
**Mathematical Programming
Approaches for Multi-Vehicle
Motion Planning:
Linear, Nonlinear, and Mixed
Integer Programming**

Mathematical Programming Approaches for Multi-Vehicle Motion Planning: Linear, Nonlinear, and Mixed Integer Programming

Pramod Abichandani

*Drexel University, USA
pva23@drexel.edu*

Hande Benson

*Drexel University, USA
hvb22@drexel.edu*

Moshe Kam

*Drexel University, USA
kam@drexel.edu*

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is P. Abichandani, H. Benson and M. Kam, *Mathematical Programming Approaches for Multi-Vehicle Motion Planning: Linear, Nonlinear, and Mixed Integer Programming*, Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics, vol 2, no 4, pp 261–338, 2011.

ISBN: 978-1-60198-722-8

© 2013 P. Abichandani, H. Benson and M. Kam

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc. for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Robotics**

Volume 2 Issue 4, 2011

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Henrik Christensen

*Georgia Institute of Technology
United States*

Roland Siegwart

*ETH Zurich
Switzerland*

Editors

Minoru Asada (*Osaka University*)

Antonio Bicchi (*University of Pisa*)

Aude Billard (*EPFL*)

Cynthia Breazeal (*MIT*)

Oliver Brock (*TU Berlin*)

Wolfram Burgard (*University
of Freiburg*)

Udo Frese (*University of Bremen*)

Ken Goldberg (*UC Berkeley*)

Hiroshi Ishiguro (*Osaka University*)

Makoto Kaneko (*Osaka University*)

Danica Kragic (*KTH*)

Vijay Kumar (*University of
Pennsylvania*)

Simon Lacroix (*LAAS*)

Christian Laugier (*INRIA*)

Steve LaValle (*UIUC*)

Yoshihiko Nakamura (*The University
of Tokyo*)

Brad Nelson (*ETH*)

Paul Newman (*Oxford University*)

Daniela Rus (*MIT*)

Giulio Sandini (*University of Genova*)

Sebastian Thrun (*Stanford*)

Manuela Veloso (*Carnegie Mellon
University*)

Markus Vincze (*Vienna University*)

Alex Zelinsky (*CSIRO*)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Foundations
 - Mathematical modelling
 - Kinematics
 - Dynamics
 - Estimation Methods
 - Robot Control
 - Planning
 - Artificial Intelligence in Robotics
 - Software Systems and Architectures
- Mechanisms and Actuators
 - Kinematic Structures
 - Legged Systems
 - Wheeled Systems
 - Hands and Grippers
 - Micro and Nano Systems
- Sensors and Estimation
 - Force Sensing and Control
 - Haptic and Tactile Sensors
 - Proprioceptive Systems
 - Range Sensing
 - Robot Vision
 - Visual Servoing
 - Localization, Mapping and SLAM
- Planning and Control
 - Control of manipulation systems
 - Control of locomotion systems
 - Behaviour based systems
 - Distributed systems
 - Multi-Robot Systems
- Human-Robot Interaction
 - Robot Safety
 - Physical Robot Interaction
 - Dialog Systems
 - Interface design
 - Social Interaction
 - Teaching by demonstration
- Industrial Robotics
 - Welding
 - Finishing
 - Painting
 - Logistics
 - Assembly Systems
 - Electronic manufacturing
- Service Robotics
 - Professional service systems
 - Domestic service robots
 - Field Robot Systems
 - Medical Robotics

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics, 2011, Volume 2, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1935-8253. ISSN online version 1935-8261. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Mathematical Programming Approaches for Multi-Vehicle Motion Planning: Linear, Nonlinear, and Mixed Integer Programming

Pramod Abichandani,¹ Hande Benson²
and Moshe Kam³

¹ *College of Engineering, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street,
PA 19104, USA, pva23@drexel.edu*

² *College of Business, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, PA 19104,
USA, hvb22@drexel.edu*

³ *ECE Department, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, PA 19104,
USA, kam@drexel.edu*

Abstract

Real world Multi-Vehicle Motion Planning (MVMP) problems require the optimization of suitable performance measures under an array of complex and challenging constraints involving kinematics, dynamics, collision avoidance, and communication connectivity. The general MVMP problem is thus formulated as a Mathematical Programming (Optimization) problem. In this monograph, we present a Mathematical Programming (MP) framework that captures the salient features of the general MVMP problem. To demonstrate the use of MP for the formulation and solution of MVMP problems, we examine in detail four representative works and summarize several other related ones.

Following this conceptual discussion, we provide a step-by-step demonstration of how to formulate, solve, and experimentally validate an MP problem that represents an MVMP. Finally, we discuss the advantages, technical challenges, and limitations of this framework. As solution algorithms and their implementations in solvers continue to develop, we anticipate that MP solution techniques will be applied to an increasing number of MVMP problems, and that the framework, formulations, and experimental approach presented here may serve as a guide for future MVMP research.

Contents

1	The Future is Bright . . . and Driverless	1
1.1	What's in it for the Readers?	3
2	The Building Blocks	5
2.1	Variations of the General Multiple Robot Motion Planning Problem	7
2.2	Elements of MVMP Problems	9
2.3	Mathematical Programming	16
3	General Mathematical Programming Structure of Multi-Vehicle Motion Planning problems	22
3.1	Representative Works	22
3.2	Decision Variables ζ and σ	26
3.3	Objective Function $\Phi(\zeta, \sigma)$	26
3.4	Kinematic Constraints $\mathcal{K}(\zeta, \sigma) \leq 0$	27
3.5	Dynamic Constraints $\mathcal{D}(\zeta, \sigma) \leq 0$	28
3.6	Collision Avoidance Constraints $\mathcal{C}(\zeta, \sigma) \leq 0$	28
3.7	Communication Constraints $\Theta(\zeta, \sigma) \leq 0$	30
3.8	Other Constraints $\mathcal{O}(\zeta, \sigma) \leq 0$	30
4	Formulating and Solving Multi-Vehicle Motion Planning Problems	32

4.1	Formulating and Solving the Multi-Vehicle Path Coordination Problem	33
4.2	Optimization Model Formulation	37
4.3	Experimental Approach	41
4.4	Experimental Results and Insights	42
5	Observations and Design Considerations	45
5.1	Advantages accorded by MP	46
5.2	Lessons Learned and Future Directions	48
A	Time-Optimal Control of a Double Integrator	51
B	Spectral Graph Theory and Graph Laplacian	57
C	Polygonal Approximations of a Circle	59
D	Approximation of An Ellipse by Two Intersecting Circles	61
E	Loiter Circles	63
	Acknowledgments	65
	Notations and Acronyms	66
	References	67

1

The Future is Bright . . . and Driverless

In 2011–2012, Google demonstrated autonomous ground-based mobility in an urban environment. By August 2012, the Google Driverless Car, similar to the one shown in Figure 1.1, had logged more than 300,000 miles in the state of California [134]. Sebastian Thrun, the lead developer of the car described the broader impacts of this technology as follows, “we could change the capacity of highways by a factor of two or three if we didn’t rely on human precision on staying in the lane — improve body position and therefore drive a little bit closer together on a little bit narrower lanes, and do away with all traffic jams on highways” [132]. Recently, Newman and others at Oxford University have demonstrated driverless cars with similar capabilities [35]. Several states in the United States have already passed legislation that allow driver’s licenses being issued to driverless cars [106].

During the same period, Kumar et al. demonstrated multiple quadrotors operating in an indoor workspace and maintaining formations that translate and rotate with time. This is an example where multiple autonomous vehicles coordinate to achieve a collective task [96].

2 *The Future is Bright . . . and Driverless*



Fig. 1.1 Google's driverless car had logged more than 300,000 miles in the state of California by August 2012.

These and many other developments are harbingers of a future where multiple autonomous vehicles will become an all pervasive concept with applications that improve our standard of living and lifestyles. Such vehicles will lead to a reduction in the number of accidents and commute times, and improved fuel efficiency. These, in turn, will drive down the cost of public transportation, logistics, and supply chain management, thereby allowing transportation of personnel and goods to previously unreachable locations in record times.

While exciting, such autonomous vehicle-based applications come with their own challenges. For example, vehicles may need to plan their motions in real-time while in transit. This necessitates that the autonomous decision making be dynamic and efficient. There are kinematic challenges as well, e.g., cars cannot slide sideways to parallel park and a fixed-wing aircraft has a nonzero turn radius. Design limitations such as finite battery and fuel capacity add to the complexity of the situation.

Furthermore, if multiple vehicles are operating in a common workspace (e.g., urban environments, highways, airways), some shared knowledge of their behavior is important. To obtain this knowledge, either one needs to have sensors or the vehicles need to communicate

with each other or with central entities that update other vehicles with the latest information about their intentions as and when needed.

The biggest challenges, however, will be the commercial viability of such vehicles and adoption by the general public. Specifically, we need to address issues of energy efficiency and safety.

- Efficiency requirements dictate that these vehicles use as little fuel as possible, to travel as far as possible, as quickly as possible.
- Safety requirements dictate that these vehicles be capable of avoiding obstacles and not collide with each other.

Despite all these challenges, the current propensity of innovation in this space points to a future that is indeed bright...and driverless!

1.1 What's in it for the Readers?

In the following discussions, the readers will be introduced to a rigorous and systematic treatment of these challenges and a family of technical approaches that will assist in addressing them. Specifically, we will ground our discussions in the idea of mathematical programming as applied to Multiple-Vehicle Motion Planning (MVMP) and present the material in the following order:

- In Section 2, we start by formally defining the MVMP problem and its most common variants, such as path planning, trajectory planning, and path coordination problems. We then present the basic elements for modeling MVMP systems in detail. These elements include vehicle kinematics, dynamics, path primitives, and communication models. We also provide an introduction to the mathematical programming framework that will be used to model MVMP problems, relevant solution algorithms, modeling environments, and solvers.
- A general MP based framework that captures the salient features of MVMP problems is introduced in Section 3. We start

4 *The Future is Bright . . . and Driverless*

this discussion with a review of existing literature of MVMP using MP by focusing on four representative papers that best demonstrate the application of this framework to a range of MVMP scenarios. Each of the four papers provides various model components, which are presented in great detail. Key analyses performed in these papers have been independently derived and presented in the Appendices.

- In Section 4, readers are provided a step-by-step demonstration on how to formulate and solve a distributed MVMP involving autonomous unmanned ground vehicles operating in an indoor environment under communication connectivity constraints. Experimental approaches utilized in the literature to validate MP based MVMP formulations are documented.
- Finally, in Section 5, we discuss the technical challenges and limitations of this framework and present future directions of this research.

References

- [1] “BONMIN: Basic Open-source Nonlinear Mixed INteger programming,” Available from: <https://projects.coin-or.org/Bonmin/wiki>.
- [2] “GNU linear programming kit,” Available from: <http://www.gnu.org/software/glpk/>.
- [3] “GUROBI Optimizer,” Available from: <http://www.gurobi.com>.
- [4] “The MOSEK optimization software,” Available from: <http://www.mosek.com/>.
- [5] “Solving Constraint Integer Programs,” Available from: <http://www.scip.zib.de>.
- [6] “Xpress-mp and mosel,” Available from: <http://www.fico.com>.
- [7] P. Abichandani, H. Benson, and M. Kam, “Multi-vehicle path coordination under communication constraints,” in *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, Seattle, WA, June 2008.
- [8] P. Abichandani, H. Benson, and M. Kam, “Multi-vehicle path coordination in support of communication,” in *Proceedings International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Kobe, Japan, May 2009.
- [9] P. Abichandani, H. Benson, and M. Kam, “Decentralized path coordination in support of communication,” in *Proceedings of International Conference on Robotic Systems*, San Francisco, CA, 2011.
- [10] P. Abichandani, H. Benson, and M. Kam, “Robust communication connectivity for multi-robot path coordination using mixed integer nonlinear programming: Formulation and feasibility analysis,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA 2013)*, Karlsruhe, May 2013.

68 References

- [11] P. Abichandani, G. Ford, H. Benson, and M. Kam, "Mathematical programming for multi-vehicle motion planning problems," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA 2012)*, St. Paul, MN, May 2012.
- [12] P. Abichandani, K. Mallory, and M. A. Hsieh, "Experimental multi-vehicle path coordination under communication connectivity constraints," in *Proceedings of International Symposium on Experimental Robotics (ISER 2012)*, Quebec City, Canada, June 2012.
- [13] P. Abichandani, K. Mallory, and M. A. Hsieh, "Experimental multi-vehicle path coordination under communication connectivity constraints," in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Experimental Robotics (ISER 2012)*, Quebec City, Canada, June 2012.
- [14] N. Amato and Y. Wu, "A randomized roadmap method for path and manipulation planning," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 1, 22–28, pp. 113–120, 1996.
- [15] M. L. F. Amirouche, *Fundamentals of Multibody Dynamics: Theory and Applications*. Birkhauser: Boston, MA, USA, 2006.
- [16] P. J. Angeline and K. E. Kinneer, Jr., eds., *Advances in Genetic Programming — 2*. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 1996.
- [17] G. Aoude, J. P. How, and I. Garcia, "Two-stage path planning approach for solving multiple spacecraft reconfiguration maneuvers," *AAS Journal of Astronomical Science*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 515–544, 2008.
- [18] S. Behnke, "Local multiresolution path planning," in *Proceedings of RoboCup International Symposium*, pp. 332–343, 2003.
- [19] A. Bemporad and M. Morari, "Control of systems integrating logic, dynamics, and constraints," *Automatica*, vol. 35, pp. 407–427, 1999.
- [20] A. Ben-Tal and A. Nemirovski, "On polyhedral approximations of the second-order cone," *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 193–205, 2001. Available from: <http://mor.journal.informs.org/content/26/2/193.abstract>.
- [21] H. Y. Benson, "Milano: Mixed-integer linear and nonlinear optimizer," Available from: <http://www.pages.drexel.edu/~hvb22/milano/>.
- [22] H. Y. Benson, "Using interior-point methods within an outer approximation framework for mixed integer nonlinear programming," in *Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming*, vol. 154, ser. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, (J. Lee and S. Leyffer, eds.), pp. 225–243, New York: Springer, 2012.
- [23] T. Berglund, A. Brodnik, H. Jonsson, M. Staffanson, and I. Soderkvist, "Planning smooth and obstacle-avoiding b-spline paths for autonomous mining vehicles," *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 167–172, January 2010.
- [24] E. Bicho and S. Monteiro, "Formation control for multiple mobile robots: A non-linear attractor dynamics approach," in *Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, vol. 2, pp. 2016–2022, October 2003.

- [25] F. Borrelli, D. Subramanian, A. Raghunathan, and L. Biegler, "MILP and NLP techniques for centralized trajectory planning of multiple unmanned air vehicles," in *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, p. 6, Minneapolis, MN, 2006.
- [26] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*, vol. 15 Studies in Applied Mathematics. Philadelphia, PA: SIAM, June 1994.
- [27] A. Brooke, D. Kendrick, and A. Meeraus, *GAMS: A User's Guide*. Scientific Press, 1988.
- [28] R. A. Brooks and T. Lozano-Pérez, "A subdivision algorithm in configuration space for findpath with rotation," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, & Cybernetics*, vol. SMC-15, no. 2, pp. 224–233, 1985.
- [29] J. Bruce and M. Veloso, "Real-time randomized path planning for robot navigation," in *Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, vol. 3, pp. 2383–2388, 2002.
- [30] A. E. Bryson and Y.-C. Ho, *Applied Optimal Control*. New York: Hemisphere Publishing Corp., 1975.
- [31] M. Bussieck and S. Vigerske, "MINLP solver software," *Wiley Encyclopedia of Operations Research and Management Science*, accepted. Available from: <http://www.math.hu-berlin.de/~stefan/minlpsoft.pdf>.
- [32] R. H. Byrd, J. Nocedal, and R. Waltz, "KNITRO: An integrated package for nonlinear optimization," in *Large-Scale Nonlinear Optimization*, (G. di Pillo and M. Roma, eds.), pp. 35–59, Springer, 2006.
- [33] J. D. Camm, A. S. Raturi, and S. Tsubakitani, "Cutting Big-M down to size," *Interfaces*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 61–66, 1990.
- [34] N. Chakraborty and A. Ghosal, "Dynamic modeling and simulation of a wheeled mobile robot for traversing uneven terrain without slip," *Journal of Mechanical Design*, vol. 127, no. 5, pp. 901–909, 2005.
- [35] A. Charles, "New self-driving car system tested on UK roads," Available from: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/technology/2013/feb/14/self-driving-car-system-uk>.
- [36] J. Chen, D. Sun, J. Yang, and H. Chen, "Leader–follower formation control of multiple non-holonomic mobile robots incorporating a receding-horizon scheme," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 727–747, 2010.
- [37] H. Choset, K. M. Lynch, S. Hutchinson, G. Kantor, W. Burgard, L. E. Kavraki, and S. Thrun, *Principles of Robot Motion: Theory, Algorithms, and Implementations*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2005.
- [38] C. Clark, S. M. Rock, and J.-C. Latombe, "Motion planning for multiple mobile robot systems using dynamic networks," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 4222–4227, Taipei, Taiwan, September 2003.
- [39] M. Conforti, G. Cornujols, and G. Zambelli, "Extended formulations in combinatorial optimization," *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 97–143, 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10479-012-1269-0>.

70 References

- [40] A. Cruz-Martin, V. Munoz, and A. Garcia-Cerezo, "Genetic algorithms based multirobot trajectory planning," in *Proceedings of World Automation Congress*, vol. 15, pp. 155–160, June 2004.
- [41] H. Delingette, M. Hebert, and K. Ikeuchi, "Trajectory generation with curvature constraint based on energy minimization," in *Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Workshop on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 206–211, November 1991.
- [42] J. Derenick, J. Spletzer, and A. Hsieh, "An optimal approach to collaborative target tracking with performance guarantees," *Journal of Intelligent and Robot Systems*, vol. 56, no. 1–2, pp. 47–68, September 2009.
- [43] J. Desai, J. Ostrowski, and V. Kumar, "Controlling formations of multiple mobile robots," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 4, pp. 2864–2869, May 1998.
- [44] J. Desrosiers, F. Soumis, and M. Desrochers, "Routing with time windows by column generation," *Networks*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 545–565, 1984. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/net.3230140406>.
- [45] B. Donald, P. Xavier, J. Canny, and J. Reif, "Kinodynamic motion planning," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1048–1066, 1993.
- [46] L. E. Dubins, "On curves of minimal length with a constraint on average curvature, and with prescribed initial and terminal positions and tangents," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 497–516, 1957.
- [47] M. Duran and I. Grossmann, "An outer-approximation algorithm for a class of mixed-integer nonlinear programs," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 36, pp. 307–339, 1986.
- [48] M. Earl and R. D'Andrea, "Iterative MILP methods for vehicle-control problems," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1158–1167, December 2005.
- [49] R. Fierro and F. L. Lewis, "Control of a nonholonomic mobile robot: Backstepping kinematics into dynamics," *Journal of Robotic Systems*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 149–163, 1997.
- [50] R. Fletcher, *Practical Methods of Optimization*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2nd ed., pp. 183–188, 1987.
- [51] S. Fleury, P. Soueres, J.-P. Laumond, and R. Chatila, "Primitives for smoothing mobile robot trajectories," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 441–448, June 1995.
- [52] R. Fourer, D. Gay, and B. Kernighan, *AMPL: A Modeling Language for Mathematical Programming*. Scientific Press, 1993.
- [53] T. Fraichard and A. Scheuer, "From reeds and shepp's to continuous-curvature paths," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1025–1035, December 2004.
- [54] H. Friis, "A note on a simple transmission formula," in *Proceedings of the IRE*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 254–256, May 1946.
- [55] C. Froissart and P. Mechler, "On line polynomial path planning in cartesian space for robot manipulators," *Robotica*, vol. 11, pp. 245–251, 1993.
- [56] P. Gallina and A. Gasparetto, "A technique to analytically formulate and to solve the 2-dimensional constrained trajectory planning problem for a mobile robot," *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 237–262, 2000.

- [57] I. Garcia and J. How, "Trajectory optimization for satellite reconfiguration maneuvers with position and attitude constraints," in *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, vol. 2, pp. 889–894, 2005.
- [58] S. Ge and Y. Cui, "New potential functions for mobile robot path planning," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 615–620, October 2000.
- [59] A. M. Geoffrion, "Generalized benders decomposition," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 10, pp. 237–260, 1972.
- [60] P. E. Gill, W. Murray, and M. A. Saunders, "SNOPT: An SQP algorithm for large-scale constrained optimization," *SIAM Review*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 99–131, 2005.
- [61] J. Gower, "Properties of euclidean and non-euclidean distance matrices," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 67, pp. 81–97, June 1985.
- [62] Y. Guo and L. E. Parker, "A distributed and optimal motion planning approach for multiple mobile robots," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 2612–2619, 2002.
- [63] J. Hopcroft, D. Joseph, and S. Whitesides, "Movement problems for 2-dimensional linkages," in *Planning, Geometry, and Complexity of Robot Motion*, pp. 282–329, Ablex: Norwood, NJ, 1987.
- [64] J. Hopcroft, J. Schwartz, and M. Sharir, "On the complexity of motion planning for multiple independent objects: PSPACE-hardness of the "warehouseman's problem"," *International Journal of Robotic Research*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 76–88, 1984.
- [65] D. Hsu, R. Kindel, J.-C. Latombe, and S. Rock, "Randomized kinodynamic motion planning with moving obstacles," in *Algorithmic and Computational Robotics: New Directions*, A.K. Peters: Wellesley, MA, 2001.
- [66] Ilog, Inc., "Solver cplex," Available from: <http://www.ibm.com/software/integration/optimization/cplex-optimizer/>.
- [67] G. Inalhan, D. Stipanovic, and C. Tomlin, "Decentralized optimization, with application to multiple aircraft coordination," in *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Las Vegas, NV, December 2002.
- [68] G. Inalhan, D. Stipanovic, and C. Tomlin, "Decentralized optimization, with application to multiple aircraft coordination," in *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, vol. 1, pp. 1147–1155, 2002.
- [69] L. Ingber, *Adaptive Simulated Annealing (ASA)*. Caltech Alumni Association, 1993.
- [70] B. Jung and G. Sukhatme, "Cooperative multi-robot target tracking," in *Proceedings of International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*, vol. 1, pp. 81–90, July 2006.
- [71] S. Kambhampati and L. Davis, "Multiresolution path planning for mobile robots," *IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 135–145, September 1986.
- [72] Y. Kanayama and B. Hartman, "Smooth local path planning for autonomous vehicles," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 3, pp. 1265–1270, May 1989.

72 References

- [73] L. Kavraki, P. Svestka, J.-C. Latombe, and M. Overmars, "Probabilistic roadmaps for path planning in high-dimensional configuration spaces," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 566–580, August 1996.
- [74] T. Keviczky, F. Borrelli, and G. Balas, "A study on decentralized receding horizon control for decoupled systems," in *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, vol. 6, pp. 4921–4926, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [75] T. Keviczky, F. Borrelli, K. Fregene, D. Godbole, and G. Balas, "Decentralized receding horizon control and coordination of autonomous vehicle formations," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 19–33, 2008.
- [76] O. Khatib, "Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots," *International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 90–98, 1986.
- [77] Y. Kim and M. Mesbahi, "On maximizing the second smallest eigenvalue of a state-dependent graph," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 116–120, 2006.
- [78] G. Knowles, G. W. Haggstrom, T. J. Blaschke, R. Corporation, and U. States, *An Introduction to Applied Optimal Control/Greg Knowles*. New York: Academic Press, 1981.
- [79] D. Koditschek, "Exact robot navigation by means of potential functions: Some topological considerations," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 4, pp. 1–6, March 1987.
- [80] J. Latombe, *Robot Motion Planning*. Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [81] J. P. Laumond, "Robot motion planning and control," *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, vol. 229.
- [82] S. LaValle and S. Hutchinson, "Optimal motion planning for multiple robots having independent goals," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 912–925, 1998.
- [83] S. M. LaValle, "A game-theoretic framework for robot motion planning," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, July 1995.
- [84] S. M. LaValle, "Rapidly-exploring random trees: A new tool for path planning," Computer Science Dept., Iowa State University, Tech. Rep. 98-11, 1998.
- [85] S. M. LaValle, *Planning Algorithms*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2006. Available from : <http://www.planning.cs.uiuc.edu/>.
- [86] S. M. LaValle and J. J. Kuffner, "Randomized kinodynamic planning," *International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 378–400, May 2001.
- [87] E. Lawler and D. E. Wood, "Branch-and-bound methods: A survey," *Operations Research*, vol. 15, pp. 699–719, 1966.
- [88] D. Leven and M. Sharir, "Planning a purely translational motion for a convex object in two-dimensional space using generalized Voronoi diagrams," *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, vol. 2, pp. 9–31, 1987.
- [89] S. Leyffer, "Integrating SQP and branch-and-bound for mixed integer non-linear programming," *Computational Optimization and Applications*, vol. 18, pp. 295–309, 2001.

- [90] S. Leyffer, “The return of the active set method,” *Oberwolfach Reports*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2005.
- [91] J. Löfberg, “YALMIP : A toolbox for modeling and optimization in MATLAB,” in *Proceedings of the CACSD Conference*, Taipei, Taiwan, 2004. Available from: <http://users.isy.liu.se/johanl/yalmip>.
- [92] T. Lozano-Pérez, “Automatic planning of manipulator transfer movements,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, & Cybernetics*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 681–698, 1981.
- [93] J. Mattingley and S. Boyd, “Automatic code generation for real-time convex optimization,” in *Convex Optimization in Signal Processing and Communications*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [94] N. McClamroch and D. Wang, “Feedback stabilization and tracking of constrained robots,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 419–426, May 1988.
- [95] D. Mellinger, A. Kushleyev, and V. Kumar, “Mixed-integer quadratic program trajectory generation for heterogeneous quadrotor teams,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Robotic and Automation*, St. Paul, MN, May 2012.
- [96] D. Mellinger, N. Michael, and V. Kumar, “Trajectory generation and control for precise aggressive maneuvers with quadrotors,” *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 664–674, 2012. Available from: <http://ijr.sagepub.com/content/31/5/664.abstract>.
- [97] M. Lepetic, G. Klancar, I. Skrjanc, D. Matko, and B. Potocnik, “Time optimal path planning considering acceleration limits,” *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 45, pp. 199–210, 2003.
- [98] R. E. Moore, “Global optimization to prescribed accuracy,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 21, no. 6/7, pp. 25–39, 1991.
- [99] S. Nash and A. Sofer, *Linear and Nonlinear Programming*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1996.
- [100] W. Nelson, “Continuous-curvature paths for autonomous vehicles,” in *Proceedings of International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 3, pp. 1260–1264, May 1989.
- [101] W. Nelson, “Continuous steering-function control of robot carts,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 330–337, August 1989.
- [102] G. Nemhauser and L. Wolsey, *Integer and Combinatorial Optimization*. New York: Wiley, 1988.
- [103] N. J. Nilsson, “A mobile automaton: An application of artificial intelligence techniques,” in *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 509–520, 1969.
- [104] P. O’Donnell and T. Lozano-Pérez, “Deadlock-free and collision-free coordination of two robot manipulators,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pp. 484–489, Scottsdale, AZ, 1989.
- [105] C. O’Dunlaing and C. K. Yap, “A retraction method for planning the motion of a disc,” *Journal of Algorithms*, vol. 6, pp. 104–111, 1982.

74 References

- [106] J. Oram, "Governor brown signs California driverless car law at Google HQ," Available from: <http://www.brightsideofnews.com/news/2012/9/27/governor-brown-signs-california-driverless-car-law-at-google-hq-.aspx>.
- [107] L. Pallottino, E. Feron, and A. Bicchi, "Conflict resolution problems for air traffic management systems solved with mixed integer programming," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 3–11, March 2002.
- [108] J. Peng and S. Akella, "Coordinating multiple double integrator robots on a roadmap: Convexity and global optimality," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 2005.
- [109] J. Peng and S. Akella, "Coordinating multiple robots with kinodynamic constraints along specified paths," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 295–310, 2005.
- [110] G. A. S. Pereira, A. K. Das, V. Kumar, and M. F. M. Campos, "Decentralized motion planning for multiple robots subject to sensing and communication constraints," in *Proceedings of MultiRobot Systems Workshop*, pp. 267–278, Kluwer Academic Press, 2003.
- [111] A. Piazzzi, C. G. L. Bianco, and M. Romano, " η^3 -splines for the smooth path generation of wheeled mobile robots," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1089–1095, October 2007.
- [112] O. Pinchard, A. Liegeois, and F. Pougnet, "Generalized polar polynomials for vehicle path generation with dynamic constraints," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 1, pp. 915–920, April 1996.
- [113] T. Rappaport, *Wireless Communications: Principles and Practice*. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall PTR, 2nd ed., 2001.
- [114] J. A. Reeds and L. A. Shepp, "Optimal paths for a car that goes both forwards and backwards," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. 367–393, 1990.
- [115] J. H. Reif, "Complexity of the mover's problem and generalizations," in *Proceedings of IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 421–427, 1979.
- [116] C. Reinl and O. von Stryk, "Optimal control of multi-vehicle-systems under comm. constraints using mixed integer linear programming," in *Proceedings of International Conference on Robot Communication and Coordination*, October 2007.
- [117] A. Richards and J. How, "Aircraft trajectory planning with collision avoidance using mixed integer linear programming," in *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, vol. 3, pp. 1936–1941, 2002.
- [118] A. Richards, Y. Kuwata, and J. How, "Experimental demonstrations of real-time MILP control," in *Proceedings of the AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference*, 2003.
- [119] N. Sarkar, X. Yun, and V. Kumar, "Control of mechanical systems with rolling contacts: Applications to mobile robots," *International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 55–69, February 1994.

- [120] T. Schouwenaars, “Safe trajectory planning of autonomous vehicles,” PhD thesis, MIT, 2005.
- [121] T. Schouwenaars, B. DeMoor, E. Feron, and J. How, “Mixed integer programming for multi-vehicle path planning,” in *Proceedings of European Control Conference*, pp. 2603–2608, 2001.
- [122] T. Schouwenaars, J. How, and E. Feron, “Decentralized cooperative trajectory planning of multiple aircraft with hard safety guarantees,” in *AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference and Exhibit*, Providence, RI, August 2004.
- [123] J. T. Schwartz and M. Sharir, “On the Piano Movers’ Problem: I. The case of a two-dimensional rigid polygonal body moving amidst polygonal barriers,” *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, pp. 345–398, 1983.
- [124] J. T. Schwartz and M. Sharir, “On the Piano Movers’ problem: II. General techniques for computing topological properties of algebraic manifolds,” *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 12, pp. 298–351, 1983.
- [125] D. Shim, H. J. Kim, and S. Sastry, “Decentralized nonlinear model predictive control of multiple flying robots in dynamic environments,” in *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Maui, HI, December 2003.
- [126] N. Sidek and N. Sarkar, “Dynamic modeling and control of nonholonomic mobile robot with lateral slip,” in *International Conference on Systems*, pp. 35–40, April 2008.
- [127] T. Simeon, S. Leroy, and J. Laumond, “Path coordination for multiple mobile robots: A resolution-complete algorithm,” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 42–49, 2002.
- [128] L. Singh and J. Fuller, “Trajectory generation for a UAV in urban terrain, using nonlinear MPC,” in *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, vol. 3, pp. 2301–2308, 2001.
- [129] P. Song and V. Kumar, “A potential field based approach to multi-robot manipulation,” in *Proceedings of International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2, pp. 1217–1222, 2002.
- [130] J. F. Sturm, O. Romanko, I. Polik, and T. Terlaky, “Sedumi,” <http://mloss.org/software/view/202/>, 2009.
- [131] A. Takahashi, T. Hongo, Y. Ninomiya, and G. Sugimoto, “Local path planning and motion control for AGV in positioning,” in *Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Workshop on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 392–397, September 1989.
- [132] S. Thrun, “Google’s driverless car,” Available from: <http://on.ted.com/driverless>.
- [133] P. Tsiotras, “Multiresolution hierarchical path-planning for small UAVs,” in *Proceedings of European Control Conference*, Kos, Greece, July 2007.
- [134] C. Urmson, “The self-driving car logs more miles on new wheels,” Available from: <http://googleblog.blogspot.hu/2012/08/the-self-driving-car-logs-more-miles-on.html>.
- [135] J. van den Berg, S. J. Guy, M. C. Lin, and D. Manocha, “Reciprocal n-body collision avoidance,” in *International Symposium on Robotics Research*, 2009.

76 References

- [136] J. van den Berg, M. Lin, and D. Manocha, "Reciprocal velocity obstacles for real-time multi-agent navigation," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation ICRA 2008*, pp. 1928–1935, May 2008.
- [137] R. Vanderbei, "LOQO user's manual — version 3.10," *Optimization Methods and Software*, vol. 12, pp. 485–514, 1999.
- [138] R. Vidal, O. Shakernia, and S. Sastry, "Formation control of nonholonomic mobile robots with omnidirectional visual servoing and motion segmentation," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 1, pp. 584–589, September 2003.
- [139] N. Vlassis, "Distributed decision making for robot teams," in *Advances in Intelligent and Distributed Computing, Springer Studies in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 78, (C. Badica and M. Paprzycki, eds.), pp. 35–40, 2008.
- [140] A. Wächter and L. T. Biegler, "On the implementation of an interior-point filter line-search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming," IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown, USA, Tech. Rep. RC 23149, March 2004.
- [141] Y. Wang and S. Boyd, "Fast model predictive control using online optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 267–278, 2010.
- [142] C. Warren, "Multiple robot path coordination using artificial potential fields," in *Proceedings IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 1, pp. 500–505, 1990.
- [143] E. Whittaker, *A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies: With an Introduction to the Problem of Three Bodies*. Ser. Cambridge Mathematical Library: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [144] N. Yilmaz, C. Evangelinos, P. F. J. Lermusiaux, and N. Patrikalakis, "Path planning of autonomous underwater vehicles for adaptive sampling using mixed integer linear programming," *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 522–537, October 2008.