

**Aggregate Advertising
Expenditure in the U.S.
Economy: Measurement
and Growth Issues in the
Digital Era**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Marketing

Brands: An Integrated Marketing, Finance, and Societal Perspective

Bobby J. Calder

ISBN: 978-1-68083-746-9

Machine Learning in Marketing: Overview, Learning Strategies, Applications, and Future Developments

Vinicius Andrade Brei

ISBN: 978-1-68083-720-9

Crowdfunding Platforms: Ecosystem and Evolution

Yee Heng Tan and Srinivas K. Reddy

ISBN: 978-1-68083-698-1

*A Practical Approach to Sales Compensation: What Do We Know Now?
What Should We Know in the Future?*

Doug J. Chung, Byungyeon Kim and Niladri B. Syam

ISBN: 978-1-68083-684-4

Aggregate Advertising Expenditure in the U.S. Economy: Measurement and Growth Issues in the Digital Era

Alvin J. Silk

Graduate School of Business Administration
Harvard University
USA
asilk@hbs.edu

Ernst R. Berndt

MIT Sloan School of Management and
National Bureau of Economic Research
USA
eberndt@mit.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

A. J. Silk and E. R. Berndt. *Aggregate Advertising Expenditure in the U.S. Economy: Measurement and Growth Issues in the Digital Era*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Marketing, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–85, 2021.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-873-2

© 2021 A. J. Silk and E. R. Berndt

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends® in Marketing
Volume 15, Issue 1, 2021
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Jehoshua Eliashberg
University of Pennsylvania

Associate Editor

Bernd Schmitt
Columbia University

Editors

Dawn Iacobucci
Vanderbilt University

Ganesh Iyeri
University of California, Berkeley

Anirban Mukhopadhyayi
HKUST

Sharon Ng
Nanyang Technological University

Gerrit van Bruggen
Erasmus University

Miguel Villas Boasi
University of California, Berkeley

Hema Yoganarasimhani
University of Washington

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Marketing publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Marketing
- Bayesian Models
- Behavioral Decision Making
- Branding and Brand Equity
- Channel Management
- Choice Modeling
- Comparative Market Structure
- Competitive Marketing Strategy
- Conjoint Analysis
- Customer Equity
- Customer Relationship Management
- Game Theoretic Models
- Group Choice and Negotiation
- Discrete Choice Models
- Individual Decision Making
- Marketing Decisions Models
- Market Forecasting
- Marketing Information Systems
- Market Response Models
- Market Segmentation
- Market Share Analysis
- Multi-channel Marketing
- New Product Diffusion
- Pricing Models
- Product Development
- Product Innovation
- Sales Forecasting
- Sales Force Management
- Sales Promotion
- Services Marketing
- Stochastic Model

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Marketing, 2021, Volume 15, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1555-0753. ISSN online version 1555-0761. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Historical Background	9
3	The U.S. Advertising Industry: Vertical Structure and Aggregate Measures of Spending	12
3.1	Vertical Relations	12
3.2	Measures of Aggregate Advertising Expenditures	13
3.3	Trends in Sector Revenues and the Advertising Share of GDP	20
4	Advertising Media Cost Indices	26
4.1	Private Sector Media Cost Measures	27
4.2	BLS Media Cost Indices	29
5	Analysis of Nominal Aggregate Advertising Spending: Framework	34
6	Econometric Methods	37
7	Empirical Results	39
7.1	Stochastic Time Series Analysis Findings	39

7.2	Initial Regression Analysis Findings: Annual MCE and IRS Data, 1960–2007	42
7.3	Additional Time Series and Regression Analysis Findings: Annual MG8 Data, 1980–2018	47
8	Discussion	53
8.1	Management of Advertising Campaigns	54
8.2	Public Policy	59
9	Concluding Remarks	63
	Acknowledgments	65
	Appendix	66
	References	74

Aggregate Advertising Expenditure in the U.S. Economy: Measurement and Growth Issues in the Digital Era

Alvin J. Silk*¹ and Ernst R. Berndt²

¹*Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, USA; asilk@hbs.edu*

²*MIT Sloan School of Management and National Bureau of Economic Research, USA; eberndt@mit.edu*

ABSTRACT

The two components of the advertising industry – the creative sector that develops and produces messages, and the communications sector that transmits messages via various media – have each been greatly affected by advances in creative design and communications technologies. As the media composition of advertising has changed in the last century for both local and national advertising – from newspapers, outdoor and radio advertising to network and cable television, and most recently to internet and digital media – so too has been transformed the very concept of advertising, its functionality and its measurement.

*This monograph is dedicated to the memory of Robert J. Coen, Senior Vice-President, Interpublic Group. Mr. Coen was acknowledged to be Madison Avenue’s “Chief Forecaster” and admired as the dedicated curator of McCann-Erickson’s historical database on U.S. advertising expenditures. Mr. Coen passed away on November 18, 2016.

Alvin J. Silk and Ernst R. Berndt (2021), “Aggregate Advertising Expenditure in the U.S. Economy: Measurement and Growth Issues in the Digital Era”, *Foundations and Trends® in Marketing*: Vol. 15, No. 1, pp 1–85. DOI: 10.1561/17000000074.

We compare four sources of annual nominal U.S. aggregate advertising expenditure data – from the public sector Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau Survey of Service Industries, and the private sector McCann-Erickson and Magna Global advertising agencies – that are available over various time periods. In nominal terms, we estimate the elasticity of advertising expenditures with respect to Gross Domestic Product, and find that this elasticity appears to have increased substantially beginning in the late 1990s – from about 1.4 to 1.9. The timing of this structural break coincides roughly with the decline of print, radio and network and cable television, and the dramatic increase in digital and internet-based advertising.

To understand the forces underlying this structural break in nominal advertising expenditures, data on media-specific advertising prices are needed, thereby converting nominal to real advertising. However, currently annual U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Producer Price Index data on digital and many other advertising media prices are only available beginning in 2010. The availability of media-specific quality-constant price indexes would not only enable researchers to trace more completely the recent impact of digital and internet advertising, but would also facilitate contemporary and longstanding issues to be addressed surrounding the measurement of advertising effects, including how variations in the durability of response to advertising across media are related to inter-media price differentials, and why heterogeneity among firms and industries may arise with respect to the procyclicality of advertising policies.¹

¹This is a revision of our earlier paper that appeared under a similar title: Alvin J. Silk and Ernst R. Berndt, “Aggregate Advertising Expenditures in the U.S. Economy: What’s Up? Is it Real?” Working Paper 28161, Cambridge, MA., National Bureau of Economic Research, December 2020.

1

Introduction

Appearing at an Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB) conference in 2005, Bill Gates reportedly was asked “Why is online advertising growing so fast?” In his oft-quoted response, he proclaimed that “Well, when you think about it, the future of the advertising is the Internet.”¹ Gates proved to be prescient in the sense that post-2005, total outlays for digital advertising in the U.S. continued to rise at double digit growth rates, with the exception of 2009, the year of the Great Recession. Paradoxically, early in 2014 an article appearing in *Bloomberg News* proclaimed that “Looking at data since the 1920s, the *U.S. advertising industry has always been about 1 percent of U.S.GDP*”. The article further maintained that history revealed that new media (radio, television, and the Internet) followed a “predictable” growth pattern: five years of “rapid (but declining) growth rates,” after which “growth rates steadied,” “matching” that of the U.S. economy. Hence, the *U.S. advertising is an industry where “the pie is not growing . . . The easiest way to make more money is to steal larger slices of the pie.”*²

¹See Phillipson (2016).

²Chemi (2014). Italics added.

By 2016, digital advertising had supplanted television as the medium with the largest share of U.S. total advertising receipts earned by media suppliers.³ Nonetheless, later in that same year a report emanating from a Wall St. brokerage firm presented data indicating that total U.S. advertising as a percentage of GDP was declining.⁴ More recently, in 2019 it was reported that the “*growth in the U.S. advertising market has been unable to maintain its historical trend of growing in lockstep with gross domestic product, equating to approximately 2% of GDP*”.⁵ Relating the dramatic growth in digital’s market share to growth in the size of the total advertising market, Wieser recently observed that “*Deceleration was always inevitable* for one core reason: there is only so much growth to be had.”⁶

Taken together, these periodic reports and observations from a wide variety of sources paint an apparently inconsistent and confusing picture of the evolution of the U.S. advertising and marketing services industry. Perhaps the most puzzling feature of that seemingly disjointed and incomplete view of the industry is the proposition that the rapid growth of digital advertising has occurred over a period during which the share of U.S. economic activity (as measured by GDP) represented by total advertising expenditures has been in decline. Such a development that, if substantiated, would represent a striking departure from what previously had been regarded as a stable, long-term condition. Notably, after reviewing the historical data on U.S. advertising spending 1925–1999, Galibi [2001 and the references cited therein] concluded: “the share of advertising spending in total economic output (GDP) has been roughly constant long term” (p. 1)–“overall US advertising spending as a share of GDP was 2.6% in 1925 and 2.4% in 1998” (p. 7). Earlier, Telser (1968) discussed evidence of the “remarkable stability” of this relationship drawn from both the U.K. and U.S. economies. It bears noting that over the period since the launch of digital media in 1996 through 2018,⁷ the U.S. economy has experienced two full business cycles, one of eight

³Letang and Leszega (2017), Magna Global (2015).

⁴Juenger *et al.* (2016).

⁵Baine (2019, p. 4). Italics added.

⁶Wieser (2019, p. 1). Italics added.

⁷As noted in Internet Advertising Bureau (2019, p. 11).

months in 2001 and the Great Recession of 18 months in 2008–2009.⁸ Moreover, the digital transformation has not only led to an extensive overhaul of the methods used to measure aggregate advertising industry spending, but also to an ongoing program of research and revision of the measurement of GDP, including the treatment of advertising in the U.S. system of national accounts and its representation/inclusion in GDP.⁹ If stability in the advertising share of GDP has persisted (in either current or constant dollars), it is truly a remarkable phenomenon. But is such apparent stability a mirage?

The U.S. advertising industry remains in the throes of change as it seeks to adapt to the far-reaching, but still unfolding effects of the digital disruption that has already transformed not only the media habits (Coyle and Nakamura, 2019) and purchasing behavior of consumers (Goldfarb and Tucker, 2019) but also the distribution and advertising strategies firms pursue and how those activities are organized and managed (Burton, 2009, Evans, 2008, 2009).

In light of the set of contradictory considerations recounted above, the fundamental question to be addressed here is: Does the U.S. advertising industry have a growth problem, a measurement problem, or both?¹⁰ To address this question, we assembled a database of time series measures of annual aggregate advertising spending in the U.S. spanning the period 1960–2018. This period encompasses almost six decades of U.S. economic history: 36 years preceding the launch of the digital advertising in 1996 and 22 years of after that event.

The entry of digital advertising into the U.S. market for media advertising in 1996 turned out to be a major discontinuity in the system for tracking aggregate advertising expenditures in the post-World War II U.S. economy and resulted in 2009 in the replacement of the existing system which relied primarily on nominal measures (current \$) of advertising expenditures made by firms (i.e., sellers of goods and services) to one that is based on the advertising revenues of media suppliers. The source of both of these time series was McCann-Erickson

⁸National Bureau of Economic Research (2016, p. 1).

⁹On this, see, for example, Diewert and Fox (2020).

¹⁰This framing of the issue was suggested by the title of the paper by Byrne *et al.* (2016).

(MCE) and later Magna Global (MG), both units of the Interpublic Group of Companies (IPG) which had long served as the official provider of media advertising expenditure for the federal government that was published in the annual editions of the *Statistical Abstract of the United States*.

This shift in the source of the aggregate advertising expenditure data was also accompanied by a difference in the level of prices between what advertisers paid for purchases of media space and time and what prices media suppliers charged intermediaries for media space and time, where the difference represented the commission the intermediary (advertising agency) earned on sales of media space and time to his/her clients, i.e., firms advertising goods and services to target markets. Figure 3.3 plots the time series of nominal aggregate advertising spending measures employed in this study. The separation of the advertisers and media supplier expenditure curves from 1980–2018 serves to highlight the difference between advertiser and media supplier price levels discussed above.

Note that this discontinuity in measurement of aggregate advertising spending occurred in 2009, 13 years after the initiation of digital advertising in the U.S. Fortunately, in launching the media supplier-based measurement system in 2009, the service provider MG also simultaneously released estimates of that series “backcasted” for the preceding period, 1980–2008, thereby establishing an extended time series of observations when the advertiser and media supplier series overlapped, albeit with the former series having been created post hoc. Unfortunately, it turns out that coincidental with the elimination of the nominal advertiser-based aggregate spending data series in 2009, the set of media advertising price indices that had long accompanied the spending data was also terminated, thereby eliminating the source of the information required to adjust nominal advertising expenditures for changes in current media prices and to estimate real advertising outlays, measured in constant dollars. However, in that same year (2009), the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) introduced a set of price indices for various advertising media; these are discussed in Section 4.

By way of a preview of what follows, we find evidence that over the period 2000 through 2018, nominal aggregate advertising spending in

the U.S. as a share of nominal GDP has been falling. This declining share manifests itself in measures of firm advertising expenditure as well as in the advertising revenues of media suppliers (Figure A.1). While the period analyzed precedes the onset of the pandemic (2019), it includes two full business cycles – one of eight months in 2001 and then the Great Recession of eighteen months in 2008–2009. With these conditions in mind, we then proceed to conduct a detailed econometric analysis of the patchwork of nominal measures of aggregate advertising spending and nominal GDP. We find that the elasticity (or sensitivity, measured in percentages) of advertising with respect to nominal GDP appears to have increased substantially beginning in the late 1990s—from about 1.4 to 1.9. We further show that nominal aggregate advertising spending has become more responsive to changes in real GDP and GDP price inflation. As Jorgenson (2001) has documented: “A substantial acceleration in the IT price decline occurred in 1995, triggered by a much sharper acceleration in the price decline of semiconductors in 1994” (p. 1). Finally, we consider the implications of ongoing developments in the management of advertising campaigns and pending public policy issues surrounding controversial digital advertising practices for how advertising’s macroeconomic role may evolve in the future. We stress the development of media-specific and aggregate media mix prices indices as being the critical next step in advancing understanding of the sensitivity of aggregate spending on advertising to cyclical and secular shifts in total economic activity and the components thereof.

The monograph is organized as follows. We begin in Section 2 with some historical background on the twin problems of defining advertising in the face of its ever changing boundaries and measuring its output as a service industry. Section 3 sketches the vertical structure of the U.S. advertising industry and describes the set of four time series we have assembled that measure nominal aggregate advertising spending by advertisers and the related revenues of two sectors who function as service providers to advertisers – advertising agencies and media firms. Trends in sector revenues and mix of major advertising media utilized are discussed along with the share of nominal GDP that aggregate advertising spending represents. Section 4 reviews the media price indices available from private sector sources and the BLS.

Section 5 presents the double log constant elasticity model that serves as the conceptual framework underlying our analysis of the relationship of nominal aggregate advertising spending to GDP. Section 6 reports extensive analyses of autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation coefficients calculated in order to assess whether our measures of nominal advertising spending exhibit stationarity and guide our choice of the order of moving average autoregressive function specifications. Section 7 presents our results indicating that a structural shift in the sensitivity of nominal aggregate advertising to GDP occurred around the turn of the century when, in nominal terms, aggregate ad spending became more responsive to not only changes in nominal GDP but also to changes in real GDP and to changes in GDP inflation. Chow tests of the null hypothesis of parameter stability over selected years in the late 20th century and early 21st century are also reported. Section 8 discusses implications of changes in the management of advertising campaigns accompanying the ascendancy of digital media and the resolution of public policy issues surrounding digital advertising practices. Section 9 briefly summarizes our main conclusions.

References

- Aaker, D. A. and J. M. Carman (1989). “Are you over advertising?” *Journal of Advertising Research*. 22(August/September): 57–70.
- Agrawal, N., N. Najafi, and S. A. Smith (2020). “Operational models for digital advertising: A review of recent research”. In: *Channel Strategies and Marketing Mix in a Connected World*. Ed. by R. Saibal and S. Yin. *Springer Series in Supply Chain Management*. Springer.
- Arrow, K. J., G. G. Stigler, E. M. Landes, and A. M. Rosenfield (1990). “Economic analysis of proposed changes in the tax treatment of advertising expenditures”. In: *The Advertising Tax Coalition, Unpublished Paper*. Chicago, IL: Lexecon Inc., August. Available at: URL: <https://www.scribd.com/document/27267813/Economic-Analysis-of-Proposed-Changes-in-the-Tax-Treatment-of-Advertising-Expenditures>.
- Arzaghi, M., E. R. Berndt, J. C. Davis, and A. J. Silk (2012). “The unbundling of advertising services: An economic analysis”. *Review of Marketing Science*. 10(Article 4): 1–53.
- Ashley, R., C. W. J. Granger, and R. Schalensee (1980). “Advertising and aggregate consumption: An analysis of causality”. *Econometrica*. 48(5): 1149–1167.
- Bachman, J. (1967). *Advertising and Competition*. New York: New York University Press.

- Baine, D. (2019). "Advertising market growth unable to keep up with GDP". New York: S&B Global, Market Intelligence, January 30. Available at: URL: <https://platform.mi.spglobal.com/web/client?auth=inherit#news/article?KeyProductLinkType=2&id=49482900>.
- Bauer, T., J. Boudet, M. Lamb, and K. Robinson (2020). "Performance branding and how it is reinventing marketing ROI". June 15. Available at: URL: <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/marketing-and-sales/our-insights/performance-branding-and-how-it-is-reinventing-marketing-roi?cid=other-eml-alt-mip-mck&hlkid=&hctky=2427140&hdpid=cd049d48-afe2-477e-a8a3-90f68e32b77b>.
- Beach, C. M. and J. G. MacKinnon (1978). "Full maximum likelihood estimation of second-order autoregressive error models". *Journal of Econometrics*. 7: 187–198.
- Bils, M. (1989). "Pricing in a consumer market". *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 104(4): 699–718.
- Binet, L. and P. Field (2013). *The Long and the Short of It: Balancing Short and Long-Term Marketing Strategies*. London: Institute of Practitioners in Advertising, June.
- Binet, L. and P. Field (2017). *Media in Focus: Marketing Effectiveness in the Digital Era*. London: Institute Practitioners in Advertising, June. Available at: URL: <https://ipa.co.uk/knowledge/publications-reports/media-in-focus-marketing-effectiveness-in-the-digital-era/>.
- Blank, D. M. (1963). "A note on the golden age of advertising". *Journal of Business*. 36(January): 33–38.
- Borden, N. H. (1944). "The economic importance of advertising as measured by expenditures". In: *The Economic Effects of Advertising*. Chicago, IL: R. D. Irwin. 53–62.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2020). "Producer Price Index Detailed Report". June. Available at: URL: <https://www.bls.gov/ppi/faqs/questions-and-answers.htm>.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (n.d.). "Producer prices". In: *BLS Handbook of Methods*. Ch. 14. Available at: URL: <https://www.bls.gov/opub/hom/pdf/ppi-20111028.pdf>.

- Burton, J. (2009). *A Marketer's Guide to Understanding the Economics of Digital Compared to Traditional Advertising and Media Services*. New York: American Association of Advertising Agencies.
- Butt, C., H. Hakim, J. Jacobs, and R. Schaffner (2020). "An essential marketing tool in a downturn: Spend management". May 6. McKinsey & Co. Available at: URL: <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/marketing-and-sales/our-insights/an-essential-marketing-tool-in-a-downturn-spend-management>.
- Butters, G. R. (1977). "Equilibrium distributions of advertising prices". *Review of Economic Studies*. 44(3): 465–491.
- Byrne, D. M., J. G. Fernald, and M. B. Reinsdorf (2016). *Does the United States Have a Productivity Slowdown or a Measurement Problem? Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2016–017*. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. DOI: [10.17016/FEDS.2016.017](https://doi.org/10.17016/FEDS.2016.017).
- Campbell, D. T. and D. K. Fiske (1959). "Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix". *Psychological Bulletin*. 56(March): 81–105.
- Chan, D. and M. Perry (2017). "Challenges and opportunities in media mix modeling". Google, Inc. Available at: URL: <http://ai.google/research/pubs/pub45998>.
- Chemi, E. (2014). "Advertising's century of flat-line growth". *Bloomberg News*. March 3. Available at: URL: <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2014-03-03/advertisings-century-of-flat-line-growth>.
- Comanor, W. S. and T. A. Wilson (1974). *Advertising and Market Power*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Coyle, D. and L. Nakamura (2019). "Toward a framework for time use, welfare-centric economic measurement". Working Paper 19-11, Federal Reserve Board of Philadelphia, February. DOI: [10.21799/frbp.wp.2019.11](https://doi.org/10.21799/frbp.wp.2019.11).
- Danaher, P. J. and H. J. van Heerde (2018). "Delusion in attribution: Caveats in using attribution for media budget allocation". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 55(5): 667–666.
- Dehez, P. and A. Jacquemin (1975). "A note on advertising policy under uncertainty and dynamic conditions". *Journal of Industrial Economics*. 23(September): 73–78.

- Deighton, J. and L. Cornfeld (2020). *The Socioeconomic Impact of Internet Tracking*. New York: Interactive Advertising Bureau, February.
- Deleersnyder, B., M. G. Dekimpe, J.-B. E. M. Steenkamp, and P. S. K. Leeflang (2009). “The role of national culture in advertising’s sensitivity to business cycles: An investigation across countries”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 46(October): 623–636.
- Diewert, W. E. (1978). “Superlative index numbers and consistency in aggregation”. *Econometrica*. 46(4): 883–900.
- Diewert, W. E. (2015). “Two stage aggregation and homogeneous weak separability”. In: *Index Number Theory and Measurement Economics*. Vancouver, B.C.: University of British Columbia, Vancouver School of Economics. Unpublished lecture notes, February.
- Diewert, W. E. and K. J. Fox (2020). “Measuring real consumption and CPI bias under lockdown conditions”. Vancouver B.C.: University of British Columbia, Vancouver School of Economics. Unpublished manuscript, April.
- Driver, C. (2015). “Advertising’s elusive economic rationale: Public policy and taxation”. *Journal of Economic Surveys*. 31(1): 1–16.
- Ehrlich, I. and L. Fisher (1972). “The derived demand for advertising: A theoretical and empirical investigation”. *American Economic Review*. 72(3): 366–388.
- Evans, D. S. (2008). “The economics of the online advertising industry”. *Review of Network Economics*. 7(September): 351–391.
- Evans, D. S. (2009). “The online advertising industry: Economics, evolution, and privacy”. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 23(September): 37–60.
- Fisher, L. and I. Ehrlich (1984). “A new price index for advertising in the United States”. Unpublished manuscript, State University of New York at Buffalo.
- Fogg-Meade, E. (1901). “The place of advertising in modern business”. *Journal of Political Economy*. 9(March): 218–242.
- Galibi, D. A. (2001). “Some economics of personal activity and implications for digital economy”. Unpublished paper. Available at: URL: <http://www.galbithink.org/activity.htm>.
- Goldfarb, A. (2014). “What is different about online advertising?” *Review of Industrial Organization*. 44(2): 115–129.

- Goldfarb, A. and C. Tucker (2019). “Digital economics”. *Journal of Economic Literature*. 57(1): 3–43.
- Gordon, B. R., K. Jerath, Z. Katona, S. Narayanan, J. Shin, and K. C. Wilbut (2020). “Inefficiencies in digital advertising markets”. *Journal of Marketing*. 85(1): 7–25.
- Gordon, B., F. Zettelmeyer, N. Bhargava, and D. Chapsky (2019). “A comparison of approaches to advertising measurement: Evidence from big field experiments at facebook”. *Marketing Science*. 38(2): 193–225.
- Greenwood, J., Y. Ma, and M. Yorukoglu (2021). “You will: A macroeconomic analysis of digital advertising”. Working Paper 28537, Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, March.
- Griliches, Z. (1992). “Introduction”. In: *Output Measurement in the Service Sector*. Ed. by Z. Griliches, E. R. Berndt, T. F. Bresnahan, and M. E. Manser. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Guptam, S. and J. Davin (2019). *Digital Marketing*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Publishing.
- Hall, R. E. (2012). “The cyclical response of advertising refutes countercyclical profit margins in favor of product-market frictions”. Working Paper 18370, Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, September. Available at: URL: <https://www.nber.org/papers/w18370>.
- Hall, R. E. (2014). “What the cyclical response of advertising reveals about markups and other macroeconomic wedges”. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Hoover Institution and Department of Economics, Unpublished manuscript. Available at: URL: <https://web.stanford.edu/~rehall/Ads042314.pdf>.
- Hanssens, D. M. ed. (2015). *Empirical Generalizations About Marketing Impact*. 2nd edition. Cambridge, MA: Marketing Science Institute.
- Horowitz, I. (1970). “A note on advertising and uncertainty”. *Journal of Industrial Economics*. 18(April): 151–160.
- Hulten, C. and L. Nakamura (2017). “Accounting for growth in the age of the internet: The importance of output-saving technical change”. NBER Working Paper 23315.

- Internal Revenue Service (1962). *Statistics of Income 1960–61: U.S. Business Tax Returns*. Washington DC: U.S. Treasury Department, Internal Revenue Service, Publication No. 438 (6-62).
- Internal Revenue Service (2012). *Statistics of Income 2012: Corporate Income Tax Returns*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service.
- Internet Advertising Bureau (2019). “Internet advertising revenue report: 2018 full year results, 2018”. May. Available at: URL: <https://www.iab.com>.
- Internet Advertising Bureau (2020). *Internet Advertising Revenue Report: Full Year 2019 Results and Q1 2020 Revenues*. May. Available at: URL: <https://www.iab.com>.
- Jacobs, J., R. Longo, M. Sen, and B. Timelin (2018). “Zero-based productivity—Marketing: Measure, allocate, and invest marketing dollars more effectively”. August 17. McKinsey & Co. Available at: URL: <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/operations/our-insights/zero-based-productivity-marketing-measure-allocate-and-invest-marketing-dollars-more-effectively>.
- Jones, J. P. (1990). “Advertising: Strong force or weak force: Two views and ocean apart”. *International Journal of Advertising*. 9: 233–246.
- Jorgenson, D. W. (2001). “Information technology and the U.S. economy”. *American Economic Review*. 91(1): 1–32.
- Juenger, T., J. Park, and N. Nedzhvetskaya (2016). *The Long View: U.S. Media: Revisiting the Graveyard Four Years On – Not So Funny Anymore*. New York: Bernstein Research.
- Kalaignanaamo, K., K. R. Tuli, T. Kushwaha, L. Lee, and D. Gal (2021). “Marketing agility: The concept, antecedents, and a research agenda”. *Journal of Marketing*. 85(1): 35–58.
- Khan, L. M. (2017). “Amazon’s antitrust paradox”. *Yale Law Journal*. 126: 710–805.
- Kolsarici, C., D. Vakratsas, and P. A. Naik (2020). “Anatomy of the advertising budget decision: How analytics and heuristics drive sales performance”. *The Journal of Marketing Research*. 57(3): 468–488.

- Kossar, K. R. (2014). “Advertising by the federal government: An overview”. Unpublished paper, *Congressional Research Service*, Washington, DC. Available at: URL: <https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R41681.pdf>.
- Letang, V. and M. Leszega (2017). “U.S. advertising forecast: Executive summary”. Magna Global, New York, March.
- Lewis, R. A. and M. R. Justin (2015). “The unfavorable economics of measuring returns to advertising”. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 30(4): 1943–1973.
- Lewis, R. A., J. M. Rao, and R. D. H. (2011). “Here, thee, and everywhere: Correlated behaviors can lead to overestimates of advertising”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM 20 International Conference on the Worldwide Web*. 157–166.
- Little, J. D. C. (1966). “A model of adaptive control of promotional spending”. *Operations Research*. 14(6): 1075–1097.
- Little, J. D. C. (1977). “Optimal control: A multivariate model for marketing applications”. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 22(April): 187–195.
- Lodish, L. M. (1986). *The Advertising and Promotion Challenge: Vaguely Right or Precisely Wrong*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- MacKinnon, J. G. (1996). “Numerical distribution functions for unit root and cointegration tests”. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 11(6, November/December): 601–618.
- Magna Global (2015). “U.S. media forecasts: Definitions and methodology”. July. Available at: URL: <http://www.magnaglobal.com>.
- Mandel, M. (2019). “The declining cost of advertising: Policy implications”. Progressive Policy Institute, Washington, DC, July. Available at: URL: https://www.progressivepolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Advertising2019_Mandel.pdf.
- Mandese, J. (2007). “The thinking person’s guide to media: Chapter one: What is advertising anyway?” *MediaPost*. February 27. Available at: URL: <https://www.nber.org/research/data/us-business-cycle-expansions-and-contractions>.

- Mandese, J. (2009a). “Bottoms up: Interpublic revises forecast model, sifts to ‘Top-Down’ media-centric approach”. *Media Daily News*. July 10. Available at: URL: <http://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/109547/>.
- Mandese, J. (2009b). “Costly decision: Interpublic scraps media inflation index”. *Media Daily News*. (July 13). Available at: URL: <http://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/109625/costly-decision-interpublic-scraps-media-inflation>.
- McAlister, L., R. Srinivasan, N. Jindal, and A. A. Cannella (2016). “Advertising effectiveness: The moderating effect of firm strategy”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 53(April): 207–224.
- Mela, C. F. and L. M. Lodish (2007). “If brands are built over years, why are they managed over quarters?” *Harvard Business Review*. 85(July–August): 104–112.
- Molinara, B. and T. Francesco (2017). “Advertising and aggregate consumption: A Bayesian DSG assessment”. *Economic Journal*. 128(August): 2106–2139.
- Molinara, B. and F. Turino (2009a). “Advertising and business fluctuations”. Working Paper 2009-09, Department of Economics, University of Alicante, March. Available at: URL: <http://www.ivie.es>.
- Molinara, B. and F. Turino (2009b). “Advertising, labor supply, and the aggregate economy: A long run analysis”. Working Paper 2009.16, Department of Economics, University of Alicante. November. Available at: URL: <http://www.ivie.es>.
- Moshary, S., B. T. Shapiro, and J. Song (2021). “How and when to use the political cycle to identify advertising effects”. *Marketing Science*. 40(March–April): 280–304.
- Myers, K. H. (1958). “Have we a decline in advertising appropriations?” *Journal of Marketing*. 23(April): 370–375.
- Myers, K. H. (1962). “Is advertising a growth industry?” *Media/Scope*. (June): 35–41.
- Naik, P. A. (2015). “Marketing dynamics: A primer on estimation and control”. *Foundations and Trends in Marketing*. 9(3): 175–260.
- Nakamura, L. I. (2020). “Evidence of accelerating mismeasurement of growth and inflation in the U.S. 21st century”. Working Paper 20-41, Research Dept., Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, October.

- National Bureau of Economic Research (2016). “US business cycle expansions and contractions”. Cambridge, MA, February 24. Available at: URL: <https://www.nber.org/research/data/us-business-cycle-expansions-and-contractions>.
- Pauwels, K. H. (2018). “Modelling dynamic relations among marketing and performance metrics”. *Foundations and Trends in Marketing*. 11(4): 215–301.
- Phillipson, G. (2016). “IAB’s Guy Phillipson: ‘Ad industry’s transformation has only just begun’”. *Campaign*. (September 5). Available at: URL: <https://www.campaignlive.co.uk/article/iabs-guy-phillips-on-ad-industrys-transformation-just-begun/1407492>.
- Pindyck, R. S. and D. L. Rubinfeld (1998). *Econometric Models and Economic Forecasts*. Fourth edition. Boston, MA: Irwin, McGraw-Hill.
- Pope, D. (1983). *The Making of Modern Advertising*. New York: Harper.
- Quantitative Micro Software (n.d.). *EViews7 V9 User’s Guide II*. Irvine, CA: Quantitative Micro Software [1994–2009]. Available at: URL: <http://www.eviews.com/home.html>.
- Rapport, J. F. (2015). “Is programmatic advertising the future of marketing?” *Harvard Business Review (Website)*. June 22. Available at: URL: <https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=49520>.
- Roach, T. (2020). “The wrong and the short of it”. Unpublished paper, World Advertising, Research Center, London. Available at: URL: <https://thetomroach.com/2020/11/15/the-wrong-and-the-short-of-it/>.
- Rogers, R. T. and R. J. Tokle (1995). “The economics of advertising: Where’s the data?” *Review of Industrial Organization*. 10(6, December): 675–687.
- Romer, P. (2019). “A tax that could fix big tech”. *New York Times*. (May 6). Available at: URL: <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/05/06/opinion/tax-facebook-google.html>.
- Romer, P. (2021). “Taxing digital advertising”. Unpublished paper, May 17. Available at: URL: <https://adtax.paulromer.net/>.

- Rosenthal, M. B., E. R. Berndt, J. M. Donohue, R. G. Frank, and A. M. Epstein (2002). "Promotion of prescription drugs to consumers". *New England Journal of Medicine*. 346(7): 498–505.
- Schmalensee, R. (1972). *The Economics of Advertising*. New York: North-Holland.
- Schultz, D. (2016). "The future of advertising or whatever we're going to call it". *Journal of Advertising*. 45(3): 276–285.
- Shapiro, B. J. (2018). "Positive spillover effects and freeriding in advertising of prescription pharmaceuticals: The case of antidepressants". *Journal of Political Economy*. 126(1): 381–437.
- Shapiro, B. J., G. J. Hitsche, and A. E. Tuchman (2020a). "Generalizable and robust TV advertising effects". Working Paper w2768, August. Available at: URL: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3675236.
- Shapiro, B., G. J. Hitsch, and A. Tuchman (2020b). "Generalizable and robust TV advertising effects". In: Working Paper No. w27684. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research, August. Available at: URL: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3675236>.
- Sherman, S. A. (1900). "Advertising in the United States". *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 7(December): 1–44.
- Shi, H., R. Grewal, and S. Sridhar (2021). "Organizational herding in advertising spending disclosures: Evidence and mechanisms". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 58(June): 515–538.
- Silk, A. J. (2012). "Conflict policy and advertising agency-client relations: The problem of competing clients sharing a common agency". *Foundations and Trends in Marketing*. 6(2): 63–149.
- Silk, A. J. and C. III. King (2013). "How concentrated is the advertising and marketing services industry? Myth vs. Reality". *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*. 34(1): 166–193.
- Silk, A. J., L. R. Klein, and E. R. Berndt (2001). "The emerging position of the internet as an advertising medium". *Netnomics*. 3(September): 129–148.
- Silk, A. J., L. R. Klein, and E. R. Berndt (2002). "Intermedia substitutability and market demand by national advertisers". *Review of Industrial Organization*. 20(June): 323–348.

- Silk, A. J. and M. S. Stiglin (2016). “Build it, buy it, or both? Rethinking the sourcing of advertising sources”. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*. 8(1, February): 1–13.
- Simon, H. A. (1957). *Models of Man*. New York: Wiley.
- Simon, J. (1970). *Issues in the Economics of Advertising*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Standard and Poor’s (2003). *Compustat User’s Guide*. New York: McGraw-Hill. Available at: URL: http://sites.bu.edu/qm222projectcourse/files/2014/08/compustat_users_guide-2003.pdf.
- Stenkamp, J.-B. E. M. and Eric(ER) Fang (2011). “The impact of economic contractions on the effectiveness of R&D and advertising: Evidence from U.S. companies spanning three decades”. *Marketing Science*. 30(July–August): 628–645.
- Telser, L. G. (1964). “Advertising and competition”. *Journal of Political Economy*. 72(December): 537–562.
- Telser, L. G. (1968). “Some aspects of the economics of advertising”. *Journal of Business*. 41(April): 166–173.
- Tiltman, D. (2020). “Byron Sharpe on the legacy of how brands grow—and why he disagrees with Les Bnet”. Unpublished paper, World Advertising Research Centre, London. Available at: URL: <https://www.warc.com/newsandopinion/opinion/byron-sharp-on-the-legacy-of-how-brands-grow--and-why-he-disagrees-with-les-binet/3837>.
- Universal McCann (2005). “Universal McCann media cost indices”. Unpublished paper, August.
- Vakratsas, D. and T. Ambler (1999). “How advertising works: What do we really know?” *Journal of Marketing*. 63(January): 26–43.
- Weiss, L. W. (1969). “Advertising, profits, and corporate taxes”. *Review of Economic Statistics*. 51(November): 421–430.
- White, L. J. (2021). “Rethinking antitrust”. Unpublished paper. Available at: URL: <https://www.stern.nyu.edu/experience-stern/faculty-research/rethinking-antitrust>.
- Wieser, B. (2019). “Digital deceleration deciphered”. Group M (May 5). New York. Available at: URL: <https://www.groupm.com/news/digital-deceleration-deciphered>.

- Woodcock, R. A. (2018). “The obsolescence of advertising in the information age”. *Yale Law Journal*. 127: 2270–2341.
- Yang, C. (1962). *A Theoretical and Empirical Investigation of Advertising Cycles*, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Graduate School of Business Administration, New York University.
- Zuboff, S. (1988). *In the Age of the Smart Machine: The Future of Work and Power*. New York: Basic Books.
- Zuboff, S. (2001). “Automate/informate: The two faces of intelligent technology”. *Organizational Dynamics*. 14(Autumn): 5–18.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. New York: Public Affairs.
- Zuboff, S. (2021). “The coup we are not talking about”. *New York Times*. January 31: 4–5. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/29/opinion/sunday/facebook-surveillance-society-technology.html>.