
Promotion Dynamics

Promotion Dynamics

Scott A. Neslin

*Dartmouth College
Tuck School of Business
Hanover, NH 03755
USA*

scott.a.neslin@dartmouth.edu

Harald J. van Heerde

*University of Waikato
Department of Marketing
Hamilton 3240
New Zealand*

heerde@waikato.ac.nz

now

the essence of **knowledge**

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is S. A. Neslin and H. J. van Heerde, Promotion Dynamics, Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing, vol 3, no 4, pp 177–268, 2008

ISBN: 978-1-60198-280-3

© 2009 S. A. Neslin and H. J. van Heerde

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Marketing**
Volume 3 Issue 4, 2008
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Jehoshua Eliashberg

University of Pennsylvania

Co-Editors

Teck H. Ho

University of California Berkeley

Mary Frances Luce

Duke University

Editors

Joseph W. Alba, University of Florida

David Bell, University of Pennsylvania

Gerrit van Bruggen, Erasmus University

Pradeep Chintagunta, University of Chicago

Dawn Iacobucci, University of Pennsylvania

Brian Sternthal, Northwestern University

J. Miguel Villas-Boas, University of California, Berkeley

Marcel Zeelenberg, Tilburg University

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Marketing
- Bayesian Models
- Behavioral Decision Making
- Branding and Brand Equity
- Channel Management
- Choice Modeling
- Comparative Market Structure
- Competitive Marketing Strategy
- Conjoint Analysis
- Customer Equity
- Customer Relationship Management
- Game Theoretic Models
- Group Choice and Negotiation
- Discrete Choice Models
- Individual Decision Making
- Marketing Decisions Models
- Market Forecasting
- Marketing Information Systems
- Market Response Models
- Market Segmentation
- Market Share Analysis
- Multi-channel Marketing
- New Product Diffusion
- Pricing Models
- Product Development
- Product Innovation
- Sales Forecasting
- Sales Force Management
- Sales Promotion
- Services Marketing
- Stochastic Model

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing, 2008, Volume 3, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1555-0753. ISSN online version 1555-0761. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in
Marketing
Vol. 3, No. 4 (2008) 177–268
© 2009 S. A. Neslin and H. J. van Heerde
DOI: 10.1561/1700000010



Promotion Dynamics

Scott A. Neslin¹ and Harald J. van Heerde²

¹ *Dartmouth College, Tuck School of Business, 100 Tuck Hall, Hanover, NH 03755, USA, scott.a.neslin@dartmouth.edu*

² *University of Waikato, Department of Marketing, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand, heerde@waikato.ac.nz*

Abstract

Promotions affect sales after the immediate sales bump. In other words, they have dynamic effects on consumer purchase behavior outside the period of the promotional offer. The objective of this monograph is to present a comprehensive overview of the various dynamic effects of promotions. We believe there is an opportunity for such a monograph since the literature on dynamic promotion effects is vast and quite scattered. There have been so many researchers who have worked in this field across decades, using very wide-ranging terminologies, methodologies and data, that we believe there is a need to catalogue the current state of affairs. To keep the discussion centered on a common theme, we focus in particular on the dynamic effects of *price promotions*, (rather than non-price promotions) offered to *consumers* (rather than to the trade or to sales force).

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Basic Model Without Dynamic Effects	5
2.1	Incidence Model	6
2.2	Brand Choice Model	6
2.3	Purchase Quantity Model	7
3	Stockpiling and Deceleration	9
3.1	Illustration	9
3.2	Empirical Findings	16
3.3	Managerial Implications	22
3.4	Future Research	23
4	State Dependence	25
4.1	Illustration	25
4.2	Empirical Findings	29
4.3	Managerial Implications	33
4.4	Future Research	36
5	Reference Prices	39
5.1	Illustration	39
5.2	Empirical Findings	42

5.3	Managerial Implications	47
5.4	Future Research	49
6	Price Sensitivity	51
6.1	Illustration	51
6.2	Empirical Findings	53
6.3	Managerial Implications	54
6.4	Future Research	55
7	Permanent Effects	57
7.1	Illustration	57
7.2	Empirical findings	58
7.3	Managerial Implications	63
7.4	Future Research	63
8	Competition	65
8.1	Illustration	65
8.2	Empirical Findings	66
8.3	Managerial Implications	68
8.4	Future Research	69
9	Summary	71
A	Details on the Promotion Dynamics Simulation	75
	Acknowledgments	83
	References	85

1

Introduction

Firms spend a significant part of their marketing budgets on sales promotions. Promotion accounted for roughly 75% of marketing expenditures for US packaged goods manufacturers during 1997–2007, and the other 25% was for advertising (Trade Promotion, 2007). In 2007, 60% of the budget was spent on promotion to the trade (i.e., from manufacturers to retailers) and 14% on manufacturer promotions to consumers. Since the impact of promotions on sales is usually immediate and strong (Blattberg et al., 1995), promotions are attractive to results-oriented managers seeking to increase sales in the short term (Neslin, 2002). In a recent meta-analysis, Bijmolt et al. (2005) report that the average short-term sales promotion elasticity is -3.63 , which implies that a 20% temporary price cut leads to a 73% rise in sales. There are few, if any, other marketing instruments that are equally effective.

Promotions also affect sales after the immediate sales bump. In other words, they have dynamic effects on consumer purchase behavior outside the period of the promotional offer. The objective of this article is to present a comprehensive overview of the various dynamic effects of promotions. We believe there is an opportunity for such an article since

2 Introduction

the literature on dynamic promotion effects is vast and quite scattered. There have been so many researchers who have worked in this field across decades using very wide-ranging terminologies, methodologies, and data that we believe there is a need to catalog the current state of affairs. To keep the discussion centered on a common theme, we focus in particular on the dynamic effects of *price promotions* (rather than non-price promotions) offered to *consumers* (rather than to the trade or to sales force). Price promotions are current-period temporary price reductions to enhance sales or loyalty. In contrast for example, loyalty programs often offer future discounts on a long-term basis. For a discussion of the particular dynamics that have been observed for loyalty programs, we refer to Blattberg et al. (2008).

We envision two target audiences for this article. The first is marketing students and marketing professionals seeking insights into the dynamic effects of promotions. For this audience, this article illustrates the dynamic effects with several graphs, shows what has been found in the literature, and indicates what managers can do with these findings. The second audience is academic researchers new to the promotions area who are interested in studying dynamic promotion effects. This audience hopefully not only benefits from model equations and the literature summary, but also derives inspiration from our suggestions for future research.

Our organization of the article reflects both these audiences. To illustrate the in-total six different dynamic promotion effects, we use as a device an econometric model of household purchase behavior. For each effect (each section) we follow the same structure. We start by showing the equation that accommodates the dynamic effect under consideration, and next we show in a graph how the effect shows up in simulations of the purchase behavior aggregated across households. Next we discuss the empirical findings on that effect based on the literature. Finally, we discuss each effect's managerial implications and future research avenues. Note that our emphasis is on discussing what the dynamic phenomena are and what we know about them. The econometric model serves as a vehicle for rigorously introducing each phenomenon. See Van Heerde and Neslin (2008) for a more detailed discussion of sales promotion modeling.

The dynamic effects covered in this article are the following. Promotions may lead to stockpiling and deceleration (Section 3) and state dependence (Section 4). Promotions may also affect reference prices, which affect subsequent purchase behavior (Section 5). Over time, after repeated exposure to price promotions, consumers may become more price sensitive (Section 6). Promotions may have permanent effects on consumer behavior (Section 7) and lead to competitive reactions (Section 8).

Before we start with discussing the different dynamic effects, we present in Section 2 the basic model without these dynamic effects. After that, we gradually expand the basic model with the components to capture each of the dynamic effects.

References

- Abraham, M. M. and L. M. Lodish (1993), 'An implemented system for improving promotion productivity using store scanner data'. *Marketing Science* **12**(3), 248–269.
- Abramson, C., R. L. Andrews, I. S. Currim, and M. Jones (2000), 'Parameter bias from unobserved effects in the multinomial logit model of consumer choice'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **37**(4), 410–426.
- Ailawadi, K. L., K. Gedenk, C. Lutzky, and S. A. Neslin (2007a), 'Decomposition of the sales impact of promotion-induced stockpiling'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **44**(3), 450–467.
- Ailawadi, K. L., B. A. Harlam, J. César, and D. Trounce (2006), 'Promotion profitability for a retailer: The Role of promotion, brand, category, and store characteristics'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **43**(4), 518–535.
- Ailawadi, K. L., B. A. Harlam, J. César, and D. Trounce (2007b), 'Quantifying and improving promotion effectiveness at CVS'. *Marketing Science* **26**(4), 566–575.
- Ailawadi, K. L., D. R. Lehmann, and S. A. Neslin (2001), 'Market response to a major policy change in the marketing mix: Learning

- from Procter & Gamble's value pricing strategy'. *Journal of Marketing* **65**(1), 44–61.
- Ailawadi, K. L. and S. A. Neslin (1998), 'The effect of promotion on consumption: Buying more and consuming it faster'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **35**(August), 390–398.
- Assunção, J. L. and R. Meyer (1993), 'The rational effect of price promotions on sales and consumption'. *Management Science* **39**(5), 517–535.
- Ataman, B., C. F. Mela, and H. J. van Heerde (2008), 'Building brands'. *Marketing Science* **27**(November–December), 1036–1054.
- Ataman, B., H. J. van Heerde, and C. F. Mela (2009), 'The long-term effect of marketing strategy on brand sales'. conditionally accepted, *Journal of Marketing Research*.
- Bawa, K. (1990), 'Modeling inertia and variety seeking tendencies in brand choice behavior'. *Marketing Science* **9**(3), 263–278.
- Bawa, K. and R. Shoemaker (2004), 'The effects of free sample promotions on incremental brand sales'. *Marketing Science* **23**(3), 345–363.
- Bell, D. R. and R. E. Bucklin (1999), 'The role of internal reference price in the category purchase decision'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **26**(2), 128–143.
- Bell, D. R., J. Chiang, and V. Padmanabhan (1999), 'The decomposition of promotional response: An empirical generalization'. *Marketing Science* **18**(4), 504–526.
- Bell, D. R. and J. M. Lattin (2000), 'Looking for loss aversion in scanner panel data: The confounding effect of price response heterogeneity'. *Marketing Science* **19**(2), 185–200.
- Bijmolt, T. H. A., H. J. Van Heerde, and R. G. M. Pieters (2005), 'New empirical generalizations on the determinants of price elasticity'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **42**(May), 141–156.
- Blattberg, R. C., R. Briesch, and E. J. Fox (1995), 'How promotions work'. *Marketing Science* **14**(3, Part 2 of 2), g122–g132.
- Blattberg, R. C., G. D. Eppen, and J. Lieberman (1981), 'A theoretical and empirical evaluation of price deals for consumer nondurables'. *Journal of Marketing* **45**(1), 116–129.
- Blattberg, R. C., B.-D. Kim, and S. A. Neslin (2008), *Database Marketing: Analyzing and Managing Customers*. International

- Series in Quantitative Marketing, Vol. 18, New York: Springer Press.
- Blattberg, R. C. and S. A. Neslin (1990), *Sales Promotion: Concepts, Methods, and Strategies*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Boulding, W., E. Lee, and R. Staelin (1994), 'Mastering the mix: Do advertising, promotion and sales force activities lead to differentiation?'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **31**(May), 159–172.
- Briesch, R. A., L. Krishnamurthi, T. Mazumdar, and S. P. Raj (1997), 'A comparative analysis of reference price models'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **24**(3), 202–214.
- Bucklin, R. E. and S. Gupta (1992), 'Brand choice, purchase incidence, and segmentation: An integrated approach'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **29**, 201–215.
- Bucklin, R. E., S. Gupta, and S. Siddarth (1998), 'Determining segmentation in sales response across consumer purchase behaviors'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **35**(May), 189–197.
- Chan, T., C. Narasimhan, and Q. Zhang (2008), 'Decomposing promotional effects with a dynamic structural model of flexible consumption'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **45**(4), 487–498.
- Chandon, P. and B. Wansink (2006), 'How biased household inventory estimates distort shopping and storage decisions'. *Journal of Marketing* **70**(4), 118–135.
- Chang, K., S. Siddarth, and C. B. Weinberg (1999), 'The impact of heterogeneity in purchase timing and price responsiveness on estimates of sticker shock effects'. *Marketing Science* **18**(2), 178–192.
- Che, H., K. Sudhir, and P. B. Seetharaman (2007), 'Bounded rationality in pricing under state-dependent demand: Do firms look ahead, and if so, how far?'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **44**(3), 434–449.
- Chiang, J. (1991), 'A simultaneous approach to the whether, what and how much to buy questions'. *Marketing Science* **10**(4), 297–315.
- Chintagunta, P. K. (1993), 'Investigating purchase incidence, brand choice, and purchase quantity decisions of households'. *Marketing Science* **12**(2), 184–208.
- Clarke, D. G. (1976), 'Econometric measurement of the duration of advertising effect on sales'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **13**(November), 345–357.

- Della Bitta, A. J. and K. B. Monroe (1974), 'The influence of adaptation level on selective price perceptions'. In: S. Ward and P. Wright (eds.): *Advances in Consumer Research*, vol. 1. Association for Consumer Research, pp. 359–369.
- Dodson, J. A., A. M. Tybout, and B. Sternthal (1978), 'Impact of deal and deal retraction on brand switching'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **15**(May), 72–81.
- Doiron, D. and T. Gørgens (2008), 'State dependence in youth labor market experiences, and the evaluation of policy interventions'. *Journal of Econometrics* **145**(1/2), 81–97.
- Doyle, P. and J. Saunders (1985), 'The lead effect of marketing decisions'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **22**(1), 54–65.
- Dubé, J.-P., G. J. Hitsch, P. E. Rossi, and M. Ana Vitorino (2008), 'Category pricing with state-dependent utility'. *Marketing Science* **27**(3), 417–429.
- Erdem, T., S. Imai, and M. Keane (2003), 'Brand and quantity choice dynamics under price uncertainty'. *Quantitative Marketing and Economics* **1**(1), 5–64.
- Erdem, T., G. Mayhew, and B. Sun (2001), 'Understanding reference-price shoppers: A within- and cross-category analysis'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **38**(4), 445–457.
- Erdem, T. and B. Sun (2001), 'Testing for choice dynamics in panel data'. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* **19**(2), 142–152.
- Fibrich, G., A. Gavious, and O. Lowengart (2003), 'Explicit solutions of optimization models with nonsmooth (Asymmetric) reference-price effects'. *Operations Research* **51**(5), 721–734.
- Foekens, E. W., P. S. H. Leeflang, and D. R. Wittink (1999), 'Varying parameter models to accommodate dynamic promotion effects'. *Journal of Econometrics* **89**(1–2), 249–68.
- Fok, D., C. Horváth, R. Paap, and P. Hans Franses (2006), 'A hierarchical bayes error correction model to explain dynamic effects of price changes'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **43**(August), 443–461.
- Folkes, V. S., I. M. Martin, and K. Gupta (1993), 'When to say when: Effects of supply on usage'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **20**(December), 467–77.

- Foubert, B. and E. Gijsbrechts (2007), 'Shopper response to bundle promotions for packaged goods'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **44**(4), 647–662.
- Frank, R. E. (1962), 'Brand choice as a probability process'. *Journal of Business* **35**(1), 43–56.
- Freimer, M. and D. Horsky (2008), 'Try it, you'll like it: Does consumer learning lead to competitive price promotions?'. *Marketing Science* **27**(5), 796–810.
- Gedenk, K. and S. A. Neslin (1999), 'The role of retail promotion in determining future brand loyalty: Its effect on purchase event feedback'. *Journal of Retailing* **75**(4), 433–459.
- Goldfarb, A. (2006), 'State dependence at internet portals'. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy* **15**(2), 317–352.
- Gönül, F. and K. Srinivasan (1996), 'Estimating the impact of consumer expectations on purchase behavior: A dynamic structural model'. *Marketing Science* **15**(3), 262–279.
- Gourville, J. T. and D. Soman (1998), 'Payment depreciation: The behavioral effects of temporally separating payments from consumption'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **25**(September), 160–174.
- Greenleaf, E. A. (1995), 'The impact of reference price effects on the profitability of price promotions'. *Marketing Science* **14**(1), 82–104.
- Guadagni, P. M. and J. D. C. Little (1983), 'A logit model of brand choice calibrated on scanner data'. *Marketing Science* **2**(3), 203–238.
- Gupta, S. (1988), 'Impact of sales promotion on when, what and how much to buy'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **25**(November), 342–355.
- Halliday, T. J. (2008), 'Heterogeneity, state dependence and health'. *Econometrics Journal* **11**(3), 499–516.
- Helson, H. (1964), *Adaptation-Level Theory*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Horsky, D., S. Misra, and P. Nelson (2006), 'Observed and unobserved preference heterogeneity in brand-choice models'. *Marketing Science* **25**(4), 322–335.
- Horváth, C., P. S. H. Leeflang, J. E. Wieringa, and D. R. Wittink (2005), 'Competitive reaction- and feedback effects based on VARX models of pooled store data'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **22**(4), 415–426.

90 *References*

- Jain, D. C. and N. J. Vilcassim (1991), 'Investigating household purchase timing decisions: A conditional hazard function approach'. *Marketing Science* **10**(1), 1–23.
- Jedidi, K., C. F. Mela, and S. Gupta (1999), 'Managing advertising and promotion for long-run profitability'. *Marketing Science* **18**(1), 1–22.
- Kahneman, D. and A. Tversky (1979), 'Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk'. *Econometrica* **47**(March), 263–291.
- Kaiser, U. and H. C. Kongsted (2008), 'True versus spurious state dependence in firm performance: The case of German exports'. *Empirical Economics* **35**(2), 207–228.
- Kalra, A., S. Raju, and K. Srinivasan (1998), 'Response to competitive entry: A rationale for delayed defensive reaction'. *Marketing Science* **17**(4), 380–405.
- Kalwani, M. U. and C. K. Yim (1992), 'Consumer price and promotion expectations: An experimental study'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **29**(1), 90–100.
- Kalwani, M. U., C. K. Yim, H. J. Rinne, and Y. Sugita (1990), 'A price expectations model of customer brand choice'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **37**(3), 251–262.
- Kalyanaram, G. and R. S. Winer (1995), 'Empirical generalizations from reference price research'. *Marketing Science* **14**(3, Part 2 of 2), G161–G169.
- Keane, M. P. (1997), 'Modeling heterogeneity and state dependence in consumer choice behavior'. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* **15**(3), 310–327.
- Klapper, D., C. Ebling, and J. Temme (2005), 'Another look at loss aversion in brand choice data: Can we characterize the loss averse consumer?'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **22**(3), 239–254.
- Kopalle, P. K., A. G. Rao, and J. L. Assunção (1996), 'Asymmetric reference price effects and dynamic pricing policies'. *Marketing Science* **15**(1), 60–85.
- Krishna, A. (1992), 'The normative impact of consumer price expectations for multiple brands on consumer purchase behavior'. *Marketing Science* **11**(3), 266–286.

- Krishna, A. (1994a), 'The effect of deal knowledge on consumer behavior'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **31**(1), 76–91.
- Krishna, A. (1994b), 'The impact of dealing patterns on purchase behavior'. *Marketing Science* **13**(4), 351–373.
- Krishna, A., I. S. Currim, and R. W. Shoemaker (1991), 'Consumer perceptions of promotional activity'. *Journal of Marketing* **55**(April), 4–16.
- Kuehn, A. A. (1962), 'Consumer brand choice — A learning process?'. *Journal of Advertising Research* **2**(December), 10–17.
- Lachaab, M., A. Ansari, K. Jedidi, and A. Trabelsi (2006), 'Modeling preference evolution in discrete choice models: A bayesian state-space approach'. *Quantitative Marketing and Economics* **4**(3), 57–81.
- Leeflang, P. S. H., T. H. A. Bijmolt, J. van Doorn, D. M. Hanssens, H. J. van Heerde, P. C. Verhoef, and J. E. Wieringa (2009), 'Creating lift versus building the base: Current trends in marketing dynamics'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **26**(1), 13–20.
- Leeflang, P. S. H., J. Parreña Selva, A. Van Dijk, and D. R. Wittink (2008), 'Decomposing the sales promotion bump accounting for cross-category effects'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **25**(3), 201–214.
- Leeflang, P. S. H. and D. R. Wittink (1992), 'Diagnosing competitive reactions using (aggregated) scanner data'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **9**(1), 39–57.
- Leeflang, P. S. H. and D. R. Wittink (1996), 'Competitive reaction versus consumer response: Do managers overreact?'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **13**(2), 103–120.
- Lewis, M. (2006), 'Customer acquisition promotions and customer asset value'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **43**(2), 195–203.
- Macé, S. and S. A. Neslin (2004), 'The determinants of pre- and post-promotion dips in sales of frequently purchased goods'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **41**(August), 339–350.
- Manchanda, P., A. Ansari, and S. Gupta (1999), 'The "shopping basket": A model for multicategory purchase incidence decisions'. *Marketing Science* **18**(2), 95–114.

- Mayhew, G. E. and R. S. Winer (1992), 'An empirical analysis of internal and external reference prices using scanner data'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **19**(2), 62–70.
- Mazumdar, T. and P. Papatla (2000), 'An investigation of reference price segments'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **37**(2), 246–258.
- Mazumdar, T., S. P. Raj, and I. Sinha (2005), 'Reference price research: Review and propositions'. *Journal of Marketing* **69**(4), 84–102.
- Mela, C. F., S. Gupta, and D. R. Lehmann (1997), 'The long-term impact of promotion and advertising on consumer brand choice'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **34**(May), 248–61.
- Mela, C. F., K. Jedidi, and D. Bowman (1998), 'The long-term impact of promotions on consumer stockpiling behavior'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **35**(May), 250–62.
- Mitra, A. and J. G. Lynch (1995), 'Toward a reconciliation of market power and information theories of advertising effects on price elasticity'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **21**(March), 644–659.
- Moeltner, K. and J. Englin (2004), 'Choice behavior under time-variant quality: State dependence versus "Play-It-by-Ear" in selecting ski resorts'. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* **22**(2), 214–224.
- Monroe, K. B. and A. Y. Lee (1999), 'Remembering versus knowing: Issues in buyers' processing of price information'. *Academy of Marketing Science Journal* **27**(2), 207–225.
- Moon, S., G. J. Russell, and S. D. Duvvuri (2006), 'Profiling the Reference Price Consumer'. *Journal of Retailing* **82**(1), 1–11.
- Moriarty, M. M. (1985), 'Retail promotional effects on intra- and inter-brand sales performance'. *Journal of Retailing* **63**(3), 27–47.
- Moshkin, N. V. and R. Shacher (2002), 'The asymmetric information model of state dependence'. *Marketing Science* **21**(4), 435–454.
- Neslin, S. A. (2002), *Sales Promotion*. Relevant Knowledge Series, Cambridge, Massachusetts: Marketing Science Institute.
- Neslin, S. A., C. Henderson, and J. Quelch (1985), 'Consumer promotions and the acceleration of product purchases'. *Marketing Science* **4**(2), 147–165.
- Neslin, S. A. and L. Schneider Stone (1996), 'Consumer inventory sensitivity and the post-promotion dip'. *Marketing Letters* **7**(1), 77–94.

- Neslin, S. A. and R. W. Shoemaker (1989), 'An alternative explanation for lower repeat rates after promotion purchases'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **26**(2), 205–213.
- Nijs, V., M. G. Dekimpe, J. Benedict Steenkamp, and D. M. Hanssens (2001), 'The category demand effects of price promotions'. *Marketing Science* **20**(1), 1–22.
- Nijs, V. R., S. Srinivasan, and K. Pauwels (2007), 'Retail-Price Drivers and Retailer Profits'. *Marketing Science* **26**(4), 473–487.
- Papatla, P. and L. Krishnamurthi (1996), 'Measuring the dynamic effects of promotions on brand choice'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **33**(February), 20–35.
- Pauwels, K. (2007), 'How retailer and competitor decisions drive the long-term effectiveness of manufacturer promotions for fast moving consumer goods'. *Journal of Retailing* **83**(3), 297–308.
- Pauwels, K., D. M. Hanssens, and S. Siddarth (2002), 'The long-term effects of price promotions on category incidence, brand choice and purchase quantity'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **39**(November), 421–439.
- Pauwels, K., S. Srinivasan, and P. Hans Franses (2007), 'When do price thresholds matter in retail categories?'. *Marketing Science* **26**(1), 83–100.
- Popescu, I. and Y. Wu (2007), 'Dynamic pricing strategies with reference effects'. *Operations Research* **55**(3), 413–429.
- Rajendran, K. N. and G. J. Tellis (1994), 'Contextual and temporal components of reference price'. *Journal of Marketing* **58**(1), 22–34.
- Raju, J. S. (1992), 'The effect of price promotions on variability in product category sales'. *Marketing Science* **11**(3), 207–220.
- Rhee, H. and D. R. Bell (2002), 'The inter-store mobility of supermarket shoppers'. *Journal of Retailing* **78**(4), 225–237.
- Rothschild, M. L. and W. C. Gaidis (1981), 'Behavioral learning theory: Its relevance to marketing and promotions'. *Journal of Marketing* **45**(2), 70–78.
- Roy, R., P. K. Chintagunta, and S. Haldar (1996), 'A framework for investigating habits, 'the hand of the past,' and heterogeneity in dynamic brand choice'. *Marketing Science* **15**(3), 280–299.

- Seetharaman, P. B. (2004), 'Modeling multiple sources of state dependence in random utility models: A distributed lag approach'. *Marketing Science* **23**(2), 263–271.
- Seetharaman, P. B., A. Ainslie, and P. K. Chintagunta (1999), 'Investigating household state dependence effects across categories'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **36**(4), 488–500.
- Shoemaker, R. W. (1979), 'An analysis of consumer reactions to product promotions'. In: N. Beckwith, M. Houston, R. Mittelstaedt, K. B. Monroe, and S. Ward (eds.): *Educators' Conference Proceedings*. Chicago: American Marketing Association, pp. 244–248.
- Silva-Risso, J. M., R. E. Bucklin, and D. G. Morrison (1999), 'A decision support system for planning manufacturers' sales promotion calendars'. *Marketing Science* **18**(3), 274–300.
- Slotegraaf, R. J. and K. Pauwels (2008), 'The impact of brand equity and innovation on the long-term effectiveness of promotions'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **45**(3), 293–306.
- Soman, D. and J. T. Gourville (2001), 'Transaction decoupling: How price bundling affects the decision to consume'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **38**(1), 30–44.
- Song, I. and P. K. Chintagunta (2006), 'Measuring cross-category price effects with aggregate store data'. *Management Science* **57**(10), 1594–1609.
- Steenburgh, T. J. (2007), 'Measuring consumer and competitive impact with elasticity decompositions'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **44**(4), 636–646.
- Steenkamp, J. B. E. M., V. R. Nijs, D. M. Hanssens, and M. G. Dekimpe (2005), 'Competitive reactions to advertising and promotion attacks'. *Marketing Science* **24**(1), 35–54.
- Sun, B. (2005), 'Promotion effects on endogenous consumption'. *Marketing Science* **24**(3), 430–443.
- Tellis, G. J. and P. Hans Franses (2006), 'Optimal data interval for estimating advertising response'. *Marketing Science* **25**(3), 217–229.
- Thaler, R. (1985), 'Mental accounting and consumer choice'. *Marketing Science* **4**(3), 199–214.

- Thompson, P. A. and T. Noordewier (1992), 'Estimating the effects of consumer incentive programs on domestic automobile sales'. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* **10**(4), 409–417.
- Trade Promotion (2007), *Spending and Merchandising Study*. Wilton, CT: Cannondale Associates.
- Vaidyanathan, R. and P. Aggarwal (2001), 'Use of internal reference prices for deal evaluations: Decision structure and role of involvement'. *The Marketing Management Journal* **11**(2), 108–122.
- Van Heerde, H. J. and T. H. A. Bijmolt (2005), 'Decomposing the promotional revenue bump for loyalty program members versus non-members'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **42**(November), 443–457.
- Van Heerde, H. J., E. Gijsbrechts, and K. Pauwels (2008), 'Winners and losers in a major price war'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **45**(October), 499–518.
- Van Heerde, H. J., S. Gupta, and D. R. Wittink (2003), 'Is 75% of the sales promotion bump due to brand switching? No, only 33% Is'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **40**(November), 481–491.
- Van Heerde, H. J., P. S. H. Leeflang, and D. R. Wittink (2000), 'The estimation of pre- and postpromotion dips with store-level scanner data'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **37**(August), 383–395.
- Van Heerde, H. J., P. S. H. Leeflang, and D. R. Wittink (2004), 'Decomposing the sales promotion bump with store data'. *Marketing Science* **23**(3), 317–334.
- Van Heerde, H. J. and S. A. Neslin (2008), 'Sales promotion models'. In: B. Wierenga (ed.): *Handbook of Marketing Decision Models*, Chapter 6. International Series in Operational Research & Management Science, New York: Springer Science and Business Media, pp. 107–162.
- Wansink, B. (1996), 'Does package size accelerate usage volume?'. *Journal of Marketing* **60**(3), 1–14.
- Wansink, B. and R. Deshpande (1994), 'Out of sight, out of mind: Pantry stockpiling and brand-usage frequency'. *Marketing Letters* **5**(1), 166–182.
- Wedel, M. and P. S. H. Leeflang (1998), 'A model for the effects of psychological pricing in gabor-granger price studies'. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **19**(2), 237–260.

- Wedel, M. and J. Zhang (2004), 'Analyzing brand competition across subcategories'. *Journal of Marketing Research* **41**(4), 448–456.
- Wilson, R. D., L. M. Newman, and M. Hastak (1979), 'On the validity of research methods in consumer dealing activity: An analysis of timing issues'. In: N. Beckwith, M. Houston, R. Mittelstaedt, K. B. Monroe, and S. Ward (eds.): *Educators' Conference Proceedings*. Chicago: American Marketing Association, pp. 41–46.
- Winer, R. S. (1986), 'A reference price model of brand choice for frequently purchased products'. *Journal of Consumer Research* **13**(3), 250–256.
- Wittink, D. R., M. J. Addona, W. J. Hawkes, and J. C. Porter (1987), 'The estimation, validation, and use of promotional effects based on scanner data'. Working Paper, Cornell University.
- Wolk, A. and M. Spann (2008), 'The effects of reference prices on bidding behavior in interactive pricing mechanisms'. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* **22**(4), 2–18.
- Zhang, J. and L. Krishnamurthi (2004), 'Customizing promotions in online stores'. *Marketing Science* **23**(4), 561–578.