (2014). “Two is bigger (and better) than one: The Wikipedia bitaxonomy project”. In: *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2014, June 22–27, Baltimore, MD, USA, Volume 1: Long Papers*. 945–955. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P14-1089/>.
- Francis, W. N. and H. Kucera (1979). “Brown corpus manual”. *Tech. rep.* Department of Linguistics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. URL: <http://icame.uib.no/brown/bcm.html>.

- Fuchs, C. (2014). *Social Media: A Critical Introduction*. Sage.
- Gao, Q., F. Abel, and G.-J. Houben (2012). “GeniUS: Generic user modeling library for the social semantic web”. In: *Proceedings of the 2011 Joint International Conference on The Semantic Web. JIST’11*. Hangzhou, China: Springer-Verlag. 160–175.
- Gasparetti, F. (2017). “Modeling user interests from web browsing activities”. *Data Min. Knowl. Discov.* 31(2): 502–547.
- Geng, X., H. Zhang, Z. Song, Y. Yang, H. Luan, and T.-S. Chua (2014). “One of a kind: User profiling by social curation”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia. MM ’14*. Orlando, FL, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 567–576.
- Ghosh, S., N. K. Sharma, F. Benevenuto, N. Ganguly, and P. K. Gum-madi (2012). “Cognos: Crowdsourcing search for topic experts in microblogs”. In: *The 35th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR ’12, Portland, OR, USA, August 12–16*. 575–590.
- Golbeck, J., C. Robles, and K. Turner (2011). “Predicting personality with social media”. In: *CHI ’11 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI EA ’11*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM. 253–262.
- Gonçalves, R., M. Horridge, R. Li, Y. Liu, M. Musen, C. Nyulas, E. Obamos, D. Shrouy, and D. Temple (2019). “Use of OWL and semantic web technologies at pinterest”. In: *The Semantic Web – ISWC 2019. ISWC 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 11779*. Ed. by C. Ghidini, O. Hartig, M. Maleshkova, V. Svátek, I. Cruz, A. Hogan, J. Song, M. Lefrançois, and F. Gandon. Cham: Springer. 418–435.
- Gong, L., L. Lin, W. Song, and H. Wang (2020). “JNET: Learning user representations via joint network embedding and topic embedding”. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. WSDM ’20*. Houston, TX, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 205–213.
- Granger, C. W. J. (1969). “Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods”. *Econometrica*. 37(3): 424–438.

- Graves, M., A. Constabaris, and D. Brickley (2007). “FOAF: Connecting people on the semantic web”. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*. 43(Apr.): 191–202.
- Grbovic, M., V. Radosavljevic, N. Djuric, N. Bhamidipati, and A. Nagarajan (2015). “Gender and interest targeting for sponsored post advertising at Tumblr”. In: *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. KDD '15*. Sydney, NSW, Australia: Association for Computing Machinery. 1819–1828.
- Grbovic, M., N. Djuric, and V. Radosavljevic (2016a). “TargetAd2016: 2nd international workshop on Ad targeting at scale”. In: *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. WSDM '16*. San Francisco, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 693–694.
- Grbovic, M., V. Radosavljevic, N. Djuric, N. Bhamidipati, and A. Nagarajan (2016b). “Leveraging blogging activity on Tumblr to infer demographics and interests of users for advertising purposes”. In: *Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on “Making Sense of Microposts” Co-Located with the 25th International World Wide Web Conference (WWW 2016), Montréal, Canada, April 11*. Vol. 1691. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*. CEUR-WS.org. 2–11. URL: [http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1691/paper\\_15.pdf](http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1691/paper_15.pdf).
- Große-Bölting, G., C. Nishioka, and A. Scherp (2015). “Generic process for extracting user profiles from social media using hierarchical knowledge bases”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th IEEE International Conference on Semantic Computing, ICSC 2015, Anaheim, CA, USA, February 7–9*. 197–200.
- Grover, A. and J. Leskovec (2016). “node2vec: Scalable feature learning for networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, San Francisco, CA, USA, August 13–17*. 855–864.
- Gruber, T. (2009). “Ontology”. In: *Encyclopedia of Database Systems*. Ed. by L. Liu and M. T. Özsu. Boston, MA: Springer US. 1963–1965.

- Guo, G., J. Zhang, Z. Sun, and N. Yorke-Smith (2015). “LibRec: A Java library for recommender systems”. In: *Posters, Demos, Late-Breaking Results and Workshop Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation, and Personalization (UMAP 2015), Dublin, Ireland, June 29–July 3*. URL: [http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1388/demo\\_paper1.pdf](http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1388/demo_paper1.pdf).
- Guy, I., U. Avraham, D. Carmel, S. Ur, M. Jacovi, and I. Ronen (2013). “Mining expertise and interests from social media”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web. WWW '13*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Association for Computing Machinery. 515–526.
- Halverson, C. (1994). *The Developing Structure of Temperament and Personality from Infancy to Adulthood*. Hillsdale, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Han, J. and H. Lee (2016). “Characterizing the interests of social media users: Refinement of a topic model for incorporating heterogeneous media”. *Inf. Sci.* 358–359: 112–128.
- Hannon, J., M. Bennett, and B. Smyth (2010). “Recommending Twitter users to follow using content and collaborative filtering approaches”. In: *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, RecSys 2010, Barcelona, Spain, September 26–30*. 199–206.
- Hannon, J., K. McCarthy, J. Lynch, and B. Smyth (2011a). “Personalized and automatic social summarization of events in video”. In: *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces. IUI '11*. Palo Alto, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 335–338.
- Hannon, J., K. McCarthy, and B. Smyth (2011b). “Finding useful users on Twitter: Twittomender the followee recommender”. In: *Advances in Information Retrieval – 33rd European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2011, Dublin, Ireland, April 18–21, Proceedings*. 784–787.
- Harakawa, R., D. Takehara, T. Ogawa, and M. Haseyama (2018). “Sentiment-aware personalized tweet recommendation through multimodal FFM”. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 77(14): 18741–18759.

- Harper, F. M. and J. A. Konstan (2016). “The MovieLens datasets: History and context”. *TiiS*. 5(4): 19:1–19:19.
- Hasibi, F., K. Balog, and S. E. Bratsberg (2016). “Exploiting entity linking in queries for entity retrieval”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM International Conference on the Theory of Information Retrieval. ICTIR '16*. Newark, DE, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 209–218.
- He, J., H. Liu, Y. Zheng, S. Tang, W. He, and X. Du (2020). “Bi-Labeled LDA: Inferring interest tags for non-famous users in social network”. *Data Science and Engineering*. 5: 27–47.
- He, K., X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun (2015a). “Deep residual learning for image recognition”. *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*: 770–778.
- He, L., Y. Jia, W. Han, and Z. Ding (2014). “Mining user interest in microblogs with a user-topic model”. *China Communications*. 11(8): 131–144.
- He, W., H. Liu, J. He, S. Tang, and X. Du (2015b). “Extracting interest tags for non-famous users in social network”. In: *CIKM*. 861–870.
- He, X., T. Chen, M.-Y. Kan, and X. Chen (2015c). “TriRank: Review-aware explainable recommendation by modeling aspects”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. CIKM '15*. Melbourne, Australia: Association for Computing Machinery. 1661–1670.
- Holub, M. and M. Bieliková (2010). “Estimation of user interest in visited web page”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2010, Raleigh, NC, USA, April 26–30*. 1111–1112.
- Hong, L. and B. D. Davison (2010). “Empirical study of topic modeling in Twitter”. In: *1st Workshop on Social Media Analytics (SOMA '10)*. 80–88.
- Hong, L., A. S. Doumith, and B. D. Davison (2013). “Co-factorization machines: Modeling user interests and predicting individual decisions in Twitter”. In: *Sixth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM 2013, Rome, Italy, February 4–8*. 557–566.



- Hosseini, H., T. T. Nguyen, and E. Bagheri (2018). “Implicit entity linking through ad-hoc retrieval”. In: *IEEE/ACM 2018 International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining, ASONAM 2018, Barcelona, Spain, August 28–31*. 326–329.
- Huang, J., M. Peng, H. Wang, J. Cao, W. Gao, and X. Zhang (2017a). “A probabilistic method for emerging topic tracking in microblog stream”. *World Wide Web*. 20(2): 325–350.
- Huang, S., J. Zhang, D. Schonfeld, L. Wang, and X. Hua (2017b). “Two-stage friend recommendation based on network alignment and series expansion of probabilistic topic model”. *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*. 19(6): 1314–1326.
- Inaba, M. and K. Takahashi (2018). “Estimating user interest from open-domain dialogue”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th Annual SIGdial Meeting on Discourse and Dialogue*. 32–40.
- Jamali, M. and M. Ester (2010). “A matrix factorization technique with trust propagation for recommendation in social networks”. In: *Proceedings of the Fourth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. RecSys '10*. Barcelona, Spain: ACM. 135–142.
- Jia, Y., E. Shelhamer, J. Donahue, S. Karayev, J. Long, R. Girshick, S. Guadarrama, and T. Darrell (2014). “Caffe: Convolutional architecture for fast feature embedding”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia. MM '14*. Orlando, FL, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 675–678.
- Jin, X., W. Lei, Z. Ren, H. Chen, S. Liang, Y. Zhao, and D. Yin (2018). “Explicit state tracking with semi-supervision for neural dialogue generation”. *CoRR*. abs/1808.10596. arXiv: [1808.10596](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.10596). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.10596>.
- Joshi, D., M. Cooper, F. Chen, and Y.-Y. Chen (2015). “Building user profiles from shared photos”. In: *Proceedings of the 2015 Workshop on Community-Organized Multimodal Mining: Opportunities for Novel Solutions. MMCommons '15*. Brisbane, Australia: ACM. 37–42.
- Juan, Y., Y. Zhuang, W.-S. Chin, and C.-J. Lin (2016). “Field-aware factorization machines for CTR prediction”. In: *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. RecSys '16*. Boston, MA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 43–50.

- Kabbur, S., X. Ning, and G. Karypis (2013). “FISM: Factored item similarity models for top-N recommender systems”. In: *The 19th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2013, Chicago, IL, USA, August 11–14*. 659–667.
- Kang, J. and H. Lee (2017). “Modeling user interest in social media using news media and Wikipedia”. *Information Systems*. 65: 52–64.
- Kang, J., H. Choi, and H. Lee (2019). “Deep recurrent convolutional networks for inferring user interests from social media”. *J. Intell. Inf. Syst.* 52(1): 191–209.
- Kapanipathi, P., F. Orlandi, A. P. Sheth, and A. Passant (2011). “Personalized filtering of the Twitter stream”. In: *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Semantic Personalized Information Management: Retrieval and Recommendation 2011, Bonn, Germany, October 24*. 6–13.
- Kapanipathi, P., P. Jain, C. Venkatramani, and A. P. Sheth (2014). “User interests identification on Twitter using a hierarchical knowledge base”. In: *The Semantic Web: Trends and Challenges – 11th International Conference, ESWC 2014, Anissaras, Crete, Greece, May 25–29, Proceedings*. 99–113.
- Karatay, D. and P. Karagoz (2015). “User interest modeling in twitter with named entity recognition”. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*. 1395(Jan.): 17–20.
- Kaushal, V. and M. Patwardhan (2018). “Emerging trends in personality identification using online social networks: A literature survey”. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data.* 12(2): 15:1–15:30.
- Khanian Najafabadi, M., A. Mohamed, and M. Mahrin (2017). “A survey on data mining techniques in recommender systems”. *Soft Computing*. 23: 627–654.
- Khater, S., H. Elmongui, and D. Gracanin (2014). “Tweets you like: Personalized tweets recommendation based on dynamic users interests”. In: *ASE BigData Conference, Harvard University, December 14–16*.

- Kosinski, M., S. C. Matz, S. D. Gosling, V. Popov, and D. Stillwell (2015). “Facebook as a research tool for the social sciences: Opportunities, challenges, ethical considerations, and practical guidelines.” *The American Psychologist*. 70(6): 543–56.
- Kwak, H., C. Lee, H. Park, and S. B. Moon (2010). “What is Twitter, a social network or a news media?” In: *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2010, Raleigh, NC, USA, April 26–30*. 591–600.
- Lazzez, O., W. Ouarda, and A. M. Alimi (2018). “DeepVisInterests: CNN-ontology prediction of users interests from social images”. *CoRR*. abs/1811.10920. arXiv: [1811.10920](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.10920). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.10920>.
- Le, Q. and T. Mikolov (2014). “Distributed representations of sentences and documents”. In: *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning – Volume 32. ICML’14*. Beijing, China: JMLR.org. II-1188–II-1196. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3044805.3045025>.
- Letierce, J., A. Passant, J. Breslin, and S. Decker (2010). “Understanding how Twitter is used to spread scientific messages”. In: *Proceedings of Web Science Conference 2010, April 26–27, Raleigh, NC, USA*.
- Li, C., C. Quan, L. Peng, Y. Qi, Y. Deng, and L. Wu (2019). “A capsule network for recommendation and explaining what you like and dislike”. In: *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR’19*. Paris, France: Association for Computing Machinery. 275–284.
- Li, J. and P. Zhang (2013). “Mining explainable user interests from scalable user behavior data”. In: *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Information Technology and Quantitative Management*. 789–796.
- Li, W., C. Eickhoff, and A. P. de Vries (2014). “Geo-spatial domain expertise in microblogs”. In: *Advances in Information Retrieval*. Ed. by M. de Rijke, T. Kenter, A. P. de Vries, C. Zhai, F. de Jong, K. Radinsky, and K. Hofmann. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 487–492.

- Li, X., L. Guo, and Y. E. Zhao (2008). “Tag-based social interest discovery”. In: *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2008, Beijing, China, April 21–25*. 675–684.
- Liang, S., X. Zhang, Z. Ren, and E. Kanoulas (2018). “Dynamic embeddings for user profiling in Twitter”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD 2018, London, UK, August 19–23*. 1764–1773.
- Lim, K. H. (2015). “Recommending tours and places-of-interest based on user interests from geo-tagged photos”. In: *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM SIGMOD PhD Symposium, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, May 31–June 04*. 33–38.
- Lim, K. H. and A. Datta (2012a). “Finding Twitter communities with common interests using following links of celebrities”. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Modeling Social Media, MSM 2012, Milwaukee, WI, USA, June 25*. 25–32.
- Lim, K. H. and A. Datta (2012b). “Following the follower: Detecting communities with common interests on Twitter”. In: *23rd ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media, HT '12, Milwaukee, WI, USA, June 25–28*. 317–318.
- Lim, K. H., J. Chan, C. Leckie, and S. Karunasekera (2018). “Personalized trip recommendation for tourists based on user interests, points of interest visit durations and visit recency”. *Knowledge and Information Systems*. 54(Feb.): 375–406.
- Liu, Y., C. Kliman-Silver, and A. Mislove (2014). “The tweets they are a-changin: Evolution of Twitter users and behavior”. In: *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, ICWSM 2014, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, June 1–4*. URL: <http://www.aai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM14/paper/view/8043>.
- Liu, Y., M. Ester, B. Hu, and D. W. Cheung (2015). “Spatio-temporal topic models for check-in data”. In: *2015 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining*. 889–894.

- Long, R., H. Wang, Y. Chen, O. Jin, and Y. Yu (2011). “Towards effective event detection, tracking and summarization on microblog data”. In: *Web-Age Information Management*. Ed. by H. Wang, S. Li, S. Oyama, X. Hu, and T. Qian. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. 652–663.
- Lu, C., W. Lam, and Y. Zhang (2012). “Twitter user modeling and tweets recommendation based on Wikipedia concept graph”. In: *Workshops at the Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Lully, V., P. Laublet, M. Stankovic, and F. Radulovic (2018). “Exploring the synergy between knowledge graph and computer vision for personalisation systems”. *Procedia Computer Science*. 137: 175–186. Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Semantic Systems September 10–13, 2018 Vienna, Austria. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050918316223>.
- Lumsden, J. (2008). *Handbook of Research on User Interface Design and Evaluation for Mobile Technology* (2 Volumes). Hershey, PA, USA. URL: <http://services.igi-global.com/resolvedoi/resolve.aspx?doi=10.4018/978-1-59904-871-0>.
- Ly, T. H., S. T. Do, and T. T. S. Nguyen (2018). “Ontology-based recommender system for the million song dataset challenge”. In: *10th International Conference on Knowledge and Systems Engineering, KSE 2018, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, November 1–3*. 236–241.
- Ma, Y., Y. Zeng, X. Ren, and N. Zhong (2011). “User interests modeling based on multi-source personal information fusion and semantic reasoning”. In: *Active Media Technology*. Ed. by N. Zhong, V. Callaghan, A. A. Ghorbani, and B. Hu. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. 195–205.
- Manning, C. D., P. Raghavan, and H. Schütze (2008). *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mao, X.-L., Z.-Y. Ming, T.-S. Chua, S. Li, H. Yan, and X. Li (2012). “SSHLDA: A semi-supervised hierarchical topic model”. In: *Proceedings of the 2012 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning*. Jeju Island, Korea: Association for Computational Linguistics. 800–809. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D12-1073>.

- Maron, M. E., S. Curry, and P. Thompson (1986). “An inductive search system: Theory, design, and implementation”. *IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*. 16(1): 21–28.
- McPherson, M., L. Smith-Lovin, and J. M. Cook (2001). “Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks”. *Annual Review of Sociology*: 415–444.
- Mehrotra, R., S. Sanner, W. Buntine, and L. Xie (2013). “Improving LDA topic models for microblogs via tweet pooling and automatic labeling”. In: *Proceedings of the 36th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '13*. Dublin, Ireland: ACM. 889–892.
- Meij, E., W. Weerkamp, and M. de Rijke (2012). “Adding semantics to microblog posts”. In: *Proceedings of the Fifth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. WSDM '12*. Seattle, WA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 563–572.
- Mendes, P. N., M. Jakob, A. Garcia-Silva, and C. Bizer (2011). “DBpedia spotlight: Shedding light on the web of documents”. In: *Proceedings the 7th International Conference on Semantic Systems, I-SEMANTICS 2011, Graz, Austria, September 7–9*. 1–8.
- Michelson, M. and S. A. Macskassy (2010). “Discovering users’ topics of interest on Twitter: A first look”. In: *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Analytics for Noisy Unstructured Text Data, AND 2010, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, October 26th (in Conjunction with CIKM 2010)*. 73–80.
- Mihalcea, R. and A. Csomai (2007). “Wikify! linking documents to encyclopedic knowledge”. In: *Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM Conference on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. CIKM '07*. Lisbon, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery. 233–242.

- Mikolov, T., I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. S. Corrado, and J. Dean (2013). “Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 26: 27th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2013. Proceedings of a Meeting Held December 5–8, Lake Tahoe, Nevada, United States*. 3111–3119. URL: <http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5021-distributed-representations-of-words-and-phrases-and-their-compositionality>.
- Miles, A., B. Matthews, M. Wilson, and D. Brickley (2005). “SKOS core: Simple knowledge organisation for the web”. *International Conference on Dublin Core and Metadata Applications*: 3–10. URL: <http://dcpapers.dublincore.org/pubs/article/view/79>.
- Milne, D. and I. H. Witten (2008). “Learning to link with Wikipedia”. In: *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. CIKM '08*. Napa Valley, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 509–518.
- Myers, S. A. and J. Leskovec (2014). “The bursty dynamics of the Twitter information network”. In: *23rd International World Wide Web Conference, WWW '14, Seoul, Republic of Korea, April 7–11*. 913–924.
- Narducci, F., C. Musto, G. Semeraro, P. Lops, and M. de Gemmis (2013). “Leveraging encyclopedic knowledge for transparent and serendipitous user profiles”. In: *User Modeling, Adaptation, and Personalization – 21th International Conference, UMAP 2013, Rome, Italy, June 10–14, Proceedings*. 350–352.
- Naruchitparames, J., M. H. Gunes, and S. J. Louis (2011). “Friend recommendations in social networks using genetic algorithms and network topology”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, CEC 2011, New Orleans, LA, USA, 5–8 June*. 2207–2214.
- Nazari, N. and M. A. Mahdavi (2019). “A survey on automatic text summarization”. *Journal of AI and Data Mining*. 7(1): 121–135.
- Nguyen, H., R. Richards, C.-C. Chan, and K. J. Liszka (2016). “Red-Tweet: Recommendation engine for reddit”. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*. 47(2): 247–265.

- Nishioka, C. and A. Scherp (2016). “Profiling vs. time vs. content: What does matter for Top-k publication recommendation based on Twitter profiles?” In: *Proceedings of the 16th ACM/IEEE-CS on Joint Conference on Digital Libraries, JCDL 2016, Newark, NJ, USA, June 19–23*. 171–180.
- Nishioka, C., G. Große-Bölting, and A. Scherp (2015). “Influence of time on user profiling and recommending researchers in social media”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Knowledge Technologies and Data-Driven Business, I-KNOW '15, Graz, Austria, October 21–23*. 9:1–9:8.
- Obar, J. and S. Wildman (2015). “Social media definition and the governance challenge: An introduction to the special issue”. *Telecommunications Policy*. 39(9): 745–750.
- Orlandi, F., J. G. Breslin, and A. Passant (2012). “Aggregated, interoperable and multi-domain user profiles for the social web”. In: *I-SEMANTICS 2012 – 8th International Conference on Semantic Systems, I-SEMANTICS '12, Graz, Austria, September 5–7*. 41–48.
- Orlandi, F., P. Kapanipathi, A. P. Sheth, and A. Passant (2013). “Characterising concepts of interest leveraging linked data and the social web”. In: *2013 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conferences on Web Intelligence, WI 2013, Atlanta, GA, USA, November 17–20*. 519–526.
- Otoni, R., D. B. L. Casas, J. P. Pesce, W. Meira, C. Wilson, A. Mislove, and V. A. F. Almeida (2014). “Of pins and tweets: Investigating how users behave across image- and text-based social networks”. In: *ICWSM*.
- Pan, S. and T. Ding (2019). “Social media-based user embedding: A literature review”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2019, Macao, China, August 10–16*. 6318–6324.
- Pandey, M. and A. C. Y. Sang (2015). “Capturing the visual language of social media”. In: *2015 IEEE International Conference on Multi-media and Expo (ICME)*. 1–6.
- Paulheim, H. (2016). “Knowledge graph refinement: A survey of approaches and evaluation methods”. *Semantic Web*. 8(Dec.): 489–508.



- Peña, P., R. D. Hoyo, J. Veá-Murguía, C. González, and S. Mayo (2013). “Collective knowledge ontology user profiling for Twitter – automatic user profiling”. In: *2013 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conferences on Web Intelligence, WI 2013, Atlanta, GA, USA, November 17–20*. 439–444.
- Pennacchiotti, M., F. Silvestri, H. Vahabi, and R. Venturini (2012). “Making your interests follow you on Twitter”. In: *21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM’12, Maui, HI, USA, October 29–November 02*. 165–174.
- Pennebaker, J., M. Francis, and R. Booth (1999). “Linguistic inquiry and word count (LIWC)”. Jan.
- Perozzi, B., R. Al-Rfou, and S. Skiena (2014). “DeepWalk: Online learning of social representations”. In: *The 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD ’14, New York, NY, USA – August 24–27*. 701–710.
- Petkos, G., S. Papadopoulos, L. M. Aiello, R. Skraba, and Y. Kompatsiaris (2014). “A soft frequent pattern mining approach for textual topic detection”. In: *WIMS*. 25:1–25:10.
- Phelan, O., K. McCarthy, and B. Smyth (2009). “Using twitter to recommend real-time topical news”. In: *Proceedings of the 2009 ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, RecSys 2009, New York, NY, USA, October 23–25*. 385–388.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2016a). “Analyzing aggregated semantics-enabled user modeling on Google+ and Twitter for personalized link recommendations”. In: *UMAP2016*. 105–109.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2016b). “Analyzing MOOC entries of professionals on LinkedIn for user modeling and personalized MOOC recommendations”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on User Modeling Adaptation and Personalization. UMAP ’16*. Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada: Association for Computing Machinery. 291–292.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2016c). “Exploring dynamics and semantics of user interests for user modeling on twitter for link recommendations”. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Semantic Systems, SEMANTICS 2016, Leipzig, Germany, September 12–15*. 81–88.

- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2016d). “Interest representation, enrichment, dynamics, and propagation: A study of the synergetic effect of different user modeling dimensions for personalized recommendations on Twitter”. In: *Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management – 20th International Conference, EKAW 2016, Bologna, Italy, November 19–23, 2016, Proceedings*. 496–510.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2016e). “User modeling on Twitter with WordNet synsets and DBpedia concepts for personalized recommendations”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM 2016, Indianapolis, IN, USA, October 24–28*. 2057–2060.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2017a). “Inferring user interests for passive users on Twitter by leveraging followee biographies”. In: *ECIR*. 122–133.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2017b). “Leveraging followee list memberships for inferring user interests for passive users on Twitter”. In: *Proceedings of the 28th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media, HT 2017, Prague, Czech Republic, July 4–7*. 155–164.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2018a). “Inferring user interests in microblogging social networks: A survey”. *User Model. User-Adapt. Interact.* 28(3): 277–329.
- Piao, G. and J. G. Breslin (2018b). “Learning to rank tweets with author-based long short-term memory networks”. In: *Web Engineering*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 288–295.
- Poddar, A., E. Zangerle, and Y.-H. Yang (2018). “#nowplaying-RS: A new benchmark dataset for building context-aware music recommender systems”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th Sound & Music Computing Conference*. code at URL: <https://github.com/asmitapoddar/nowplaying-RS-Music-Reco-FM>. Limassol, Cyprus. URL: <http://mac.citi.sinica.edu.tw/~yang/pub/poddar18smc.pdf>.
- Ponzetto, S. P. and M. Strube (2007). “Deriving a large scale taxonomy from Wikipedia”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence – Volume 2. AAAI’07*. Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada: AAAI Press. 1440–1445. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1619797.1619876>.

- Porteous, I., E. Bart, and M. Welling (2008). “Multi-HDP: A non parametric Bayesian model for tensor factorization”. In: *AAAI*.
- Purohit, H., A. Dow, O. Alonso, L. Duan, and K. Haas (2012). “User taglines: Alternative presentations of expertise and interest in social media”. *2012 International Conference on Social Informatics, Lausanne, 2012*. IEEE. 236–243.
- Rajani, N. F. N., K. McArdle, and J. Baldridge (2014). “Extracting topics based on authors, recipients and content in microblogs”. In: *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '14*. Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia: ACM. 1171–1174.
- Rajaraman, A. and J. D. Ullman (2011). “Data mining”. In: *Mining of Massive Datasets*. Cambridge University Press. 1–17.
- Rakesh, V., D. Singh, B. Vinzamuri, and C. K. Reddy (2014). “Personalized recommendation of Twitter lists using content and network information”. In: *ICWSM*.
- Reinanda, R., E. Meij, and M. de Rijke (2015). “Mining, ranking and recommending entity aspects”. In: *Proceedings of the 38th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '15*. Santiago, Chile: Association for Computing Machinery. 263–272.
- Ren, Z., S. Liang, E. Meij, and M. de Rijke (2013). “Personalized time-aware tweets summarization”. In: *Proceedings of the 36th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '13*. Dublin, Ireland: Association for Computing Machinery. 513–522.
- Ren, Z., S. Liang, P. Li, S. Wang, and M. de Rijke (2017). “Social collaborative viewpoint regression with explainable recommendations”. In: *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. WSDM '17*. Cambridge, UK: Association for Computing Machinery. 485–494.
- Rohani, V. A. and O. S. Hock (2010). “On social network web sites: Definition, features, architectures and analysis tools”. *Journal of Advances in Computer Research*. 1(2): 41–53.

- Rohn, U. (2015). “Social media business models”. In: *The International Encyclopedia of Digital Communication and Society*. American Cancer Society. 1–12.
- Romero, D. M., W. Galuba, S. Asur, and B. A. Huberman (2011). “Influence and passivity in social media”. In: *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases – European Conference, ECML PKDD 2011, Athens, Greece, September 5–9, Proceedings, Part III*. 18–33.
- Rosen-Zvi, M., T. Griffiths, M. Steyvers, and P. Smyth (2004). “The author-topic model for authors and documents”. In: *Proceedings of the 20th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence. UAI '04*. Banff, Canada: AUAI Press. 487–494. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1036843.1036902>.
- Russakovsky, O., J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. S. Bernstein, A. C. Berg, and F. Li (2015). “ImageNet large scale visual recognition challenge”. *International Journal of Computer Vision*. 115(3): 211–252.
- Safari, R. M., A. M. Rahmani, and S. H. Alizadeh (2019). “User behavior mining on social media: A systematic literature review”. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 78: 33747–33804.
- Sang, J., D. Lu, and C. Xu (2015). “A probabilistic framework for temporal user modeling on microblogs”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. CIKM '15*. Melbourne, Australia: ACM. 961–970.
- Sasaki, K., T. Yoshikawa, and T. Furuhashi (2014). “Online topic model for Twitter considering dynamics of user interests and topic trends”. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. Doha, Qatar: Association for Computational Linguistics. 1977–1985. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D14-1212>.
- Seghouani, N. B., C. N. Jipmo, and G. Quercini (2018). “Determining the interests of social media users: Two approaches”. *Information Retrieval Journal*: 1–30.
- Shadbolt, N., T. Berners-Lee, and W. Hall (2006). “The semantic web revisited”. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*. 21(3): 96–101.

- Shen, W., J. Wang, P. Luo, and M. Wang (2013). “Linking named entities in tweets with knowledge base via user interest modeling”. In: *KDD*. 68–76.
- Shi, L., L. Liu, Y. Wu, L. Jiang, and J. Hardy (2017). “Event detection and user interest discovering in social media data streams”. *IEEE Access*. 5: 20953–20964.
- Shu, K., S. Wang, J. Tang, R. Zafarani, and H. Liu (2016). “User identity linkage across online social networks: A review”. *SIGKDD Explorations*. 18(2): 5–17.
- Souri, A., S. Hosseinpour, and A. M. Rahmani (2018). “Personality classification based on profiles of social networks’ users and the five-factor model of personality”. *Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences*. 8(1): 24.
- Spasojevic, N., J. Yan, A. Rao, and P. Bhattacharyya (2014). “LASTA: Large scale topic assignment on multiple social networks”. In: *The 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD ’14, New York, NY, USA – August 24–27*. 1809–1818.
- Sriram, B., D. Fuhry, E. Demir, H. Ferhatosmanoglu, and M. Demirbas (2010). “Short text classification in twitter to improve information filtering”. In: *Proceeding of the 33rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR 2010, Geneva, Switzerland, July 19–23*. 841–842.
- Steyvers, M., P. Smyth, M. Rosen-Zvi, and T. L. Griffiths (2004). “Probabilistic author-topic models for information discovery”. In: *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Seattle, WA, USA, August 22–25*. 306–315.
- Suominen, H. (2009). “Performance evaluation measures for text mining”. In: *Handbook of Research on Text and Web Mining Technologies*. Hershey, PA, USA: IGI Global. 724–747.
- Szegedy, C., W. Liu, Y. Jia, P. Sermanet, S. Reed, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich (2015). “Going deeper with convolutions”. In: *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 1–9.

- Szegedy, C., V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, and Z. Wojna (2016). “Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision”. In: *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV, 2016*. 2818–2826.
- Tang, X. and C. C. Yang (2012). “TUT: A statistical model for detecting trends, topics and user interests in social media”. In: *21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM’12, Maui, HI, USA, October 29–November 02*. Ed. by X. Chen, G. Lebanon, H. Wang, and M. J. Zaki. ACM. 972–981.
- Tommaso, G. D., S. Faralli, G. Stilo, and P. Velardi (2018). “WikiMID: A very large multi-domain interests dataset of Twitter users with mappings to Wikipedia”. In: *17th International Semantic Web Conference*. 36–52.
- Toutanova, K., D. Klein, C. D. Manning, and Y. Singer (2003). “Feature-rich part-of-speech tagging with a cyclic dependency network”. In: *Human Language Technology Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, HLT-NAACL 2003, Edmonton, Canada, May 27–June 1*. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/N03-1033/>.
- Trikha, A. K., F. Zarrinkalam, and E. Bagheri (2018). “Topic-association mining for user interest detection”. In: *ECIR*. 665–671.
- Varga, A., A. E. C. Basave, M. Rowe, F. Ciravegna, and Y. He (2014). “Linked knowledge sources for topic classification of microposts: A semantic graph-based approach”. *J. Web Sem.* 26: 36–57.
- Wagner, C., V. Liao, P. Pirolli, L. Nelson, and M. Strohmaier (2012). “It’s not in their Tweets: Modeling topical expertise of Twitter users”. In: *2012 International Conference on Privacy, Security, Risk and Trust and 2012 International Conference on Social Computing*. 91–100.
- Wang, H., F. Zhang, M. Zhao, W. Li, X. Xie, and M. Guo (2019a). “Multi-task feature learning for knowledge graph enhanced recommendation”. In: *The World Wide Web Conference, WWW 2019, San Francisco, CA, USA, May 13–17, 2019*. 2000–2010.

- Wang, H., F. Zhang, M. Hou, X. Xie, M. Guo, and Q. Liu (2018a). “SHINE: Signed heterogeneous information network embedding for sentiment link prediction”. In: *Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. WSDM '18*. Marina Del Rey, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 592–600.
- Wang, J., W. X. Zhao, Y. He, and X. Li (2014). “Infer user interests via link structure regularization”. *ACM TIST*. 5(2): 23:1–23:22.
- Wang, N., H. Wang, Y. Jia, and Y. Yin (2018b). “Explainable recommendation via multi-task learning in opinionated text data”. In: *The 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '18*. Ann Arbor, MI, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 165–174.
- Wang, T., H. Liu, J. He, and X. Du (2013). “Mining user interests from information sharing behaviors in social media”. In: *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 17th Pacific-Asia Conference, PAKDD 2013, Gold Coast, Australia, April 14–17, Proceedings, Part II*. 85–98.
- Wang, X., L. Zhang, X. Li, and W. Ma (2008). “Annotating images by mining image search results”. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. 30(11): 1919–1932.
- Wang, X.-J., M. Yu, L. Zhang, R. Cai, and W.-Y. Ma (2009). “Argo: Intelligent advertising by mining a user’s interest from his photo collections”. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Data Mining and Audience Intelligence for Advertising. ADKDD '09*. Paris, France: ACM. 18–26.
- Wang, X., X. He, F. Feng, L. Nie, and T.-S. Chua (2018c). “TEM: Tree-Enhanced embedding model for explainable recommendation”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference. WWW '18*. Lyon, France: International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee. 1543–1552.
- Wang, X., X. He, Y. Cao, M. Liu, and T. Chua (2019b). “KGAT: Knowledge graph attention network for recommendation”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD 2019, Anchorage, AK, USA, August 4–8*. 950–958.

- Wei, W., G. Cong, C. Miao, F. Zhu, and G. Li (2016). “Learning to find topic experts in Twitter via different relations”. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.* 28(7): 1764–1778.
- Weng, J., E. Lim, J. Jiang, and Q. He (2010). “TwitterRank: Finding topic-sensitive influential twitterers”. In: *WSDM*. 261–270.
- Wieczorek, S., D. Filipiak, and A. Filipowska (2018). “Semantic image-based profiling of users’ interests with neural networks”. In: *Emerging Topics in Semantic Technologies – ISWC 2018 Satellite Events [Best Papers from 13 of the Workshops Co-Located with the ISWC 2018 Conference]*. 179–190.
- Workie, A., R. S. Rajendran, and Y. Chung (2020). “Digital video summarization techniques: A survey”. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*. 09(Jan.): 5.
- Xu, J. and T. Lu (2015). “Inferring user interests on Tumblr”. In: *Social Computing, Behavioral-Cultural Modeling, and Prediction – 8th International Conference, SBP 2015, Washington, DC, USA, March 31–April 3. Proceedings*. Ed. by N. Agarwal, K. Xu, and N. Osgood. Vol. 9021. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer. 458–463.
- Xu, Y. (2018). “User expertise modelling using social network data”. Thesis. Trinity College Dublin. School of Computer Science & Statistics.
- Xu, Z., R. Lu, L. Xiang, and Q. Yang (2011). “Discovering user interest on Twitter with a modified author-topic model”. In: *Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence, WI 2011, Campus Scientifique de la Doua, Lyon, France, August 22–27*. 422–429.
- Xu, Z., Y. Zhang, Y. Wu, and Q. Yang (2012). “Modeling user posting behavior on social media”. In: *Proceedings of the 35th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR ’12*. Portland, OR, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 545–554.
- Xu, Y., D. Zhou, and S. Lawless (2016). “Inferring your expertise from Twitter: Integrating sentiment and topic relatedness”. In: *2016 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence (WI)*. 121–128.



- Xu, Y., D. Zhou, and S. Lawless (2017). “User expertise inference on Twitter: Learning from multiple types of user data”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization. UMAP '17*. Bratislava, Slovakia: Association for Computing Machinery. 395–396.
- Yan, X., J. Guo, Y. Lan, and X. Cheng (2013). “A biterm topic model for short texts”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web. WWW '13*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: ACM. 1445–1456.
- Yan, X., J. Guo, Y. Lan, J. Xu, and X. Cheng (2015). “A probabilistic model for bursty topic discovery in microblogs”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, January 25–30, Austin, TX, USA*. 353–359. URL: <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI15/paper/view/9816>.
- Yang, L., T. Sun, M. Zhang, and Q. Mei (2012). “We know what @you #tag: Does the dual role affect hashtag adoption?” In: *Proceedings of the 21st World Wide Web Conference 2012, WWW 2012, Lyon, France, April 16–20*. 261–270.
- Yao, J., Y. Zhang, I. Tsang, and J. Sun (2017). “Discovering user interests from social images”. In: *MultiMedia Modeling*. Ed. by L. Amsaleg, G. P. Guðmundsson, C. Gurrin, B. P. Jónsson, and S. Satoh. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 160–172.
- Yin, H., B. Cui, L. Chen, Z. Hu, and X. Zhou (2015). “Dynamic user modeling in social media systems”. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*. 33(3): 10:1–10:44.
- You, Q., S. Bhatia, and J. Luo (2016). “A picture tells a thousand words—About you! User interest profiling from user generated visual content”. *Signal Processing*. 124: 45–53. Big Data Meets Multimedia Analytics. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165168415003758>.
- Youyou, W., M. Kosinski, and D. Stillwell (2015). “Computer-based personality judgments are more accurate than those made by humans”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 112(4): 1036–1040.

- Yuan, G., P. K. Murukannaiah, Z. Zhang, and M. P. Singh (2014). “Exploiting sentiment homophily for link prediction”. In: *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. RecSys '14*. Foster City, Silicon Valley, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 17–24.
- Zarrinkalam, F. and E. Bagheri (2016). “Event identification in social networks”. *CoRR*. abs/1606.08521. arXiv: [1606.08521](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.08521). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.08521>.
- Zarrinkalam, F., H. Fani, E. Bagheri, M. Kahani, and W. Du (2015). “Semantics-enabled user interest detection from Twitter”. In: *International Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology, WI-IAT 2015, Singapore, December 6–9, 2015 – Volume I*. 469–476.
- Zarrinkalam, F., H. Fani, E. Bagheri, and M. Kahani (2016). “Inferring implicit topical interests on Twitter”. In: *Advances in Information Retrieval – 38th European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2016, Padua, Italy, March 20–23. Proceedings*. 479–491. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-30671-1\\_35](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30671-1_35).
- Zarrinkalam, F., H. Fani, E. Bagheri, and M. Kahani (2017). “Predicting users’ future interests on Twitter”. In: *Advances in Information Retrieval – 39th European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2017, Aberdeen, UK, April 8–13, Proceedings*. 464–476. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-56608-5\\_36](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56608-5_36).
- Zarrinkalam, F., M. Kahani, and E. Bagheri (2018). “Mining user interests over active topics on social networks”. *Inf. Process. Manage.* 54(2): 339–357.
- Zarrinkalam, F., H. Fani, and E. Bagheri (2019a). “Social user interest mining: Methods and applications”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. KDD '19*. Anchorage, AK, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 3235–3236. ISBN: 9781450362016. DOI: [10.1145/3292500.3332279](https://doi.org/10.1145/3292500.3332279).
- Zarrinkalam, F., M. Kahani, and E. Bagheri (2019b). “User interest prediction over future unobserved topics on social networks”. *Inf. Retr. Journal*. 22(1–2): 93–128.

- Zhang, Y. and X. Chen (2020). “Explainable recommendation: A survey and new perspectives”. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval*. 14(1): 1–101.
- Zhang, Y., Y. Wu, and Q. Yang (2012). “Community discovery in Twitter based on user interests”. *Journal of Computational Information Systems*. 8(Mar.).
- Zhang, Y., G. Lai, M. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, and S. Ma (2014). “Explicit factor models for explainable recommendation based on phrase-level sentiment analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '14*. Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia: Association for Computing Machinery. 83–92. ISBN: 9781450322577. DOI: [10.1145/2600428.2609579](https://doi.org/10.1145/2600428.2609579).
- Zhang, D., J. Yin, X. Zhu, and C. Zhang (2017). “User profile preserving social network embedding”. In: *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. IJCAI'17*. Melbourne, Australia: AAAI Press. 3378–3384. ISBN: 978-0-9992411-0-3. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3172077.3172361>.
- Zhao, W. X., J. Jiang, J. Weng, J. He, E. Lim, H. Yan, and X. Li (2011). “Comparing Twitter and traditional media using topic models”. In: *ECIR*. 338–349.
- Zhao, Z., Z. Cheng, L. Hong, and E. H. Chi (2015). “Improving user topic interest profiles by behavior factorization”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web. WWW '15*. Florence, Italy: International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee. 1406–1416. ISBN: 9781450334693. DOI: [10.1145/2736277.2741656](https://doi.org/10.1145/2736277.2741656).
- Zheng, N., S. Song, and H. Bao (2015). “A temporal-topic model for friend recommendations in Chinese microblogging systems”. *IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*. 45(9): 1245–1253.
- Zheng, X., W. Zheng, Y. Yang, W. Guo, and V. Chang (2019). “Clustering based interest prediction in social networks”. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 78(23): 32755–32774.