

# **Economic Model Predictive Control: Handling Valve Actuator Dynamics and Process Equipment Considerations**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Systems and Control**

*Nonlinear Model Reduction by Moment Matching*

Giordano Scarciootti and Alessandro Astolfi

ISBN: 978-1-68083-330-0

*Logical Control of Complex Resource Allocation Systems*

Spyros Reveliotis

ISBN: 978-1-68083-250-1

*Observability of Hybrid Dynamical Systems*

Elena De Santis and Maria Domenica Di Benedetto

ISBN: 978-1-68083-220-4

*Operator Splitting Methods in Control*

Giorgos Stathopoulos, Harsh Shukla, Alexander Szucs, Ye Pu and  
Colin N. Jones

ISBN: 978-1-68083-174-0

*Sensor Fault Diagnosis*

Vasso Reppa, Marios M. Polycarpou and Christos G. Panayiotou

ISBN: 978-1-68083-128-3

# Economic Model Predictive Control: Handling Valve Actuator Dynamics and Process Equipment Considerations

---

**Helen Durand**

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1592, USA  
helenelle@ucla.edu

**Panagiotis D. Christofides**

Department of Electrical Engineering  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1592, USA  
pdc@seas.ucla.edu

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

H. Durand and P. D. Christofides. *Economic Model Predictive Control: Handling Valve Actuator Dynamics and Process Equipment Considerations*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 293–350, 2018.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-433-8

© 2018 H. Durand and P. D. Christofides

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control

Volume 5, Issue 4, 2018

## Editorial Board

### Editors-in-Chief

**Panos J. Antsaklis**

University of Notre Dame  
United States

**Alessandro Astolfi**

Imperial College London, United Kingdom  
University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Italy

### Editors

John Baillieuli

*Boston University*

Peter Caines

*McGill University*

Christos Cassandras

*Boston University*

Denis Dochain

*UC Louvain*

Magnus Egerstedt

*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Karl Henrik Johansson

*KTH Stockholm*

Miroslav Krstic

*University of California, San Diego*

Jan Maciejowski

*University of Cambridge*

Dragan Nesic

*The University of Melbourne*

Marios Polycarpou

*University of Cyprus*

Jörg Raisch

*Technical University Berlin*

Arjan van der Schaft

*University of Groningen*

M. Elena Valcher

*University of Padova*

Richard Vinter

*Imperial College London*

George Weiss

*Tel Aviv University*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Control of:
  - Hybrid and Discrete Event Systems
  - Nonlinear Systems
  - Network Systems
  - Stochastic Systems
  - Multi-agent Systems
  - Distributed Parameter Systems
  - Delay Systems
- Filtering, Estimation, Identification
- Optimal Control
- Systems Theory
- Control Applications

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control, 2018, Volume 5, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2325-6818. ISSN online version 2325-6826. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Notation . . . . .	6
2.2	Class of systems . . . . .	6
2.3	Economic model predictive control . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Handling Process-Equipment Considerations within EMPC</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	EMPC accounting for valve behavior . . . . .	10
3.2	EMPC accounting for equipment behavior . . . . .	41
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>47</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>49</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>50</b>

# Economic Model Predictive Control: Handling Valve Actuator Dynamics and Process Equipment Considerations

Helen Durand<sup>1</sup> and Panagiotis D. Christofides<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1592, USA; helenelle@ucla.edu*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1592, USA; pdc@seas.ucla.edu*

---

## ABSTRACT

Chemical process equipment (e.g., sensors, valves, pumps, and vessels) can impact the dynamics, profitability, and safety of plant operation. While continuous chemical processes are typically operated at steady-state, a new control strategy in the literature termed economic model predictive control (EMPC) moves process operation away from the steady-state paradigm toward a potentially time-varying operating strategy to improve process profitability. The EMPC literature is replete with evidence that this new paradigm may enhance process profits when a model of the chemical process provides a sufficiently accurate representation of the process dynamics. Recent work in the EMPC literature has indicated that though the dynamics associated with equipment are often neglected when modeling a chemical process, they can significantly impact the effectiveness

---

Helen Durand and Panagiotis D. Christofides (2018), "Economic Model Predictive Control: Handling Valve Actuator Dynamics and Process Equipment Considerations", *Foundations and Trends® in Systems and Control*: Vol. 5, No. 4, pp 293–350. DOI: 10.1561/2600000015.



of an EMPC (and the potentially time-varying operating policies dictated by an EMPC may impact equipment in ways that have not been previously observed under steady-state operating policies); therefore, equipment dynamics must be accounted for within the design of an EMPC. This monograph analyzes the work that has accounted for valve behavior in EMPC to date to develop insights into the manner in which equipment behavior should impact the design process for EMPC and to provide a perspective on a number of open research topics in this direction.

---

*Keywords:* valve stiction, valve nonlinearities, economic model predictive control, process control, process safety, process equipment

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

The limitations of process equipment (e.g., catalysts, valves, pumps, compressors, heat exchangers, vessels, and sensors), and the manner in which the materials that comprise such equipment change over time, have long been understood to pose issues for chemical process control and therefore have been accounted for in various ways. In the commonly utilized optimization-based controller known as model predictive control (MPC) (Qin and Badgwell, 2003), valve limitations are often accounted for within the control design by setting bounds on the manipulated inputs as constraints (Rawlings, 2000). Issues associated with sensors (e.g., drift and bias) have been accounted for in process control utilizing techniques such as measurement replacement (Kettunen *et al.*, 2008) and output compensation (Prakash *et al.*, 2002). Actuator faults (Venkatasubramanian *et al.*, 2003; Gajjar and Palazoglu, 2016) have been handled through reconfiguration of MPC designs (Mhaskar, 2006; Alanqar *et al.*, 2017c; Lao *et al.*, 2013). Because such equipment limitations have been recognized to play an important role in the effectiveness of MPC designs and in maintaining closed-loop stability and process operational safety, developments in economic model predictive control (EMPC) (Ellis *et al.*, 2014a; Rawlings *et al.*, 2012; Müller

*et al.*, 2015; Amrit *et al.*, 2013; Limon *et al.*, 2014), which is an MPC with a modified objective function (compared to the traditional industrial design) that does not take its minimum at a process steady-state and therefore may operate a process in a time-varying fashion, can incorporate similar techniques. The methods for accounting for equipment limitations just described are handled at the design stage of MPC/EMPC when it is still possible to add appropriate constraints and abilities for model updating or controller reconfiguration to the control system.

Despite recognition of the importance of accounting for equipment limitations like hard bounds and equipment failure in MPC and EMPC, little emphasis has been placed on accounting for equipment behavior in a dynamic context. Though it could be argued that the traditional methods utilized for model updating in MPC based on process data (Marlin and Hrymak, 1996) and data-based on-line model update methods for EMPC (Alanqar *et al.*, 2017b) can account for time-varying process dynamics attributable to equipment issues such as catalyst deactivation and heat exchanger fouling, these methods do not explicitly analyze the dynamic behavior of equipment to understand how it may, like other limitations/failure mechanisms of equipment, imply that adjustment may need to be made to MPC/EMPC designs at the design stage. Several works on MPC accounting for valve behavior through various constraints (e.g., Zabiri and Samyudia, 2006; del Carmen Rodríguez Liñán and Heath, 2012) have appeared. However, these have not taken the dynamic behavior of the valves explicitly into account in the dynamic model utilized for making state predictions. Srinivasan and Rengaswamy (2008) explored a compensation method for valve stiction in which a compensating signal to be added to the output of a linear controller for a process is computed by an optimization problem with a model that includes a data-driven stiction model (it is EMPC-like, taking advantage of a prediction horizon to compute a number of compensating signals throughout this horizon and only applying the first). Several recent works (e.g., Durand *et al.*, 2017; Durand and Christofides, 2016; Bacci di Capaci *et al.*, 2017) have focused on explicitly accounting for the dynamic behavior of valves in MPC/EMPC. It has been demonstrated that in addition to updates to the model utilized for making state

predictions in MPC/EMPC to handle the valve behavior, adjustments may also need to be made to the design itself, incorporating different constraints than in the case that the valve dynamics can be neglected. Furthermore, the time-varying nature of the input trajectories that may be set up under an EMPC may cause equipment considerations to become relevant that may not have been previously observed when steady-state tracking was the operational goal.

Motivated by these recent developments indicating that accounting for dynamic valve behavior in control design can be critical to the success of an MPC/EMPC formulation, we focus in this work on analyzing the literature related to valve behavior in EMPC to bring to the forefront the notion that despite the general trend in the literature toward neglecting equipment behavior, equipment behavior should be accounted for within EMPC at the design stage. Using the literature focused on accounting for valve behavior in EMPC as a guide, we highlight the necessity of accounting for equipment behavior in EMPC from an economics and a constraint satisfaction viewpoint and also indicate that it may not be possible to develop EMPCs without accounting for equipment behavior and then expect that all results will readily translate to the case with equipment behavior accounted for in the model utilized for making state predictions. To demonstrate this, we select several recent EMPC developments which have not explicitly considered process-valve or process-equipment systems within the design, and suggest that the relevant dynamics of process-equipment systems may not fit within the traditional set of assumptions developed when equipment behavior is neglected. Therefore, equipment behavior must be considered from the start of EMPC design; if it is not, it may be necessary to assess whether developments in the literature can be directly applied to practical systems in which equipment plays a role before utilizing such designs.

## References

---

- Aiming, F., L. Jinming, and T. Ziyun (1995). “Failure analysis of the impeller of a slurry pump subjected to corrosive wear”. *Wear*. 181–183: 876–882.
- Alanqar, A., H. Durand, F. Albalawi, and P. D. Christofides (2017a). “An economic model predictive control approach to integrated production management and process operation”. *AIChE Journal*. 63: 1892–1906.
- Alanqar, A., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2015a). “On identification of well-conditioned nonlinear systems: Application to economic model predictive control of nonlinear processes”. *AIChE Journal*. 61: 3353–3373.
- Alanqar, A., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2017b). “Error-triggered on-line model identification for model-based feedback control”. *AIChE Journal*. 63: 949–966.
- Alanqar, A., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2017c). “Fault-tolerant economic model predictive control using error-triggered online model identification”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 56: 5652–5667.
- Alanqar, A., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2015b). “Economic model predictive control of nonlinear process systems using empirical models”. *AIChE Journal*. 61: 816–830.

- Albalawi, F., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2017a). “Distributed economic model predictive control for operational safety of nonlinear processes”. *AIChE Journal*. 63: 3404–3418.
- Albalawi, F., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2017b). “Process operational safety using model predictive control based on a process Safeness Index”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 104: 76–88.
- Albalawi, F., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2017c). “Process operational safety via model predictive control: Results and future research directions”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. in press.
- Alessandretti, A., A. P. Aguiar, and C. N. Jones (2016). “On convergence and performance certification of a continuous-time economic model predictive control scheme with time-varying performance index”. *Automatica*. 68: 305–313.
- Alfani, F. and J. J. Carberry (1970). “An exploratory kinetic study of ethylene oxidation over an unmoderated supported silver catalyst”. *La Chimica e L’Industria*. 52: 1192–1196.
- Amrit, R., J. B. Rawlings, and D. Angeli (2011). “Economic optimization using model predictive control with a terminal cost”. *Annual Reviews in Control*. 35: 178–186.
- Amrit, R., J. B. Rawlings, and L. T. Biegler (2013). “Optimizing process economics online using model predictive control”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 58: 334–343.
- Anderko, A., N. Sridhar, L. T. Yang, S. L. Grise, B. J. Saldanha, and M. H. Dorsey (2005). “Validation of localised corrosion model using real time corrosion monitoring in a chemical plant”. *Corrosion Engineering, Science, and Technology*. 40: 33–42.
- Bacci di Capaci, R., M. Vaccari, and G. Pannocchia (2017). “A valve stiction tolerant formulation of MPC for industrial processes”. In: *Proceedings of the 20th IFAC World Congress*. Toulouse, France. 9374–9379.
- Bishop, T., M. Chapeaux, L. Jaffer, K. Nair, and S. Patel (2002). “Ease control valve selection”. *Chemical Engineering Progress*: 52–56.
- Bohnet, M. (1987). “Fouling of heat transfer surfaces”. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*. 10: 113–125.

- Bonaccorsi, L., E. Guglielmino, R. Pino, C. Servetto, and A. Sili (2014). “Damage analysis in Fe-Cr-Ni centrifugally cast alloy tubes for reforming furnaces”. *Engineering Failure Analysis*. 36: 65–74.
- Brásio, A. S. R., A. Romanenko, and N. C. P. Fernandes (2014). “Modeling, detection and quantification, and compensation of stiction in control loops: The state of the art”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 53: 15020–15040.
- Camacho, E. F. and C. Bordons (2007). *Model Predictive Control*. 2nd ed. London, England: Springer-Verlag.
- Canudas de Wit, C., H. Olsson, K. J. Åström, and P. Lischinsky (1995). “A new model for control of systems with friction”. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 40: 419–425.
- Center for Chemical Process Safety (2017). *Guidelines for Safe Automation of Chemical Processes*. 2nd ed. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Chen, X., M. Heidarinejad, J. Liu, and P. D. Christofides (2012). “Distributed economic MPC: Application to a nonlinear chemical process network”. *Journal of Process Control*. 22: 689–699.
- Choudhury, M. A. A. S., N. F. Thornhill, and S. L. Shah (2005). “Modelling valve stiction”. *Control Engineering Practice*. 13: 641–658.
- Coughanowr, D. R. and S. E. Leblanc (2009). *Process Systems Analysis and Control*. 3rd ed. Boston, Massachusetts: McGraw-Hill.
- del Carmen Rodríguez Liñán, M. and W. P. Heath (2012). “MPC for plants subject to saturation and deadzone, backlash or stiction”. In: *Proceedings of the 4th IFAC Nonlinear Model Predictive Control Conference*. Noordwijkerhout, The Netherlands. 418–423.
- Diehl, M., R. Amrit, and J. B. Rawlings (2011). “A Lyapunov function for economic optimizing model predictive control”. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 56: 703–707.
- Du, J., J. Park, I. Harjunkoski, and M. Baldea (2015). “A time scale-bridging approach for integrating production scheduling and process control”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 79: 59–69.
- Durand, H. and P. D. Christofides (2016). “Actuator stiction compensation via model predictive control for nonlinear processes”. *AIChE Journal*. 62: 2004–2023.

- Durand, H., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2014). “Integrated design of control actuator layer and economic model predictive control for nonlinear processes”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 53: 20000–20012.
- Durand, H., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2016). “Economic model predictive control designs for input rate-of-change constraint handling and guaranteed economic performance”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 92: 18–36.
- Durand, H., R. Parker, A. Alanqar, and P. D. Christofides (2017). “Elucidating and handling effects of valve-induced nonlinearities in industrial feedback control loops”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. in press.
- Ellis, M., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2014a). “A tutorial review of economic model predictive control methods”. *Journal of Process Control*. 24: 1156–1178.
- Ellis, M., H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2016). “Elucidation of the role of constraints in economic model predictive control”. *Annual Reviews in Control*. 41: 208–217.
- Ellis, M., J. Zhang, J. Liu, and P. D. Christofides (2014b). “Robust moving horizon estimation based output feedback economic model predictive control”. *Systems & Control Letters*. 68: 101–109.
- Fang, Y. and A. Armaou (2016). “Carleman approximation based quasi-analytic model predictive control for nonlinear systems”. *AIChE Journal*. 62: 3915–3929.
- Finšgar, M. and J. Jackson (2014). “Application of corrosion inhibitors for steels in acidic media for the oil and gas industry: A review”. *Corrosion Science*. 86: 17–41.
- Flores-Tlacuahuac, A. and I. E. Grossmann (2010). “Simultaneous scheduling and control of multiproduct continuous parallel lines”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 49: 7909–7921.
- Gajjar, S. and A. Palazoglu (2016). “A data-driven multidimensional visualization technique for process fault detection and diagnosis”. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*. 154: 122–136.
- Garcia, C. (2008). “Comparison of friction models applied to a control valve”. *Control Engineering Practice*. 16: 1231–1243.



- Garcia-Arriaga, V., J. Alvarez-Ramirez, M. Amaya, and E. Sosa (2010). “H<sub>2</sub>S and O<sub>2</sub> influence on the corrosion of carbon steel immersed in a solution containing 3 M diethanolamine”. *Corrosion Science*. 52: 2268–2279.
- Hägglund, T. (2002). “A friction compensator for pneumatic control valves”. *Journal of Process Control*. 12: 897–904.
- Ul-Hamid, A., H. M. Tawancy, A.-R. I. Mohammed, and N. M. Abbas (2006). “Failure analysis of furnace radiant tubes exposed to excessive temperature”. *Engineering Failure Analysis*. 13: 1005–1021.
- He, Q. P., J. Wang, M. Pottmann, and S. J. Qin (2007). “A curve fitting method for detecting valve stiction in oscillating control loops”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 46: 4549–4560.
- Heidarinejad, M., J. Liu, and P. D. Christofides (2012). “Economic model predictive control of nonlinear process systems using Lyapunov techniques”. *AIChE Journal*. 58: 855–870.
- Hermans, M. and E. Delarue (2017). “Modeling start-up modes and corresponding cycling costs in the unit commitment problem”. In: *Proceedings of IEEE PowerTech Manchester*. Manchester, United Kingdom.
- Intertek (2017). “Utility Cycling Advisor™”. <http://www.intertek.com/power-generation/cycling-advisor/> Accessed 2017-08-20.
- Kano, M., H. Maruta, H. Kugemoto, and K. Shimizu (2004). “Practical model and detection algorithm for valve stiction”. In: *Proceedings of the IFAC Symposium on Dynamics and Control of Process Systems*. Cambridge, Massachusetts. 859–864.
- Kettunen, M., P. Zhang, and S.-L. Jämsä-Jounela (2008). “An embedded fault detection, isolation and accommodation system in a model predictive controller for an industrial benchmark process”. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 32: 2966–2985.
- Khan, F. I. and S. A. Abbasi (1999). “Major accidents in process industries and an analysis of causes and consequences”. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*. 12: 361–378.
- Khan, F. I. and S. A. Abbasi (2001). “Risk analysis of a typical chemical industry using ORA procedure”. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*. 14: 43–59.

- Kidam, K. and M. Hurme (2013). “Analysis of equipment failures as contributors to chemical process accidents”. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*. 91: 61–78.
- Kletz, T. (2009). *What Went Wrong?: Case Histories of Process Plant Disasters and How They Could Have Been Avoided*. 5th ed. Burlington, Massachusetts: Elsevier.
- Kumar, N., P. Besuner, S. Lefton, D. Agan, and D. Hilleman (2012). “Power Plant Cycling Costs, Subcontract Report NREL/SR-5500-55433”. Technical Report, National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Lao, L., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2013). “Proactive fault-tolerant model predictive control”. *AIChE Journal*. 59: 2810–2820.
- Lao, L., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2014a). “Economic model predictive control of parabolic PDE systems: Addressing state estimation and computational efficiency”. *Journal of Process Control*. 24: 448–462.
- Lao, L., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2014b). “Economic model predictive control of transport-reaction processes”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 53: 7382–7396.
- Lao, L., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2014c). “Smart manufacturing: Handling preventive actuator maintenance and economics using model predictive control”. *AIChE Journal*. 60: 2179–2196.
- Lao, L., M. Ellis, and P. D. Christofides (2015a). “Handling state constraints and economics in feedback control of transport-reaction processes”. *Journal of Process Control*. 32: 98–108.
- Lao, L., M. Ellis, H. Durand, and P. D. Christofides (2015b). “Real-time preventive sensor maintenance using robust moving horizon estimation and economic model predictive control”. *AIChE Journal*. 61: 3374–3389.
- Limon, D., M. Pereira, D. Muñoz de la Peña, T. Alamo, and J. M. Grosso (2014). “Single-layer economic model predictive control for periodic operation”. *Journal of Process Control*. 24: 1207–1224.
- Liu, J., X. Chen, D. Muñoz de la Peña, and P. D. Christofides (2010). “Sequential and iterative architectures for distributed model predictive control of nonlinear process systems”. *AIChE Journal*. 56: 2137–2149.

- Mannan, S. (2012). *Lees' Loss Prevention in the Process Industries—Hazard Identification, Assessment and Control*. 4th ed. Waltham, Massachusetts: Elsevier.
- Marlin, T. E. and A. N. Hrymak (1996). “Real-time operations optimization of continuous processes”. In: *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Chemical Process Control*. Tahoe City, California. 156–164.
- Mhaskar, P. and A. B. Kennedy (2008). “Robust model predictive control of nonlinear process systems: Handling rate constraints”. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 63: 366–375.
- Mhaskar, P. (2006). “Robust model predictive control design for fault-tolerant control of process systems”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 45: 8565–8574.
- Müller, M. A., D. Angeli, and F. Allgöwer (2015). “On necessity and robustness of dissipativity in economic model predictive control”. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 60: 1671–1676.
- Müller, M. A. and F. Allgöwer (2017). “Economic and distributed model predictive control: Recent developments in optimization-based control”. *SICE Journal of Control, Measurement, and System Integration*. 10: 39–52.
- Okrajni, J., K. Mutwil, and M. Cieřła (2005). “Chemical pipelines material fatigue”. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 164–165: 897–904.
- Özgülşen, F., R. A. Adomaitis, and A. Çinar (1992). “A numerical method for determining optimal parameter values in forced periodic operation”. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 47: 605–613.
- Pacheco, M. A. and E. E. Petersen (1984). “On the development of a catalyst fouling model”. *Journal of Catalysis*. 88: 400–408.
- Pariyani, A., W. D. Seider, U. G. Oktem, and M. Soroush (2010). “Incidents investigation and dynamic analysis of large alarm databases in chemical plants: A fluidized-catalytic-cracking unit case study”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 49: 8062–8079.
- Polley, G. T., D. I. Wilson, B. L. Yeap, and S. J. Pugh (2002). “Evaluation of laboratory crude oil threshold fouling data for application to refinery pre-heat trains”. *Applied Thermal Engineering*. 22: 777–788.

- Prakash, J., S. C. Patwardhan, and S. Narasimhan (2002). "A Supervisory Approach to Fault-Tolerant Control of Linear Multivariable Systems". *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 41: 2270–2281.
- Qin, S. J. and T. A. Badgwell (2003). "A survey of industrial model predictive control technology". *Control Engineering Practice*. 11: 733–764.
- Rawlings, J. B. (2000). "Tutorial overview of model predictive control". *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*: 38–52.
- Rawlings, J. B., D. Angeli, and C. N. Bates (2012). "Fundamentals of economic model predictive control". In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. Maui, Hawaii. 3851–3861.
- Srinivasan, R. and R. Rengaswamy (2008). "Approaches for efficient stiction compensation in process control valves". *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 32: 218–229.
- Tong, C., A. Palazoglu, N. H. El-Farra, and X. Yan (2015). "Energy demand management for process systems through production scheduling and control". *AIChE Journal*. 61: 3756–3769.
- Van den Bergh, K. and E. Delarue (2015). "Cycling of conventional power plants: Technical limits and actual costs". *Energy Conversion and Management*. 97: 70–77.
- Venkatasubramanian, V., R. Rengaswamy, and S. N. Kavuri (2003). "A review of process fault detection and diagnosis: Part II: Qualitative models and search strategies". *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 27: 313–326.
- Wächter, A. and L. T. Biegler (2006). "On the implementation of an interior-point filter line-search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming". *Mathematical Programming*. 106: 25–57.
- Yeap, B. L., D. I. Wilson, G. T. Polley, and S. J. Pugh (2004). "Mitigation of crude oil refinery heat exchanger fouling through retrofits based on thermo-hydraulic fouling models". *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 82: 53–71.
- Zabiri, H. and Y. Samyudia (2006). "A hybrid formulation and design of model predictive control for systems under actuator saturation and backlash". *Journal of Process Control*. 16: 693–709.

- Zhang, L. and N. A. Seaton (1996). “Simulation of catalyst fouling at the particle and reactor levels”. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 51: 3257–3272.
- Zhuge, J. and M. G. Ierapetritou (2012). “Integration of scheduling and control with closed loop implementation”. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 51: 8550–8565.