

Language Research in Marketing

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Marketing

Aggregate Advertising Expenditure in the U.S. Economy: Measurement and Growth Issues in the Digital Era

Alvin J. Silk and Ernst R. Berndt

ISBN: 978-1-68083-872-5

Brands: An Integrated Marketing, Finance, and Societal Perspective

Bobby J. Calder

ISBN: 978-1-68083-746-9

Machine Learning in Marketing: Overview, Learning Strategies, Applications, and Future Developments

Vinicius Andrade Brei

ISBN: 978-1-68083-720-9

Crowdfunding Platforms: Ecosystem and Evolution

Yee Heng Tan and Srinivas K. Reddy

ISBN: 978-1-68083-698-1

A Practical Approach to Sales Compensation: What Do We Know Now? What Should We Know in the Future?

Doug J. Chung, Byungyeon Kim and Niladri B. Syam

ISBN: 978-1-68083-684-4

Language Research in Marketing

Ann Kronrod

University of Massachusetts, Lowell
USA

ann_kronrod@uml.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends® in Marketing

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

A. Kronrod. *Language Research in Marketing*. Foundations and Trends® in Marketing, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 308–421, 2022.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-967-8
© 2022 A. Kronrod

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing
Volume 16, Issue 3, 2022
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Jehoshua Eliashberg
University of Pennsylvania

Associate Editor

Bernd Schmitt
Columbia University

Editors

Dawn Iacobucci
Vanderbilt University

Ganesh Iyeri
University of California, Berkeley

Anirban Mukhopadhyayi
HKUST

Sharon Ng
Nanyang Technological University

Gerrit van Bruggen
Erasmus University

Miguel Villas Boasi
University of California, Berkeley

Hema Yoganarasimhani
University of Washington

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Marketing
- Bayesian Models
- Behavioral Decision Making
- Branding and Brand Equity
- Channel Management
- Choice Modeling
- Comparative Market Structure
- Competitive Marketing Strategy
- Conjoint Analysis
- Customer Equity
- Customer Relationship Management
- Game Theoretic Models
- Group Choice and Negotiation
- Discrete Choice Models
- Individual Decision Making
- Marketing Decisions Models
- Market Forecasting
- Marketing Information Systems
- Market Response Models
- Market Segmentation
- Market Share Analysis
- Multi-channel Marketing
- New Product Diffusion
- Pricing Models
- Product Development
- Product Innovation
- Sales Forecasting
- Sales Force Management
- Sales Promotion
- Services Marketing
- Stochastic Model

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing, 2022, Volume 16, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1555-0753. ISSN online version 1555-0761. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

Introduction	2
I Linguistics and Language Research	4
1 The Components of Language	6
1.1 Phonetics (Sounds)	6
1.2 Morphology and Semantics (The Structure and Meaning of Words)	8
1.3 Syntax and Grammar (Sentence Structure)	9
1.4 Discourse (Text)	10
1.5 Pragmatics (Use)	12
1.6 Cross Cultural Linguistics and Sociolinguistics	13
2 Prominent Theories in Linguistics	16
2.1 Categorization Theory – Eleanor Rosch and Caroline Mervis 1975	16
2.2 Universal Grammar, Generative Linguistics and Linguistic Variation – Noam Chomsky	17
2.3 Linguistic Relativity: The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis	18
2.4 Speech Act Theory – John Austin and John Searle	19

2.5	Conversation Theory and the Cooperative Principle – Paul Grice	20
2.6	Conceptual Metaphor – George Lakoff and Mark Johnson	23
II	Language in Marketing: Research and Practice	25
3	Phonetics	27
4	Semantics	33
5	Grammar/Syntax	38
6	Discourse	42
7	Pragmatics	44
8	Sociolinguistics	50
III	Developing Research of Language in Marketing	57
9	Methods in Linguistic Inquiry	59
9.1	Qualitative Methods	60
9.2	Quantitative Methods to Analyze Language	64
10	A Proposed Process of Designing Language Research in Marketing	73
10.1	Identifying a Language-in-Marketing Problem	73
10.2	Developing a Multi-Method Research Approach to Test the Theory	76
10.3	Conducting the Research and Deriving Practical Conclusions	78
IV	Summary and a Look Into the Future	80
11	Summary	81

11.1 What Does It Take to Do Language Research in Marketing?	
Theory, Literature, Predictions, Methods	81
11.2 Common Pitfalls to Notice	83
12 Where Do We Go from Here? Evolving Directions of Language Research in Marketing	85
12.1 Text Mining and Text Analysis	85
12.2 Computational Linguistics	88
12.3 AI in Service	88
12.4 Developmental Linguistics	89
Acknowledgements	91
References	92

Language Research in Marketing

Ann Kronrod

University of Massachusetts, Lowell, USA; ann_kronrod@uml.edu

ABSTRACT

This monograph aims to introduce researchers to the fascinating world of linguistics and to show how to conduct meaningful language research in marketing, exploring the way language influences behavior and how language can express thoughts, emotions, and mental states in marketing contexts. Ann Kronrod, who holds a Ph.D. in linguistics and conducts linguistic research in marketing, familiarizes the reader with fundamental concepts and prominent theories in linguistics, reviews the currently available research in marketing that examines language questions, lays out a guide to conducting compelling language research in marketing, and offers exciting future directions for developing new perspectives on language within marketing research. This monograph can be used as a basic guide for beginning researchers who are interested to conduct language research in marketing, or as a summary for more seasoned researchers who already acquired linguistics education and would like to get up to date on recent streams in the research of language in marketing.

Introduction

Can a product review influence a consumer's decision to purchase or not to purchase a product? Yes, it can. Can a single sentence in the review influence this decision? Yes, it can. Can one word change your mind? Yes, it can. Can a single sound or letter make a difference? Yes. It. Can.

My goal is to show you how language influences behavior in marketing, and how language can express thoughts, emotions, and mental states. I will review and summarize research on language in marketing and accompany my review with illustrations and examples. Research of language in marketing is developing, and has lately become a permanent topic in marketing research and teaching. Having earned my Ph.D. in psycho-linguistics, I am excited to share my extensive knowledge of linguistics and show you how it can be used in research of language in marketing.

This monograph consists of four parts:

Part I will cover the basics of linguistics in simple terms and introduce readers to the most prominent linguistic theories, building a knowledge foundation that can help practitioners, researchers and students develop new ideas in marketing.

Part II will provide a comprehensive review of current research of language in marketing, identifying theoretical contributions, methodological approaches, and practical implications.

Part III will overview the most common methods in linguistic inquiry and lay out a step-by-step pathway to developing language research in marketing, starting from a marketing problem, raising new research questions, evolving into a new theory that can identify behavior and explain it, and materializing into a methodological approach that yields meaningful conclusions.

Part IV will summarize the monograph and outline suggestions for future research of language in marketing.

It is my hope that readers will enjoy new discoveries while reading this monograph and that the text will spur novel linguistic research contributions in the field of marketing.

Part IV

Summary and a Look Into the Future

11

Summary

11.1 What Does It Take to Do Language Research in Marketing? Theory, Literature, Predictions, Methods

Theory and literature. Linguistic theories are wonderful idea generators, because they focus on deep processes underlying behavior. To do language research in marketing, the researcher would strive to be well-versed in linguistic theory. This would include understanding the fundamentals of language, starting from phonetics, through morphology, semantics, grammar, syntax, pragmatics, and cross-cultural linguistics. Some researchers choose to focus on one element from this list, but since each element serves as the foundation for the following element, learning only one part of linguistics may put the researcher at a disadvantage. It is therefore recommended that a researcher interested in language research in marketing familiarizes herself with all elements of linguistics theory. My goal in Part 1 of this monograph was to help readers get familiarized with the most well-known approaches and theories in

linguistics.¹ In Part II, I aimed to summarize the current literature that investigated language within the marketing field.

Fuller knowledge of linguistics is also useful for posing a research question and for merging multi-disciplinary literature into a sensible theory. In addition, as the main focus of the research relates to marketing questions, the researcher should be proficient in marketing theory and literature.

Predictions. Posing the right research question, which starts from a practical marketing issue and aims to suggest a resolution of that issue via linguistic inquiry, will help the researcher to move beyond descriptive research to predictive research. By this, the researcher will also contribute to linguistics in the process. Through this process, the researcher will generate new ideas that can improve existing marketing findings and theories, measurement and manipulation, or generate brand new linguistic ideas about marketing phenomena. Thus, researchers of language in marketing are especially well positioned to use the marketing context in order to convey and interpret language – one of the main differentiating aspects of human behavior.

Methods. In Part III of this monograph I described a variety of methods that are used in linguistic research. Some of them are familiar to the reader from other fields of research, some are new to the reader. Being knowledgeable about various methods opens the researcher up not only to new linguistics methods, but also widens the researcher's horizons for research questions and theory. Similarly to the way being familiar with various paths in the forest allows the traveler to arrive at different spots, knowing various ways to test theory enables the researcher to arrive at more diverse research theory and predictions.

Taken together, proficiency in both marketing and linguistic theories, literature and methods will make up a well-rounded researcher of language in marketing.

¹Researchers wishing to develop a strong linguistics foundation may undertake reading articles in linguistics and some basic handbooks, such as the *Handbook of Pragmatics* (Horn and Ward, 2006) or the *Handbook of Psycholinguistics* (Fernández and Smith Cairns, 2017).

11.2 Common Pitfalls to Notice

Not all that glitters is gold. Perhaps not all research that deals with words should be called language research. For example, research on gain and loss framing in health (e.g., Covey, 2014; Zhao and Pechmann, 2007) examines whether communication promoting healthful behaviors is more effective when it mentions gain motivations (e.g., You will live longer if you quit smoking) or loss motivations (e.g., You will die sooner if you keep smoking). While clearly the difference between the two messages is defined by language, the research question here is not linguistic, because it does not deal with any of the areas of language inquiry: phonetics, morphology, semantics, pragmatics or sociolinguistics. In other words, the question is not whether the phonetic/morphological structure of the two messages influences consumers, nor whether the meanings of the two messages are responsible for consumer reactions to them. Instead, the research question here is purely communicational – whether mentioning gains or losses is more effective in modifying behaviors.

A researcher interested in conducting language research in marketing should carefully consider the actual question they would like to pose and explore. If the question is not about a linguistic aspect of text or behavior, perhaps a different angle is more appropriate to investigate it.

Asking the right question – and testing the right question. Related to my previous point, I'd like to suggest another consideration: at times, a researcher may ask the right question – for example, whether personal pronouns convey a different meaning than other pronouns – but when it comes to testing the question, the researcher defaults to what might be closer to their research methodology comfort zone, and ends up testing a different research question. For example, the researcher would focus too much on the outcomes of using certain, over other, personal pronouns. This sort of research would be characterized as consumer behavior research, but hardly a linguistic one. The linguistic question here is how consumers construct meaning based on personal pronoun use. For instance, I mentioned before the different agency meaning of “It broke” versus “I broke it”. The use of first person pronoun in this example signals intentionality, taking personal responsibility, and power.

Importantly, the linguistic question here is how exactly pronoun choice influences implied meaning. To answer this question, the researcher would integrate literature and explore the way sentence structure can alter meaning, and the way the word “it” changes agency depending on its grammatical position in the sentence.

Relevance and the “so what” question. As mentioned before, while linguistics is mainly a descriptive area of research, marketing is predominantly predictive, and academics usually conduct research bearing practical implications in mind. With that said, when a researcher sets to inquire into a question regarding language in marketing, one possible pitfall to avoid is remaining within a linguistic mindset and devoting insufficient attention to the marketing aspect of the question. One immediate outcome of this is reduced interest towards the research from the marketing research community. To address this challenge, the researcher would consider starting off with identifying a marketing problem (rather than a linguistic problem) and then developing an explanation and solution based on linguistic theory. In Part III, Section 2a, I brought examples of research questions stemming from a marketing problem, or an application of linguistic issues in marketing. While both approaches are useful for research of language in marketing and can yield substantial contribution to marketing theory and practice, starting from a marketing problem can prove an easier way to help a less experienced researcher to remain relevant to marketing.

12

Where Do We Go from Here? Evolving Directions of Language Research in Marketing

12.1 Text Mining and Text Analysis

Developments in the technology and tools for big data analytics have germinated marketing research focusing on text mining and text analysis. The recent years have seen an exponential growth in publications that employ text analysis tools to inquire into marketing phenomena (for a summary see Humphreys and Wang, 2018). Indeed, text analysis can provide insights about the authors of text – be it consumers or firms – and about its potential influence on recipients. Text analysis can also help researchers understand marketing processes and generate predictions (Berger *et al.*, 2020). Within the realm of text analysis there are largely two approaches adopted by researchers in marketing: one involves developing lists of topic-related words, like certainty words, and the other involves machine learning – a data-driven approach that helps researchers detect commonalities and derive conclusions based on these commonalities. Hartmann *et al.* (2019) compare the performance and usability of ten text analysis approaches that involve text/word lists or machine learning and about 40 datasets in various languages. The authors provide conclusions regarding the approaches that provide more successful and accurate output to understand marketing behavior.

In particular, the authors suggest that some word-list based approaches are always inferior to machine learning.¹

Today, text analysis often involves both list-based word searches and machine learning approaches. One of the first works, by Netzer *et al.* (2012), demonstrated the power of automatic text analysis tools to detect relevant, practically useful textual elements that tell a story about consumer experience with the product. In the same year, Tirunillai and Tellis (2012) found intriguing relationships between the language in user-generated content and stock price. They found that negative emotional content has a significant and long-lasting negative effect on abnormal returns, while positive emotional content does not influence stock abnormal returns. Echoing these findings, Ludwig *et al.* (2013) found that larger increases in positive emotional language in product reviews do not have a vast effect on increases in sales. However, when negative emotional language increases, it influences sales (negatively) to a great extent. Another finding in the same work is that a match in linguistic style between a review and common style used within the community where it was posted can make the review more influential, ultimately driving more sales.

Since then, the field of marketing research has seen developments in both the tools or software for text analysis, and the way researchers integrate those tools for innovative conclusion making. For example, Lee *et al.* (2018) conducted content analysis of ads on Facebook and found that including certain aspects like price, emotional content and brand personality elements in the ad can influence user engagement with the ad (likes, clicks, shares etc.). Preoțiuc-Pietro *et al.* (2015) find that people with higher income use more complex language, which indicates higher perceived education and intelligence, as well as more extensive expression of fear and anger. While these two works are not strictly linguistic, as they do not analyze a linguistic phenomenon, they can serve as fruitful ground for the development of linguistic research using text mining. Berman *et al.* (2019) analyzed a dataset with millions of tweets about political debates posted towards the 2016 U.S. presidential elections

¹Note however that some research identifies mistakes in machine learning approaches that might influence results of research conducted in marketing (e.g., Watts and Adriano, 2021).

and found that emotional language in tweets posted during the debate drove less shares and other reactions, but the effect of emotionality increased when the debate was over. These findings can be generalized to other contexts that involve before-and-after user-generated content, and future research can explore how the language of such content changes depending on the immediacy of the experience/event. Rocklage *et al.* (2018a,b) used human judges to evaluate the degree of emotionality of various adjectives, such as “awesome” or “excellent” to build and test an online tool (The Evaluative Lexicon) that can detect the intensity of emotion in text, by the words it uses. The researchers Büschken and Allenby (2020) use conjunctions like “and”, “or”, “but” in order to detect changes of topics discussed in product reviews. The ability of automatic approaches to categorize large datasets into sub-topics is useful for marketers, but consumers who read product reviews naturally use grammatical elements such as conjunctions to learn about offerings, as one would do in a conversation. Therefore, the analysis of conjunctions can be insightful to understand consumers.

Text analysis can be applied not only to consumer-generated content but also so Marketer-generated communication. for example, Colladon (2018) developed The Semantic Brand Score (SBS) – a new tool to measure brand importance by using textual data. A work involving text analysis of communication on Facebook and Twitter coming from *brands* (Pezzuti *et al.*, 2021), shows that certainty-related words, such as always or everything, make the brands seem more powerful and consequently drive higher consumer engagement in terms of likes, comments, or shares and retweets.

As a developing field, the field of text analysis within marketing has yielded several attempts to share, instruct, guide and educate researchers on text analysis tools and approaches (e.g., Berger *et al.*, 2020; Hartmann *et al.*, 2019). For example, Zaki and Mccoll-Kennedy (2020) proposed a step-by-step text mining analysis process applied to service marketing. The authors suggest ways to choose between analysis tools and illustrate possible insights that can be driven from these techniques. In addition, over the years there have been numerous workshops, courses and conference forums conducted with the purpose of enriching the research community. Future developments in these

areas can involve database sharing and code sharing – practices that are common in the computer science community.

12.2 Computational Linguistics

Sophistication of the field of Natural Language Programming, Machine Learning, and Computational Linguistics has opened the opportunity for researchers to engage in more complex computational tasks than text analysis. Computational linguistics extends the capabilities of text analysis by adding a way to measure and analyze linguistic phenomena such as language concreteness, figurative language, language diversity and so on. This field is new to marketing research, and therefore only a handful of articles that involve computational linguistics have already been published. One interesting work deals with the definition and computation of narrative structure. Van Laer *et al.* (2019) developed a computational method to calculate the narrativity and its capability to evoke narrative-transportation in consumer-generated product reviews. For example, the approach involves mathematical computation of certain grammatical aspects of the text, together with certain word classes. Another work, interested in deception detection in product reviews (Kronrod *et al.*, 2017), involves computation of language concreteness, using a combinatorial formula common in other fields such as physics and mathematics. Moon *et al.* (2020) also involved computational methods to detect and characterize the language of fake reviews. These are three examples involving computational linguistics for a more accurate automated measurement of language behavior and effects in marketing. In the future, researchers in marketing may develop their own computational methods to measure linguistic aspects in marketing and derive conclusions about marketing phenomena.

12.3 AI in Service

Advancements in technology humanization have evoked growing interest from marketing practitioners and researchers (e.g., Davenport *et al.*, 2020; Huang and Rust, 2021). Research in marketing has been focused on consumer acceptance of AI conversation agents and service technology

(Carmon *et al.*, 2019; Kim *et al.*, 2019). One particular focus of interest involves external appearance of service machines (e.g., Mende *et al.*, 2019). For example, Rese *et al.* (2020) measure the acceptance of the text-based “Emma” chatbot who is able to provide natural language response to consumer shopping-related questions. The authors surveyed millennial participants and found that acceptance of the chatbot is governed by considerations such as conversation authenticity, usefulness and enjoyment, as well as privacy.

Very recent works have begun to turn our attention to AI communication and interaction abilities. With the development of natural language processing and simulation, conversational AI today are exploring new possibilities, such as addressing meaningful emotional needs of customers (Carvalho and Scornavacca, 2020; Puntoni *et al.*, 2021). However, research directly exploring the language used by AI systems and consumers who interact with them, are currently only under development. For example, Bakpayev and Kronrod (2020) rely on the ability of figurative language to signal social presence (Delfino and Manca, 2007) and investigate the use of figurative language such as idioms, metaphor or humor, and its effects on the success of customer-AI agent service interactions. When accomplished, these developments will open the door for linguistic analysis of consumer interactions with AI systems, and in this way put a finger on the most pulsing artery of current industry challenges for humanization of AI conversation.

12.4 Developmental Linguistics

A subfield of linguistics that has not been mentioned in this monograph yet is developmental linguistics – the investigation of the way children acquire language and develop linguistic knowledge and usage skills. Developmental linguistics is different from research of bilingualism, which focuses on the way one’s first and second language correspond with each other while the individual is processing and responding to linguistic stimuli around. Developmental linguistics is centered around early childhood processes, which occur during the first 5–7 years and are time sensitive. For example, research on language mistakes that young children make supports the notion that children are prone to inductive

learning – a child’s tendency to infer a rule from a single occurrence (Demetriou *et al.*, 2021).

Researchers interested in exploring developmental linguistics in marketing would integrate literature on marketing and children (e.g., Echelbarger *et al.*, 2019; Maimaran *et al.*, 2019 or Maimaran and Echelbarger, 2020), together with linguistics literature and marketing literature. Such endeavors promise to be rich in opportunities for exploration and conclusion. Notably, researchers do not necessarily need to involve children as research participants in order to derive conclusions about developmental processes. For example, Pathak *et al.* (2017b) find that luxury products work better with brand names consisting of phonemes that are typically acquired at a later age (e.g., *g, k, f*), rather than earlier age (*b, d, m*), because phonemes that are acquired at a later age are more likely to occur in less frequent words in the language and therefore brand names constructed of these phonemes are perceived as more rare.

Monograph Conclusion

My goal in writing this monograph was to introduce researchers to the fundamentals of language research in marketing. I started off with explaining the basic concepts and subfields of linguistic inquiry, and describing the most prominent theories in linguistics (Part I). Then I provided an extensive review of literature in marketing that explored language in this way or another (Part II). After that, I laid out a proposed pathway to conduct research of language in marketing and suggested various opportunities to consider when setting out to conduct such research (Part III). Finally, in Part IV, I offered a look into the future of language research in marketing, suggesting several areas that may benefit from further exploration. It is my hope that this monograph can be used as a basic guide for beginning researchers who are interested to conduct language research in marketing, or as a summary for more seasoned researchers who already acquired linguistics education and would like to get up to date on current streams in the research of language in marketing.

Acknowledgements

I would like to extend my gratitude to Sarah Moore, Grant Packard and Jonah Berger, who collaborated with me in planning, organizing and leading two knowledge forums on language research in marketing at the Association for Consumer Research international conferences in 2019 and 2020, contributing to the early ideation process for this monograph.

References

- Adaval, R. and R. S. Wyer Jr. (1998). “The role of narratives in consumer information processing”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 7(3): 207–245.
- Agnihotri, A. and S. Bhattacharya (2021). “Congruent versus incongruent branding for emerging market firms”. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*. 33(2): 226–237.
- Ahn, J., C. L. Ferle, and D. Lee (2017). “Language and advertising effectiveness: Code-switching in the Korean marketplace”. *International Journal of Advertising*. 36(3): 477–495.
- Aitchison, L., N. Corradi, and P. E. Latham (2016). “Zipf’s law arises naturally when there are underlying, unobserved variables”. *PLoS Computational Biology*. 12(12): e1005110.
- Alcántara-Pilar, J. M., S. D. Barrio-García, L. Porcu, and E. Crespo-Almendros (2017). “Language as a cultural vessel in online servicescapes: Its impact on consumers’ perceived risk, attitudes, and behavioural intentions”. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*. 16(6): e61–e75.
- Aleti, T., J. I. Pallant, A. Tuan, and T. van Laer (2019). “Tweeting with the stars: Automated text analysis of the effect of celebrity social media communications on consumer word of mouth”. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 48: 17–32.

- Alter, A. L. (2013). “The benefits of cognitive disfluency”. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 22(6): 437–442.
- Alter, A. L. and D. M. Oppenheimer (2006). “Predicting short-term stock fluctuations by using processing fluency”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 103(24): 9369–9372.
- Alvarez, C. M. O., R. Uribe, and R. L. De-La-Torre (2017). “Should I say it in english? Exploring language effects on print advertising among latin american bilinguals”. *International Journal of Advertising*. 36(6): 975–993.
- Ansaldo, A. I., K. Marcotte, L. Scherer, and G. Raboyeau (2008). “Language therapy and bilingual aphasia: Clinical Implications of psycholinguistic and neuroimaging research”. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*. 21: 539–557.
- Antioco, M. and K. Coussement (2018). “Misreading of consumer dissatisfaction in online product reviews: Writing style as a cause for bias”. *International Journal of Information Management*. 38(1): 301–310.
- Argo, J. J., M. Popa, and M. C. Smith (2010). “The sound of brands”. *Journal of Marketing*. 74(4): 97–109.
- Asher, N. and A. Lascarides (2001). “Indirect speech acts”. *Synthese*. 128: 183–228.
- Asher, N. and A. Lascarides (2003). *Logics of Conversation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do Things with Words*. Ed. by J. O. Urmson and M. Sbisá. 2nd edition. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Austrew, A. (2019). “Why can’t women swear?” URL: <https://www.dictionary.com/e/policing-womens-language/> (last accessed July 13, 2021).
- Baek, T. H., S. Yoon, and S. Kim (2015). “When environmental messages should be assertive: Examining the moderating role of effort investment”. *International Journal of Advertising*. 34(1): 135–157.
- Bakpayev, M. and A. Kronrod (2020). “Ai service agents, figurative language, and conversational cooperativeness”. In: *NA—Advances in Consumer Research*. Ed. by A. Jennifer, T. M. Lowrey, and H. J. Schau. Vol. 48. Duluth, MN: Association for Consumer Research. 836–841.

- Barbro, P. A., S. M. Mudambi, and D. Schuff (2020). "Do country and culture influence online reviews? An analysis of a multinational retailer's country-specific sites". *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*. 32(1): 1–14.
- Baskin, E. and P. J. Liu (2021). "Meaningless descriptors increase price judgments and decrease quality judgments". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 31(2): 283–300.
- Baumeister, R. F., K. D. Vohs, C. N. DeWall, and L. Zhang (2007). "How emotion shapes behavior: Feedback, anticipation, and reflection, rather than direct causation". *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 11(2): 167–203.
- Baxter, S. M., J. Ilicic, A. Kulczynski, and T. M. Lowrey (2017a). "Using sublexical priming to enhance brand name phonetic symbolism effects in young children". *Marketing Letters*. 28(4): 565–577.
- Baxter, S. M., A. Kulczynski, and J. Ilicic (2014). "Revisiting the automaticity of phonetic symbolism effects". *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 31(4): 448–451.
- Baxter, S., J. Ilicic, and A. Kulczynski (2015). "What's in a Name? Examining the effect of phonetic fit between spokesperson name and product attributes on source credibility". *Marketing Letters*. 26(4): 525–534.
- Baxter, S., J. Ilicic, and A. Kulczynski (2017b). "You see froot, you think fruit: Examining the effectiveness of pseudohomophone priming". *European Journal of Marketing*. 51(5/6): 885–902.
- Baxter, S. and T. Lowrey (2014). "Examining children's preference for phonetically manipulated brand names across two english accent groups". *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 31(1): 122–124.
- Bayyurt, Y. and A. Bayraktaroglu (2001). "The use of pronouns and terms of address in Turkish service encounters". In: *Linguistic Politeness Across Boundaries: The Case of Greek and Turkish*. Ed. by A. Bayraktaroglu and M. Sifianou. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 1–16.
- Belkin, L. Y., T. R. Kurtzberg, and C. E. Naquin (2013). "Signaling dominance in online negotiations: The role of affective tone". *Negotiation and Conflict Management Research*. 6(4): 285–304.

- Berger, J., A. Humphreys, S. Ludwig, W. W. Moe, O. Netzer, and D. A. Schweidel (2020). “Uniting the tribes: Using text for marketing insight”. *Journal of Marketing*. 84(1): 1–25.
- Berger, J. and K. L. Milkman (2012). “What makes online content viral?” *Journal of Marketing Research*. 49(2, April): 192–205.
- Berlyne, D. E. (1954). “A theory of human curiosity”. *British Journal of Psychology*. 45(3): 180–191.
- Berman, R., S. Melumad, C. Humphrey, and R. Meyer (2019). “A tale of two twitterspheres: Political microblogging during and after the 2016 primary and presidential debates”. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. 56(6): 895–917.
- Bertele, K., S. Feiereisen, C. Storey, and T. van Laer (2020). “It’s not what you say, it’s the way you say it! Effective message styles for promoting innovative new services”. *Journal of Business Research*. 107: 38–49.
- Beukeboom, C. J. and G. R. Semin (2006). “How mood turns on language”. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 42(5): 553–566.
- Botner, K. A., A. Mishra, and H. Mishra (2020). “The influence of the phonetic elements of a name on risk assessment”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 47(1): 128–145.
- Brasel, S. A. and J. Gips (2014). “Enhancing television advertising: Same-language subtitles can improve brand recall, verbal memory, and behavioral intent”. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 42(3): 322–336.
- Brysbaert, M., A. B. Warriner, and V. Kuperman (2014). “Concreteness ratings for 40 thousand generally known English word lemmas”. *Behav. Res.* 46: 904–911.
- Büschken, J. and G. M. Allenby (2020). “Improving text analysis using sentence conjunctions and punctuation”. *Marketing Science*. 39(4): 727–742.
- Caldwell-Harris, C., A. Kronrod, and J. Yang (2013). “Do more, say less: Expressing positive emotions in American and chinese cultures”. *Journal of Intercultural Pragmatics*. 10(1): 41–69.

- Carmon, Z., R. Y. Schrift, K. Wertenbroch, and H. Yang (2019). “Designing AI systems that customers won’t hate”. *MIT Sloan Management Review*. 89(1): 35. Ref No. SMR61315. Reprinted in: Snips.
- Carnevale, M., D. Luna, and D. Lerman (2017). “Brand linguistics: A theory-driven framework for the study of language in branding”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 34(2): 572–591.
- Carvalho, L. and E. Scornavacca (2020). “Chatbots an exploratory analysis on the impact of NLP and customer sentiment analysis”. In: *NA—Advances in Consumer Research*. Ed. by A. Jennifer, T. M. Lowrey, and H. J. Schau. Vol. 48. Duluth, MN: Association for Consumer Research. 1187.
- Chang, Y., Y. Li, J. Yan, and V. Kumar (2019). “Getting more likes: The impact of narrative person and brand image on customer–brand interactions”. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 47(6): 1027–1045.
- Chao, P. and S. Lin (2017). “Translating brand names effectively: Brand attitude reversal and perceived brand name translation relevance in an emerging market”. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*. 29(3): 120–134.
- Cheema, A. and V. M. Patrick (2008). “Anytime versus only: Mindsets moderate the effect of expansive versus restrictive frames on promotion evaluation”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 45(4): 462–472.
- Chen, K. M. (2013). “The effect of language on economic behavior: Evidence from savings rates, health behaviors, and retirement assets”. *American Economic Review*. 103(2): 690–731.
- Chernev, A. (2011). “Semantic anchoring in sequential evaluations of vices and virtues”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 37(5): 761–774.
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*, The Hague.
- Chomsky, N. (1986). *Knowledge of Language. Its Nature, Origin and Use*. New York: Praeger.
- Cohen, J., M. Pham, and E. B. Andrade (2008). “The nature and role of affect in consumer behavior”. In: *Handbook of Consumer Psychology*. Ed. by C. P. Haugtvedt, P. M. Herr, and F. R. Kardes. New York: Taylor & Francis. 297–348.

- Colladon, A. F. (2018). “The semantic brand score”. *Journal of Business Research*. 88: 150–160.
- Coulter, K. S. and R. A. Coulter (2010). “Small sounds, big deals: Phonetic symbolism effects in pricing”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 37(2): 315–328.
- Covey, J. (2014). “The role of dispositional factors in moderating message framing effects”. *Health Psychology*. 33(1): 52–65.
- Crigler, A., L. Cui, E. Gee, and M. Just (2012). “Constructing emotional meaning: A comparison of the content and impact of environmental advertising in the U.S. and China”. *Conference Papers—American Political Science Association*. January: 1–30.
- Cummins, C. and N. Katsos (2019). *The Oxford Handbook of Experimental Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- Cunha Jr., M., M. R. Forehand, and J. W. Angle (2014). “Riding coattails: When co-branding helps versus hurts less-known brands”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 41(5): 1284–1300.
- Davenport, T., A. Guha, D. Grewal, and T. Bressgott (2020). “How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing”. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 48(1): 24–42.
- Davis, D. F. and P. M. Herr (2014). “From bye to buy: Homophones as a phonological route to priming”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 40(6): 1063–1077.
- de Langhe, B., P. Stefano, D. Fernandes, and S. M. J. van Osselaer (2011). “The anchor contraction effect in international marketing research”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 48(2): 366–380.
- Delfino, M. and S. Manca (2007). “The expression of social presence through the use of figurative language in a web-based learning environment”. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 23(5): 2190–2211.
- Demetriou, A., G. Spanoudis, N. Makris, H. Golino, and S. Kazi (2021). “Developmental reconstruction of cognitive ability: Interactions between executive, cognizance, and reasoning processes in childhood”. *Cognitive Development*. 6(October).
- Denbaum, N. and A. de Prada Pérez (2021). “How do spanish heritage speakers in the US assign gender to english nouns in Spanish-English code-switching?: The effect of noun canonicity and codeswitcher type”. *Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism*. 11(6): 846–872.

- Deng, X., B. Han, and L. Wang (2019). “Up-down versus left-right: The effect of writing direction change in East Asia on consumers perceptions and advertising”. *Journal of Advertising*. 48(5): 437–456.
- Dessart, L. (2018). “Do ads that tell a story always perform better? The role of character identification and character type in storytelling ads”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 35(2): 289–304.
- Domzal, T. J., J. M. Hunt, and J. B. Kernan (1995). “Achtung! The information processing of foreign words in advertising”. *International Journal of Advertising*. 14(2): 95–114.
- Dumontheil, I., O. Küster, I. A. Apperly, and S.-J. Blakemore (2010). “Taking perspective into account in a communicative task”. *Neuroimage*. 52: 1574–1583.
- Echelbarger, M., S. A. Gelman, and C. W. Kalish (2019). “Getting what you pay for: Children’s use of market norms to regulate exchanges”. *Child Development*. 90(6): 2071–2085.
- Esch, F.-R., T. Möll, B. Schmitt, C. E. Elger, C. Neuhaus, and B. Weber (2012). “Brands on the brain: Do consumers use declarative information or experienced emotions to evaluate brands?” *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 22(1): 75–85.
- Fenko, A., H. Lotterman, and M. Galetzka (2016). “What’s in a name? The effects of sound symbolism and package shape on consumer responses to food products”. *Food Quality and Preference*. 51: 100–108.
- Fernández, E. M. and H. Smith Cairns (2017). *The Handbook of Psycholinguistics*. New Jersey: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Galli, M. and G. Gorn (2011). “Unconscious transfer of meaning to brands”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 21(3): 215–225.
- Gerritsen, M., C. Nickerson, A. van Hooft, F. van Meurs, H. Korzilius, U. Nederstigt, M. Starren, and R. Crijns (2010). “English in product advertisements in non-English-speaking countries in Western Europe: Product image and comprehension of the text”. *Journal of Global Marketing*. 23(4): 349–365.
- Gibbs, R. (1994). *The Poetics of Mind: Figurative Thought, Language, and Understanding*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Gibbs, R. (ed.) (2008). *Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Goodall, G. (2021). *The Cambridge Handbook of Experimental Syntax*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gopinath, M., M. Glassman, and P. Nyer (2013). “How culture of targeting impacts the evaluation of products with multilingual packaging”. *Psychology and Marketing*. 30(6): 490–500.
- Grewal, L. and A. T. Stephen (2019). “In mobile we trust: The effects of mobile versus non-mobile reviews on consumer purchase intentions”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 56(5): 791–808.
- Grice, P. H. (2004). “Logic and conversation”. In: *Syntax and Semantics*. Ed. by P. Cole and J. Morgan. Vol. 3. New York: Speech Acts, Academic Press, 41–58. Reprint available at 1975, URL: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/lis/studypacks/Grice-Logic.pdf> (last accessed 10/13/2021).
- Grinstein, A. and A. Kronrod (2016). “Does sparing the rod spoil the child? How praising, scolding, and assertive tone can encourage pro-social behavior”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 53(3): 433–441.
- Hagtvedt, H. (2015). “Promotional phrases as questions versus statements: An influence of phrase style on product evaluation”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 25(4): 635–641.
- Hamby, A. and T. van Laer (2022). “Not whodunit but whydunit: Story characters’ motivations influence audience interest in services”. *Journal of Service Research*. 25(1, February): 48–65.
- Han, J., Y. Sohn, and K. Yoo (2015). “The Korean language and the effects of its honorifics system in advertising: Deferential vs. informal speech as regulatory prime on persuasive impact”. *Marketing Letters*. 26(3): 321–333.
- Hartmann, J., J. Huppertz, C. Schamp, and M. Heitmann (2019). “Comparing automated text classification methods”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 36(1): 20–38.
- Heath, C. and D. Heath (2007). *Made to Stick: Why Some Ideas Survive and Others Die*. New York: Random House.

- Herhausen, D., S. Ludwig, D. Grewal, J. Wulf, and M. Schoegel (2019). "Detecting, preventing, and mitigating online firestorms in brand communities". *Journal of Marketing*. 83(3): 1–21.
- Hopkinson, G. C. (2015). "Network Graffiti: Interaction as sensemaking". *Industrial Marketing Management*. 48(July): 79–88.
- Horn, L. and G. Ward (2006). *The Handbook of Pragmatics*. Blackwell.
- Hornikx, J. and F. van Meurs (2017). "Foreign languages in advertising as implicit country-of-origin cues: Mechanism, associations, and effectiveness". *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*. 29(2): 60–73.
- Huang, M.-H. and R. T. Rust (2021). "Engaged to a robot? The role of AI in service". *Journal of Service Research*. 24(1): 30–41.
- Huang, Y. Y. and A. K. K. Chan (2005). "The role of language and culture in marketing communication: A study of Chinese brand names". *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*. 15(2): 257–285.
- Humphreys, A., M. S. Isaac, and R. J.-H. Wang (2020). "Construal matching in online search: Applying text analysis to illuminate the consumer decision journey". *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. (September): 1–19.
- Humphreys, A. and R. J.-H. Wang (2018). "Automated text analysis for consumer research". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 44(6): 1274–1306.
- Hung, Y.-C. and C. Guan (2020). "Winning box office with the right movie synopsis". *European Journal of Marketing*. 54(3): 594–614.
- Hunt, E. and F. Agnoli (1991). "The Whorfian hypothesis: A cognitive psychology perspective". *Psychological Review*. 98(3): 377–389.
- Ilicic, J., S. Baxter, and A. Kulczynski (2015). "Names versus faces: Examining spokesperson-based congruency effects in advertising". *European Journal of Marketing*. 49(1/2, January): 62–81.
- Irmak, C., B. Vallen, and S. R. Robinson (2011). "The impact of product name on dieters' and nondieters' food evaluations and consumption". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 38(2): 390–405.
- Joshi, P. and A. Kronrod (2020). "Sounds of green: How brand name sounds metaphorically convey environmental friendliness". *Journal of Advertising*. 49(1): 61–77.

- Karataş, M. (2020). “Making decisions in foreign languages: Weaker senses of ownership attenuate the endowment effect”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 30(2): 296–303.
- Kasher, A. (1976). “Conversational maxims and rationality”. In: *Language in Focus: Foundations, Methods and Systems*. Ed. by A. Kasher. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Company. 197–216.
- Kasher, A. (1982). “Gricean inference reconsidered”. *Philosophica*. 29: 25–44.
- Katz, D., A. Grinstein, A. Kronrod, and U. Nissan (2016). “Evaluating the effectiveness of a water conservation campaign: Combining experimental and field methods”. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 180: 335–343.
- Katz, D., A. Kronrod, A. Grinstein, and U. Nisan (2018). “Still waters run deep: Comparing assertive and suggestive language in water conservation campaigns”. *Water*. 10(3): 275.
- Kidron, Y. and R. Kuzar (2002). “My face is paling against my will: Emotion and control in English and Hebrew”. *Pragmatics and Cognition*. 10(1): 129–157.
- Kim, A. and S. J. Maglio (2021). “Text is gendered: The role of letter case”. *Marketing Letters*. 32(2): 179.
- Kim, S. Y., B. H. Schmitt, and N. M. Thalmann (2019). “Eliza in the uncanny valley: Anthropomorphizing consumer robots increases their perceived warmth but decreases liking”. *Marketing Letters*. 30(1): 1–12.
- Kim, S., S. G. Moore, and K. Murray (2020). “‘Don’t buy’ or ‘do not buy’? How negation style in online reviews influences consumer product evaluations”. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*. 29: 308–322.
- Kim, Y., T. H. Baek, S. Yoon, S. Oh, and Y. K. Choi (2017). “Assertive environmental advertising and reactance: Differences between South Koreans and Americans”. *Journal of Advertising*. 46(4): 550–564.
- Kleinberg, B., I. van der Vegt, A. Arntz, and B. Verschuere (2019). “Detecting deceptive communication through linguistic concreteness”. *PsyArXiv*. March 1. DOI: [10.31234/osf.io/p3qjh](https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/p3qjh).
- Klink, R. R. (2000). “Creating brand names with meaning: The use of sound symbolism”. *Marketing Letters*. 11(1): 5–20.

- Klink, R. R. (2001). "Creating meaningful new brand names: A study of semantics and sound symbolism". *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*. 9(2): 27–34.
- Klink, R. R. (2003). "Creating meaningful brands: The relationship between brand name and brand mark". *Marketing Letters*. 14(3): 143–157.
- Kniffin, K. and M. Shimizu (2016). "Sounds that make you smile and share: A phonetic key to prosociality and engagement". *Marketing Letters*. 27(2): 273–283.
- Krishnan, B., A. Biswas, and R. G. Netemeyer (2006). "Semantic cues in reference price advertisements: The moderating role of cue concreteness". *Journal of Retailing*. 82: 95–104.
- Kronrod, A. (2016). "Marketer-consumer language cooperation in strategic communication". In: *Strategic Communication: New Agendas in Communication*. Ed. by A. Dudo and L. Kahlor. New York: Routledge. 137–153.
- Kronrod, A. and S. Danziger (2013). "'Wii will rock you'! The use and effect of figurative language in consumer reviews of hedonic and utilitarian consumption". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 40(4): 726–739.
- Kronrod, A., A. Grinstein, and K. Shuval (2021). "Think positive! Emotional response to assertiveness in positive and negative language promoting preventive health behaviors". *Psychology and Health*. DOI: [10.1080/08870446.2021.1942876](https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2021.1942876).
- Kronrod, A., A. Grinstein, and L. Wathieu (2012a). "Enjoy! Hedonic consumption and compliance with assertive messages". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 39(1): 51–61.
- Kronrod, A., A. Grinstein, and L. Wathieu (2012b). "Go green! Should environmental messages be so assertive?" *Journal of Marketing*. 76(1): 95–102.
- Kronrod, A., M. Hammar, J. Lee, H. Thind, and K. Mangano (2020). "Linguistic delight promotes eating right: Figurative language increases perceived enjoyment and encourages healthier food choices". *Health Communication*. (August): 1–11.

- Kronrod, A., J. K. Lee, and I. Gordeliy (2017). “Detecting fictitious consumer reviews: A theory-driven approach combining automated text analysis and experimental design”. *Marketing Science Institute Working Papers, Report No. 17-124*.
- Kronrod, A. and T. Lowrey (2016). “Tastlé-Nestlé, Toogle-Google: The effects of similarity to familiar brand names in brand name innovation”. *Journal of Business Research*. 69: 1182–1189.
- Kronrod, A., T. M. Lowrey, and J. M. Ackerman (2015). “Phonetic embodiment and its effect of on attitudes towards brand names”. In: *Proceedings of the Society for Consumer Psychology*. Phoenix, AZ: Society for Consumer Psychology. 163–165.
- Kubat, U. and V. Swaminathan (2015). “Crossing the cultural divide through bilingual advertising: The moderating role of brand cultural symbolism”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 32(4): 354–362.
- Kuehnl, C. and A. Mantau (2013). “Same sound, same preference? Investigating sound symbolism effects in international brand names”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 30(4): 417–420.
- Labrecque, L. I., K. Swani, and A. T. Stephen (2020). “The impact of pronoun choices on consumer engagement actions: Exploring top global brands”. *Social Media Communications. Psychology and Marketing*. 37(6): 796–814.
- Lafreniere, K. C. and S. G. Moore (2018). “The power of pottymouth in word-of-mouth”. In: *NA—Advances in Consumer Research*. Ed. by G. Andrew, R. Kozinets, and T. White. Vol. 46. Duluth, MN: Association for Consumer Research. 264–269.
- Lakoff, G. and M. Johnson (2003). *Metaphors We Live by*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Print. first ed. 1980.
- Lasaleta, J. D. and J. P. Redden (2018). “When promoting similarity slows satiation: The relationship of variety, categorization, similarity, and satiation”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 55(3): 446–457.
- Lee, A. Y. and A. A. Labroo (2004). “The effect of conceptual and perceptual fluency on brand evaluation”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 41(2): 151–165.

- Lee, D., K. Hosanagar, and H. S. Nair (2018). "Advertising content and consumer engagement on social media: Evidence from Facebook". *Management Science*. 64(11): 5105–5131.
- Lee, J. K. and A. Kronrod (2020). "The strength of weak-tie consensus language". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 57(2): 353–374.
- Lin, S., B. Keysar, and N. Epley (2010). "Reflexively mindblind: Using theory of mind to interpret behavior requires effortful attention". *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 46(3): 551–556.
- Lin, Y.-C., K.-Y. Wang, and J.-Y. Hsieh (2017). "Creating an effective code-switched ad for monolinguals: The influence of brand origin and foreign language familiarity". *International Journal of Advertising*. 36(4): 613–631.
- Liu, A. X., Y. Xie, and J. Zhang (2019a). "It's not just what you say, but how you say it: The effect of language style matching on perceived quality of consumer reviews". *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 46: 70–86.
- Liu, X., D. Lee, and K. Srinivasan (2019b). "Large-scale cross-category analysis of consumer review content on sales conversion leveraging deep learning". *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. 56(6): 918–943.
- Lowe, M. L. and K. L. Haws (2017). "Sounds big: The effects of acoustic pitch on product perceptions". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 54(2): 331–346.
- Lowrey, T. M. and L. J. Shrum (2007). "Phonetic symbolism and brand name preference". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 34(3): 406–414.
- Lowrey, T. M., L. J. Shrum, and T. M. Dubitsky (2003). "The relation between brand-name linguistic characteristics and brand-name memory". *Journal of Advertising*. 32(3): 7–17.
- Luangrath, A. W., J. Peck, and V. A. Barger (2017). "Textual paralinguistic and its implications for marketing communications". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 27(1): 98–107.
- Ludwig, S., K. de Ruyter, M. Friedman, E. C. Brügger, M. Wetzels, and G. Pfann (2013). "More than words: The influence of affective content and linguistic style matches in online reviews on conversion rates". *Journal of Marketing*. 77(1): 87–103.

- Ludwig, S., K. De Ruyter, D. Mahr, M. Wetzels, E. Brüggem, and T. De Ruyck (2014). "Take their word for it: The symbolic role of linguistic style matches in user communities". *MIS Quarterly*. 38(4): 1201–1218.
- Lumsden, D. (2008). "Kinds of conversational cooperation". *Journal of Pragmatics*. 40(11): 1896–1908.
- Luna, D., M. Carnevale, and D. Lerman (2013). "Does brand spelling influence memory? The case of auditorily presented brand names". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 23(1): 36–48.
- Luna, D. and L. A. Peracchio (2005a). "Advertising to bilingual consumers: The impact of code-switching on persuasion". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 31(4): 760–765.
- Luna, D. and L. A. Peracchio (2005b). "Sociolinguistic effects on code-switched ads targeting bilingual consumers". *Journal of Advertising*. 34(2): 43–56.
- Luna, D., T. Ringberg, and L. A. Peracchio (2008). "One individual, two identities: Frame-switching among biculturals". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 35(August): 279–293.
- Mai, R. and S. Hoffmann (2014). "Accents in business communication: An integrative model and propositions for future research". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 24(1): 137–158.
- Maimaran, M. and M. Echelbarger (2020). "Children's variety seeking in food choices". *Journal of Association of Consumer Research*. 5(3): 322–328.
- Maimaran, M., A. Sela, S. Morgan, and L. Hadar (2019). "Variety-seeking and perceived expertise". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 29(4): 671–679.
- Martinovic, J., G. V. Paramei, and W. J. MacInnes (2020). "Russian blues reveal the limits of language influencing colour discrimination". *Cognition*. 201(August).
- McQuarrie, E. F. and D. G. Mick (1992). "On resonance: A critical pluralistic inquiry into advertising rhetoric". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 19(2): 180–197.
- McQuarrie, E. F. and D. G. Mick (1999). "Visual rhetoric in advertising: Text-interpretive, experimental, and reader-response analyses". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 26(1): 37–54.

- McQuarrie, E. F. and D. G. Mick (2003). "Visual and verbal rhetorical figures under directed processing versus incidental exposure to advertising". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 29(4): 579–587.
- McQuarrie, E. and B. Phillips (2005). "Indirect persuasion in advertising: How consumers process metaphors presented in pictures and words". *Journal of Advertising*. 34(June): 7–20.
- Melnyk, V., K. Klein, and F. V. Ickner (2012). "The double-edged sword of foreign brand names for companies from emerging countries". *Journal of Marketing*. 76(6): 21–37.
- Melumad, S., J. J. Inman, and M. T. Pham (2019). "Selectively emotional: How smartphone use changes user-generated content". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 2: 259–275.
- Mende, M., M. L. Scott, J. van Doorn, D. Grewal, and I. Shanks (2019). "Service robots rising: How humanoid robots influence service experiences and elicit compensatory consumer responses". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 56(4): 535–556.
- Mervis, C. B. and E. Rosch (1981). "Categorization of natural objects". *Annual Review of Psychology*. 32(1): 89–115.
- Miller, E. G. and B. E. Kahn (2005). "Shades of meaning: The effect of color and flavor names on consumer choice". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 32(1): 86–92.
- Moon, S., M.-Y. Kim, and D. Iacobucci (2020). "Content analysis of fake consumer reviews by survey-based text categorization". *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 38(2): 343–364.
- Moore, S. G. (2012). "Some things are better left unsaid: How word of mouth influences the storyteller". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 38(6): 1140–1154.
- Moore, S. G. (2015). "Attitude predictability and helpfulness in online reviews: The role of explained actions and reactions". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 42(1): 30–44.
- Moore, S. G. and B. McFerran (2017). "She said, she said: Differential interpersonal similarities predict unique linguistic mimicry in online word of mouth". *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research*. 2(2): 229–245.

- Murphy, S. T., L. B. Frank, J. S. Chatterjee, and L. Baezconde-Garbanati (2013). "Narrative versus nonnarrative: The role of identification, transportation, and emotion in reducing health disparities". *Journal of Communication*. 63(1): 116–137.
- Na, H. (2014). *The Effect of Narrative vs. Structured Presentation Formats on the Evaluation of Product Reviews and Attitudes Toward Products*. East Lansing, MI: Michigan State University thesis.
- Namkoong, J.-E., J. H. Ro, and M. D. Henderson (2019). "Responding to causal uncertainty in the Twitterverse: When abstract language and social prominence increase message engagement". *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 45: 81–98.
- Netzer, O., R. Feldman, J. Goldenberg, and M. Fresko (2012). "Mine your own business: Market-structure surveillance through text mining". *Marketing Science*. 31(3): 521–543.
- Nielsen, J. H., S. A. Shapiro, and C. H. Mason (2010). "Emotionality and semantic onsets: Exploring orienting attention responses in advertising". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 47(6): 1138–1150.
- Nilsson, T. (2019). "How marketers argue for business – Exploring the rhetorical nature of industrial marketing work". *Industrial Marketing Management*. 80(July): 233–241.
- Nunes, J. C., O. Andrea, and V. Francesca (2015). "The power of repetition: Repetitive lyrics in a song increase processing fluency and drive market success". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 25(2): 187–199.
- Ordenes, F. V., D. Grewal, S. Ludwig, K. De Ruyter, D. Mahr, and M. Wetzels (2019). "Cutting through content clutter: How speech and image acts drive consumer sharing of social media brand messages". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 45(5): 988–1012.
- Ordenes, F. V., S. Ludwig, K. De Ruyter, D. Grewal, and M. Wetzels (2017). "Unveiling what is written in the stars: Analyzing explicit, implicit, and discourse patterns of sentiment in social media". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 43(6): 875–894.
- Packard, G. and J. Berger (2017). "How language shapes word of mouth's impact". *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. 54(4): 572–588.

- Packard, G. and J. Berger (2021). “How concrete language shapes customer satisfaction”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 47(5): 787–806.
- Packard, G., S. G. Moore, and B. McFerran (2018). “(I’m) happy to help (you): The impact of personal pronoun use in customer–firm interactions”. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. 55(4): 541–555.
- Pancer, E., V. Chandler, M. Poole, and T. J. Noseworthy (2019). “How readability shapes social media engagement”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 29(2): 262–270.
- Parker, J. R., D. R. Lehmann, K. L. Keller, and M. G. Schleicher (2018). “Building a multi-category brand: When should distant brand extensions be introduced?” *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 46(2): 300–316.
- Pathak, A., G. A. Calvert, and E. A. C. Lim (2017a). “How the linguistic characteristics of a brand name can affect its luxury appeal”. *International Journal of Market Research*. 59(5): 567–600.
- Pathak, A., G. A. Calvert, and L. K. S. Lim (2020). “Harsh voices, sound branding: How voiced consonants in a brand’s name can alter its perceived attributes”. *Psychology and Marketing*. 37(6): 837–847.
- Pathak, A., G. Calvert, and C. Velasco (2017b). “Evaluating the impact of early- and late-acquired phonemes on the luxury appeal of brand names”. *Journal of Brand Management*. 24(6): 522–545.
- Pathak, A., C. Velasco, O. Petit, and G. A. Calvert (2019). “Going to great lengths in the pursuit of luxury: How longer brand names can enhance the luxury perception of a brand”. *Psychology and Marketing*. 36(10): 951–963.
- Patrick, V. M. and H. Hagtvedt (2012). “‘I don’t’ versus ‘I can’t’: When empowered refusal motivates goal-directed behavior”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 39(2): 371–381.
- Patrick, V. M. and K. L. Haws (2014). “‘And’ bridges, ‘with’ bonds: A lexical inferencing-based framework for influencing perceptions of product combinations”. *Advances in Consumer Research*. 42: 136–140.
- Pennebaker, J. W., J. Kiecolt-Glaser, and R. Glaser (1988). “Disclosure of traumas and immune function: Health implications for psychotherapy”. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 56: 239–245.

- Pezzuti, T., J. M. Leonhardt, and C. Warren (2021). “Certainty in language increases consumer engagement on social media”. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 53: 32–46.
- Phillips, B. J. and E. F. McQuarrie (2009). “Impact of advertising metaphor on consumer belief: Delineating the contribution of comparison vs. deviation factors”. *Journal of Advertising*. 38(March): 49–62.
- Pogacar, R., J. Angle, T. M. Lowrey, L. J. Shrum, and F. R. Kardes (2021). “Is Nestlé a lady? The feminine brand name advantage”. *Journal of Marketing*. May.
- Pogacar, R., M. Kouril, T. P. Carpenter, and J. J. Kellaris (2018a). “Implicit and explicit preferences for brand name sounds”. *Marketing Letters*. 29(2): 241–259.
- Pogacar, R., E. Plant, L. F. Rosulek, and M. Kouril (2015). “Sounds good: Phonetic sound patterns in top brand names”. *Marketing Letters*. 26(4): 549–563.
- Pogacar, R., L. J. Shrum, and T. M. Lowrey (2018b). “The effects of linguistic devices on consumer information processing and persuasion: A language complexity×processing mode framework”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 28(4): 689–711.
- Preotjiuc-Pietro, D., S. Volkova, V. Lampos, Y. Bachrach, and N. Aletras (2015). “Studying user income through language, behaviour and affect in social media”. *PLoS One*. 10(9): e0138717.
- Puntoni, S., B. De Langhe, and S. M. J. Van Osselaer (2009). “Bilingualism and the emotional intensity of advertising language”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 35(6): 1012–1025.
- Puntoni, S., R. W. Reczek, M. Giesler, and S. Botti (2021). “Consumers and artificial intelligence: An experiential perspective”. *Journal of Marketing*. 85(1): 131–151.
- Ransbotham, S., N. H. Lurie, and H. Liu (2019). “Creation and consumption of mobile word of mouth: How are mobile reviews different?” *Marketing Science*. 5: 773–792.
- Reavey, B., M. Puzakova, T. L. Andras, and H. Kwak (2018). “The multidimensionality of anthropomorphism in advertising: The moderating roles of cognitive busyness and assertive language”. *International Journal of Advertising*. 37(3): 440–462.

- Rese, A., L. Ganster, and D. Baier (2020). "Chatbots in retailers' customer communication: How to measure their acceptance?" *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 56: 102176.
- Roche, S., L. J. Shrum, and T. M. Lowrey (2016). "The aesthetics of brand name design: Form, fit, fluency, and phonetics". In: *The Psychology of Design: Creating Consumer Appeal*. Ed. by R. Batra, C. Seifert, and D. Brei. New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor and Francis Group. 180–196.
- Rocklage, M. D., D. D. Rucker, and L. F. Nordgren (2018a). "Persuasion, emotion, and language: The intent to persuade transforms language via emotionality". *Psychological Science*. 29(5): 749–760.
- Rocklage, M. D., D. D. Rucker, and L. F. Nordgren (2018b). "The evaluative lexicon 2.0: The measurement of emotionality, extremity, and valence in language". *Behavior Research Methods*. 50(4): 1327–1344.
- Rosch, E. (1983). "Prototype classification and logical classification. The two systems". In: *New Trends in Cognitive Representation*. Ed. by E. F. Scholnick. Erlbaum. 73–86.
- Rosch, E. (2002). *Principles of Categorization*. Cambridge, MA, US: MIT Press.
- Rosch, E. and C. Mervis (1975). "Family resemblances: Studies in the internal structure of categories". *Cognitive Psychology*. 7: 573–605.
- Rosch, E., C. B. Mervis, W. D. Gray, D. M. Johnson, and P. Boyes-Braem (1976). "Basic objects in natural categories". *Cognitive Psychology*. 8(3): 382–439.
- Ryall, C. and D. Luna (2011). "The other meaning of fluency: Content accessibility and language in advertising to bilinguals". *Journal of Advertising*. 40(3): 73–84.
- Salciuviene, L., P. Ghauri, R. S. Streder, and C. De Mattos (2010). "Do brand names in a foreign language lead to different brand perceptions?" *Journal of Marketing Management*. 26(11–12): 1037–1056.
- Schellekens, G. A. C., P. W. J. Verlegh, and A. Smidts (2010). "Language abstraction in word of mouth". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 37(2): 207–223.

- Schmitt, B. H. and S. Zhang (1998). "Language structure and categorization: A study of classifiers in consumer cognition, judgment, and choice". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 25(2): 108–122.
- Searle, J. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, J. (1979). *Indirect Speech Acts in His Expression and Meaning: Studies in the Theory of Speech Acts*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sela, A., S. C. Wheeler, and G. Sarial-Abi (2012). "We are not the same as you and I: Causal effects of minor language variations on consumers' attitudes toward brands". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 39(3): 644–661.
- Shrum, L. J., T. M. Lowrey, David Luna, D. B. Lerman, and M. Liu (2012). "Sound symbolism effects across languages: Implications for global brand names". *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 29(3): 275–279.
- Soroker, N., A. Kasher, R. Giora, G. Batori, C. Cecilia, G. Mali, and E. Zaidel (2005). "Processing of basic speech acts following localized brain damage: A new light on the neuroanatomy of language". *Brain and Cognition*. 57(2): 214–217.
- Spence, C. (2012). "Managing sensory expectations concerning products and brands: Capitalizing on the potential of sound and shape symbolism". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 22(1): 37–54.
- Spiller, S. A. and L. Belogolova (2016). "On consumer beliefs about quality and taste". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 43(April): 970–991.
- Srivastava, V. and A. D. Kalro (2019). "Enhancing the helpfulness of online consumer reviews: The role of latent (content) factors". *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 48(November): 33–50.
- Sun, Y., C. Quan, X. Kang, Z. Zhang, and F. Ren (2015). "Customer emotion detection by emotion expression analysis on adverbs". *Information Technology and Management*. 16(4): 303–311.
- Sung, B., N. Hartley, E. Vanman, and I. Phau (2016). "How can the word 'NEW' evoke consumers' experiences of novelty and interest?" *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 31: 166–173.

- Terlutter, R., S. Diehl, and B. Mueller (2010). "The cultural dimension of assertiveness in cross-cultural advertising: The perception and evaluation of assertive advertising appeals". *International Journal of Advertising: The Quarterly Review of Marketing Communications*. 29(3): 369–399.
- Tirunillai, S. and G. J. Tellis (2012). "Does chatter really matter? Dynamics of user-generated content and stock performance". *Marketing Science*. 31(2): 198–215.
- Topolinski, S., S. Lindner, and A. Freudenberg (2014). "Popcorn in the cinema: Oral interference sabotages advertising effects". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 24(2): 169–176.
- Toubia, O., J. Berger, and J. Eliashberg (2021). "How quantifying the shape of stories predicts their success". *PNAS Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 118(26): e2011695118.
- Tsai, M.-C., Y.-C. Lou, L.-T. Bei, and K. B. Monroe (2014). "Position matters when we stand together: A linguistic perspective on composite brand extensions". *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 42(6): 680.
- van Laer, T., K. de Ruyter, L. M. Visconti, and M. Wetzels (2014). "The extended transportation-imagery model: A meta-analysis of the antecedents and consequences of consumers' narrative transportation". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 40(5): 797–817.
- van Laer, T., J. E. Escalas, S. Ludwig, and E. A. van den Hende (2019). "What happens in vegas stays on tripadvisor? A theory and technique to understand narrativity in consumer reviews". *Journal of Consumer Research*. 46(2, August): 267–285.
- Vance, K. and S. Virtue (2011). "Metaphoric advertisement comprehension: The role of the cerebral hemispheres". *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*. 10(1): 41–50.
- Wagner, U. and A. R. Charinsarn (2021). "What language should be displayed on product packaging? How unconventional lettering influences packaging and product evaluation". *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*. 33(1): 1–18.
- Wang, C. X. and J. Zhang (2020). "Assertive ads for want or should? It depends on consumers' power". *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 30(3): 466–485.

- Watts, J. and A. Adriano (2021). “Uncovering the sources of machine-learning mistakes in advertising: Contextual bias in the evaluation of semantic relatedness”. *Journal of Advertising*. 50(1): 26–38.
- Weijters, B., H. Baumgartner, and M. Geuens (2016). “The calibrated sigma method: An efficient remedy for between-group differences in response category use on likert scales”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. 33(4): 944–960.
- Weijters, B., S. Puntoni, and H. Baumgartner (2017). “Methodological issues in cross-linguistic and multilingual advertising research”. *Journal of Advertising*. 46(1): 115–128.
- Whorf, B. (1956). “Science and linguistics”. In: *Language, Thought, and Reality: Selected Writing of Benjamin Lee Whorf*. Ed. by J. B. Carrol. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.
- Wu, F., Q. Sun, R. Grewal, and S. Li (2019). “Brand name types and consumer demand: Evidence from China’s automobile market”. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. 56(1): 158–175.
- Wu, L., R. R. Klink, and J. Guo (2013). “Creating gender brand personality with brand names: The effects of phonetic symbolism”. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*. 21(3): 319–330.
- Xie, G.-X. and A. Kronrod (2012). “Is the devil in the details? The signaling effect of numerical precision in green advertising”. *Journal of Advertising*. 41(4): 103–117. Special Issue on Green Advertising.
- Yang, Z., Y. Wu, C. Lu, and Y. Tu (2020). “Effects of paid search advertising on product sales: A chinese semantic perspective”. *Journal of Marketing Management*. 36(15/16): 1481–1504.
- Yener, D. and M. Taşcıoğlu (2020). “Does the use of foreign languages in different types of products lead to different consumer perception?” *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*. (December): 1–13.
- Yorkston, E. and G. Menon (2004). “A sound idea: Phonetic effects of brand names on consumer judgments”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 31(1): 43–51.
- You, Y., X. Yang, L. Wang, and X. Deng (2020). “When and why saying ‘thank you’ is better than saying ‘sorry’ in redressing service failures: The role of self-esteem”. *Journal of Marketing*. 84(2): 133–150.

- Zaki, M. and J. R. Mccoll-Kennedy (2020). “Text mining analysis roadmap (TMAR) for service research”. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 34: 30–47.
- Zemack-Rugar, Y., S. G. Moore, and G. J. Fitzsimons (2017). “Just do it! Why committed consumers react negatively to assertive ads”. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 27(3): 287–301.
- Zhang, M. and X. Li (2012). “From physical weight to psychological significance: The contribution of semantic activations”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 38(6): 1063–1075.
- Zhang, S., B. Schmitt, and H. Haley (2003). “Language and culture: A new approach to consumer behavior in international marketing research”. In: *State of the Art in Research in International Marketing*. Ed. by S. C. Jain. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.
- Zhang, Y. C. and N. Schwarz (2013). “How and why 1 year differs from 365 days: A conversational logic analysis of inferences from the granularity of quantitative expressions”. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 2(June): S212–S223.
- Zhao, G. and C. Pechmann (2007). “The impact of regulatory focus on adolescents’ response to antismoking advertising campaigns”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 44(4): 671–687.
- Zolfagharian, M., F. Hasan, and P. Iyer (2017). “Employee, branch, and brand switching: The role of linguistic choice, use and adaptation”. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 31(4/5): 452–470.
- Zolfagharian, M., F. Hasan, and P. Iyer (2018). “Customer response to service encounter linguistics”. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 32(5): 530–546.