

**Designing for Digital
Transformation in a Society
of Smartness: Conceptual
Cornerstones, Design
Implications, and a Road
Ahead**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems

Misinformation Detection: A Survey of AI Techniques and Research Opportunities

Gabrielle Taylor, Wenting Jiang, Xiao Qin and Ashish Gupta

ISBN: 978-1-63828-416-1

Responsible Blockchain: STEADI Principles and the Actor-Network Theory-based Development Methodology (ANT-RDM)

Yibai Li, Ahmed Gomaa and Xiaobing Li

ISBN: 978-1-63828-388-1

The Technological Emergence of AutoML: A Survey of Performant Software and Applications in the Context of Industry

Alexander Scriven, David Jacob Kedziora, Katarzyna Musial and Bogdan Gabrys

ISBN: 978-1-63828-322-5

Knowledge Paths in Design Science Research

Andreas Drechsler and Alan Hevner

ISBN: 978-1-63828-088-0

The Computer Self-Efficacy Construct: A History of Application in Information Systems Research

George M. Marakas, Miguel Aguirre-Urreta, Amin Shoja, Eunyoung Kim and Shangjun Wang

ISBN: 978-1-63828-080-4

IT Use and Identity: A Review and a Research Agenda

Michelle Carter, Victoria Reibenspiess, Yafang Li and Varun Grover

ISBN: 978-1-63828-072-9

Designing for Digital Transformation in a Society of Smartness: Conceptual Cornerstones, Design Implications, and a Road Ahead

Stefan Hanke

European University Viadrina Frankfurt (Oder)
shanke@europa-uni.de

Lauri Wessel

European University Viadrina Frankfurt (Oder)
wessel@europa-uni.de

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

S. Hanke and L. Wessel. *Designing for Digital Transformation in a Society of Smartness: Conceptual Cornerstones, Design Implications, and a Road Ahead*. Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 216–341, 2024.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-471-0

© 2024 S. Hanke and L. Wessel

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems
Volume 8, Issue 4, 2024
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

K.D. Joshi

University of Nevada - Reno

Honorary Editors

Alan R. Dennis

Indiana University

Joey George

Iowa State University

David Paradice

Auburn University

Editors

Maha ElShinnawy

The American University in Cairo

Alan Hevner

University of South Florida

Uday Kulkarni

Arizona State University

Michael Prietula

Emory University

Carol Saunders

University of Central Florida

Veda Storey

Georgia State University

Brad Wheeler

Indiana University

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- IS and Individuals
- IS and Groups
- IS and Organizations
- IS and Industries
- IS and Society
- IS Development
- IS Economics
- IS Management
- IS Research Methods

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems, 2024, Volume 8, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2331-1231. ISSN online version 2331-124X. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Key Terminology	7
3	Unpacking Assumptions in Literatures About Digital Transformation and Smart Service Systems	14
4	Designing for Societal Digital Transformation: Towards a New Paradigmatic View and Root Metaphors	65
5	Conclusion	82
	Appendices	85
	References	97

Designing for Digital Transformation in a Society of Smartness: Conceptual Cornerstones, Design Implications, and a Road Ahead

Stefan Hanke¹ and Lauri Wessel²

¹*European University Viadrina Frankfurt (Oder), Germany;*
shanke@europa-uni.de

²*European University Viadrina Frankfurt (Oder), Germany;*
wessel@europa-uni.de

ABSTRACT

Information system (IS) researchers can be seen as key change agents in occasioning positive societal change and playing a role in responding to “grand challenges”. In this monograph, we work with and advance literature about digital transformation (DT) towards how IS research can conceptualize and grapple with the design of IS artifacts for responding to such complex issues. We conceptualize DT as transformations of smart service systems (SSSs) due to the widespread use of smart technologies by individuals. We then further develop this literature toward a more sociological understanding of the practices within which individuals interact with smart technologies in their everyday lives. Based on providing these cornerstones, we move toward

Stefan Hanke and Lauri Wessel (2024), “Designing for Digital Transformation in a Society of Smartness: Conceptual Cornerstones, Design Implications, and a Road Ahead”, *Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems*: Vol. 8, No. 4, pp 216–341. DOI: 10.1561/29000000033.

©2024 S. Hanke and L. Wessel

2

deriving specific suggestions for IS design of DT at a societal scale and, in doing so, contribute to research about DT, SSS, and IS design, respectively.

1

Introduction

Few, if any, scholars in the field of IS would disagree that our field is in a very good position to help practitioners responding to some key challenges that are associated with digital transformation (Davidson *et al.*, 2023; Faik *et al.*, 2020; Gegenhuber *et al.*, 2022; Majchrzak *et al.*, 2016; Seckler *et al.*, 2021). Information technologies (IT) are no longer just tools that support corporate strategies (Wessel *et al.*, 2021; Yoo, 2010, 2012), they have become artifacts that shape our daily lives far beyond organizational boundaries (Baskerville *et al.*, 2020; Yoo, 2010). It is with these changes that new questions arise that are diverse yet connected through one key question: *How to design artifacts conducive to responding to societal challenges?*

While broad and general, this question cuts across considerations related to recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI) and other technologies that can be used to improve health-related behaviors (Brohman *et al.*, 2020; Dadgar and Joshi, 2018; Wessel *et al.*, 2024) but also to drive fake news (Wang *et al.*, 2022b), influence elections (Blum *et al.*, 2024; Keller and Klinger, 2019), and attempts to destabilize entire countries. These examples are diverse and non-exhaustive, yet they showcase how societies around the globe have entered into an age where

various outcomes of technological developments have become tangible due to our everyday lives playing out in the digital sphere (Baskerville *et al.*, 2020). The digital sphere is seen to purposefully produce the “real world” (Baskerville *et al.*, 2020). Against this backdrop, addressing societal challenges through conducive artifacts becomes not only of technical but also increasingly managerial relevance: ensuring responsible use of technology implies to create solutions beyond “business value” but encompassing “social value” when approaching societies in digital transformation (Pappas *et al.*, 2023). Likewise, inclusion-driven social-value-first solutions would need a business model too (Bon *et al.*, 2020). Yet, literature informs us of lingering challenges in the digital realm. Algorithms and data may contain biases in technical systems (Friedman and Nissenbaum, 1996; Pappas *et al.*, 2023), and access to digital systems may be impaired (Fox and Connolly, 2018; Hustad *et al.*, 2019; Vassilakopoulou and Hustad, 2023), with the effect of excluding entire groups participating in societies from the merits of the digital sphere that is now “the real world” (Baskerville *et al.*, 2020; Fox and Connolly, 2018; Hustad *et al.*, 2019; Pappas *et al.*, 2023; Ziosi *et al.*, 2024). Hence, responsible and inclusive, that is, human-first, management and use of technology become an increasingly relevant but complex endeavor in the digital transformation of societies which invites us to expand knowledge beyond established perspectives on IT artifacts inside organizations (Pappas *et al.*, 2023; Winter, 2024; Winter *et al.*, 2014).

The purpose of our monograph is to take seriously the abovementioned developments and to probe the extent to which some extant literature helps us with developing answers. We double down particularly on the literatures about digital transformation (DT) and smart service systems (SSS) (Baskerville *et al.*, 2020; Beverungen *et al.*, 2019a,b; Davidson *et al.*, 2023; Yoo, 2010). The reason for doing so is that AI-based smart services are key to “de-centering organizations” (Alaimo and Kallinikos, 2022) in the key technological phenomena of our times and foregrounding production, use, and consumption of data in our everyday lives instead. While the importance of these phenomena is obvious, IS is a field centered around intra-organizational phenomena so that we lack design knowledge related to artifacts operating outside

of “organizational containers” (Winter *et al.*, 2014). Based on ideas of “problematizing” (Alvesson and Sandberg, 2011, 2020), we critically review the literatures about DT and SSS highlighting both commonalities and differences in terms of their *root metaphors* and *paradigms*. Returning to a few classical articles in IS (Markus and Robey, 1988) and organization theory (Van de Ven and Poole, 1995, 2005), we work toward showing that these literatures develop understandings of transformation based on different “imperatives” (Markus and Robey, 1988) akin to – though oftentimes not explicating – deterministic thinking. Based on our analysis, we depart from these understandings by suggesting an “emergent process” (Markus and Robey, 1988) as a new paradigm for designing for DT in the society of proclaimed smartness that we live in. We build on sociological practice theory to suggest a set of new root metaphors consistent with emergent processes. Our concrete methodologies to gather and analyze literatures are a “review of reviews” of the DT literature and a conceptual replication of one seminal review by Lim and Maglio (2018) of the literature about SSS.

Our work contributes to IS research in three important ways. The DT literature has spoken about the digital transformation of society for many years (Majchrzak *et al.*, 2016). Recent technological developments have introduced new levels of complexity through machine learning (ML), self-learning algorithms and other artifacts that have agency to create various data in partially uncontrollable ways. For one instance, data and algorithms are used in combination in technical systems where potentially present algorithmic biases are going to play out during interactions (Beverungen, 2014; Friedman and Nissenbaum, 1996; Ziosi *et al.*, 2024). As concrete interactions are needed for the bias, it may only come to the fore through emergence over time. Thus, algorithmic and other forms of biases increase complexity with regards to responsible management of technology (Ebrahimi *et al.*, 2024; Pappas *et al.*, 2023; Ziosi *et al.*, 2024) and are possibly additional sources of emergence in processes of DT. Hence, as scholars of management and design, we need to find ways to appreciate such additional sources of emergence in DT and adjust our baseline assumptions accordingly. As our review shows, much of the literature reiterates views that do not allow for capturing such emergence and we overcome this issue. Secondly, our

work is consistent with recent developments in DSR that foreground more open and inductive approaches (Seckler *et al.*, 2021). We offer to theoretically ground such work in consistent assumptions for those instances when the according solutions target artifacts addressed to speak to societal concerns. Finally, we advance recent developments in sociological practice theory that have been devoted to increase its impact through designing IS artifacts. We unpack a set of assumptions that shine through in these works but have not been fully explicated.

We structure the following considerations into four parts. First, we briefly unpack some key terms that we use throughout our work and that we selectively draw from seminal works in IS and organization theory. Second, we perform our analyses of different literatures including a depiction of the according methodologies. Third, we delve into the introduction of new paradigmatic considerations and the development of alternative root metaphors before we, fourth, discuss our work in a broader context.

Appendices

A

Literature on Digital Transformation

As detailed in Table A.1, Veldhoven and Vanthienen (2022) is cited 51 times: 12x “Business”, 10x “Management”, 9x “Environmental Sciences”, 9x “Green Sustainable Science Technology”, 7x “Environmental Studies”; 17x “09 Industry”, 6x “12 Responsible Consumption”, 4x “No Poverty”, 4x “03 Good Health and Well Being”, 4x “04 Quality Education”.

Vial (2019) is cited 1471 times¹: 472x “Management”, 340x “Business”, 186x “Information Science Library Science”, 174x “Environmental Science”, 173x “Computer Science Information Systems”; 621x “09 Industry Innovation And Infrastructure”, 131x “12 Responsible

Table A.1: Overview of reviewed reviews on DT

	Journal	Citation Count
Veldhoven and Vanthienen (2022)	EM	215GS; 58 WoS
Vial (2019)	JSIS	GS x, 1621 WoS
Hanelt <i>et al.</i> (2021)	JMS	GS x, 491 WoS
Kraus <i>et al.</i> (2022)	IJIM	478, 143 WoS
Verhoef <i>et al.</i> (2021)	JBR	GS x; 1026 WoS

¹WoS reported that 533 records out of 1,471, so 36.234%, have no data for the SDG assignment.

Consumption And Production”, 130x “No Poverty”, 119x “04 Quality Education”, 67x “03 Good Health and Well Being”.

Hanelt *et al.* (2021) is reported as 472x times cited: 200x “Management”, 129x “Business”, 55x “Information Science Library Science”, 45x “Environmental Sciences”, 44x “Engineering Industrial”.²

Kraus *et al.* (2022) is marked as a “Hot Paper” and “Highly Cited Paper” albeit with 143 citations in the WoS: 47x “Management”, 35x “Business”, 20x “Information Science Library Science”, 10x “Environmental Sciences”, 13x “Green Sustainable Science Technology”; SDGs: 56x “09 Industry”, 24x “01 No Poverty”, 18x “12 Responsible Consumption”, 9x “04 Quality Education”, 5x “03 Good Health and Well Being”.

Verhoef *et al.* (2021) is cited 983 times when using the WoS-function to analyze results. Here, we are using this to gather an overview on forward-looking research areas that are citing this article: according to the WoS, this article has been cited 302 times in the field of “Business”, 293 times in “Management”, 128 “Environmental Sciences”, 105 “Environmental Studies”, 109 “Green Sustainable Science Technology”.³ Another possible quick overview is generated by another feature of the WoS, that is to group the citing articles in relation⁴ to the UN-SDG: 422x “09 Industry Innovation And Infrastructure”, 94x “01 No Poverty”, 45x “Quality Education”, 90x “12 Responsible Consumption And Production”, 38x “03 Good Health and Well Being”.

Grouping forward citations by journals: Kraus *et al.* (2022): 11x IJIM, 7x RMS, 6x SUS, 5x TFSC, 5x JIK. Verhoef *et al.* (2021): 93x SUS, 54x JBR, 33x TFSC, 21x IETEM, 15x TIS, 14x JKE, 13x IJInM. Hanelt *et al.* (2021): 31x SUS, 18x TFSC, 13x JBR, 12x TI, 11x JKM, 10x IETEM, 8x JMS, 5x EJIS.

²39x “Environmental Studies”, 36x “Computer Science Information Systems”, 36x “Economics”, 38x “Green Sustainable Science Technology”, 27x “Operations Research Management Science”.

³The categories are created by the WoS.

⁴WoS reported that 409 records, 41.607% out of 983 possible records did not contain data for the relevant field to establish an SDG-relation. The WoS feature relating articles with the UN’s SDGs is generally relevant while a miss rate of 42% does not yet render this feature useful enough.

B

Literature Review SSS

Table B.1: Articles in the derived review basket basically range across all smart + x categories as previously established in Lim and Maglio (2018)

		Problem	Solution
Fischer <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Living	The discourse on smart services is multidisciplinary and thus, a knowledge gap exists to create common ground for central concepts regarding the transformative potential and evidence-based design knowledge.	A conceptual taxonomy on smart services is designed comprising 8 dimensions with 20 characteristics across 100 smart services from the smart living sector.

Continued.

Table B.1: Continued

		Problem	Solution
Lukić <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Grid	Smart grid technologies are affecting all parts of the electricity supply chain, market structure, business models and services.	We develop a model of business intelligence to provide electricity markets with necessary data flows and information for the decision-making process to leverage new capabilities of smart grids and thus enable new business value creation.
Schulz <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Mobility	Technical progress disrupts mobility sector, new integrators promise to offer smart mobility through service integration ranging from car-sharing to public transport. Mobility providers rarely entered value co-creation relationships which is why mobility is not considered smart from a citizen's perspective.	Grounded in an empirical qualitative study we identify several inhibitors of value co-creation from the viewpoint of mobility providers and show how these inhibitors trigger eventual value co-creation relationships.

Table B.2: Prevalent levels of analysis in articles on SSS in articles of premier basket journals

	Smart	Nano	Micro	Meso	Macro
Kahlen <i>et al.</i> (2023)	+	7	11	8	1
	Market		X	X	

Continued.

Table B.2: Continued

	Smart	Nano	Micro	Meso	Macro
Herterich <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Srv Eco-System	X	X		
Wang <i>et al.</i> (2022a)	Transportation		X	X	
Cichy <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Product		X		
Knote <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Pers.Ass.	X	X		
Tarafdar and Bose (2021)	Watch	(x)	X		
Bartelt <i>et al.</i> (2020)	City			X	
Kang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Ride-Hailing		X		
Xie <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Driving	X	X		
Bürger <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Factory			X?	
Huber <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Srv.Sys.	X	X		
Wessel <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Watch / SSS	X	(x)	X	
Oberländer <i>et al.</i> (2018)	'things'	(x)	X	X	
McGrath (2016)	Card			X	X
Murillo-Sánchez <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Grid			X	
Σ : 15		7	11	8	1

Table B.3: Prevalent levels of analysis in articles on SSS in articles of Lowry35

	Smart	Nano	Micro	Meso
Becker <i>et al.</i> (2023)	City			X
Graf-Drasch <i>et al.</i> (2023)	City	x	x	x
Bastidas <i>et al.</i> (2022)	City		X	X
Dickhaut <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Pers. ass.		X	
Huang <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Police-ing	x	x	
Oschinsky <i>et al.</i> (2022)	City		X	
Tang <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Health	x	X	
Anthony <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Urban transport	X	x	X
Li <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Transport	x	x	
Klinker <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Device/glasses	x	x	
Miah and Vu (2020)	City/health		X	
Anke (2019)	Service		X	X
Singh <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Monitoring (citizen)	x	x	X
Turetken <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Mobility		X	X

Continued.

Table B.3: Continued

	Smart	Nano	Micro	Meso
Lukić <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Grid		X	X
Chung <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Health	X	x	X
Σ : 16		8	15	9

Table B.4: Smart AND Health in title, abstract, keyword — levels of analysis

	Smart	Nano	Micro	Meso	Macro
Abdeen <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Health	x	X		
Alabdulatif <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Healthcare		X	X	
Ebrahimi <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Health		X	X	
Thapliyal <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Healthcare	x	X		
Chen <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Healthcare			X	X
Almas <i>et al.</i> (2023)	Healthcare	x	X		
Akhtar <i>et al.</i> (2023)	Healthcare	x	X		

Table B.5: “Smart” service systems per journal groups: HCI

	Jour.	Smart + X	Levels covered	Perspective	Def.?
Kashef <i>et al.</i> (2021)	CHB	City, surveillance	Micro, meso, (macro)	Inter- action in socio-technical view of SSS	Y
Mora <i>et al.</i> (2021)	CHB	City	Micro, meso, macro	Smart city as ecosystem	(y)
Mikusz <i>et al.</i> (2021)	ATHCI	‘Environment’	Nano, micro	Tech.	N
Hung and Lin (2020)	IJHCS	Monitoring	Nano, micro	Tech.	N
Barbosa <i>et al.</i> (2018)	IJHCS	Wheel-chair	Nano, micro	(Still) technical	(y)

Table B.6: Prevalent levels of analysis in articles on SSS in articles of premier basket journals

	Jour.	Smart + X	Nano	Micro	Meso	Macro	Perspective	Def.
Bürger <i>et al.</i> (2019)	DSS	Factory				X?		tech. /IoT impl.
Tarafdar and Bose (2021)	DSS	Watch	(x)	X	X		Tech.?	
Kahlen <i>et al.</i> (2023)	ISR	Market		X	X		Inter-action of software agents	C / impl.
Wang <i>et al.</i> (2022a)	ISR	Transportation		X	X		Eco- system	N
Herterich <i>et al.</i> (2022)	ISJ	Srv Eco-System	X	X	X		SSS	Y
Huber <i>et al.</i> (2019)	ISJ	Srv.Sys.	X	X	X		System(s)	Y
Bartelt <i>et al.</i> (2020)	EJIS	City				X	Inter-acting actor(s)	Y
Oberländer <i>et al.</i> (2018)	EJIS	'things'	(x)	X	X		SSS	Y
Wessel <i>et al.</i> (2019)	JAIS	Watch / SSS	X	(x)	X		SSS	Y
Kang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	JAIS	Ride-Hailing		X	X		Tech. sys	y
Xie <i>et al.</i> (2020)	JAIS	Driving	X	X	X		Tech. sys/srv	C
Knote <i>et al.</i> (2021)	JAIS	Pers. Ass.	X	X	X		Tech. obj.	Y
Cichy <i>et al.</i> (2021)	MISQ	Product		X	X			N
Σ :								

15

Table B.7: Prevalent on SSS in coded evaluations – Approach as in Van De Ven and Poole (2005) (T: = technology-centric; MM: = mixed methods; S: = Survey)

	Evaluation					Approach
	“smart” + x	Artificial	Natural-Istic	Artifact-Centric	“Second” Data	
Umer <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Healthcare	X		X	X	I
Ejaz <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Healthcare	X				I
Jamil <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Fitness	X		T		I~
Javed <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Health activity		X	T		I, IV~
Hung and Lin (2020)	Health monitoring		X	T + S		II~
Lin <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Health clothing		X	T + S		I, (II, IV)~
Young and Kitchin (2020)	City		X	X; MM		I, II
Mikusz <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Environment		X	x + MM		I, II, III~

Note: Statements like

“We then conducted a 5-month field trial to collect porters’ traces. Finally, a series of quantitative analyses were performed to assess the efficiency of porter operations, such as the movement distribution of porters in different time periods and areas, workload distribution among porters, and possible bottlenecks of delivering services.” (Lee *et al.*, 2023, p. 1)

were coded as matching towards “approach I” (Van De Ven and Poole, 2005, p. 1387) since it seems as if the “traces” and “quantitative” analyses were at least formulated towards approach I since a clear effect is presented as result (here: “improve efficiency of the porter team”; Lee *et al.*, 2023, p. 1).

Table B.8: Early coded statements on possible tech dominance

Technology Dominance	Not	Yes
Abdeen <i>et al.</i> (2022)		...	X
Alabdulatif <i>et al.</i> (2022)		...	X
Ebrahimi <i>et al.</i> (2022)		...	X
Thapliyal <i>et al.</i> (2022)		X	
Kaur <i>et al.</i> (2023)			X
Akhtar <i>et al.</i> (2023)			X
Abdel-Basset <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Ahanger <i>et al.</i> (2022)	X		
Ahmad <i>et al.</i> (2022)	X		
Almas <i>et al.</i> (2023)			X
Alquthami and Meliopoulos (2018)			X
Anke (2019)			X
Anthony <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Barbosa <i>et al.</i> (2018)			X
Bartelt <i>et al.</i> (2020)			X
Bastidas <i>et al.</i> (2022)			X
Bertacchini <i>et al.</i> (2017)			X
Bhatia and Sood (2016)			X
Bürger <i>et al.</i> (2019)			X
Catarinucci <i>et al.</i> (2015)			X
Chiang and Liang (2015)			X
Chung <i>et al.</i> (2016)			X
Chung <i>et al.</i> (2017)			X
Cichy <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
D'Amico <i>et al.</i> (2020)			X
Deng and Fei (2023)			?!
Dickhaut <i>et al.</i> (2022)			X
Ejaz <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Heilig and Voß (2017)			X
Hossain and Muhammad (2018)		X	
Huang <i>et al.</i> (2022)			X
Huber <i>et al.</i> (2019)			X
Hung and Lin (2020)			X
Islam <i>et al.</i> (2023)			X
Kahlen <i>et al.</i> (2023)	X		
Kang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	X		
	4	2	30

Continued.

Table B.8: Continued

Technology Dominance	Not	...	Yes
Kashef <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Khan <i>et al.</i> (2019)		X	
Klinker <i>et al.</i> (2020)	X		
Knote <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Lee <i>et al.</i> (2023)		X	
Li <i>et al.</i> (2021)		X	
Lin <i>et al.</i> (2018)			X
Lukić <i>et al.</i> (2017)			X
Maleki <i>et al.</i> (2018)		x	X
Meng <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Mamonov and Benbunan-Fich (2020)			X
Mikusz <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Mora <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Natgunanathan <i>et al.</i> (2019)			X
Oberländer <i>et al.</i> (2018)			X
Qi and Guo (2019)	X!		X!
Rana and Mishra (2020)			X
Ray (2017)		X	x
Razaque <i>et al.</i> (2022)			X
Santofimia <i>et al.</i> (2018)		X!	x
Siddiqui <i>et al.</i> (2014)			X
Simonofski <i>et al.</i> (2021)			X
Singh <i>et al.</i> (2018)	X		x!
Singh <i>et al.</i> (2020)	X		x
Singh <i>et al.</i> (2019)	X		
Tang <i>et al.</i> (2022)	X		x
Tarafdar and Bose (2021)			X
Turetken <i>et al.</i> (2019)			X
Verma and Sood (2018)			X
Visvizi (2023)	X		
Wen and Guo (2014)	X		
Yang <i>et al.</i> (2023)	X		
Yang and Lee (2017)	X		

Continued.

Table B.8: Continued

Technology Dominance	Not	Yes
Xie <i>et al.</i> (2020)	10	6	X 25
	4	2	30
(Sum:77; Docnt:71)	14	8	55

Note: Statements like:

“Smart health is a relatively new paradigm where information and communication technology is utilized to improve health care and medical services” (Abdeen *et al.*, 2022, p. 1)

or

“Advances in information technology have steered several nations’ aspirations toward a health-oriented society. Conspicuously, a new concept known as smart healthcare (SHC) has been coined.” (Kaur *et al.*, 2023, p. 1)

were assessed as technology-dominant in Table B.8, while statements like

“Healthcare is the most pivotal domain of every nation. With the sudden upraise of the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a major concern for the healthcare industry to provide quality medical services to the common people.” (Ahanger *et al.*, 2022, p. 1)

lead to a not-technology-dominant assessment.

References

- Abd Rahman, N. H., M. H. M. Zaki, K. Hasikin, N. A. Abd Razak, A. K. Ibrahim, and K. W. Lai (2023). “Predicting medical device failure: A promise to reduce healthcare facilities cost through smart healthcare management”. *PeerJ Computer Science*. 9. DOI: [10.7717/peerj-cs.1279](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.1279).
- Abdeen, M. A. R., M. H. Ahmed, H. Seliem, T. R. Sheltami, and T. M. Alghamdi (2022). “Smart health systems components, challenges, and opportunities”. *IEEE Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*. 45(4): 436–441.
- Abdel-Basset, M., H. Hawash, R. K. Chakraborty, M. Ryan, M. Elhoseny, and H. Song (2021). “ST-DeepHAR: Deep learning model for human activity recognition in IoHT applications”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 8(6): 4969–4979.
- Ahanger, T. A., M. Bhatia, and A. Aljumah (2022). “Game-theory-inspired novel mechanism for assessing healthcare quality”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 9(24): 24856–24865.
- Ahmad, S., S. Khan, F. Jamil, F. Qayyum, A. Ali, and D. Kim (2022). “Design of a general complex problem-solving architecture based on task management and predictive optimization”. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 18(6): 155013292211078.

- Akhtar, M. M., R. S. A. Shatat, A. S. A. Shatat, S. A. Hameed, and S. I. Alnajdawi (2023). “IoT-based smart healthcare monitoring system using adaptive wavelet entropy deep feature fusion and improved RNN”. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 82(11): 17353–17390.
- Alabdulatif, A., I. Khalil, and M. S. Rahman (2022). “Security of blockchain and AI-empowered smart healthcare: Application-based analysis”. *Applied Sciences-Basel*. 12(21): 11039.
- Alaimo, C. and J. Kallinikos (2022). “Organizations decentered: Data objects, technology and knowledge”. *Organization Science*. 33(1): 19–37.
- Almas, A., W. Iqbal, A. Altaf, K. Saleem, S. Mussiraliyeva, and M. W. Iqbal (2023). “Context-based adaptive fog computing trust solution for time-critical smart healthcare systems”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 10(12): 10575–10586.
- Almujally, N. A., T. Aljrees, O. Saidani, M. Umer, Z. B. Faheem, N. Abuzinadah, K. Alnowaiser, and I. Ashraf (2023). “Monitoring acute heart failure patients using internet -of- things—Based smart monitoring system”. *Sensors*. 23(10). DOI: [10.3390/s23104580](https://doi.org/10.3390/s23104580).
- Alquthami, T. and A. P. S. Meliopoulos (2018). “Smart house management and control without customer inconvenience”. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. 9(4): 2553–2562.
- Alvesson, M. and J. Sandberg (2011). “Generating research questions through problematization”. *The Academy of Management Review*. 36(2): 247–271.
- Alvesson, M. and J. Sandberg (2014). “Habitat and habitus: Boxed -in versus box—Breaking research”. *Organization Studies*. 35(7). DOI: [10.1177/0170840614530916](https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840614530916).
- Alvesson, M. and J. Sandberg (2020). “The problematizing review: A counterpoint to Elsbach and Van Knippenberg’s argument for integrative reviews”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 57(6). DOI: [10.1111/joms.12582](https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12582).
- Alvesson, M. and J. Sandberg (2021). “Pre-understanding: An interpretation-enhancer and horizon-expander in research”. *Organization Studies*. 017084062199450. DOI: [10.1177/0170840621994507](https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840621994507).
- Anke, J. (2019). “Design-integrated financial assessment of smart services”. *Electronic Markets*. 29(1). DOI: [10.1007/s12525-018-0300-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-018-0300-y).

- Anthony Jr., B., S. Abbas Petersen, M. Helfert, D. Ahlers, and J. Krogstie (2021). “Modeling pervasive platforms and digital services for smart urban transformation using an enterprise architecture framework”. *Information Technology & People*. 34(4). DOI: [10.1108/ITP-07-2020-0511](https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-07-2020-0511).
- Aria, M. and C. Cuccurullo (2017). “bibliometrix: An R-tool for comprehensive science mapping analysis”. *Journal of Informetrics*. 11(4): 959–975.
- Baird, A. and L. M. Maruping (2021). “The next generation of research on IS use: A theoretical framework of delegation to and from agentic IS artifacts”. *MIS Quarterly*. 45(1): 315–341.
- Baiyere, A., H. Salmela, and T. Tapanainen (2020). “Digital transformation and the new logics of business process management”. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 29(3): 238–259.
- Bakken, T. and T. Hernes (2006). “Organizing is both a verb and a noun: Weick meets whitehead”. *Organization Studies*. 27(11): 1599–1616.
- Bamberger, P. (2008). “From the editors beyond contextualization: Using context theories to narrow the micro-macro gap in management research”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 51(5): 839–846.
- Banker, R., Y. Liang, and N. Ramasubbu (2021). “Technical debt and firm performance”. *Management Science*. 67(5): 3174–3194.
- Barad, K. (2003). “Posthumanist performativity: Toward an understanding of how matter comes to matter”. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*. 28(3): 801–831.
- Barad, K. (2007). *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Duke University Press.
- Barbosa, J., J. Tavares, I. Cardoso, B. Alves, and B. Martini (2018). “TrailCare: An indoor and outdoor context-aware system to assist wheelchair users”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 116: 1–14. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhcs.2018.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2018.04.001).
- Barrett, M., E. Oborn, and W. Orlikowski (2016). “Creating value in online communities: The sociomaterial configuring of strategy, platform, and stakeholder engagement”. *Information Systems Research*. 27(4): 704–723. DOI: [10.1287/isre.2016.0648](https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2016.0648).

- Barrett, M., E. Oborn, W. J. Orlikowski, and J. A. Yates (2012). “Reconfiguring boundary relations: Robotic innovations in pharmacy work”. *Organization Science*. 23(5): 1448–1466.
- Barrett, M. and W. Orlikowski (2021). “*Scale Matters: Doing Practice-Based Studies of Contemporary Digital Phenomena*”. DOI: [10.17863/CAM.65097](https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.65097).
- Barrett, M. and G. Walsham (2004). “Making contributions from interpretive case studies: Examining processes of construction and use”. In: *Information Systems Research*. Ed. by B. Kaplan, D. P. Truex, D. Wastell, A. T. Wood-Harper, and J. I. DeGross. Vol. 143. Springer US. 293–312. DOI: [10.1007/1-4020-8095-6_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-8095-6_17).
- Bartelt, V. L., A. Urbaczewski, A. G. Mueller, and S. Sarker (2020). “Enabling collaboration and innovation in Denver’s smart city through a living lab: A social capital perspective”. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 29(4): 369–387.
- Baskerville, R. L. (2023). “Ethnographic evidence for practice”. In: *Handbook of Qualitative Research Methods for Information Systems*. Ed. by R. M. Davison. Edward Elgar Publishing. 104–123. DOI: [10.4337/9781802205398.00012](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802205398.00012).
- Baskerville, R. L. and M. D. Myers (2015). “Design ethnography in information systems”. *Information Systems Journal*. 25(1): 23–46.
- Baskerville, R. L., M. D. Myers, and Y. Yoo (2020). “Digital first: The ontological reversal and new challenges for information systems research”. *MIS Quarterly*. 44(2): 509–523.
- Baskerville, R. L. and J. Pries-Heje (2019). “Projectability in design science research”. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA)*. 20(1). URL: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/jitta/vol20/iss1/3>.
- Bastidas, V., I. Reyhav, A. Ofir, M. Bezbradica, and M. Helfert (2022). “Concepts for modeling smart cities”. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*. 64(3). DOI: [10.1007/s12599-021-00724-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-021-00724-w).
- Becker, J., F. Chasin, M. Rosemann, D. Beverungen, J. Priefer, J. Brocke, M. Matzner, A. del Rio Ortega, M. Resinas, F. Santoro, M. Song, K. Park, and C. Di Ciccio (2023). “City 5.0: Citizen involvement in the design of future cities”. *Electronic Markets*. 33(1). DOI: [10.1007/s12525-023-00621-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-023-00621-y).

- Bertacchini, F., E. Bilotta, and P. Pantano (2017). “Shopping with a robotic companion”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 77: 382–395. DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2017.02.064](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.02.064).
- Beverungen, D. (2014). “Exploring the interplay of the design and emergence of business processes as organizational routines”. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*. 6(4): 191–202.
- Beverungen, D., C. F. Breidbach, J. Poeppelbuss, and V. K. Tuunainen (2019a). “Smart service systems: An interdisciplinary perspective”. *Information Systems Journal*. 29(6): 1201–1206.
- Beverungen, D., O. Müller, M. Matzner, J. Mendling, and J. vom Brocke (2019b). “Conceptualizing smart service systems”. *Electronic Markets*. 29(1): 7–18.
- Bharadwaj, A., O. A. El Sawy, P. A. Pavlou, and N. Venkatraman (2013). “Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights”. *MIS Quarterly*. 37(2): 471–482.
- Bhatia, M. and S. K. Sood (2016). “Temporal informative analysis in smart-ICU monitoring: M-HealthCare perspective”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 40(8): 190.
- Blum, R. M., M. Cowburn, and S. Masket (2024). “Who decides? Media, MAGA, money, and mentions in the 2022 republican primaries”. *Political Research Quarterly*. 10659129241268820. DOI: [10.1177/10659129241268820](https://doi.org/10.1177/10659129241268820).
- Bon, A., J. Gordijn, and C. Wai Shiang (2020). “Digital inclusion requires a business model too: Sustainability analysis of value webs in rural Sarawak”. In: *12th ACM Conference on Web Science Companion*. 64–69. DOI: [10.1145/3394332.3402832](https://doi.org/10.1145/3394332.3402832).
- Braa, J., S. Sahay, and E. Monteiro (2023). “Design theory for societal digital transformation: The case of digital global health”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 24(6): 1645–1669.
- Brohman, K., S. Addas, J. Dixon, and A. Pinsonneault (2020). “Cascading feedback: A longitudinal study of a feedback ecosystem for telemonitoring patients with chronic disease”. *MIS Quarterly*. 44(1): 421–450.

- Büchner, S., J. Hergesell, and J. Kallinikos (2022). “Digital transformation(s): On the entanglement of long-term processes and digital social change; An introduction”. *Historical Social Research*. 47(3): 7–39.
- Bunge, M. (2004). *Emergence and Convergence: Qualitative Novelty and the Unity of Knowledge*. University of Toronto Press. DOI: [10.3138/9781442674356](https://doi.org/10.3138/9781442674356).
- Bürger, O., B. Häckel, P. Karnebogen, and J. Töppel (2019). “Estimating the impact of IT security incidents in digitized production environments”. *Decision Support Systems*. 127: 113144. DOI: [10.1016/j.dss.2019.113144](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2019.113144).
- Burrell, G. and G. Morgan (2019). *Sociological Paradigms and Organizational Analysis: Elements of the Sociology of Corporate Life*. 2nd ed. Routledge. DOI: [10.4324/9781315609751](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315609751).
- Burton-Jones, A. and M. J. Gallivan (2007). “Toward a deeper understanding of system usage in organizations: A multilevel perspective”. *MIS Quarterly*. 31(4): 657.
- Burton-Jones, A., E. R. McLean, and E. Monod (2015). “Theoretical perspectives in IS research: From variance and process to conceptual latitude and conceptual fit”. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 24(6): 664–679.
- Castillo Padrós, M. R., N. Pastor, J. Altarriba Paracolls, M. Mosquera Peña, D. Pergolizzi, and À. Salvador Vergès (2023). “A smart system for remote monitoring of patients in palliative care (HumanITcare platform): Mixed methods study”. *JMIR Formative Research*. 7. DOI: [10.2196/45654](https://doi.org/10.2196/45654).
- Catarinucci, L., D. de Donno, L. Mainetti, L. Palano, L. Patrono, M. L. Stefanizzi, and L. Tarricone (2015). “An IoT-aware architecture for smart healthcare systems”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 2(6): 515–526.
- Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2023). “Process oriented research: Opening new horizons for IS research”. In: *Handbook of Qualitative Research Methods for Information Systems*. Ed. by R. M. Davison. Edward Elgar Publishing. 325–339. DOI: [10.4337/9781802205398.00027](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802205398.00027).

- Chen, Y., L. Zhang, and M. Wei (2022). “How does smart healthcare service affect resident health in the digital age? Empirical evidence from 105 cities of China”. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 9: 833687. DOI: [10.3389/fpubh.2021.833687](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.833687).
- Chiang, T.-C. and W.-H. Liang (2015). “A context—Aware interactive health care system based on ontology and fuzzy inference”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 39(9). DOI: [10.1007/s10916-015-0287-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-015-0287-2).
- Chung, K., J.-C. Kim, and R. C. Park (2016). “Knowledge-based health service considering user convenience using hybrid Wi—Fi P2P”. *Information Technology and Management*. 17(1). DOI: [10.1007/s10799-015-0241-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10799-015-0241-5).
- Chung, N., I. Tyan, and H. Han (2017). “Enhancing the smart tourism experience through geotag”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 19(4): 731–742.
- Cichy, P., T. O. Salge, and R. Kohli (2021). “Privacy concerns and data sharing in the internet of things: Mixed methods evidence from connected cars”. *MIS Quarterly*. 45(4).
- Cornelissen, J., M. A. Höllerer, and D. Seidl (2021). “What theory is and can be: Forms of theorizing in organizational scholarship”. *Organization Theory*. 2(3): 263178772110203.
- Cristobal-Huerta, A., A. Torrado-Carvajal, C. Rodriguez-Sanchez, J. A. Hernandez-Tamames, M. Luaces, and S. Borromeo (2022). “Implementation of ISO / IEEE 11073 PHD SpO2 and ECG device specializations over bluetooth HDP following health care profile for smart living”. *Sensors*. 22(15). DOI: [10.3390/s22155648](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22155648).
- Dadgar, M. and K. D. Joshi (2018). “The role of information and communication technology in self-management of chronic diseases: An empirical investigation through value sensitive design”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 86–112. DOI: [10.17705/1jais.00485](https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00485).
- D’Amico, G., P. L’Abbate, W. Liao, T. Yigitcanlar, and G. Ioppolo (2020). “Understanding sensor cities: Insights from technology giant company driven smart urbanism practices”. *Sensors*. 20(16). DOI: [10.3390/s20164391](https://doi.org/10.3390/s20164391).

- Davidson, E., L. Wessel, J. S. Winter, and S. Winter (2023). “Future directions for scholarship on data governance, digital innovation, and grand challenges”. *Information and Organization*. 33(1): 100454.
- Deloitte (2009). “Measuring the forces of long-term change: The 2009 Shift Index”. URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20240115165549/https://johnseelybrown.com/shiftindex.pdf>.
- Deng, G. and S. Fei (2023). “Exploring the factors influencing online civic engagement in a smart city: The mediating roles of ICT self-efficacy and commitment to community”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 143: 107682. DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2023.107682](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.107682).
- Dickhaut, E., M. M. Li, A. Janson, and J. M. Leimeister (2022). “The role of design patterns in the development and legal assessment of lawful technologies”. *Electronic Markets*. 32(4). DOI: [10.1007/s12525-022-00597-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00597-1).
- D’Onofrio, G., L. Fiorini, L. Toccafondi, E. Rovini, S. Russo, F. Ciccone, F. Giuliani, D. Sancarlo, and F. Cavallo (2021). “Pilots for healthy and active ageing (PHArA - ON) project: Definition of new technological solutions for older people in Italian pilot sites based on elicited user needs”. *Sensors*. 22(1). DOI: [10.3390/s22010163](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22010163).
- Drechsler, A. (2019). “Towards a unifying framework for design and action-oriented IS research”. In: *27th European Conference on Information Systems*. 0–10.
- Dreyer, S., D. Olivotti, B. Lebek, and M. H. Breitner (2019). “Focusing the customer through smart services: A literature review”. *Electronic Markets*. 29(1). DOI: [10.1007/s12525-019-00328-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-019-00328-z).
- Dzhengiz, T., E. M. Miller, J. Ovaska, and S. Patala (2023). “Unpacking the circular economy: A problematizing review”. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. 25(2). DOI: [10.1111/ijmr.12329](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12329).
- Ebrahimi, M., M. S. Haghighi, A. Jolfaei, N. Shamaeian, and M. H. Tadayon (2022). “A secure and decentralized trust management scheme for smart health systems”. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*. 26(5): 1961–1968.
- Ebrahimi, S., E. Abdelhalim, K. Hassanein, and M. Head (2024). “Reducing the incidence of biased algorithmic decisions through feature importance transparency: An empirical study”. *European Journal of Information Systems*: 1–29. DOI: [10.1080/0960085X.2024.2395531](https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2024.2395531).

- Ejaz, M., T. Kumar, I. Kovacevic, M. Ylianttila, and E. Harjula (2021). “Health- BlockEdge: Blockchain—Edge framework for reliable low—Latency digital healthcare applications”. *Sensors*. 21(7). DOI: [10.3390/s21072502](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21072502).
- Elsbach, K. D. and D. van Knippenberg (2020). “Creating high-impact literature reviews: An argument for ‘Integrative Reviews’”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 57(6): 1277–1289.
- Faik, I., M. Barrett, and E. Oborn (2020). “How information technology matters in societal change: An affordance-based institutional perspective”. *MIS Quarterly*. 44(3): 1359–1390.
- Fan, M., J. Sun, B. Zhou, and M. Chen (2016). “The smart health initiative in China: The case of Wuhan, Hubei Province”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 40(3): 62.
- Feldman, M. S. and W. J. Orlikowski (2011). “Theorizing practice and practicing theory”. *Organization Science*. 22(5): 1240–1253.
- Fischer, M., D. Heim, A. Hofmann, C. Janiesch, C. Klima, and A. Winkelmann (2020). “A taxonomy and archetypes of smart services for smart living”. *Electronic Markets*. 30(1). DOI: [10.1007/s12525-019-00384-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-019-00384-5).
- Fox, G. and R. Connolly (2018). “Mobile health technology adoption across generations: Narrowing the digital divide”. *Information Systems Journal*. 28(6): 995–1019.
- Friedman, B. and H. Nissenbaum (1996). “Bias in computer systems”. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*. 14(3): 330–347.
- Gegenhuber, T., D. Logue, C. R. Hinings (Bob), and M. Barrett (2022). “Institutional perspectives on digital transformation”. In: *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*. Ed. by T. Gegenhuber, D. Logue, C. R. (Bob) Hinings, and M. Barrett. Emerald Publishing Limited. 1–32. DOI: [10.1108/S0733-558X20220000083001](https://doi.org/10.1108/S0733-558X20220000083001).
- Gersch, M. and L. Wessel (2023). “Digital transformation in health care: The role of professional practices”. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Industry Dynamics*. Oxford University Press. DOI: [10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190933463.013.19](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190933463.013.19).
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration*. University of California Press.

- Goldkuhl, G. (2022). “Linguistic and ontological concept formation: The LION method”. *The Qualitative Report*. DOI: [10.46743/2160-3715/2022.5633](https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2022.5633).
- Goldkuhl, G. and J. Sjöström (2018). “Design science in the field: Practice design research”. In: *Designing for a Digital and Globalized World*. Ed. by S. Chatterjee, K. Dutta, and R. P. Sundarraj. Vol. 10844. Springer International Publishing. 67–81. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-91800-6_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91800-6_5).
- Graf-Drasch, V., R. Keller, O. Meindl, and F. Röhrich (2023). “The design of citizen—Centric green IS in sustainable smart districts”. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*. DOI: [10.1007/s12599-023-00821-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-023-00821-y).
- Gregor, S. and A. R. Hevner (2013). “Positioning and presenting design science research for maximum impact”. *MIS Quarterly*. 37(2): 337–355.
- Gregor, S. and D. Jones (2007). “The anatomy of a design theory”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 8(5): 312–335.
- Gregory, R. W., M. Keil, J. Muntermann, and M. Mähring (2015). “Paradoxes and the nature of ambidexterity in IT transformation programs”. *Information Systems Research*. 26(1): 57–80.
- Gregory, R. W., O. Henfridsson, E. Kaganer, and H. Kyriakou (2021). “The role of Artificial Intelligence and data network effects for creating user value”. *Academy of Management Review*. 46(3): 534–551.
- Hamblin, C. L. (1970). *Fallacies*. London: Methuen. URL: <https://archive.org/details/fallacies0000hamb>.
- Hanelt, A., R. Bohnsack, D. Marz, and C. Antunes Marante (2021). “A systematic review of the literature on digital transformation: Insights and implications for strategy and organizational change”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 58(5): 1159–1197.
- Hassan, N. R., P. B. Lowry, and L. Mathiassen (2022). “Useful products in information systems theorizing: A discursive formation perspective”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 22(2): 418–446.

- Heilig, L. and S. Voß (2017). “Information systems in seaports: A categorization and overview”. *Information Technology and Management*. 1–23. DOI: [10.1007/s10799-016-0269-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10799-016-0269-1).
- Herterich, M. M., C. Dremel, J. Wulf, and J. Vom Brocke (2022). “The emergence of smart service ecosystems—The role of socio-technical antecedents and affordances”. *Information Systems Journal*. 33(3). DOI: [10.1111/isj.12412](https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12412).
- Hevner, A. R., S. T. March, J. Park, and S. Ram (2004). “Design science in information systems research”. *MIS Quarterly*. 28(1): 75–105.
- Holeman, I. and M. Barrett (2017). “Insights from an ICT4D initiative in Kenya’s immunization program: Designing for the emergence of sociomaterial practices”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 18(12): 900–930.
- Hossain, M. S. and G. Muhammad (2018). “Emotion-aware connected healthcare big data towards 5G”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 5(4): 2399–2406.
- House, R., D. M. Rousseau, and M. Thomas-Hunt (1995). “The Meso paradigm: A framework for the integration of micro and macro organizational behavior”. In: *Research in Organizational Behavior: An Annual Series of Analytical Essays and Critical Reviews*. WorldCat.
- Hovorka, D. and M. Germonprez (2013). “Perspectives on emergence in information systems research”. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*. 33(1). DOI: [10.17705/1CAIS.03320](https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.03320).
- Huang, C.-H., T.-C. Chou, and S.-H. Wu (2022). “Towards convergence of AI and IoT for smart policing: A case of a mobile edge computing—Based context—Aware system”. *Journal of Global Information Management*. 29(6). DOI: [10.4018/JGIM.296260](https://doi.org/10.4018/JGIM.296260).
- Huber, R. X. R., L. C. Püschel, and M. Röglinger (2019). “Capturing smart service systems: Development of a domain-specific modelling language”. *Information Systems Journal*. 29(6).
- Humayun, M., N. Z. Jhanjhi, A. Almotilag, and M. F. Almufareh (2022). “Agent-based medical health monitoring system”. *Sensors*. 22(8). DOI: [10.3390/s22082820](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22082820).

- Hung, L.-P. and C.-C. Lin (2020). “A multiple warning and smart monitoring system using wearable devices for home care”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 136: 102381. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.102381](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.102381).
- Hustad, E., J. L. Hansen, A. Skaiaa, and P. Vassilakopoulou (2019). “Digital inequalities: A review of contributing factors and measures for crossing the divide”. In: *Digital Transformation for a Sustainable Society in the 21st Century*. Ed. by I. O. Pappas, P. Mikalef, Y. K. Dwivedi, L. Jaccheri, J. Krogstie, and M. Mäntymäki. Vol. 11701. Springer International Publishing. 505–519. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-29374-1_41](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29374-1_41).
- Islam, M. M., S. Nooruddin, F. Karray, and G. Muhammad (2023). “Internet of Things: Device capabilities, architectures, protocols, and smart applications in healthcare domain”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 10(4): 3611–3641.
- Jamil, F., H. K. Kahng, S. Kim, and D.-H. Kim (2021). “Towards secure fitness framework based on IoT-enabled blockchain network integrated with machine learning algorithms”. *Sensors*. 21(5): 1640.
- Janssen, M., S. Luthra, S. Mangla, N. P. Rana, and Y. K. Dwivedi (2019). “Challenges for adopting and implementing IoT in smart cities: An integrated MICMAC—ISM approach”. *Internet Research*. 29(6). DOI: [10.1108/INTR-06-2018-0252](https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-06-2018-0252).
- Javed, A. R., M. U. Sarwar, S. Khan, C. Iwendi, M. Mittal, and N. Kumar (2020). “Analyzing the effectiveness and contribution of each axis of tri—Axial accelerometer sensor for accurate activity recognition”. *Sensors*. 20(8). DOI: [10.3390/s20082216](https://doi.org/10.3390/s20082216).
- Jones, M. R. and H. Karsten (2008). “Giddens’s structuration theory and information systems research”. *MIS Quarterly*. 32(1): 127.
- Kahlen, M., K. Schroer, W. Ketter, and A. Gupta (2023). “Smart markets for real-time allocation of multiproduct resources: The case of shared electric vehicles”. *Information Systems Research*. isre.2022.0204. DOI: [10.1287/isre.2022.0204](https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2022.0204).

- Kalid, N., A. A. Zaidan, B. B. Zaidan, O. H. Salman, M. Hashim, and H. Muzammil (2018). “Based real time remote health monitoring systems: A review on patients prioritization and related ‘Big Data’ using body sensors information and communication technology”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 42(2): 30.
- Kang, L., Q. Jiang, C.-H. Peng, C. Ling Sia, and T.-P. Liang (2020). “Managing change with the support of smart technology: A field investigation of ride—Hailing services”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 21. DOI: [10.17705/1jais.00647](https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00647).
- Kashef, M., A. Visvizi, and O. Troisi (2021). “Smart city as a smart service system: Human-computer interaction and smart city surveillance systems”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 124: 106923. DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2021.106923](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106923).
- Kaur, A., M. Bhatia, and T. A. Ahanger (2023). “Bibliometric analysis of smart healthcare”. *IEEE Systems Journal*. 17(3): 3993–4001.
- Keller, T. R. and U. Klinger (2019). “Social bots in election campaigns: Theoretical, empirical, and methodological implications”. *Political Communication*. 36(1): 171–189.
- Khan, S., S. Richardson, A. Liu, V. Mechery, L. McCullagh, A. Schachter, S. Pardo, and T. McGinn (2019). “Improving provider adoption with adaptive clinical decision support surveillance: An observational study”. *JMIR Human Factors*. 6(1): e10245.
- Klein, K. J. and S. W. Kozlowski (2000a). “A multilevel approach to theory and research in organizations: Contextual, temporal, and emergent processes”. In: *Multilevel Theory, Research, and Methods in Organizations: Foundations, Extensions, and New Directions*. 3–90.
- Klein, K. J. and S. W. J. Kozlowski (2000b). “From micro to meso: Critical steps in conceptualizing and conducting multilevel research”. *Organizational Research Methods*. 3(3): 211–236.
- Klein, K. J., H. Tosi, and A. A. Cannella (1999). “Introduction to special topic forum: Multilevel theory building: Benefits, barriers, and new developments”. *Academy of Management Review*. 24(2): 243–248.

- Klinker, K., M. Wiesche, and H. Krcmar (2020). “Digital transformation in health care: Augmented reality for hands-free service innovation”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 22(6): 1419–1431.
- Klotz, S., S. Kratzer, M. Westner, and S. Strahringer (2022). “Literary sketches in information systems research: Conceptualization and guidance for using vignettes as a narrative form”. *Information Systems Management*. 39(4): 345–362.
- Knote, R., A. Janson, M. Söllner, and J. M. Leimeister (2021). “Value co—Creation in smart services: A functional affordances perspective on smart personal assistants”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. DOI: [10.17705/1jais.00667](https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00667).
- Kozlowski, S. W. J. and K. J. Klein (2000). “A multilevel approach to theory and research in organizations: Contextual, temporal, and emergent processes”. In: *Multilevel Theory, Research, and Methods in Organizations: Foundations, Extensions, and New Directions*. Jossey-Bass/Wiley. 3–90.
- Kraus, S., S. Durst, J. J. Ferreira, P. Veiga, N. Kailer, and A. Weinmann (2022). “Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo”. *International Journal of Information Management*. 63: 102466. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466).
- Kroes, P. (2009). “Foundational issues of engineering design”. In: *Philosophy of Technology and Engineering Sciences*. Ed. by A. Meijers. North-Holland. 513–541. DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-444-51667-1.50023-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-51667-1.50023-9).
- Kuechler, V. K. V., V. K. Vaishnavi, and K. William (2015). *Design Science Research Methods and Patterns: Innovating Information and Communication Technology*. 2nd ed. CRC Press. DOI: [10.1201/b18448](https://doi.org/10.1201/b18448).
- Kuijjer, L., A. de Jong, and D. van Eijk (2013). “Practices as a unit of design: An exploration of theoretical guidelines in a study on bathing”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 20(4): 21:1–21:22.
- Kunisch, S., D. Denyer, J. M. Bartunek, M. Menz, and L. B. Cardinal (2023). “Review research as scientific inquiry”. *Organizational Research Methods*. 26(1): 3–45.

- Kunisch, S., M. Menz, J. M. Bartunek, L. B. Cardinal, and D. Denyer (2018). "Feature topic at organizational research methods: How to conduct rigorous and impactful literature reviews?" *Organizational Research Methods*. 21(3): 519–523.
- Langley, A. and H. Tsoukas (2016). *The SAGE Handbook of Process Organization Studies*. Sage.
- Lee, A. S. and R. L. Baskerville (2003). "Generalizing generalizability in information systems research". *Information Systems Research*. 14(3): 221–243.
- Lee, A. S. and R. L. Baskerville (2012). "Conceptualizing generalizability: New contributions and a reply". *MIS Quarterly*. 36(3): 749–761.
- Lee, C.-R., E. T.-H. Chu, H.-C. Shen, J. Hsu, and H.-M. Wu (2023). "An indoor location-based hospital porter management system and trace analysis". *Health Informatics Journal*. 29(2): 14604582231183399.
- Leonardi, P. M. (2011). "When flexible routines meet flexible technologies: Affordance, constraint, and the imbrication of human and material agencies". *MIS Quarterly*. 35(1): 147–167.
- Leonardi, P. M. (2012). "Materiality, sociomateriality, and socio-technical systems: What do these terms mean? How are they related? Do we need them?" In: *Materiality and Organizing: Social Interaction in a Technological World*. Ed. by P. M. Leonardi, B. A. Nardi, and J. Kallinikos. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 25–48. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.2129878](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2129878).
- Leonardi, P. M. (2023). "Guest editorial: Affordances and agency: A clarification and integration of fractured concepts". *Management Information Systems Quarterly*. 47(4): ix–xx.
- Leonardi, P. M. and S. R. Barley (2008). "Materiality and change: Challenges to building better theory about technology and organizing". *Information and Organization*. 18(3): 159–176.
- Leonardi, P. M. and S. R. Barley (2010). "What's under construction here?: Social action, materiality, and power in constructivist studies of technology and organizing". *Academy of Management Annals*. 4(1): 1–51.
- Lewis, E. (1999). "Using the risk-remedy method to evaluate outsourcing tenders". *Journal of Information Technology (Routledge, Ltd.)* 14(2): 203–211.

- Li, G., N. Cao, P. Zhu, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, L. Li, Q. Li, and Y. Zhang (2021). “Towards smart transportation system: A case study on the rebalancing problem of bike sharing system based on reinforcement learning”. *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*. 33(3). DOI: [10.4018/JOEUC.20210501.0a3](https://doi.org/10.4018/JOEUC.20210501.0a3).
- Li, M. and Y. Wu (2022). “Intelligent control system of smart home for context awareness”. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 18(3). DOI: [10.1177/15501329221082030](https://doi.org/10.1177/15501329221082030).
- Lim, C. and P. P. Maglio (2018). “Data-driven understanding of smart service systems through text mining”. *Service Science*. 10(2): 154–180.
- Lim, C. and P. P. Maglio (2019). “Clarifying the concept of smart service system”. In: *Handbook of Service Science*. Vol. II. Cham: Springer. 349–376. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-98512-1_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98512-1_16).
- Lin, J., L. Li, Y. Yan, and O. Turel (2018). “Understanding Chinese consumer engagement in social commerce”. *Internet Research*. 28(1): 2–22.
- Lucas Jr, H. C., R. Agarwal, E. K. Clemons, O. A. El Sawy, and B. Weber (2013). “Impactful research on transformational information technology: An opportunity to inform new audiences”. *MIS Quarterly*. 37(2): 371–382.
- Lukić, J., M. Radenković, M. Despotović-Zrakić, A. Labus, and Z. Bogdanović (2017). “Supply chain intelligence for electricity markets: A smart grid perspective”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 19(1). DOI: [10.1007/s10796-015-9592-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-015-9592-z).
- Lukyanenko, R. and J. Parsons (2020). “Design theory indeterminacy: What is it, how can it be reduced, and why did the polar bear drown?” *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 21(5): 1343–1369.
- Luo, F., G. Ranzi, X. Wang, and Z. Y. Dong (2019). “Social information filtering-based electricity retail plan recommender system for smart grid end users”. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. 10(1): 95–104.
- Majchrzak, A., M. L. Markus, and J. Wareham (2016). “Designing for digital transformation: Lessons for information systems research from the study of ICT and societal challenges”. *MIS Quarterly*. 40(2): 267–277.

- Malaurent, J. and D. Avison (2016). “Reconciling global and local needs: A canonical action research project to deal with workarounds”. *Information Systems Journal*. 26(3): 227–257.
- Malaurent, J. and D. Avison (2017). “Reflexivity: A third essential ‘R’ to enhance interpretive field studies”. *Information & Management*. 54(7): 920–933.
- Maleki, E., F. Belkadi, N. Boli, B. J. Van Der Zwaag, K. Alexopoulos, S. Koukas, M. Marin-Perianu, A. Bernard, and D. Mourtzis (2018). “Ontology-based framework enabling smart product-service systems: Application of sensing systems for machine health monitoring”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 5(6): 4496–4505.
- Mamonov, S. and R. Benbunan-Fich (2020). “Unlocking the smart home: Exploring key factors affecting the smart lock adoption intention”. *Information Technology & People*. 34(2): 835–861.
- Mansour, R., A. El Amraoui, I. Nouaouri, V. Díaz, D. Gupta, and S. Kumar (2021). “Artificial intelligence and internet of things enabled disease diagnosis model for smart healthcare systems”. *IEEE Access : Practical Innovations, Open Solutions*. 9: 45137–45146. DOI: [10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3066365](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3066365).
- March, S. T. and G. F. Smith (1995). “Design and natural science research on information technology”. *Decision Support Systems*. 15(4): 251–266.
- Markus, M. L. and D. Robey (1988). “Information technology and organizational change: causal structure in theory and research”. *Management Science*. 34(5): 583–598.
- Markus, M. L. and F. Rowe (2021). “Guest editorial: Theories of digital transformation: A progress report”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 22(2): 273–280.
- Markus, M. L. and F. Rowe (2023). “The digital transformation conundrum: Labels, definitions, phenomena, and theories”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 24(2): 328–335.
- Mayring, P. et al. (2000). “Qualitative content analysis”. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum:Qualitative Social Research*. 1: Article 2.
- Mayring, P. (2004). “Qualitative content analysis”. *A Companion to Qualitative Research*. 1(2): 159–176.

- Mayring, P. (2014). *Qualitative content analysis: Theoretical foundation, basic procedures and software solution*. 143.
- McGrath, K. (2016). “Identity verification and societal challenges: Explaining the gap between service provision and development outcomes”. *MIS Quarterly*. 40(2): 485–500. DOI: [10.25300/MISQ/2016/40.2.12](https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2016/40.2.12).
- Melero-Muñoz, F. J., M. V. Bueno-Delgado, R. Martínez-Carreras, R. Maestre-Ferriz, M. Beteta-Medina, T. Puebla-Martínez, A. L. Bleda-Tomás, G. Sánchez-Nanclares, R. Pérez-de-Zabala, and M. Álvarez-Leon (2022). “Design and development of a heterogeneous active assisted living solution for monitoring and following up with chronic heart failure patients in Spain”. *Sensors*. 22(22). DOI: [10.3390/s22228961](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22228961).
- Mendling, J., N. Berente, S. Seidel, and T. Grisold (2021). “Pluralism and pragmatism in the information systems field: The case of research on business processes and organizational routines”. *The Data Base for Advances in Information Systems*. 52(2): 127–140.
- Meng, W., Y. Cai, L. T. Yang, and W.-J. Chiu (2021). “Hybrid emotion-aware monitoring system based on brainwaves for internet of medical things”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 8(21): 16014–16022.
- Miah, S. J. and H. Q. Vu (2020). “Towards developing a healthcare situation monitoring method for smart city initiatives: A citizen safety perspective”. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*. 24. DOI: [10.3127/ajis.v24i0.2551](https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v24i0.2551).
- Mikusz, M., P. Shaw, N. Davies, P. Nurmi, S. Clinch, L. Trotter, I. Elhart, M. Langheinrich, and A. Friday (2021). “A longitudinal study of pervasive display personalisation”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 28(1). DOI: [10.1145/3418352](https://doi.org/10.1145/3418352).
- Miura, C., S. Chen, S. Saiki, M. Nakamura, and K. Yasuda (2022). “Assisting personalized healthcare of elderly people: Developing a rule-based virtual caregiver system using mobile chatbot”. *Sensors*. 22(10). DOI: [10.3390/s22103829](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22103829).
- Mohr, L. B. (1982). *Explaining Organisational Behavior*. Jossey-Bass San Francisco: WorldCat. URL: https://archive.org/embed/isbn%5C_087589514.

- Mora, H., J. Mendoza-Tello, E. Varela-Guzman, and J. Szymanski (2021). “Blockchain technologies to address smart city and society challenges”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 122. DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2021.106854](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106854).
- Mueller, B. and N. Urbach (2017). “Understanding the why, what, and how of theories in IS research”. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*. 41: 349–388. DOI: [10.17705/1CAIS.04117](https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.04117).
- Murillo-Sánchez, C. E., R. D. Zimmerman, C. L. Anderson, and R. J. Thomas (2013). “A stochastic, contingency-based security-constrained optimal power flow for the procurement of energy and distributed reserve”. *Decision Support Systems*. 56: 1–10. DOI: [10.1016/j.dss.2013.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2013.04.006).
- Natgunanathan, I., A. Mehmood, Y. Xiang, L. Gao, and S. Yu (2019). “Location privacy protection in smart health care system”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 6(2). DOI: [10.1109/JIOT.2018.2878917](https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2018.2878917).
- Negrete Ramírez, J. M., P. Roose, M. Dalmau, Y. Cardinale, and E. Silva (2021). “A DSL - based approach for detecting activities of daily living by means of the AGGIR variables”. *Sensors*. 21(16). DOI: [10.3390/s21165674](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21165674).
- Ng, E. and B. Tan (2023). “The shape of theory: An alternative take on theorizing based on the nature of qualitative data”. In: *Handbook of Qualitative Research Methods for Information Systems*. Ed. by R. M. Davison. Edward Elgar Publishing. 258–273. DOI: [10.4337/9781802205398.00022](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802205398.00022).
- Nicolini, D. (2009). “Zooming in and out: Studying practices by switching theoretical lenses and trailing connections”. *Organization Studies*. 30(12): 1391–1418.
- Nielsen, J. A., K. T. Elmholdt, and M. S. Noesgaard (2023). “Leading digital transformation: A narrative perspective”. *Public Administration Review*: puar.13721. DOI: [10.1111/puar.13721](https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13721).
- Nonaka, I. (2009). “The knowledge-creating company”. In: *The Economic Impact of Knowledge*. Routledge. 175–187.
- N.S.F (2014). “Partnerships for innovation: Building innovation capacity (PFI: BIC). Program solicitation NSF14–610”. URL: <http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2014/%20nsf14610/nsf14610.pdf>.

- Oberländer, A. M., M. Röglinger, M. Rosemann, and A. Kees (2018). “Conceptualizing business-to-thing interactions—A sociomaterial perspective on the Internet of Things”. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 27(4). DOI: [10.1080/0960085X.2017.1387714](https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2017.1387714).
- Orlikowski, W. J. (1992). “The duality of technology: Rethinking the concept of technology in organizations”. *Organization Science*. DOI: [10.1287/orsc.3.3.398](https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.3.3.398).
- Orlikowski, W. J. (2010). “The sociomateriality of organisational life: Considering technology in management research”. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*. 34(1): 125–141.
- Orlikowski, W. J. and C. S. Iacono (2001). “Research commentary: Desperately seeking the IT in IT research—A call to theorizing the IT artifact”. *Information Systems Research*. 12(2): 121–134.
- Orlikowski, W. J. and D. Robey (1991). “Information technology and the structuring of organizations”. *Information Systems Research*. 2(2): 143–169.
- Orlikowski, W. J., G. Walsham, M. R. Jones, and J. I. Degross Eds (1996). *Information Technology and Changes in Organizational Work: Proceedings of the IFIP WG8.2 Working Conference on Information Technology and Changes in Organizational Work*. Springer US. DOI: [10.1007/978-0-387-34872-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-34872-8).
- Oschinsky, F. M., H. C. Klein, and B. Niehaves (2022). “Invite everyone to the table, but not to every course. How design—Thinking collaboration can be implemented in smart cities to design digital urban services How design—Thinking collaboration can be implemented in smart cities to design digital services”. *Electronic Markets*. 32(4). DOI: [10.1007/s12525-022-00567-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00567-7).
- Paparova, D. (2023). “*Exploring the ontological status of data: A process-oriented approach*”.
- Pappas, I. O., P. Mikalef, Y. K. Dwivedi, L. Jaccheri, and J. Krogstie (2023). “Responsible Digital Transformation for a Sustainable Society”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 25(3): 945–953.
- Peng, G., P. D. Clough, A. Madden, F. Xing, and B. Zhang (2021). “Investigating the usage of IoT—based smart parking services in the borough of westminster”. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)*. 29(6). DOI: [10.4018/JGIM.20211101.0a25](https://doi.org/10.4018/JGIM.20211101.0a25).

- Pentland, B. T. (1999). “Building process theory with narrative: From description to explanation”. *Academy of Management Review*. 24(4): 711–724.
- Pentland, B. T. and M. S. Feldman (2008). “Designing routines: On the folly of designing artifacts, while hoping for patterns of action”. *Information and Organization*. 18(4): 235–250.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1987). “Context and action in the transformation of the firm”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 24(6): 649–670.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (2012). “Context and action in the transformation of the firm: A reprise”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 49(7): 1304–1328.
- Pickering, A. (1993). “The mangle of practice: Agency and emergence in the sociology of science”. *American Journal of Sociology*. 99(3): 559–589.
- Pickering, A. (1995). *The Mangle of Practice: Time, Agency, and Science (1–1 Online Resource (281 pages): Illustrations)*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. URL: <https://search.worldcat.org/de/title/699510964>.
- Poole, M. S. and G. DeSanctis (2004). “Structuration theory in information systems research: Methods and controversies”. In: *The Handbook of Information Systems Research*. IGI Global. 206–249.
- Poole, M. S. and A. H. Van de Ven (1989). “Using paradox to build management and organization theories”. *Academy of Management Review*. 14(4): 562–578.
- Pries-Heje, J. and R. Baskerville (2008). “The design theory nexus”. *MIS Quarterly*. 32(4): 731.
- Qi, L. and J. Guo (2019). “Development of smart city community service integrated management platform”. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 15(6): 1550147719851975.
- Ramiller, N. C. and B. T. Pentland (2009). “Management implications in information systems research: The untold story”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 10(6). URL: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/jais/vol10/iss6/2>.
- Rana, S. and D. Mishra (2020). “Efficient and secure attribute based access control architecture for smart healthcare”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 44(5): 97.

- Ray, P. P. (2017). “An IR sensor based smart system to approximate core body temperature”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 41(8): 123.
- Razaque, A., F. Amsaad, M. Abdulgader, B. Alotaibi, F. Alsolami, D. Gulsezim, S. P. Mohanty, and S. Hariri (2022). “A mobility-aware human-centric cyber-physical system for efficient and secure smart healthcare”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 9(22): 22434–22452.
- Recker, J., R. Lukyanenko, M. Jabbari, B. M. Samuel, and A. Castellanos (2021). “From representation to mediation: A new agenda for conceptual modeling research in a digital world”. *MIS Quarterly*. 45(1): 269–300.
- Reckwitz, A. (2002). “Toward a theory of social practices”. *European Journal of Social Theory*. 5(2): 243–263.
- Reed, M. (2009). “The Agency/Structure Dilemma in Organization Theory: Open Doors and Brick Walls”. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Organization Theory*. Ed. by C. Knudsen and H. Tsoukas. 1st ed. Oxford University Press. 289–309. DOI: [10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199275250.003.0011](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199275250.003.0011).
- Rindova, V. P. and L. L. Martins (2021). “Shaping possibilities: A design science approach to developing novel strategies”. *Academy of Management Review*. 46(4): 800–822.
- Rinta-Kahila, T., E. Penttinen, and K. Lyytinen (2023). “Getting trapped in technical debt: Sociotegetting trapped in technical debt: Sociotechnical analysis of a legacy system’s replacement”. *MIS Quarterly*. 47(1).
- Rivard, S. (2014). “Editor’s comments: The ions of theory construction”. *MIS Quarterly*. 38: iii–xiv.
- Rivard, S. (2024). “Unpacking the process of conceptual leaping in the conduct of literature reviews”. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. 33(1): 101822.
- Romme, A. G. L. (2003). “Making a difference: Organization as design”. *Organization Science*. 14(5): 558–573.
- Rosenblueth, A., N. Wiener, and J. Bigelow (1943). “Behavior, purpose and teleology”. *Philosophy of Science*. 10(1): 18–24. JSTOR.

- Rowe, F. and M. L. Markus (2021). “Theoretical diversity in IS research: A causal structure framework*”. In: *Advancing Information Systems Theories: Rationale and Processes*. Ed. by N. R. Hassan and L. P. Willcocks. Springer International Publishing. 75–130. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-64884-8_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64884-8_3).
- Sandberg, A., L. Pareto, and T. Arts (2011). “Agile collaborative research: Action principles for industry-academia collaboration”. *IEEE Software*. 28(4): 74–83.
- Santofimia, M. J., D. Villa, O. Acena, X. del Toro, C. Trapero, F. J. Villanueva, and J. C. Lopez (2018). “Enabling smart behavior through automatic service composition for Internet of Things-based smart homes”. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 14(8): 1550147718794616.
- Schatzki, T. R. (2023). “On structural change: Practice organizations and institutional logics”. *Österreichische Zeitschrift Für Soziologie*. 49(1): 47–66.
- Schmid, A. M., J. Recker, and J. Vom Brocke (2017). “*The Socio-Technical Dimension of Inertia in Digital Transformations*”. DOI: [10.24251/HICSS.2017.583](https://doi.org/10.24251/HICSS.2017.583).
- Schön, D. A. (1992). “Designing as reflective conversation with the materials of a design situation”. *Research in Engineering Design*. 3(3): 131–147.
- Schulz, T., H. Gewald, M. Böhm, and H. Krcmar (2020). “Smart mobility: Contradictions in value co-creation”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 25(3): 1125–1145.
- Seckler, C., R. Mauer, and J. vom Brocke (2021). “Design science in entrepreneurship: Conceptual foundations and guiding principles”. *Journal of Business Venturing Design*. 1(1–2): 100004.
- Sein, M. K., O. Henfridsson, S. Purao, M. Rossi, and R. Lindgren (2011). “Action design research”. *MIS Quarterly*. 35(1): 37–56.
- Siddiqui, Z., A. H. Abdullah, M. K. Khan, and A. S. Alghamdi (2014). “Smart environment as a service: Three factor cloud based user authentication for telecare medical information system”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 38(1): 9997.
- Simon, H. A. (1972). “*The Sciences of the Artificial*”.

- Simonofski, A., E. Hertoghe, M. Steegmans, M. Snoeck, and Y. Wautelet (2021). “Engaging citizens in the smart city through participation platforms: A framework for public servants and developers”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 124: 106901. DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2021.106901](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106901).
- Singh, H., R. Mallaiyah, G. Yadav, N. Verma, A. Sawhney, and S. K. Brahmachari (2018). “iCHRCLOUD: Web & mobile based child health imprints for smart healthcare”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 42(1): 14.
- Singh, P., Y. K. Dwivedi, K. S. Kahlon, R. S. Sawhney, A. A. Alalwan, and N. P. Rana (2020). “Smart monitoring and controlling of government policies using social media and cloud computing”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. DOI: [10.1007/s10796-019-09916-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-019-09916-y).
- Singh, S., I.-H. Ra, W. Meng, M. Kaur, and G. H. Cho (2019). “SH-BlockCC: A secure and efficient Internet of things smart home architecture based on cloud computing and blockchain technology”. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 15(4): 155014771984415.
- Siponen, M. and T. Klaavuniemi (2019). “How and why ‘theory’ is often misunderstood in information systems literature”. In: *International Conference on Information Systems*.
- Sousa-Zomer, T. T., A. Neely, and V. Martinez (2020). “Digital transforming capability and performance: A microfoundational perspective”. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 40(7/8): 1095–1128.
- Suchman, L. (2012). “Configuration”. In: *Inventive Methods*. Routledge. 48–60.
- Suddaby, R. (2010). “Editor’ S comments: Construct clarity in theories of information science”. *Academy of Management Review*. 35(3): 346–357.
- Tang, V., H. Y. Lam, C. H. Wu, and G. T. S. Ho (2022). “A two—Echelon responsive health analytic model for triggering care plan revision in geriatric care management”. *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*: 34. DOI: [10.4018/JOEUC.289224](https://doi.org/10.4018/JOEUC.289224).

- Tarafdar, P. and I. Bose (2021). “Recognition of human activities for wellness management using a smartphone and a smartwatch: A boosting approach”. *Decision Support Systems*. 140. DOI: [10.1016/j.dss.2020.113426](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.113426).
- Thapliyal, S., M. Wazid, D. P. Singh, A. K. Das, A. Alhomoud, A. R. Alharbi, and H. Kumar (2022). “ACM-SH: An efficient access control and key establishment mechanism for sustainable smart healthcare”. *Sustainability*. 14(8): 4661.
- Thompson, M. (2011). “Ontological shift or ontological drift? Reality claims, epistemological frameworks, and theory generation in organization studies”. *Academy of Management Review*. 36(4): 754–773.
- Treiblmaier, H., A. Rejeb, and A. Strebinger (2020). “Blockchain as a driver for smart city development: Application fields and a comprehensive research agenda”. *Smart Cities*. 3(3): 853–872.
- Tsoukas, H. and R. Chia (2002). “On organizational becoming: Rethinking organizational change”. *Organization Science*. 13(5): 567–582.
- Turetken, O., P. Grefen, R. Gilsing, and O. E. Adali (2019). “Service-dominant business model design for digital innovation in smart mobility”. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*. 61(1). DOI: [10.1007/s12599-018-0565-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-018-0565-x).
- Umer, M., S. Sadiq, H. Karamti, W. Karamti, R. Majeed, and M. Nappi (2022). “IoT based smart monitoring of patients ‘ with acute heart failure”. *Sensors*. 22(7). DOI: [10.3390/s22072431](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22072431).
- Van De Ven, A. H. and M. S. Poole (2005). “Alternative approaches for studying organizational change”. *Organization Studies*. 26(9): 1377–1404.
- Van de Ven, A. H. and M. S. Poole (1995). “Explaining development and change in organizations”. *Academy of Management Review*. 20(3): 510–540.
- Vassilakopoulou, P. and E. Hustad (2023). “Bridging digital divides: A literature review and research agenda for information systems research”. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 25(3): 955–969.

- Veldhoven, Z. V. and J. Vanthienen (2022). “Digital transformation as an interaction-driven perspective between business, society, and technology”. In: *Electronic Markets*. Springer. DOI: [10.1007/s12525-021-00464-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-021-00464-5).
- Venable, J., J. Pries-Heje, and R. Baskerville (2016). “FEDS: A framework for evaluation in design science research”. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 25(1): 77–89.
- Venkatesh, V., Q. Weng, A. Rai, and L. M. Maruping (2023). “Guidelines for the development of three-level models: Bridging levels of analysis and integrating contextual influences in IS research”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 24(1): 65–106.
- Verhoef, P. C., T. Broekhuizen, Y. Bart, A. Bhattacharya, J. Qi Dong, N. Fabian, and M. Haenlein (2021). “Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda”. *Journal of Business Research*. 122: 889–901. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022).
- Verma, P. and S. K. Sood (2018). “Fog assisted-IoT enabled patient health monitoring in smart homes”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 5(3): 1789–1796.
- Vial, G. (2019). “Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda”. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. 28(2): 118–144.
- Visvizi, A. (2023). “Computers and human behavior in the smart city: Issues, topics, and new research directions”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 140. DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2022.107596](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107596).
- vom Brocke, J., A. Simons, B. Niehaves, K. Riemer, R. Plattfaut, and C. Anne (2009). “Reconstructing the giant: On the importance of rigour in documenting the literature search process”. In: *ECIS 2009 Proceedings*. 161.
- vom Brocke, J., A. Simons, K. Riemer, B. Niehaves, R. Plattfaut, and A. Cleven (2015). “Standing on the shoulders of giants: Challenges and recommendations of literature search in information systems research”. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*. DOI: [10.1088/1464-4258/8/7/S10](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4258/8/7/S10).

- Vom Brocke, J., W. M. Van Der Aalst, T. Grisold, W. Kremser, J. Mendling, B. Pentland, J. Recker, M. Roeglinger, M. Rosemann, and B. Weber (2021). “Process science: The interdisciplinary study of continuous change”. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.3916817](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3916817).
- Wachter, S., B. Mittelstadt, and C. Russell (2024). “Do large language models have a legal duty to tell the truth?” *Royal Society Open Science*. 11(8): 240197.
- Walls, J. G., G. R. Widermeyer, and O. A. El Sawy (2004). “Assessing information system design theory in perspective: How useful was our 1992 initial rendition?” *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA)*. 6(2): 6.
- Walls, J. G., G. R. Widmeyer, and O. A. El Sawy (1992). “Building an information system design theory for vigilant EIS”. *Information Systems Research*. 3(1): 36–59.
- Wang, S., M.-S. Pang, and P. A. Pavlou (2022b). “Seeing is believing? How including a video in fake news influences users’ reporting of fake news to social media platforms”. *MIS Quarterly*. 45(3): 1323–1354.
- Wang, Y., F. Currim, and S. Ram (2022a). “Deep learning of spatiotemporal patterns for urban mobility prediction using big data”. *Information Systems Research*. 33(2). DOI: [10.1287/isre.2021.1072](https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2021.1072).
- Watson, R. T. (2021). “The teleology of IS research: Theory and intervention”. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. DOI: [10.2139/ssrn.3841538](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3841538).
- Webster, J. and R. T. Watson (2002). “Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a review”. *MIS Quarterly*. 26(2). URL: www.jstor.org/stable/4132319.
- Weick, K. E. (1995). “What theory is not, theorizing is”. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 40(3): 385.
- Wen, F. and D. Guo (2014). “An improved anonymous authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems”. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 38(5): 26.
- Wessel, L., A. Baiyere, R. Ologeanu-Taddei, J. Cha, and T. Blegind Jensen (2021). “Unpacking the difference between digital transformation and IT-enabled organizational transformation”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 22(1): 102–129.

- Wessel, L., E. Davidson, A. P. Barquet, H. Rothe, O. Peters, and H. Megges (2019). “Configuration in smart service systems: A practice-based inquiry”. *Information Systems Journal*. 29(6). DOI: [10.1111/isj.12268](https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12268).
- Wessel, L., J. Poepplbuss, and M. Goeken (2016). “Exploring the implications of emergence for artifact mutability in design theory”. In: *ICIS, 2016 Proceedings*. URL: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2016/ISDesign/Presentations/12>.
- Wessel, L., J. Sundermeier, H. Rothe, S. Hanke, A. Baiyere, F. Rappert, and M. Gersch (2024). “Designing as trading-off: A practice-based view on smart service systems”. *European Journal of Information Systems*: 1–26. DOI: [10.1080/0960085X.2024.2308541](https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2024.2308541).
- Whetten, D. A., T. Felin, and B. G. King (2009). “The practice of theory borrowing in organizational studies: Current issues and future directions”. *Journal of Management*. 35(3): 537–563.
- Winograd, T. and F. Flores (1986). “*Understanding computers and cognition: A new foundation for design*”.
- Winter, S. (2024). “The road less taken: Pathways to ethical and responsible technologies”. In: *Introduction to Digital Humanism*. Ed. by H. Werthner, C. Ghezzi, J. Kramer, J. Nida-Rümelin, B. Nuseibeh, E. Prem, and A. Stanger. Switzerland: Springer Nature. 267–281. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-031-45304-5_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45304-5_18).
- Winter, S., N. Berente, J. Howison, and B. Butler (2014). “Beyond the organizational ‘container’: Conceptualizing 21st century sociotechnical work”. *Information and Organization*. 24(4): 250–269.
- Wolfswinkel, J. F., E. Furtmueller, and C. P. M. Wilderom (2013). “Using grounded theory as a method for rigorously reviewing literature”. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 22(1). DOI: [10.1057/ejis.2011.51](https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2011.51).
- Wright, L. (1968). “The case against teleological reductionism”. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*. 19(3): 211–223.
- Xie, W., X. Xu, R. Liu, Y. Jin, and Q. Li (2020). “Living in a simulation? An empirical investigation of a smart driving—Simulation testing system”. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 21. DOI: [10.17705/1jais.00622](https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00622).

- Yang, C.-H., Y.-C. Chen, W. Hsu, and Y.-H. Chen (2023). “Evaluation of smart long-term care information strategy portfolio decision model: The national healthcare environment in Taiwan”. *Annals of Operations Research*. 326(1): 505–536.
- Yang, S. and Y. J. Lee (2017). “The dimensions of M-interactivity and their impacts in the mobile commerce context”. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*. 21(4): 548–571.
- Yang, Z., B. Liang, and W. Ji (2021). “An intelligent end-edge–cloud architecture for visual IoT-assisted healthcare systems”. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 8(23): 16779–16786.
- Yanow, D. and H. Tsoukas (2009). “What is reflection-in-action? A phenomenological account”. *Journal of Management Studies*. 46(8): 1339–1364.
- Yigitcanlar, T. and F. Cugurullo (2020). “The sustainability of artificial intelligence: An urbanistic viewpoint from the lens of smart and sustainable cities”. *Sustainability*. 12(20). DOI: [10.3390/su12208548](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208548).
- Yoo, Y. (2010). “Computing in everyday life: A call for research on experiential computing”. *MIS Quarterly*. 34(2): 213–231.
- Yoo, Y. (2012). “The tables have turned: How can the information systems field contribute to technology and innovation management research?” *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 14(5). URL: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/jais/vol14/iss5/4>.
- Young, G. W. and R. Kitchin (2020). “Creating design guidelines for building city dashboards from a user’s perspectives”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 140: 102429. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhcs.2020.102429](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2020.102429).
- Zhang, B., G. Peng, F. Xing, X. Liang, and Q. Gao (2021). “One-stop smart urban apps and determinants of their continuance usage: An empirical investigation based on CSCM”. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)*. 29(6). DOI: [10.4018/JGIM.2021101.0a9](https://doi.org/10.4018/JGIM.2021101.0a9).
- Ziosi, M., D. Watson, and L. Floridi (2024). “A genealogical approach to algorithmic bias”. *Minds and Machines*. 34(2): 9.

- Zou, D., S. Niu, S. Chen, B. Su, X. Cheng, J. Liu, Y. Liu, and Y. Li (2019). “A smart city used low-latency seamless positioning system based on inverse global navigation satellite system technology”. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 15(9). DOI: [10.1177/1550147719873815](https://doi.org/10.1177/1550147719873815).