Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/070000031

Ecosystems as Natural Assets

Ecosystems as Natural Assets

Edward B. Barbier

Department of Economics & Finance University of Wyoming USA ebarbier@uwyo.edu



Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends^(R) in Microeconomics

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 USA Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is E. B. Barbier, Ecosystems as Natural Assets, Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics, vol 4, no 8, pp 611–681, 2008

ISBN: 978-1-60198-286-5 © 2009 E. B. Barbier

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics Volume 4 Issue 8, 2008

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief: W. Kip Viscusi Vanderbilt University

Editors

Richard Carson, UC San Diego (environmental economics)
Joseph Harrington, Johns Hopkins University (industrial organization)
Tom Kniesner, Syracuse University (labor economics)
Mark V. Pauly, University of Pennsylvania (health economics)
David Wildasin, University of Kentucky (public economics)
Peter Zweifel, University of Zurich (insurance economics)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Environmental Economics
- Contingent Valuation
- Environmental Health Risks
- Climate Change
- Endangered Species
- Market-based Policy Instruments
- Health Economics
- Moral Hazard
- Medical Care Markets
- Medical Malpractice
- Insurance economics
- Industrial Organization
- Theory of the Firm
- Regulatory Economics
- Market Structure
- Auctions
- Monopolies and Antitrust
- Transaction Cost Economics
- Labor Economics

- Labor Supply
- Labor Demand
- Labor Market Institutions
- Search Theory
- Wage Structure
- Income Distribution
- Race and Gender
- Law and Economics
- Models of Litigation
- Crime
- Torts, Contracts and Property
- Constitutional Law
- Public Economics
- Public Goods
- Environmental Taxation
- Social Insurance
- Public Finance
- International Taxation

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics, 2008, Volume 4, 8 issues. ISSN paper version 1547-9846. ISSN online version 1547-9854. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics Vol. 4, No. 8 (2008) 611–681 © 2009 E. B. Barbier DOI: 10.1561/0700000031



Ecosystems as Natural Assets

Edward B. Barbier

Department of Economics & Finance, University of Wyoming, USA ebarbier@uwyo.edu

Abstract

It is now standard in economics to model natural resources as a special form of capital that can be depleted or accumulated. The following review shows how such an approach can be extended to ecosystems, implying that they are a form of natural asset that produces a flow of beneficial goods and services over time. The review includes a discussion of valuing ecosystem services, focusing on the problem of benefits that vary spatially across landscapes and illustrated with the example of coastal ecosystems. The starting point of the basic natural asset model is the assumption that any ecological landscape that is conserved must compete with other assets in the portfolio of wealth owners in the economy. The model shows the importance of valuing ecosystem services to the optimal allocation of landscape among competing uses. It includes the possibility of an ecological transition, when it becomes technologically feasible to restore developed land as ecological landscape. The basic model is then extended to allow for the value of an ecosystem service and the costs of maintaining this service to vary with the spatial distance across the natural landscape; for the implications when the economy is opened to trade; and finally, for examining the effects of the risk of ecological collapse.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Ecosystem Services and Ecological Landscapes	5
2.1 What are Ecosystem Services?	5
2.2 Ecosystems as Natural Assets	7
2.3 Mangrove Land Use in Thailand	14
3 The Basic Natural Asset Model	19
3.1 One-Time Development of a Natural Landscape	19
3.2 Continuous Conversion of a Natural Landscape	21
3.3 An Ecological Transition	25
4 Spatial Variation in Ecosystems	31
4.1 Coastal Landscapes	32
4.2 A Natural Landscape with Spatially Variable Benefits	35
5 Open Economy Conditions	43
5.1 The Open Economy Natural Asset Model	43
5.2 A Change in the Terms of Trade	45
5.3 Payment for Ecosystem Services	47

6 Ecological Collapse	51
6.1 Extending the Natural Asset Model to Allow for Ecological Collapse	51
7 Conclusion	57
Acknowledgments	61
References	63



An important contribution of natural resource economics has been to treat the natural environment as a form of capital asset (Clark and Munro, 1975; Dasgupta and Heal, 1974, 1979; Scott, 1955; Smith, 1968). The more recent literature on ecosystem services implies that these environmental systems can also be viewed as *natural assets* that produce a flow of beneficial goods and services over time (Barbier, 2007; Daily, 1997; Heal et al., 2005; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; Pagiola et al., 2004; World Resources Institute, 2001). The purpose of the following review is to explore this literature and related modeling to show explicitly how the concept of ecosystems as natural assets translates into the traditional "natural capital" approach of resource economics.

An immediate barrier to such an approach is that, in ecology, the concept of an ecosystem has been difficult to define or to measure quantitatively (O'Neill, 2001; Pickett and Cadenasso, 2002). However, some ecologists suggest that most ecological processes are influenced by the spatial extent, or *landscape*, that defines the boundary of the system (Bockstael, 1996; O'Neill, 2001; Perry, 2002; Pickett and Cadenasso, 1995, 2002; Turner, 2005; Zonneveld, 1989). As shown in this review, by

2 Introduction

adopting ecological landscape, or land area, as the basic unit, modeling the ecosystem as a natural asset is relatively straightforward. Integrated economy-ecosystem models have started using a similar starting point, to examine human transformation of an ecological landscape through land use conversion, leaving the residual land for ecological processes and habitat for species (Brock and Xepapadeas, 2002; Eichner and Pethig, 2006; Finnoff et al., 2008; Tschirhart, 2000). But whereas these integrated models focus on modeling the complex ecological processes and feedback effects on multiple ecosystem services that arise through land conversion, the approach in the following review is to adopt a much simpler model of land use change. Such models of competing land use have been employed in many contexts to analyze the allocation of land between alternative uses (Amacher et al., 2009; Barbier and Burgess, 1997; Benhin and Barbier, 2001; Crocker, 2005; Hartwick et al., 2001; McConnell, 1989; Parks, 1995; Parks et al., 1998; Rowthorn and Brown, 1999; Stavins and Jaffe, 1990).

In applying competing land use models to ecosystems, the starting point is the assumption that the amount of an ecological landscape that is preserved must compete with other assets in the portfolio of wealth owners in the economy. The remaining landscape area yields a flow of ecosystem services, which have value but are non-marketed. The first version of the basic model considers a one-time irreversible development of the landscape. Land that is converted and developed has a market value, and the rate of appreciation of land awaiting development must equal the opportunity cost of the land investment, which includes an adjustment for the ratio of the value of ecosystem services to the capital value of the developed land. This basic model is extended to the case of continuous conversion of the ecological landscape over time, taking into account the costs of converting land and any capital gains from increases in the value of unconverted land. The model is solved to show the conditions under which a positive amount of ecosystem land is conserved rather than converted to commercial use. Finally, the basic model examines the case of a possible *ecological transition*, whereby it becomes technologically feasible to restore developed land as ecological landscape, leading to a new phase of land use in which ecological restoration occurs.

Three further extensions to the natural asset model are developed in this review.

The first extension examines the case in which both the value of an ecosystem service and the costs of maintaining this service vary with the spatial distance across the natural landscape of an ecosystem. This geographical variation may be due to the biophysical functioning of the ecosystem that generate different services at different locations across the landscape, and also due to the higher costs incurred of maintaining a larger landscape area. Allocating natural landscape now becomes a spatial problem. To avoid landscape conversion, at each location the marginal willingness to pay for the ecosystem services must be sufficiently large to offset the maintenance cost of those services at that location and the marginal opportunity cost of foregone rents from developing the entire landscape. This condition is less likely to hold if there is any "spatial" discounting effect due to the unidirectional decline of ecological functions across the landscape.

The second extension looks at the implications to the model when the economy is opened to trade. It is shown that rising terms of trade lead to two opposite effects. There will be increased land conversion as exports of the marketed production from converted land become more profitable. However, if imports are a substitute for domestic consumption of the marketed output, then there is less pressure to increase land conversion. Thus the impacts on the amount of ecosystem land conserved are ambiguous, as are the effects on overall welfare. In comparison, an international transfer, in the form of *payment for ecosystem services*, slows down the initial conversion of natural landscape, and encourages more landscape conversion in the long run.

The last extension examines the vulnerability of the ecosystem to collapse as land conversion proceeds. Following Reed and Heras (Reed and Heras, 1992) the risk of ecosystem collapse is modeled as a hazard rate function, where the hazard rate is defined as the probability at any time t that the ecosystem will collapse given that it has not collapsed up until that time period. The stochastic optimization problem is converted to a more tractable deterministic control problem and solved for the conditions determining the risk of collapse.

3

4 Introduction

The outline of the review is as follows. Section 2 discusses ecosystem services and ecological landscapes as the basis of representing ecosystems as a natural asset. Simple two-period diagrammatic examples of the conversion of an area of coastal zone to commercial development are used to illustrate the basic concepts and issues. The section ends with the example of valuing ecosystem services of mangroves in Thailand to show how such valuation can influence both the decision to convert mangrove landscapes to shrimp aquaculture and whether or not to restore mangrove ecosystems after shrimp ponds are abandoned. Section 3 develops the basic natural asset model of an ecosystem by employing the competing land use model. The first version of the model considers a one-time irreversible development of an ecological landscape. The second version examines continuous conversion of the ecological landscape over time, and is extended to allow for an *ecological* transition where restoration is feasible. Section 4 begins by returning the example of coastal landscapes and discusses ecological evidence that the basic functions of these systems are spatially variable. Evidence of spatial heterogeneity of landscapes is explored further, with the example of non-linear wave attenuation across a mangrove landscape that affects the value of the coastal protection service and how it affects the mangrove-shrimp farm competing use problem in Thailand. The section ends by demonstrating how a spatial model of allocating natural landscape can be developed to incorporate some of these features of geographical variation of ecological functions. Section 5 revisits the basic natural asset model of competing uses of an ecological landscape and extends it to an open economy setting. The extension allows for consideration of trade interventions versus international payments for ecosystem services as incentives for greater ecosystem conservation. Section 6 extends the basic model to consider the problem of ecological collapse, and shows that more of the ecological landscape will be preserved compared to when the threat of collapse is absent. Section 7 concludes the review.

- Aburto-Oropeza, O., E. Ezcurra, G. Danemann, V. Valdez, J. Murray, and E. Sala (2008), 'Mangroves in the Gulf of California increase fishery yields'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(30), 10456–10459.
- Aguilar-Perera, A. and R. S. Appeldoorn (2008), 'Spatial distribution of marine fishes along a cross-shelf gradient containing a continuum of mangrove-seagrass-coral reefs off southwestern Puerto Rico'. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 76, 378–394.
- Aksornkoae, S. and R. Tokrisnam (2004), 'Overview of shrimp farming and mangrove loss in Thailand'. In: E. B. Barbier and S. Sathirathai (eds.): Shrimp Farming and Mangrove Loss in Thailand. London: Edward Elgar.
- Albers, H. J. (1996), 'Modeling ecological constraints on tropical forest management: Spatial interdependence, irreversibility and uncertainty'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 30, 73–94.
- Albers, H. J., A. W. Ando, and X. Chen (2008), 'A spatial-econometric analysis of attraction and repulsion of private conservation by public reserves'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 56, 33–49.

- Alix-Garcia, J. (2007), 'A spatial analysis of common property deforestation'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 53, 141–157.
- Alix-Garcia, J., A. De Janvry, and E. Sadoulet (2008), 'The role of deforestation risk and calibrated compensation in designing payments for environmental services'. *Environment and Development Economics* 13, 375–394.
- Alongi, D. M. (2008), 'Mangrove forests: Resilience, protection from tsunamis, and responses to global climate change'. *Estuarine, Coastal* and Shelf Science **76**, 1–13.
- Amacher, G. S., M. Ollikainen, and E. Koskela (2009), Economics of Forest Resources. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ando, A. W., J. Camm, S. Polasky, and A. Solow (1998), 'Species distributions, land values, and efficient conservation'. *Science* 279, 2126–2128.
- Ando, A. W. and P. Shah (2009), 'Demand-side factors in optimal land conservation choice'. *Resource and Energy Economics*, forthcoming.
- Arnott, R. J. and F. D. Lewis (1979), 'The transition of land to urban use'. Journal of Political Economy 87, 161–169.
- Balmford, A., K. J. Gaston, S. Blyth, A. James, and V. Kapos (2003), 'Global variation in terrestrial conservation costs, conservation benefits, and unmet conservation needs'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100, 1046–1050.
- Barbier, E. B. (2001), 'A note on the economics of biological invasion'. *Ecological Economics*.
- Barbier, E. B. (2003), 'Habitat-fishery linkages and mangrove loss in Thailand'. Contemporary Economic Policy 21, 59–77.
- Barbier, E. B. (2007), 'Valuing ecosystems as productive inputs'. *Economic Policy* 22, 177–229.
- Barbier, E. B. and J. C. Burgess (1997), 'The economics of tropical forest land use options'. Land Economics 73, 174–195.
- Barbier, E. B., J. C. Burgess, and A. Grainger (2009), 'The forest transition: Towards a more comprehensive theoretical framework'. *Land Use Policy*, (in press).
- Barbier, E. B., E. W. Koch, B. R. Silliman, S. D. Hacker, E. Wolanski, J. H. Primavera, E. Granek, S. Polasky, S. Aswani,

L. A. Cramer, D. M. Stoms, C. J. Kennedy, D. Bael, C. V. Kappel, G. M. Perillo, and D. J. Reed (2008), 'Coastal ecosystem-based management with nonlinear ecological functions and values'. *Science* **319**, 321–323.

- Barbier, E. B. and M. Rauscher (1994), 'Trade, tropical deforestation and policy interventions'. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 4, 75–90.
- Barbier, E. B. and C. E. Schulz (1997), 'Wildlife, biodiversity and trade'. *Environment and Development Economics* **2**, 145–172.
- Batabyal, A. A., J. R. Kahn, and R. V. O'Neil (2003), 'On the scarcity value of ecosystem services'. *Journal of Environmental Economics* and Management 46, 334–352.
- Bateman, I. J., A. A. Lovett, and J. S. Brainard (2005), Applied Environmental Economics: A GIS Approach to Cost-Benefit Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Benhin, J. K. A. and E. B. Barbier (2001), 'The effects of the structural adjustment program on deforestation in Ghana'. Agricultural and Resource Economics Review 30, 66–80.
- Bockstael, N. E. (1996), 'Modeling economics and ecology: The importance of a spatial perspective'. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 78, 1168–1180.
- Bosire, J. O., F. Dahdouh-Guebas, M. Walton, B. I. Crona, R. R. Lewis III, C. Field, J. G. Kairo, and N. Koedam (2008), 'Functionality of restored mangroves, a review'. *Aquatic Botany* 89, 251–259.
- Brock, W. and A. Xepapadeas (2002), 'Optimal ecosystem management when species compete for limiting resources'. *Journal of Envi*ronmental Economics and Management 44, 189–220.
- Brown, G. and J. Roughgarden (1997), 'A metapopulation model with a common pool'. *Ecological Economics* **22**, 65–71.
- Bulte, E. H. and E. B. Barbier (2005), 'Trade and renewable resources in a second-best world: An overview'. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 30, 423–463.
- Bulte, E. H., R. B. Boone, R. Stringer, and P. K. Thornton (2008), 'Elephants or onions? Paying for nature in Amboseli, Kenya'. *Envi*ronment and Development Economics 13, 395–414.

- Busing, R. T. and P. S. White (1993), 'Effects of area on old-growth forest attributes: Implications for equilibrium landscape concept'. *Landscape Ecology* 8, 119–126.
- Carwardine, J., K. A. Wilson, G. Ceballos, P. R. Ehrlich, R. Naidoo, T. Iwamura, S. A. Hajkowicz, and H. P. Possingham (2008), 'Costeffective priorities for global mammal conservation'. *Proceedings of* the National Academy of Sciences 105, 11446–11450.
- Clark, C. W. (1976), *Mathematical Bioeconomics*. New York: Wiley Interscience.
- Clark, C. W. and G. Munro (1975), 'The economics of fishing and modern capital theory: A simplified approach'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 2, 92–106.
- Clarke, H. R. and W. J. Reed (1994), 'Consumption/pollution tradeoffs in an environment vulnerable to pollution-related catastrophic collapse'. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 18, 991–1010.
- Cochard, R., S. L. Ranamukhaarachchi, G. P. Shivakotib, O. V. Shipin, P. J. Edwards, and K. T. Seeland (2008), 'The 2004 tsunami in Aceh and Southern Thailand: A review on coastal ecosystems, wave hazards and vulnerability'. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 10, 3–40.
- Costello, C. and S. Polasky (2008), 'Optimal harvesting of stochastic spatial resources'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 56, 1–18.
- Crocker, T. D. (2005), 'Markets for conserving biodiversity habitat: Principles and practice'. In: J. F. Shogren (ed.): Species at Risk: Using Economic Incentives to Shelter Endangered Species on Private Lands. Austin: University of Texas Press, pp. 191–215.
- Dahdouh-Guebas, F., S. Hettiarachchi, D. Lo Seen, O. Batelaan, S. Sooriyarachchi, L. P. Jayatissa, and N. Koedam (2005), 'Transitions in ancient inland freshwater resource management in Sri Lanka affect biota and human populations in and around coastal lagoons'. *Current Biology* 15, 579–586.
- Daily, G. (ed.) (1997), Nature's Services: Societal Dependence on Natural Ecosystems. Washington, DC: Island Press.

- Dasgupta, P. S. and G. M. Heal (1974), 'The optimal depletion of exhaustible resources'. *Review of Economic Studies*, Symposium on the Economics of Exhaustible Resources, pp. 3–28.
- Dasgupta, P. S. and G. M. Heal (1979), Economic Theory and Exhaustible Resources. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dasgupta, P. S. and K.-G. Mäler (2003), 'The economics of nonconvex ecosystems: An introduction'. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 26, 499–525.
- Dobson, A., D. Lodge, J. Alder, G. S. Cumming, J. Keymer, J. McGlade, H. Mooney, J. A. Rusak, O. Sala, V. Wolters, D. Wall, R. Winfree, and M. A. Xenopoulos (2006), 'Habitat loss, trophic collapse, and the decline of ecosystem services'. *Ecology* 87, 1915–1924.
- Eichner, T. and R. Pethig (2006), 'Economic land use, ecosystem services and microfounded species dynamics'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 52, 707–720.
- Elliott, M., D. Burdon, K. L. Hemingway, and S. E. Apitz (2007), 'Estuarine, coastal and marine ecosystem restoration: Confusing management and science — a revision of concepts'. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science* 74, 349–366.
- Elmqvist, T., C. Folke, M. Nyström, G. Peterson, J. Bengtsson, B. Walker, and J. Norberg (2003), 'Response Diversity, Ecosystem Change, and Resilience'. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1, 488–494.
- Ferraro, P. J. (2003), 'Assigning priority to environmental policy interventions in a heterogeneous world'. Journal of Policy Analysis and Management 22, 27–43.
- Ferraro, P. J. (2004), 'Targeting conservation investments in heterogeneous landscapes: A distance-function approach and application to watershed management'. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 86, 905–918.
- Finnoff, D., A. Strong, and J. Tschirhart (2008), 'A bioeconomic model of cattle stocking on rangeland threatened by invasive plants and nitrogen deposition'. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 90, 1074–1090.
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2003), 'Status and trends in mangrove area extent worldwide'. In:

- M. L. Wilkie and S. Fortuna (eds.): *Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper No. 63.* Rome: Forest Resources Division, Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations.
- Forbes, K. and J. Broadhead (2007), 'The role of coastal forests in the mitigation of tsunami impacts'. *RAP Publication 2007/1*, Bakgkok: Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific.
- Gaudet, G., M. Moreaux, and S. Salant (2001), 'Intertemporal depletion of resource sites by spatially distributed users'. American Economic Review 91, 1149–1159.
- Grainger, A. (1995), 'The forest transition: An alternative approach'. Area 27, 242–251.
- Grainger, A. (2008), 'Difficulties in tracking the long-term global trend in tropical forest area'. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105, 818–823.
- Grieg-Gran, M.-A., I. Porras, and S. Wunder (2005), 'How can market mechanisms for forest environmental services help the poor? Preliminary lessons from Latin America'. World Development 33, 1511–1527.
- Hanley, N. and E. Barbier (2009), *Pricing Nature: Cost-Benefit Analysis and Environmental Policy*. Cheltenham, London: Edward Elgar.
- Hanley, N., F. Schläpfer, and J. Spurgeon (2003), 'Aggregating the benefits of environmental improvements: Distance-decay functions for use and non-use values'. *Journal of Environmental Management* 68, 297–304.
- Hannon, B. (1994), 'Sense of place: Geographical discounting by people, animals and plants'. *Ecological Economics* 26, 157–174.
- Hartman, R. (1976), 'The harvesting decision when the standing forest has value'. *Economic Inquiry* 14, 52–58.
- Hartwick, J., N. von Long, and H. Tian (2001), 'Deforestation and development in a small open economy'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 41, 235–251.
- Heal, G. M., E. Barbier, K. Boyle, A. Covich, S. Gloss, C. Hershner, J. Hoehn, S. Polasky, C. Pringle, K. Segerson, and K. Shrader-Frechette (2005), Valuing *Ecosystem Services: Toward Better*

Environmental Decision Making. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

- Holling, C. S. (1973), 'Resilience and stability of ecological systems'. Annual Review of Ecological Systems 4, 1–23.
- Jinji, N. (2006), 'International trade and terrestrial open-access renewable resources in a small open economy'. *Canadian Journal of Economics* 39, 790–808.
- Just, R. E., D. L. Hueth, and A. Schmitz (2004), The Welfare Economics of Public Policy: A Practical Approach to Project and Policy Evaluation. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Kareiva, P., S. Watts, R. McDonald, and T. Boucher (2007), 'Domesticated nature: Shaping landscapes and ecosystems for human welfare'. *Science* **316**, 1866–1869.
- Kauppi, P. E., J. H. Ausubel, J. Fang, A. S. Mather, R. A. Sedjo, and P. E. Waggoner (2006), 'Returning forests analyzed with the forest identity'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103, 17574–17579.
- Knowler, D. J. and E. B. Barbier (2005), 'Importing exotic plants and the risk of invasion: Are market-based instruments adequate?'. *Ecological Economics* 52, 341–354.
- Kolstad, C. (1994), 'Hotelling rents in hotelling space: Product differentiation in exhaustible resource markets'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 26, 163–180.
- Krutilla, J. V. and A. C. Fisher (1985), The Economics of Natural Environments: Studies in the Valuation of Commodity and Amenity Resources. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Levin, S. (1999), Fragile Dominion: Complexity and the Commons. Reading, MA: Perseus Books.
- Lewis III, R. R. (2000), 'Ecologically based goal setting in mangrove forest and tidal marsh restoration in Florida'. *Ecological Engineering* 15, 191–198.
- Lewis III, R. R. (2005), 'Ecological engineering for successful management and restoration of mangrove forests'. *Ecological Engineering* 24, 403–418.
- Lewis III, R. R. and R. G. Gilmore Jr (2007), 'Important considerations to achieve successful mangrove forest restoration with optimum fish habitat'. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **3**, 823–837.

- Lotze, H. K., H. S. Lenihan, B. J. Bourque, R. H. Bradbury, R. G. Cooke, M. C. Kay, S. M. Kidwell, M. X. Kirby, C. H. Peterson, and J. B. C. Jackson (2006), 'Depletion, degradation and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas'. *Science* **312**, 1806–1809.
- Manson, F. J., N. R. Loneragan, G. A. Skilleter, and S. R. Phinn (2005), 'An evaluation of the evidence for linkages between mangroves and fisheries: A synthesis of the literature and identification of research directions'. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 43, 483–513.
- Mather, A. S. (1992), 'The forest transition'. Area 24, 367–379.
- Mather, A. S. (2000), 'South-North challenges in global forestry'. In: M. Palo and H. Vanhanen (eds.): World Forests from Deforestation to Transition? Dordrecht: Kluwer, pp. 25–40.
- Mather, A. S. (2007), 'Recent Asian forest transitions in relation to forest transition theory'. *International Forestry Review* 9, 491–502.
- May, R. M. (1975), *Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems*. NJ: Princeton University Press, 2nd edition.
- Mazda, Y., M. Magi, M. Kogo, and P. N. Hong (1997), 'Mangroves as a coastal protection from waves in the Tong King Delta, Vietnam'. *Mangroves and Salt Marshes* 1, 127–135.
- McConnell, K. E. (1989), 'The optimal quantity of land in agriculture'. Northeast Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, October pp. 63–72.
- Meynecke, J.-O., S. Y. Lee, and N. C. Duke (2008), 'Linking spatial metrics and fish catch reveals the importance of coastal wetland connectivity to inshore fisheries in Queensland, Australia'. *Biological Conservation* 141, 981–996.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005), *Ecosystems and Human Well-being: A Framework for Assessment*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Murray, J. D. (1993), *Mathematical Biology*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Naidoo, R., A. Balmford, P. J. Ferraro, S. Polasky, T. H. Ricketts, and M. Roget (2006), 'Integrating economic costs into conservation planning'. *TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution* 21, 681–687.
- O'Neill, R. V. (2001), 'Is it time to bury the ecosystem concept? (With full military honors, of course!)'. *Ecology* 82, 3275–3284.

- Pagiola, S., A. Arcenas, and G. Platais (2005), 'Can payments for environmental services help reduce poverty? An exploration of the issues and the evidence to date from Latin America'. World Development 33(2), 237–253.
- Pagiola, S., K. von Ritter, and J. Bishop (2004), How Much is an Ecosystem Worth? Assessing the Economic Value of Conservation. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Palo, M. and H. Vanhanen (eds.) (2000), World Forests from Deforestation to Transition? Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Parkhurst, G. M. and J. F. Shogren (2008), 'Smart subsidies for conservation'. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 90, 1192–1200.
- Parks, P. J. (1995), 'Explaining 'irrational' land use: Risk aversion and marginal agricultural land'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 28, 34–47.
- Parks, P. J., E. B. Barbier, and J. C. Burgess (1998), 'The economics of forest land use in temperate and tropical areas'. *Environmental* and Resource Economics 11, 473–487.
- Pate, J. and J. B. Loomis (1997), 'The effect of distance on willingness to pay values: A case study of wetlands and salmon in California'. *Ecological Economics* 20, 199–207.
- Perrings, C. (1998), 'Resilience in the dynamics of economicenvironmental systems'. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 11, 503–520.
- Perrings, C. and B. Hannon (2001), 'An introduction to spatial discounting'. Journal of Regional Science 41, 23–38.
- Perry, G. L. W. (2002), 'Landscapes, space and equilibrium: Shifting viewpoints'. Progress in Physical Geography 26, 339–359.
- Petersen, J. E., W. M. Kemp, R. Bartleson, W. R. Boynton, C.-C. Chen, J. C. Cornwell, R. H. Gardner, D. C. Hinkle, E. D. Houde, T. C. Malone, W. R. Mowitt, L. Murray, L. P. Sanford, J. C. Stevenson, K. L. Sundberg, and S. E. Suttles (2003), 'Multiscale experiments in coastal ecology: Improving realism and advancing theory'. *BioScience* 53, 1181–1197.
- Peterson, G., C. R. Allen, and C. S. Holling (1998), 'Ecological resilience, biodiversity and scale'. *Ecosystems* 1, 6–18.

- Peterson, G. W. and R. E. Turner (1994), 'The value of salt marsh edge vs interior as habitat for fish and decapods crustaceans in a Louisiana tidal marsh'. *Estuaries* 17, 235–262.
- Pickett, S. T. A. and M. L. Cadenasso (1995), 'Landscape ecology: Spatial heterogeneity in ecological systems'. *Science* 269, 331–334.
- Pickett, S. T. A. and M. L. Cadenasso (2002), 'The ecosystem as a multidimensional concept: Meaning, model, and metaphor'. *Ecosystems* 5, 1–10.
- Pimm, S. L. (1984), 'The complexity and stability of ecosystems'. Nature 307, 321–326.
- Polasky, S., J. D. Camm, and B. Garber-Yonts (2001), 'Selecting biological reserves cost-effectively: An application to terrestrial vertebrate conservation in Oregon'. *Land Economics* 77, 68–78.
- Polasky, S., C. Costello, and C. McAusland (2004), 'On trade, land-use and biodiversity'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 48, 911–925.
- Reed, D. J. and L. Wilson (2004), 'Coast 2050: A new approach to restoration of Louisiana coastal wetlands'. *Physical Geography* 25, 4–21.
- Reed, W. J. (1988), 'Optimal harvesting of a fishery subject to random catastrophic collapse'. IMA Journal of Mathematics Applied in Medicine and Biology 5, 215–235.
- Reed, W. J. and H. E. Heras (1992), 'The conservation and exploitation of vulnerable resources'. Bulletin of Mathematical Biology 54, 185–207.
- Robinson, E. J. Z., H. J. Albers, and J. C. Williams (2008), 'Spatial and temporal modeling of community non-timber forest extraction'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 56, 234–245.
- Rountree, R. A. and K. W. Able (2007), 'Spatial and temporal habitat use patterns for salt marsh nekton: Implications for ecological functions'. Aquatic Ecology 41, 25–45.
- Rowthorn, B. and G. M. Brown (1999), 'When a high discount rate encourages biodiversity'. *International Economic Review* 40, 315–332.
- Rudel, T. K., O. T. Coomes, E. Moran, F. Achard, A. Angelsen, J. Xu, and E. Lambin (2005), 'Forest transitions: Towards a global

understanding of land use change'. *Global Environmental Change* **15**, 23–31.

- Sanchirico, J. and J. Wilen (1999), 'Bioeconomics of spatial exploitation in a patchy environment'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 37, 129–150.
- Sanchirico, J. and J. Wilen (2005), 'Optimal spatial management of renewable resources: Matching policy scope to ecosystem scale'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 50, 23–46.
- Sathirathai, S. and E. Barbier (2001), 'Valuing mangrove conservation, Southern Thailand'. Contemporary Economic Policy 19, 109–122.
- Scheffer, M., S. Carpenter, J. A. Foley, C. Folke, and B. Walker (2001), 'Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems'. *Nature* **413**, 591–596.
- Scott, A. (1955), 'The fishery: The objectives of sole ownership'. Journal of Political Economy 63, 116–124.
- Shoup, D. C. (1970), 'The optimal timing of urban land development'. Papers of the Regional Science Association 25, 33–44.
- Simenstad, C., D. Reed, and M. Ford (2006), 'When is restoration not? Incorporating landscape-scale processes to restore self-sustaining ecosystems in coastal wetland restoration'. *Ecological Engineering* 26, 27–39.
- Smith, M. D., J. N. Sanchirico, and J. E. Wilen (2009), 'The economics of spatial-dynamic processes: Applications to renewable resources'. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 57, 104–121.
- Smith, V. L. (1968), 'Economics of production from natural resources'. American Economic Review 77, 1810–198.
- Smulders, S., D. van Soest, and C. Withagen (2004), 'International trade, species diversity, and habitat conservation'. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 48, 891–910.
- Stavins, R. N. and A. B. Jaffe (1990), 'Unintended impacts of public investments on private decisions: The depletion of forested wetlands'. *American Economic Review* 80, 337–352.
- Takayama, A. (1994), Analytical Methods in Economics. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Tokrisna, R. (1998), 'The use of economic analysis in support of development and investment decision in Thai aquaculture: With particular

reference to marine shrimp culture'. A report to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.

- Tschirhart, J. (2000), 'General equilibrium of an ecosystem'. *Journal* of Theoretical Biology **203**, 13–32.
- Tsur, Y. and A. Zemel (1994), 'Endangered species and natural resource exploitation: Extinction vs Coexistence'. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **20**, 1289–1305.
- Tsur, Y. and A. Zemel (2007), 'Bio-economic resource management under threats of environmental catastrophes'. *Ecological Research* 22, 431–438.
- Turner, M. G. (2005), 'Landscape ecology: What is the state of the science?'. Annual Reviews of Ecological and Evolutionary Systems 36, 319–344.
- Turner, M. G., W. H. Romme, R. H. Gardner, R. V. O'Neill, and T. K. Kratz (1993), 'A revised concept of landscape equilibrium: Disturbance and stability on scaled landscapes'. *Landscape Ecology* 8, 213–227.
- UNEP (2006), 'Marine and coastal ecosystems and human wellbeing: A synthesis report based on the findings of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment'. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.
- Valiela, I., J. Bowen, and J. York (2001), 'Mangrove forests: One of the world's threatened major tropical environments'. *BioScience* 51, 807–815.
- Walker, R. T. (1993), 'Deforestation and economic development'. Canadian Journal of Regional Science 16, 481–497.
- Wolanski, E. (2007), Estuarine Ecohydrology. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- World Resources Institute (2001), World Resources 2001. People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.
- Worm, B., E. B. Barbier, N. Beaumont, J. E. Duffy, C. Folke, B. S. Halpern, J. B. C. Jackson, H. K. Lotze, F. Micehli, S. R. Palumbi, E. Sala, K. A. Selkoe, J. J. Stachowicz, and R. Watson (2006), 'Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services'. *Science* **314**, 787–790.

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/070000031

- Wunder, S. (2008), 'Payments for environmental services and the poor: Concepts and preliminary evidence'. *Environment and Development Economics* 13, 279–297.
- Zilberman, D., L. Lipper, and N. McCarthy (2008), 'When could payments for environmental services benefit the poor?'. *Environment* and Development Economics 13, 255–278.
- Zonneveld, I. S. (1989), 'The land unit A fundamental concept in landscape ecology, and its applications'. Landscape Ecology **3**, 67–86.