

Recent Advances in Clock Synchronization for Packet-Switched Networks

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Signal Processing

A Brief Introduction to Machine Learning for Engineers

Oswaldo Simeone

ISBN: 978-1-68083-472-7

A Survey on the Low-Dimensional-Model-based Electromagnetic Imaging

Lianlin Li, Martin Hurtado, Feng Xu, Bing Chen Zhang, Tian Jin, Tie Jun Xui, Marija Nikolic Stevanovic and Arye Nehorai

ISBN: 978-1-68083-462-8

Synchronization and Localization in Wireless Networks

Bernhard Etzlinger and Henk Wymeersch

ISBN: 978-1-68083-434-5

Recent Advances in Clock Synchronization for Packet-Switched Networks

Anantha K. Karthik

Qualcomm Technologies Inc.
USA
anankart@qti.qualcomm.com

Rick S. Blum

Lehigh University
USA
rblum@eecs.lehigh.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Signal Processing

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

A. K. Karthik and R. S. Blum. *Recent Advances in Clock Synchronization for Packet-Switched Networks*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Signal Processing, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 360–443, 2020.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-727-8

© 2020 A. K. Karthik and R. S. Blum

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Signal Processing

Volume 13, Issue 4, 2020

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Yonina Eldar

Weizmann Institute
Israel

Editors

Pao-Chi Chang
*National Central
University*

Pamela Cosman
*University of California,
San Diego*

Michelle Effros
*California Institute of
Technology*

Yariv Ephraim
George Mason University

Alfonso Farina
Selex ES

Sadaoki Furui
*Tokyo Institute of
Technology*

Georgios Giannakis
University of Minnesota

Vivek Goyal
Boston University

Sinan Gunturk
Courant Institute

Christine Guillemot
INRIA

Robert W. Heath, Jr.
*The University of Texas at
Austin*

Sheila Hemami
Northeastern University

Lina Karam
Arizona State University

Nick Kingsbury
University of Cambridge

Alex Kot
*Nanyang Technical
University*

Jelena Kovacevic
*Carnegie Mellon
University*

Geert Leus
TU Delft

Jia Li
*Pennsylvania State
University*

Henrique Malvar
Microsoft Research

B.S. Manjunath
*University of California,
Santa Barbara*

Urbashi Mitra
*University of Southern
California*

Björn Ottersten
KTH Stockholm

Vincent Poor
Princeton University

Anna Scaglione
*University of California,
Davis*

Mihaela van der Shaar
*University of California,
Los Angeles*

Nicholas D. Sidiropoulos
*Technical University of
Crete*

Michael Unser
EPFL

P.P. Vaidyanathan
*California Institute of
Technology*

Ami Wiesel
*The Hebrew University of
Jerusalem*

Min Wu
University of Maryland

Josiane Zerubia
INRIA

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Signal Processing publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Adaptive signal processing
- Audio signal processing
- Biological and biomedical signal processing
- Complexity in signal processing
- Digital signal processing
- Distributed and network signal processing
- Image and video processing
- Linear and nonlinear filtering
- Multidimensional signal processing
- Multimodal signal processing
- Multirate signal processing
- Multiresolution signal processing
- Nonlinear signal processing
- Randomized algorithms in signal processing
- Sensor and multiple source signal processing, source separation
- Signal decompositions, subband and transform methods, sparse representations
- Signal processing for communications
- Signal processing for security and forensic analysis, biometric signal processing
- Signal quantization, sampling, analog-to-digital conversion, coding and compression
- Signal reconstruction, digital-to-analog conversion, enhancement, decoding and inverse problems
- Speech/audio/image/video compression
- Speech and spoken language processing
- Statistical/machine learning
- Statistical signal processing
 - Classification and detection
 - Estimation and regression
 - Tree-structured methods

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Signal Processing, 2020, Volume 13, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1932-8346. ISSN online version 1932-8354. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Basic Models	7
2.1	Clocks in Networks	7
2.2	Synchronization via Two-Way Message Exchanges	9
2.3	Packet Delay Models	10
3	Statistical Preliminaries	15
3.1	Performance of an Estimator	15
3.2	Groups of Transformations	16
3.3	Invariant Decision Problems	17
3.4	Invariance and Minimax Optimality	20
4	Optimum Signal Processing Techniques for Clock Offset Estimation	21
4.1	Related Work	22
4.2	Signal Model and Problem Statement	24
4.3	Optimum Clock Offset Estimators	27
4.4	Optimal Linear L-Estimators for Clock Offset Estimation	32
4.5	Numerical Results	35

5	Optimum Signal Processing Techniques for Joint Estimation of Clock Skew and Offset	37
5.1	Signal Model and Problem Statement	38
5.2	Optimum Joint Clock Skew and Offset Estimators	41
5.3	Numerical Results	50
6	Joint Clock Skew and Offsets Estimators Robust Against Unknown Path Asymmetries	53
6.1	Signal Model and Problem Statement	54
6.2	Performance Lower Bounds Based on Optimum Estimators	56
6.3	Robust Joint Clock Skew and Offset Estimation for IEEE 1588	60
6.4	Numerical Results	70
7	Conclusions	73
	Acknowledgments	75
	References	76

Recent Advances in Clock Synchronization for Packet-Switched Networks

Anantha K. Karthik¹ and Rick S. Blum²

¹*Qualcomm Technologies Inc., USA; anankart@qti.qualcomm.com*

²*Lehigh University, USA; rblum@lehigh.edu*

ABSTRACT

Clock synchronization is a mechanism for providing a standard time to various devices across a network. This monograph provides a comprehensive overview of recent developments for clock synchronization protocols built on two-way message exchanges. Several clock synchronization protocols are available in the literature for distributing time from high-cost, high-stability clocks (termed masters) to low-cost, low-stability clocks (termed slaves) via an inter-connecting network. A number of clock synchronization protocols are built on two-way message exchanges. These include the timing protocol for sensor networks (TPSN), lightweight time synchronization (LTS) protocol, tiny-sync and mini-sync, network time protocol (NTP) and the IEEE 1588 precision time protocol (PTP). The messages traveling between the master and slave nodes can encounter several intermediate switches and routers, accumulating delays at each node. The main factors contributing to the overall delay are the fixed propagation and processing delays at the intermediate nodes along the network path between the master and slave, as well as the stochastic queuing delays at

each such node. Popular probability density function (pdf) models for modeling the stochastic delays include Gaussian, exponential, gamma, Weibull, and log-normal. Although these pdf models for the stochastic queuing delays apply to several scenarios, they might not be suitable in specific scenarios such as cellular base station synchronization using mobile backhaul networks and IEEE 1588 in 4G Long Term Evolution (LTE) networks. Further, there could be possibly unknown asymmetries between the fixed path delays in the forward master-to-slave path and the reverse slave-to-master path. These unknown asymmetries could arise from various sources, including delay attacks or incorrect modeling. In this monograph, we present recent developments for clock synchronization protocols built on the two-way message exchange. After an introduction to the basic concepts and mathematical models, the optimum estimators are presented for estimating the clock skew and offset that are applicable for any pdf model of the stochastic delays. Robust algorithms that can also handle unknown path asymmetries are presented next. The focus is on techniques that consider practical, relevant measurement models in order to guide the reader from physical observations to the actual synchronization of the clocks at the slave and master.

1

Introduction

The proper functioning of a distributed network is critically dependent on the availability of a standard reference time for the various devices in the network. These devices, typically at different geospatial locations, usually perform timekeeping locally using clock hardware that exploits the periodicity of certain physical phenomena, such as the mechanical resonance of vibrating crystals (in low-cost quartz crystal oscillators), or electromagnetic transitions within cesium or rubidium atoms (available in expensive atomic clocks). However, such timekeeping techniques are subject to errors that can accumulate over large time scales. Further, the cost, size, and complexity of timekeeping hardware are typically proportional to clock stability. As a result, there are often scenarios where it is impractical to locally maintain the clock hardware required to achieve the desired level of stability due to space or budget constraints.

Clock synchronization is a mechanism for providing a standard reference time to various devices across a distributed network. It is critical in modern computer networks because every aspect of managing, securing, planning, and debugging a network involves determining when particular events happen. Time provides the standard frame of reference

for the various devices on the network. A few key areas where time-synchronized information can directly affect network operations are:

1. *Network Fault Diagnosis and Recovery*: Information regarding key network events are usually stored in switches, routers, and other dedicated devices. In case of a network fault or crash, the proper sequence of events can be established, and the root cause can be quickly identified, only if the timestamps associated with the recorded events are synchronized.
2. *File Timestamps*: In a distributed file-sharing system, a master file is maintained by a Network File Sharing (NFS) server for use by remote clients. NFS is network time-dependent. Thus, when presented with duplicate versions of the file, it saves the latest copy. However, if a client is not synchronized to the network and produces a timestamp for a remotely accessed file with a time earlier than the file maintained on the server, the client file, along with any changes, are discarded [65].
3. *Services*: Several user services, including billing and financial services, require highly accurate timestamps.
4. *Miscellaneous*: Many localization, security, and tracking protocols in distributed networks also demand the devices to timestamp their messages and events [74].

One of the most popular mechanisms for achieving standard time across a network is to use the Global Positioning System (GPS) [51, 63]. Each GPS satellite contains multiple atomic clocks that contribute accurate time data to the GPS signals. GPS receivers decode these signals, effectively synchronizing each receiver to the atomic clocks. Although GPS-based timing is very accurate, it may not be feasible to equip every device in a network with a GPS receiver. Further, GPS-based time synchronization requires line-of-sight between the network device and the GPS satellite, a condition that might not be possible for some devices in the network. GPS spoofing is also a serious concern [36, 45, 60, 61].

A popular alternative to GPS-based timing is network time distribution. Here the time from a high-cost, high-stability clock (termed master)

is distributed to low-cost, low-stability clocks (termed slaves) via an interconnecting network. Several clock synchronization protocols based on network time distribution are available in the literature. For instance, the network time protocol (NTP) [52] and the IEEE 1588 precision time protocol (PTP) [32] are widely used in IP networks, while protocols such as the timing protocol for sensor networks (TPSN) [20], lightweight time synchronization protocol (LTS) [70], tiny-sync and mini-sync [62], and reference broadcast time synchronization (RBS) protocol [10] are used in wireless sensor networks. Network time distribution is often more cost-effective than GPS-based timing, as it does not require any dedicated hardware and can often make use of the existing network resources for synchronizing devices across the network.

Though the time synchronization protocols for network time distribution differ from each other in many aspects, a fundamental mechanism common to a number of clock synchronization protocols including TPSN [20], LTS [70], tiny-sync and mini-sync [62], and PTP [32], is the two-way message exchange. This refers to the exchange of messages between a pair of nodes to achieve clock synchronization. During a two-way message exchange, a slave node exchanges a series of synchronization packets with a master node over an interconnecting network and collects timestamps corresponding to the departure and arrival times of these packets. The slave then attempts to utilize these timestamps to correct its clock. However, as with any packet-switched network, the exchanged packets experience difficult to predict (stochastic) delays as they traverse the network. These stochastic delays experienced by packets can significantly degrade the performance of various clock synchronization protocols. In this monograph, we present some recent developments to combat the degrading effects of stochastic delays for clock synchronization protocols based on two-way message exchange.

While the techniques presented in the monograph apply to many applications and any clock synchronization protocol based on two-way message exchanges, we mainly discuss the applications of our results in the context of IEEE 1588 PTP applied to telecommunication networks. IEEE 1588 PTP [32] is a popular time synchronization protocol used in a number of scenarios, including electrical grid networks [18], cellular base station synchronization in 4G Long Term Evolution (LTE) [24, 25],

substation communication networks [33] and industrial control [29]. It is cost-effective and offers accuracy comparable to GPS-based timing. Emerging technologies such as fog computing and industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) networks have identified the IEEE 802.1Q amendment for Time-Sensitive Networking (TSN) as the standard for time-predictable networking [46]. TSN employs PTP to provide a global notion of time over the local area network.

Packet-based time synchronization techniques based on PTP [32] are being increasingly considered as a viable alternative to GPS-based time synchronization as a means to provide sub microsecond-level synchronization between the cellular base stations in 4G LTE mobile networks [24, 25, 28, 55, 56, 73]. Further, PTP has been explored as a possible cost effective solution for synchronizing base stations in 5G new radio (NR) cellular networks [26, 27]. Such a high degree of synchronization accuracy between the cellular base stations ($<1.5 \mu\text{s}$) is necessary for 4G LTE/5G NR cellular networks to enable seamless handovers between cell towers, reduce inter-cell interference, and enable the use of MIMO techniques to improve capacity [2, 26, 27].

Packet-based synchronization based on PTP is often more cost-effective than GPS-based time synchronization as it can utilize the existing mobile backhaul network infrastructure that is used to interconnect cell towers. However, since backhaul networks are typically leased from commercial internet service providers (ISPs), mobile network operators must share their use with other commercial and residential users. Background traffic generated by these users often results in sizeable random network delays that hinder packet-based synchronization. Overcoming this problem is key to the adoption of packet-based synchronization schemes in mobile backhaul networks, especially given that the synchronization accuracy requirements are only expected to grow more stringent in the future.

References

- [1] Abdel-Ghaffar, H. S. (2002). “Analysis of synchronization algorithms with time-out control over networks with exponentially symmetric delays”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 50(10): 1652–1661.
- [2] Anyaegbu, M., C. Wang, and W. Berrie (2012). “A sample-mode packet delay variation filter for IEEE 1588 synchronization”. In: *12th International Conference on ITS Telecommunications*. 1–6. DOI: [10.1109/ITST.2012.6425166](https://doi.org/10.1109/ITST.2012.6425166).
- [3] Balakrishnan, H., V. Padmanabhan, G. Fairhurst, and M. Sooriyabandara (2002). “TCP performance implications of network path asymmetry”. *Tech. rep.* No. RFC 3449.
- [4] Berger, J. (1985). *Statistical Decision Theory and Bayesian Analysis*. 2nd edition. Springer.
- [5] Blewitt, G. (1997). “Basics of the GPS technique: Observation equations”. In: *Geodetic Applications of GPS*. Ed. by B. Johnson. Stockholm: Nordic Geodetic Commission. 10–54.
- [6] Blum, R. S., R. J. Kozick, and B. M. Sadler (1999). “An adaptive spatial diversity receiver for non-Gaussian interference and noise”. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*. 47(8): 2100–2111.

- [7] Chaudhari, Q. M., E. Serpedin, and K. Qaraqe (2008). “On maximum likelihood estimation of clock offset and skew in networks with exponential delays”. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*. 56(4): 1685–1697.
- [8] Chaudhari, Q. M., E. Serpedin, and K. Qaraqe (2010). “On minimum variance unbiased estimation of clock offset in a two-way message exchange mechanism”. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*. 56(6): 2893–2904.
- [9] Draper, N. and H. Smith (1988). *Applied Regression Analysis*. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [10] Elson, J., L. Girod, and D. Estrin (2002). “Fine-grained network time synchronization using reference broadcasts”. *SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev.* 36(SI): 147–163.
- [11] Elson, J., R. M. Karp, C. H. Papadimitriou, and S. Shenker (2004). “Global synchronization in sensor networks”. In: *LATIN 2004: Theoretical Informatics*. Ed. by M. Farach-Colton. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. 609–624.
- [12] Etlzinger, B., B. F. Meyer, F. Hlawatsch, A. Springer, and H. Wymeersch (2016). “Cooperative simultaneous localization and synchronization in mobile agent networks”. *IEEE Transactions in Signal Processing*. 65(14): 3587–3602.
- [13] Etlzinger, B., B. F. Meyer, H. Wymeersch, F. Hlawatsch, and G. Muller (2014). “Cooperative simultaneous localization and synchronization: Towards a low-cost hardware implementation”. In: *Proc. IEEE Sensor Array, Multichan. Signal Processing (SAM) Workshop*. Vol. b. 33–36.
- [14] Etlzinger, B. and H. Wymeersch (2018). “Synchronization and localization in wireless networks”. *Foundations and Trends in Signal Processing*. 12(1): 1–106.
- [15] Fessler, J. A. and A. O. Hero (1994). “Space-alternating generalized expectation-maximization algorithm”. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*. 42(10): 2664–2677.
- [16] Freris, N. M., S. R. Graham, and P. R. Kumar (2011). “Fundamental limits on synchronizing clocks over networks”. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 56(6): 1352–1364.

- [17] Gaderer, G., P. Loschmidt, and T. Sauter (2010). “Improving fault tolerance in high-precision clock synchronization”. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 6(2): 206–215.
- [18] Gaderer, G., T. Sauter, and G. Bumiller (2005). “Clock synchronization in powerline networks”. In: *International Symposium on Power Line Communications and Its Applications, 2005*. 71–75. DOI: [10.1109/ISPLC.2005.1430468](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPLC.2005.1430468).
- [19] Gandhi, P. P. and S. A. Kassam (1991). “Design and performance of combination filters for signal restoration”. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*. 39(7): 1524–1540.
- [20] Ganeriwal, S., R. Kumar, and M. B. Srivastava (2003). “Timing-sync protocol for sensor networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 138–149.
- [21] Guruswamy, A., R. S. Blum, S. Kishore, and M. Borkogna (2015a). “Minimax optimum estimators for phase synchronization in IEEE 1588”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 63(9): 3350–3362.
- [22] Guruswamy, A., R. S. Blum, S. Kishore, and M. Borkogna (2015b). “On the optimum design of L-estimators for phase offset estimation in IEEE 1588”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 63(12): 5101–5115.
- [23] Guruswamy, A., R. S. Blum, S. Kishore, and M. Borkogna (2015c). “Performance lower bounds for phase offset estimation in IEEE 1588 synchronization”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 63(1): 243–253.
- [24] Hadvzic, I. and D. R. Morgan (2010). “Adaptive packet selection for clock recovery”. In: *IEEE International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication*. 42–47. DOI: [10.1109/ISPCS.2010.5609775](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPCS.2010.5609775).
- [25] Hadvzic, I., D. R. Morgan, and Z. Sayeed (2011). “A synchronization algorithm for packet MANs”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 59(4): 1142–1153.
- [26] Han, L. (2017). “Synchronization requirements of 5G and corresponding solutions - China Mobile”. *Tech. rep.*

- [27] Han, L., L. Han, R. Duan, and G. Garner (2017). “Analysis of the synchronization requirements of 5G and corresponding solutions”. *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*. 1(1): 52–58.
- [28] Han, L., H. Li, L. Wang, N. Hua, C. Hu, J. Wang, S. Liu, L. He, Z. Chen, and Y. Xu (2014). “First national high-precision time synchronization network with sub-microsecond accuracy over commercial optical networks for wireless applications”. In: *Asia Communications and Photonics Conference*. AF4B.6.
- [29] Harris, K. (2008). “An application of IEEE 1588 to industrial automation”. In: *2008 IEEE International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication*. 71–76. DOI: [10.1109/ISPCS.2008.4659216](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPCS.2008.4659216).
- [30] Huawei (2016). “IEEE 1588v2 technology white paper”. *Tech. rep.*
- [31] Huber, P. J. (1996). *Robust Statistical Procedures*. Philadelphia, PA, USA: SIAM.
- [32] IEEE (2008). “IEEE standard for a precision clock synchronization protocol for networked measurement and control systems”. *IEEE Std 1588–2008 (Revision of IEEE Std 1588-2002)*. July: 1–300. DOI: [10.1109/IEEESTD.2008.4579760](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEESTD.2008.4579760).
- [33] “IEEE Standard Profile for Use of IEEE 1588 Precision Time Protocol in Power System Applications” (2011). *IEEE Std. C37.238-2011*. July.
- [34] Jeske, D. R. (2005). “On maximum-likelihood estimation of clock offset”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 53(1): 53–54.
- [35] Jeske, D. R. and A. Sampath (2003). “Estimation of clock offset using bootstrap bias-correction techniques”. *Technometrics*. 45: 256–261.
- [36] Jiang, X., J. Zhang, B. J. Harding, J. J. Makela, and A. D. Dominguez-Garcia (2013). “Spoofing GPS receiver clock offset of phasor measurement units”. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 28(3): 3253–3262.
- [37] Kariya, T. and B. K. Sinha (1989). *Robustness of Statistical Tests*. New York, NY, USA: Academic.

- [38] Karthik, A. K. and R. S. Blum (2018a). “Estimation theory-based robust phase offset determination in presence of possible path asymmetries”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 66(4): 1624–1635.
- [39] Karthik, A. K. and R. S. Blum (2018b). “Robust phase offset estimation for IEEE 1588 PTP in electrical grid networks”. In: *2018 IEEE Power Energy Society General Meeting (PESGM)*. 1–5. DOI: [10.1109/PESGM.2018.8586488](https://doi.org/10.1109/PESGM.2018.8586488).
- [40] Karthik, A. K. and R. S. Blum (2019). “Optimum full information, unlimited complexity, invariant, and minimax clock skew and offset estimators for IEEE 1588”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 67(5): 3624–3637.
- [41] Karthik, A. K. and R. S. Blum (n.d.). “Robust clock skew and offset estimation for IEEE 1588 in the presence of unexpected deterministic path delay asymmetries”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. DOI: [10.1109/TCOMM.2020.2991212](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2020.2991212).
- [42] Kim, J., J. Lee, E. Serpedin, and K. Qaraqe (2009). “A robust estimation scheme for clock phase offsets in wireless sensor networks in the presence of non-Gaussian random delays”. *Eurasip Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*. 89: 1155–1161.
- [43] Kim, J., J. Lee, E. Serpedin, and K. Qaraqe (2010). “A robust approach for clock offset estimation in wireless sensor networks”. *Eurasip Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*. 2010: 1–8.
- [44] Kim, J., J. Lee, E. Serpedin, and K. Qaraqe (2011). “Robust clock synchronization in wireless sensor networks through noise density estimation”. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*. 59(7): 3035–3047.
- [45] Kim, T., C. S. Sin, and S. Lee (2012). “Analysis of effect of spoofing signal in GPS receiver”. In: *2012 12th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems*. 2083–2087.
- [46] Kyriakakis, E., J. Sparsø, and M. Schoeberl (2018). “Hardware assisted clock synchronization with the IEEE 1588–2008 precision time protocol”. In: *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Real-Time Networks and Systems. RTNS '18*. Chasseneuil-du-Poitou, France: ACM. 51–60. DOI: [10.1145/3273905.3273920](https://doi.org/10.1145/3273905.3273920).

- [47] Lehmann, E. L. and G. Casella (1998). *Theory of Point Estimation*. 2nd edition. Springer Texts in Statistics.
- [48] Leng, M. and Y. Wu (2010). “On clock synchronization algorithms for wireless sensor networks under unknown delay”. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 59(1): 182–190.
- [49] Li, J. and D. R. Jeske (2009). “Maximum likelihood estimators of clock offset and skew under exponential delays”. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry*. 25(4): 445–459.
- [50] Maróti, M., B. Kusy, G. Simon, and Á. Lédeczi (2004). “The flooding time synchronization protocol”. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems. SenSys '04*. Baltimore, MD, USA: ACM. 39–49. DOI: [10.1145/1031495.1031501](https://doi.org/10.1145/1031495.1031501).
- [51] MeinBerg (n.d.). “Time synchronization in electrical systems”. <https://www.meinbergglobal.com/english/info/time-synchronization-electrical-systems.htm>.
- [52] Mills, D. L. (1991). “Internet time synchronization: the network time protocol”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 39(10): 1482–1493.
- [53] Mizrahi, T. (2012a). “A game theoretic analysis of delay attacks against time synchronization protocols”. In: *2012 IEEE International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication Proceedings*. 1–6. DOI: [10.1109/ISPCS.2012.6336612](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPCS.2012.6336612).
- [54] Mizrahi, T. (2012b). “Slave diversity: Using multiple paths to improve the accuracy of clock synchronization protocols”. In: *2012 IEEE International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication Proceedings*. 1–6. DOI: [10.1109/ISPCS.2012.6336621](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPCS.2012.6336621).
- [55] Mochizuki, B. and I. Hadzic (2010). “Improving IEEE 1588v2 clock performance through controlled packet departures”. *IEEE Communications Letters*. 14(5): 459–461.

- [56] Murakami, T., Y. Horiuchi, and K. Nishimura (2011). “A packet filtering mechanism with a packet delay distribution estimation function for IEEE 1588 time synchronization in a congested network”. In: *2011 IEEE International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication*. 114–119. DOI: [10.1109/ISPCS.2011.6070159](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPCS.2011.6070159).
- [57] Noh, K., Q. M. Chaudhari, E. Serpedin, and B. W. Suter (2007). “Novel clock phase offset and skew estimation using two-way timing message exchanges for wireless sensor networks”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 55(4): 766–777.
- [58] Pettyjohn, J. S., D. R. Jeske, and J. Li (2010). “Least squares-based estimation of relative clock offset and frequency in sensor networks with high latency”. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 58(12): 3613–3620.
- [59] Plataniotis, K. and D. Hatzinakos (2000). “Gaussian mixtures and their applications to signal processing”. In: *Advanced Signal Processing Handbook: Theory and Implementation for Radar, Sonar, and Medical Imaging Real Time Systems*. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC.
- [60] Psiaki, M. L. and T. E. Humphreys (2016). “GNSS spoofing and detection”. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 104(6): 1258–1270.
- [61] Risbud, P., N. Gatsis, and A. Taha (2019). “Vulnerability analysis of smart grids to GPS spoofing”. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. 10(4): 3535–3548.
- [62] Sichitiu, M. L. and C. Veerarittiphan (2003). “Simple, accurate time synchronization for wireless sensor networks”. In: *2003 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking, 2003. WCNC 2003*. Vol. 2. 1266–1273.
- [63] Sterzbach, B. (1997). “GPS-based clock synchronization in a mobile, distributed real-time system”. *Real-Time Systems*. 12(1): 63–75.
- [64] Sun, K., P. Ning, and C. Wang (2006). “TinySeRSync: Secure and resilient time synchronization in wireless sensor networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security. CCS '06*. Alexandria, VA, USA: ACM. 264–277. DOI: [10.1145/1180405.1180439](https://doi.org/10.1145/1180405.1180439).

- [65] Symmetricom (2009). “The importance of network time synchronization”. *Tech. rep.*
- [66] Tellabs (2014). “LTE stretches synchronization to new limits”. *Tech. rep.*
- [67] “Timing and synchronization aspects in packet networks” (2008). *Telecommunication Standardization Sector*. Apr.: 1–300. DOI: [10.1109/IEEESTD.2008.4579760](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEESTD.2008.4579760).
- [68] Ullmann, M. and M. Vögeler (2009). “Delay attacks — Implication on NTP and PTP time synchronization”. In: *2009 International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication*. 1–6. DOI: [10.1109/ISPCS.2009.5340224](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPCS.2009.5340224).
- [69] van Eeden, C. (2006). *Restricted Parameter Space Estimation Problems*. Springer.
- [70] Van Greunen, J. and J. Rabaey (2003). “Lightweight time synchronization for sensor networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Conference on Wireless Sensor Networks and Applications. WSNA '03*. San Diego, CA, USA: ACM. 11–19.
- [71] Vig, J. R. (1992). “Introduction to quartz frequency standards”. *Army Research Laboratory Electronics and Power Sources Directorate Tech. Rep. SLCET-TR-92-1*. Oct.
- [72] Wang, X., D. Jeske, and E. Serpedin (2015). “An overview of a class of clock synchronization algorithms for wireless sensor networks: A statistical signal processing perspective”. *Algorithms*. 8: 590–620.
- [73] Wolter, K., P. Reinecke, and A. Mittermaier (2011). “Model-based evaluation and improvement of PTP synchronization accuracy in packet-switched backhaul networks for mobile applications”. In: *Computer Performance Engineering*. Ed. by N. Thomas. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. 219–234.
- [74] Wu, Y., Q. Chaudhari, and E. Serpedin (2011). “Clock synchronization of wireless sensor networks”. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 28(1): 124–138.

- [75] Zhang, J., R. S. Blum, L. M. Kaplan, and X. Lu (2017). “Functional forms of optimum spoofing attacks for vector parameter estimation in quantized sensor networks”. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*. 65(3): 705–720.