

# Low-Rank Semidefinite Programming: Theory and Applications

---

**Alex Lemon**

Stanford University  
adlemon@stanford.edu

**Anthony Man-Cho**

The Chinese University of Hong Kong  
manchoso@se.cuhk.edu.hk

**Yinyu Ye**

Stanford University  
yyye@stanford.edu

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

A. Lemon, A. M.-C. So, Y. Ye. *Low-Rank Semidefinite Programming: Theory and Applications*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization, vol. 2, no. 1-2, pp. 1–156, 2015.

*This Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> issue was typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using a class file designed by Neal Parikh. Printed on acid-free paper.*

ISBN: 978-1-68083-137-5

© 2016 A. Lemon, A. M.-C. So, Y. Ye

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization

## Volume 2, Issue 1-2, 2015

### Editorial Board

#### Editors-in-Chief

**Stephen Boyd**  
Stanford University  
United States

**Yinyu Ye**  
Stanford University  
United States

#### Editors

Dimitris Bertsimas  
*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Dimitri P. Bertsekas  
*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

John R. Birge  
*University of Chicago*

Robert E. Bixby  
*Rice University*

Emmanuel Candès  
*Stanford University*

David Donoho  
*Stanford University*

Laurent El Ghaoui  
*University of California, Berkeley*

Donald Goldfarb  
*Columbia University*

Michael I. Jordan  
*University of California, Berkeley*

Zhi-Quan (Tom) Luo  
*University of Minnesota, Twin Cities*

George L. Nemhauser  
*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Arkadi Nemirovski

*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Yurii Nesterov  
*UC Louvain*

Jorge Nocedal  
*Northwestern University*

Pablo A. Parrilo  
*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Boris T. Polyak  
*Institute for Control Science, Moscow*

Tamás Terlaky  
*Lehigh University*

Michael J. Todd  
*Cornell University*

Kim-Chuan Toh  
*National University of Singapore*

John N. Tsitsiklis  
*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Lieven Vandenbergh  
*University of California, Los Angeles*

Robert J. Vanderbei  
*Princeton University*

Stephen J. Wright  
*University of Wisconsin*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization publishes survey and tutorial articles on methods for and applications of mathematical optimization, including the following topics:

- Algorithm design, analysis, and implementation (especially on modern computing platforms)
- Models and modeling systems
- New optimization formulations for practical problems
- Applications of optimization in:
  - Machine learning
  - Statistics
  - Data analysis
  - Signal and image processing
  - Computational economics and finance
  - Engineering design
  - Scheduling and resource allocation
  - and other areas

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization, 2015, Volume 2, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2167-3888. ISSN online version 2167-3918. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization  
Vol. 2, No. 1-2 (2015) 1–156  
© 2016 A. Lemon, A. M.-C. So, Y. Ye  
DOI: 10.1561/2400000009



## **Low-Rank Semidefinite Programming: Theory and Applications**

Alex Lemon  
Stanford University  
adlemon@stanford.edu

Anthony Man-Cho So  
The Chinese University of Hong Kong  
manchoso@se.cuhk.edu.hk

Yinyu Ye  
Stanford University  
yyye@stanford.edu

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Low-rank semidefinite programming . . . . .	2
1.2	Outline . . . . .	4
<b>I</b>	<b>Theory</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Exact Solutions and Theorems about Rank</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	6
2.2	Rank reduction for semidefinite programs . . . . .	6
2.3	Rank and uniqueness . . . . .	18
2.4	Rank and sparsity . . . . .	23
<b>3</b>	<b>Heuristics and Approximate Solutions</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	30
3.2	Nonlinear-programming algorithms . . . . .	31
3.3	The nuclear-norm heuristic . . . . .	32
3.4	Rounding methods . . . . .	39

<b>II Applications</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>4 Trust-Region Problems</b>	<b>54</b>
4.1 SDP relaxation of a trust-region problem . . . . .	55
4.2 The simple trust-region problem . . . . .	58
4.3 Linear equality constraints . . . . .	58
4.4 Linear inequality constraints . . . . .	60
4.5 Ellipsoidal quadratic inequality constraints . . . . .	81
<b>5 QCQPs with Complex Variables</b>	<b>84</b>
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	84
5.2 Rank of SDP solutions . . . . .	85
5.3 Connection to the $\mathcal{S}$ -procedure . . . . .	91
5.4 Applications to signal processing . . . . .	98
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>A Background</b>	<b>110</b>
A.1 Linear algebra . . . . .	110
A.2 Optimization . . . . .	122
<b>B Linear Programs and Cardinality</b>	<b>131</b>
B.1 Sparsification for linear programs . . . . .	132
B.2 Cardinality and uniqueness for linear programs . . . . .	137
<b>C Technical Probability Lemmas</b>	<b>140</b>
C.1 Convex combinations of chi-squared random variables . . .	140
C.2 The bivariate normal distribution . . . . .	144
<b>References</b>	<b>149</b>

## Abstract

Finding low-rank solutions of semidefinite programs is important in many applications. For example, semidefinite programs that arise as relaxations of polynomial optimization problems are exact relaxations when the semidefinite program has a rank-1 solution. Unfortunately, computing a minimum-rank solution of a semidefinite program is an NP-hard problem. In this paper we review the theory of low-rank semidefinite programming, presenting theorems that guarantee the existence of a low-rank solution, heuristics for computing low-rank solutions, and algorithms for finding low-rank approximate solutions. Then we present applications of the theory to trust-region problems and signal processing.



# Introduction

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

### 1.1 Low-rank semidefinite programming

A semidefinite program (SDP) is an optimization problem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && C \bullet X && \text{(SDP)} \\ & \text{subject to} && A_i \bullet X = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & && X \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The optimization variable is  $X \in \mathbf{S}^n$ , where  $\mathbf{S}^n$  is the set of all  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices, and the problem data are  $A_1, \dots, A_m, C \in \mathbf{S}^n$  and  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ . The trace inner product of  $A, B \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$  is

$$A \bullet B = \text{tr}(A^\top B) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

The constraint  $X \succeq 0$  denotes a generalized inequality with respect to the cone of positive-semidefinite matrices, and means that  $X$  is positive semidefinite: that is,  $z^\top X z \geq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . We can write (SDP) more compactly by defining the operator  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \bullet X \\ \vdots \\ A_m \bullet X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using this notation we can express (SDP) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && C \bullet X \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathcal{A}(X) = b \\ & && X \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The dual problem of (SDP) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && b^\top y && \text{(SDD)} \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_{i=1}^m y_i A_i + S = C \\ & && S \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the optimization variables are  $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $S \in \mathbf{S}^n$ . We can write (SDD) more succinctly as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && b^\top y \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathcal{A}^*(y) + S = C \\ & && S \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the adjoint operator  $\mathcal{A}^* : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^*(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i A_i.$$

We do not attempt to give a general exposition of the theory of semidefinite programming in this paper – an excellent survey is provided by Vandenberghe and Boyd [96]. The preceding remarks are only meant to establish our particular conventions for talking about SDPs. Additional results about SDPs are given in Appendix A, which presents those aspects of the theory that are most relevant for our purposes.

Semidefinite programs can be solved efficiently using interior-point algorithms. However, such algorithms typically converge to a maximum-rank solution [45], and in many cases we are interested in finding a low-rank solution. For example, it is well known that every polynomial optimization problem has a natural SDP relaxation, and this relaxation is exact when it has a rank-1 solution. (We include the derivation of this important result in Appendix A for completeness.) Unfortunately, finding a minimum-rank solution of an SDP is NP-hard: a special case of this problem is finding a minimum-cardinality solution

of a system of linear equations, which is known to be NP-hard [36]. In this paper we review approaches to finding low-rank solutions and approximate solutions of SDPs, and present some applications in which low-rank solutions are important.

## 1.2 Outline

Chapter 2 discusses reduced-rank exact solutions of SDPs and theorems about rank. We give an efficient algorithm for reducing the rank of a solution. Although the algorithm may not find a minimum-rank solution, it often works well in practice, and we can prove a bound on the rank of the solution returned by the algorithm. Then we give a theorem relating the uniqueness of the rank of a solution to the uniqueness of the solution itself, and show how to use this theorem for sensor-network localization. The chapter concludes with a theorem that allows us to deduce the existence of a low-rank solution from the sparsity structure of the coefficients.

Because finding a minimum-rank solution of an SDP is NP-hard, we do not expect to arrive at an algorithm that accomplishes this task in general. However, there are many heuristics for finding low-rank solutions that often perform well in practice; we discuss these methods in Chapter 3. We also present rounding methods, in which we find a low-rank approximate solution that is close to a given exact solution in some sense. One of the rounding methods that we discuss is the famous Goemans-Williamson algorithm [39]; if the unique-games conjecture is true, then this algorithm achieves the best possible approximation ratio for the maximum-cut problem [57, 58].

The paper concludes with two chapters covering applications of the theoretical results to trust-region problems and signal processing. There are three appendices: the first gives background information, and establishes our notation; the second reviews some classical results about linear programming that we generalize to semidefinite programming in Chapter 2; and the last contains technical probability lemmas that are used in our analysis of rounding methods.

## References

---

- [1] W. Ai, Y. Huang, and S. Zhang. On the low rank solutions for linear matrix inequalities. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 33(4):965 – 975, 2008.
- [2] R. Baraniuk, M. Davenport, R. DeVore, and M. Wakin. A simple proof of the restricted isometry property for random matrices. *Constructive Approximation*, 28(3):253 – 263, 2008.
- [3] A. Barvinok. Problems of distance geometry and convex properties of quadratic maps. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 13(2):189 – 202, 1995.
- [4] A. Barvinok. A remark on the rank of positive semidefinite matrices subject to affine constraints. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 25(1):23 – 31, 2001.
- [5] A. Beck and Y. Eldar. Strong duality in nonconvex quadratic optimization with two quadratic constraints. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 17(3):844 – 860, 2006.
- [6] A. Ben-Tal, L. El Ghaoui, and A. Nemirovski. *Robust Optimization*. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [7] D. Bertsekas. *Nonlinear Programming*. Athena Scientific, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [8] D. Bienstock and A. Michalka. Polynomial solvability of variants of the trust-region subproblem. *Proceedings of the Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 25:380 – 390, 2014.

- [9] S. Bose, D. Gayme, K. Chandy, and S. Low. Quadratically constrained quadratic programs on acyclic graphs with application to power flow. *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems*, 2(3):278 – 287, 2015.
- [10] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [11] C. Broyden. The convergence of a class of double-rank minimization algorithms 1. General considerations. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 6(1):76 – 90, 1970.
- [12] C. Broyden. The convergence of a class of double-rank minimization algorithms 2. The new algorithm. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 6(3):222 – 231, 1970.
- [13] S. Burer and K. Anstreicher. Second-order-cone constraints for extended trust-region subproblems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 23(1):432 – 451, 2013.
- [14] S. Burer and R. Monteiro. A projected gradient algorithm for solving the maxcut SDP relaxation. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 15(3 – 4):175 – 200, 2001.
- [15] S. Burer and R. Monteiro. A nonlinear programming algorithm for solving semidefinite programs via low-rank factorization. *Mathematical Programming*, 95(2):329 – 357, 2003.
- [16] S. Burer and R. Monteiro. Local minima and convergence in low-rank semidefinite programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 103(3):427 – 444, 2005.
- [17] S. Burer, R. Monteiro, and Y. Zhang. Interior-point algorithms for semidefinite programming based on a nonlinear formulation. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 22(1):49 – 79, 2002.
- [18] S. Burer, R. Monteiro, and Y. Zhang. A computational study of a gradient-based log-barrier algorithm for a class of large-scale SDPs. *Mathematical Programming*, 95(2):359 – 379, 2003.
- [19] S. Burer and B. Yang. The trust region subproblem with non-intersecting linear constraints. *Mathematical Programming*, 149(1):253 – 264, 2015.
- [20] E. Candès. Compressive sampling. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, pages 1433 – 1452. European Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [21] E. Candès and J. Romberg. Sparsity and incoherence in compressive sampling. *Inverse Problems*, 23(3):969 – 985, 2007.

- [22] E. Candès, J. Romberg, and T. Tao. Stable signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate measurements. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 59(8):1207 – 1223, 2006.
- [23] E. Candès and T. Tao. Decoding by linear programming. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 51(12):4203 – 4215, 2005.
- [24] C. Carathéodory. Über den variabilitätsbereich der koeffizienten von potenzreihen, die gegebene werte nicht annehmen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 64(1):95 – 115, 1907.
- [25] T.-H. Chang, W.-K. Ma, and C.-Y. Chi. Worst-case robust multiuser transmit beamforming using semidefinite relaxation: Duality and implications. In *Conference Record of the Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, volume 45, pages 1579 – 1583. IEEE, 2011.
- [26] R. Corless, G. Gonnet, D. Hare, D. Jeffrey, and D. Knuth. On the Lambert W function. *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, 5(1):329 – 359, 1996.
- [27] G. Cornuéjols. Revival of the Gomory cuts in the 1990s. *Annals of Operations Research*, 149(1):63 – 66, 2007.
- [28] G. Cornuéjols. Valid inequalities for mixed integer linear programs. *Mathematical Programming*, 112(1):3 – 44, 2008.
- [29] J. Dennis and R. Schnabel. *Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations*, volume 16 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. SIAM, 1996.
- [30] D. Donoho. Compressed sensing. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 52(4):1289 – 1306, 2006.
- [31] M. Fazel. *Matrix Rank Minimization with Applications*. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 2002.
- [32] M. Fazel, H. Hindi, and S. Boyd. A rank minimization heuristic with application to minimum order system approximation. In *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, volume 6, pages 4734 – 4739. IEEE, 2001.
- [33] M. Fazel, H. Hindi, and S. Boyd. Log-det heuristic for matrix rank minimization with applications to Hankel and Euclidean distance matrices. In *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, volume 3, pages 2156 – 2162. IEEE, 2003.
- [34] R. Fletcher. A new approach to variable metric algorithms. *The Computer Journal*, 13(3):317 – 322, 1970.

- [35] R. Fletcher. *Practical Methods of Optimization*. Wiley, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [36] M. Garey and D. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
- [37] D. Gay. Computing optimal locally constrained steps. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 2(2):186 – 197, 1981.
- [38] A. Gershman, N. Sidiropoulos, S. Shahbazpanahi, M. Bengtsson, and B. Ottersten. Convex optimization based beamforming: From receive to transmit and network designs. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 27(3):62 – 75, 2010.
- [39] M. Goemans and D. Williamson. Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming. *Journal of the ACM*, 42(6):1115 – 1145, 1995.
- [40] D. Goldfarb. A family of variable-metric methods derived by variational means. *Mathematics of Computation*, 24(109):23 – 26, 1970.
- [41] S. Goldfeld, R. Quandt, and H. Trotter. Maximization by quadratic hill-climbing. *Econometrica*, 34(3):541 – 551, 1966.
- [42] R. Gomory. An algorithm for the mixed integer problem. Technical report, The RAND Corporation, 1960.
- [43] R. Gomory. An algorithm for integer solutions to linear programs. In R. Graves and P. Wolfe, editors, *Recent Advances in Mathematical Programming*, pages 269 – 302. McGraw-Hill, 1963.
- [44] N. Gould, S. Lucidi, M. Roma, and P. Toint. Solving the trust-region subproblem using the Lanczos method. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 9(2):504 – 525, 1999.
- [45] O. Güler and Y. Ye. Convergence behavior of interior-point algorithms. *Mathematical Programming*, 60(1):215 – 228, 1993.
- [46] S. Gusev and A. Likhtarnikov. Kalman-Popov-Yakubovich lemma and the S-procedure: A historical essay. *Automation and Remote Control*, 67(11):1768 – 1810, 2006.
- [47] J. Håstad. Some optimal inapproximability results. *Journal of the ACM*, 48(4):798 – 859, 2001.
- [48] C. Helmberg and F. Rendl. A spectral bundle method for semidefinite programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 10(3):673 – 696, 2000.
- [49] M. Hestenes. Multiplier and gradient methods. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 4(5):303 – 320, 1969.



- [50] Y. Huang and D. Palomar. Rank-constrained separable semidefinite programming with applications to optimal beamforming. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 58(2):664 – 678, 2009.
- [51] Y. Huang and S. Zhang. Complex matrix decompositions and quadratic programming. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 32(3):758 – 768, 2007.
- [52] V. Jeyakumar, G. Lee, and G. Li. Alternative theorems for quadratic inequality systems and global quadratic optimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 20(2):983 – 1001, 2009.
- [53] M. Journée, F. Bach, P. Absil, and R. Sepulchre. Low-rank optimization on the cone of positive semidefinite matrices. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 20(5):2327 – 2351, 2010.
- [54] A. Kalbat, R. Madani, G. Fazelnia, and J. Lavaei. Efficient convex relaxation for stochastic optimal distributed control problem. *Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, 52:589 – 596, 2014.
- [55] N. Karmarkar, M. Resende, and K. Ramakrishnan. An interior point algorithm to solve computationally difficult set covering problems. *Mathematical Programming*, 52(1):597 – 618, 1991.
- [56] R. Karp. *Complexity of Computer Computations*, chapter Reducibility among Combinatorial Problems, pages 85 – 103. Springer, 1972.
- [57] S. Khot. On the power of unique 2-prover 1-round games. *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 34:767 – 775, 2002.
- [58] S. Khot, G. Kindler, E. Mossel, and R. O’Donnell. Optimal inapproximability results for MAX-CUT and other 2-variable CSPs? *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 37(1):319 – 357, 2007.
- [59] S. Kim and M. Kojima. Exact solutions of some nonconvex quadratic optimization problems via SDP and SOCP relaxations. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 26(2):143 – 154, 2003.
- [60] B. Laurent and P. Massart. Adaptive estimation of a quadratic functional by model selection. *The Annals of Statistics*, 28(5):1302 – 1338, 2000.
- [61] J. Lavaei. Zero duality gap for classical OPF problem convexifies fundamental nonlinear power problems. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, pages 4566 – 4573, 2011.
- [62] J. Lavaei and S. Low. Zero duality gap in optimal power flow problem. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 27(1):92 – 107, 2012.

- [63] J. Lavaei, D. Tse, and B. Zhang. Geometry of power flows in tree networks. *IEEE Power and Energy General Meeting*, pages 1 – 8, 2012.
- [64] Q. Li and W. Ma. Optimal and robust transmit designs for MISO channel secrecy by semidefinite programming. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 59(8):3799 – 3812, 2011.
- [65] D. Luenberger and Y. Ye. *Linear and Nonlinear Programming*. Springer, 3rd edition, 2008.
- [66] J. Martínez. Local minimizers of quadratic functions on Euclidean balls and spheres. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 4(1):159 – 176, 1994.
- [67] J. Martínez and S. Santos. A trust-region strategy for minimization on arbitrary domains. *Mathematical Programming*, 68(1):267 – 301, 1995.
- [68] M. Medra, W. Ma, and T. Davidson. Low-complexity robust MISO downlink precoder optimization for the limited feedback case. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, pages 3108 – 3112, 2015.
- [69] M. Mesbahi. On the semi-definite programming solution of the least order dynamic output feedback synthesis. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 38(2):1851 – 1856, 1999.
- [70] C. Meyer. *Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra*. SIAM, 2001.
- [71] R. Monteiro. First- and second-order methods for semidefinite programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 97(1):209 – 244, 2003.
- [72] J. Moré. *The Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm: Implementation and Theory*, pages 105 – 116. Springer, 1978.
- [73] J. Moré and D. Sorensen. Computing a trust region step. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, 4(3):553 – 572, 1983.
- [74] Y. Nesterov. Quality of semidefinite relaxation for nonconvex quadratic optimization. Technical Report 9719, Center for Operations Research & Econometrics, Universite Catholique de Louvain, 1997.
- [75] T. Pare. *Analysis and Control of Nonlinear Systems*. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 2000.
- [76] G. Pataki. On the rank of extreme matrices in semidefinite programs and the multiplicity of optimal eigenvalues. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 23(2):339 – 358, 1998.
- [77] J. Peng and Y. Yuan. Optimality conditions for the minimization of a quadratic with two quadratic constraints. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 7(3):579 – 594, 1997.

- [78] I. Pólik and T. Terlaky. A survey of the S-lemma. *SIAM Review*, 49(3):371 – 418, 2007.
- [79] M. Powell. *A Method for Non-Linear Constraints in Minimization Problems*. UKAEA, 1967.
- [80] B. Recht, M. Fazel, and P. Parrilo. Guaranteed minimum-rank solutions of linear matrix equations via nuclear norm minimization. *SIAM Review*, 52(3):471 – 501, 2010.
- [81] F. Rendl and H. Wolkowicz. A semidefinite framework for trust region subproblems with applications to large scale minimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 77(1):273 – 299, 1997.
- [82] D. Shanno. Conditioning of quasi-Newton methods for function minimization. *Mathematics of Computation*, 24(111):647 – 656, 1970.
- [83] M. Shenouda and T. Davidson. Convex conic formulations of robust downlink precoder designs with quality of service constraints. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 1(4):714 – 724, 2007.
- [84] W. Sheppard. On the calculation of the double integral expressing normal correlation. *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 19:23 – 66, 1900.
- [85] A. So, Y. Ye, and J. Zhang. A unified theorem on SDP rank reduction. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 33(4):910 – 920, 2008.
- [86] S. Sojoudi and J. Lavaei. Physics of power networks makes hard optimization problems easy to solve. *Proceedings of the IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, pages 1 – 8, 2012.
- [87] S. Sojoudi and J. Lavaei. On the exactness of semidefinite relaxation for nonlinear optimization over graphs: Part I. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 52:1043 – 1050, 2013.
- [88] S. Sojoudi and S. Low. Optimal charging of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles in smart grids. *Proceedings of the IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, pages 1 – 6, 2011.
- [89] E. Song, Q. Shi, M. Sanjabi, R. Sun, and Z. Luo. Robust SINR-constrained MISO downlink beamforming: When is semidefinite programming relaxation tight? *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, pages 1 – 11, 2012.
- [90] D. Sorensen. Newton’s method with a model trust region modification. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 19(2):409 – 426, 1982.

- [91] R. Stern and H. Wolkowicz. Indefinite trust region subproblems and nonsymmetric eigenvalue perturbations. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 5(2):286 – 313, 1995.
- [92] G. Strang. *Introduction to Linear Algebra*. Wellesley-Cambridge Press, 4th edition, 2009.
- [93] J. Sturm and S. Zhang. On cones of nonnegative quadratic functions. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 28(2):246 – 267, 2003.
- [94] L. Trevisan, G. Sorkin, M. Sudan, and D. Williamson. Gadgets, approximation, and linear programming. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 29(6):2074 – 2097, 2000.
- [95] H. Tuy and H. Tuan. Generalized S-lemma and strong duality in nonconvex quadratic programming. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 56(3):1045 – 1072, 2013.
- [96] L. Vandenberghe and S. Boyd. Semidefinite programming. *SIAM Review*, 38(1):49 – 95, 1996.
- [97] N. Vučić and H. Boche. Robust QoS-constrained optimization of downlink multiuser MISO systems. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 57(2):714 – 725, 2009.
- [98] Y. Wang and R. Shi. Tightness of semidefinite programming relaxation to robust transmit beamforming with SINR constraints. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013:1 – 10, 2013.
- [99] K. Williamson. *A Robust Trust Region Algorithm for Nonlinear Programming*. PhD thesis, Rice University, 1990.
- [100] Y. Xia, S. Wang, and R. Sheu. S-lemma with equality and its applications. *Mathematical Programming*, 156(1):513 – 547, 2016.
- [101] Y. Ye. On affine scaling algorithms for nonconvex quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 56(1):285 – 300, 1992.
- [102] Y. Ye and S. Zhang. New results on quadratic minimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 14(1):245 – 267, 2003.
- [103] B. Zhang and D. Tse. Geometry of injection regions of power networks. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 28(2):788 – 797, 2013.
- [104] Y. Zhang. Computing a Celis-Dennis-Tapia trust-region step for equality constrained optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 55(1):109 – 124, 1992.
- [105] Z. Zhu. *A Semidefinite Programming Method for Graph Realization and the Low Rank Matrix Completion Problem*. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 2011.