Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management

Other titles in Foundations and Trends $^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Inventory Management: Modeling Real-life Supply Chains and Empirical Validity Ton de Kok ISBN: 978-1-68083-416-1

Operations in Financial Services: Processes, Technologies, and Risks Michael Pinedo and Yuqian Xu ISBN: 978-1-68083-336-2

Integrated Risk Management in Supply Chains Panos Kouvelis, Ling Dong and Danko Turcic (eds.) ISBN: 978-1-68083-378-2

Supply Chain Finance Panos Kouvelis, Ling Dong and Danko Turcic (eds.) ISBN: 978-1-68083-376-8

Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management

Edited by

Panos Kouvelis

Olin Business School Washington University in St. Louis, USA kouvelis@wustl.edu

Ling Dong

Olin Business School Washington University in St. Louis, USA dong@wustl.edu

Danko Turcic Olin Business School Washington University in St. Louis, USA turcic@wustl.edu



Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 United States Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The content of the book was originally published in Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management, vol. 12, nos. 2-3.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-555-7 © 2019 Now Publishers Inc

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Volume 12, Issue 2-3, 2019 Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Charles Corbett UCLA, Anderson School of Management United States

Editors

Fernando Bernstein Duke University

Cheryl Gaimon Georgia Institute of Technology

Uday Karmarkar University of California, Los Angeles

Sunder Kekre Carnegie Mellon University

Panos Kouvelis Washington University

Michael Lapré Vanderbilt University

Karl Ulrich University of Pennsylvania

Luk van Wassenhove ${\it INSEAD}$

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Commerce
- Business Process Engineering and Design
- Business Process Outsourcing
- Capacity Planning
- Competitive Operations
- Contracting in Supply Chains
- E-Commerce and E-Business Models
- Electronic markets, auctions and exchanges
- Enterprise Management Systems
- Facility Location
- Information Chain Structure and Competition
- International Operations
- Marketing/Manufacturing Interfaces
- Multi-location inventory theory

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management, 2019, Volume 12, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1571-9545. ISSN online version 1571-9553. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

- New Product & Service Design
- Queuing Networks
- Reverse Logistics
- Service Logistics and Product Support
- Supply Chain Management
- Technology Management and Strategy
- Technology, Information and Operations in:
 - Automotive Industries
 - Electronics manufacturing
 - Financial Services
 - Health Care
 - Media and Entertainment
 - Process Industries
 - Retailing
 - Telecommunications

Contents

Introduction and Conceptual Overview of Contents P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic	1
Part 1: Supply Chain Finance	5
Material and Cash Flow in Two-Tier Supply Chain with Trade Credits and Defaults <i>M. C. Chou, CP. Teo and YG. Zhong</i>	6
Financing Suppliers under Performance Risk C. S. Tang and S.A. Yang and J. Wu	22
Blockchain and other Distributed Ledger Technologies in Operations V. Babich and G. Hilary	39
Cash Beer Game K. Shang	60
Part 2: Financial Hedging and Commodity Risks	76

A Framework of Hedging Decisions for Supply Chain Partners P. Kouvelis, X. Wu, and Y. Xiao	77
Data and Risk Analytics for Production Panning L. Wang and D. D. Yao	89
Risk Management in Commodity Processing Firms: An Equilibrium View H. Ghoddusi	107
Quadratic Hedging of Commodity and Energy Cash Flows <i>N. Secomandi</i>	128
Optimal Positioning in the Derivative Market: Review, Foundations, and Trends P. Guiotto and A. Roncoroni	142
Part 3: Operational Strategies and Risk Management	168
Corn, Soybean or Fallow: Dynamic Farmland Allocation under Uncertainty <i>O. Boyabatlı, J. Nasiry and Y. Zhou</i>	169
Disruption Risk Management in Serial Multi-Echelon Supply Chains: Where to hold Risk Mitigation Inventory and Reserve Capacity F. Lücker, S. Chopra and R. W. Seifert	187
Financial Incentives to Avoid Major Quality Problems in a Supply Chain S. A. Slotnick and M. J. Sobel	205
Specific Capacity Investment in Supply Chains with Renegotiation <i>Q. Hu</i>	223

Introduction and Conceptual Overview of Contents

On May 13-14, 2018, The Boeing Center for Supply Chain Innovation at the Olin Business School, Washington University in St. Louis organized the fourth mini-conference on Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. The conference was by invitation only to the world-class academicians and researchers in the field, and over 40 such prominent thought leaders in the field attended it. There were 18 research presentations, and panel sessions on future research directions and on developments of teaching material for the field. At the same time, we announced that an edited volume of short paper versions of presented results in the conference and other relevant research on the conference theme was going to be prepared. We solicited submissions, and after a rigorous review process, the submitted material was edited and accepted for appearing in the volume. What you now have in your hands is the outcome of this process. We believe it best reflects the state-of-the-art in research thought leadership in supply chain finance and risk management, and it contains great expository pieces on how advanced technologies are shaping supply chains and risk management within them. You will also find ideas on how supply chain finance and risk management can be best taught in our classrooms.

We have divided the volume into three parts, each part reflecting a major discussion theme in our conference and an active research area of the field. These three parts are:

Part 1: Supply Chain Finance

Part 2: Financial Hedging and Commodity Risks

Part 3: Operational Strategies and Risk Management.

We will provide below a quick exposition of material in each part of the volume to facilitate the selection of articles the reader might be more interested in focusing on as they efficiently search for the most relevant topics to their own interests.

Part 1 of the volume deals with the broad area of supply chain finance and programs that will better allow for working capital management within supply chains. The first two papers are focused on understanding ways to better finance supply chain needs, while the third paper projects the challenges and capabilities of Blockchain technology when applied in complex global supply chains. The last paper presents an innovative way to use the popular "beer game" to introduce concepts of financial constraints in supply chain management and the study of the "bullwhip effect" within it.

In "Material and Cash Flow in Two-Tier Supply Chain with Trade Credits and Defaults" a one-warehouse multiple retailer distribution system is analyzed, and the decisions involve inventory replenishment and trade credit offerings. Pre-shipment financing programs are the subject of study of "Financing Suppliers under Performance Risk." The two schemes looked carefully at are purchase order financing, with financial institutions issuing loans to suppliers based on purchase orders, and buyer-direct-financing, with the buyer also lending directly to the supplier. Blockchain technology has been the darling of both the finance and the supply chain communities in terms of the potential of its applications in creating credible cryptocurrencies and increasing the visibility of global supply chains. In "Blockchain and other Distributed Ledger Technologies in Operations", the reader is given a basic understanding of the technology, and then the discussion focuses on how it can help in managing operations and supply chain processes. In an objective way, the paper also points out the "bottlenecks" in successful implementation of the technology and issues that may reduce its projected impact. Finally, "Cash Beer Game" offers insights on an innovative way to use the popular online "Beer Game," which is used to demonstrate "bullwhip effect" within complex supply chains, to teach interactions between constrained financial flows (capital constraints result in borrowing bank loans) and inventory and ordering decisions within a four stage serial supply chain. It can be used as a nice experiential introduction to supply chain finance issues for undergraduate or graduate courses on the subject.

Part 2 of the volume introduces concepts of hedging financial and operational risks due to uncertain commodity prices, fluctuating exchange rates, and volatile interest rates. Emphasis is placed on understanding how financial hedges can be used for hedging relevant supply chain risks in a way that reflects the modern view of financial risk management, it is not speculative, and exploits the opportunity of hedging not at a single firm level but across the whole supply chain. An excellent exposition of issues and strategies of supply chain hedging appears in "A Framework of Hedging Decisions for Supply Chain Partners." Offering a more pragmatic managerial approach in bringing risk management concerns in traditional production planning settings under demand uncertainty, the "Data and Risk Analytics for Production Panning" explains how pre-set profit targets subject to relevant risk measures around deviations from such targets is an effective way to deal with such issues. The paper offers interesting insights into the simultaneous production plan and hedging strategy for a pre-set profit target.

Commodity price uncertainties were the risk topic that attracted the most attention in Part 2. "Risk Management in Commodity Processing Firms: An Equilibrium View" studies the problem of a commodity processor who is subjected to both supply and demand shocks and chooses the optimal production quantity. It identifies an optimal production and hedging policy and offers intuitive insights into the nature of an optimal operating policy. Getting more into the details of hedging policies, "Quadratic Hedging of Commodity and Energy Cash Flows" studies how quadratic hedging can be used to effectively hedge exposures to commodity prices, with special interest of energy market applications, in the presence of incomplete markets. A very interesting overview and a conceptual framework on explaining drivers of profitability of commodity processors is offered in "Optimal Positioning in the Derivative Market: Review, Foundations, and Trends". 4

Topics and solution approaches reflecting the more traditional treatments in the contemporary literature of operational and supply chain risks are part of the potpourri collection of Part 3. "Corn, Soybean or Fallow: Dynamic Farmland Allocation under Uncertainty" deals with commodity risks in agribusiness supply chains, and offers a technical production planning decision treatment in coming up with optimal planting decisions. As the title implies, "Disruption Risk Management in Serial Multi-Echelon Supply Chains: Where to hold Risk Mitigation Inventory and Reserve Capacity" looks into inventory placement and reserve capacity decisions within serial supply chains subjected to random disruptions. Traditional quality risks with procurement settings and how could be better managed through financial incentives offered to the involved decision agents is the topic of "Financial Incentives to Avoid Major Quality Problems in a Supply Chain". Finally, the issue of getting first-best investment levels from buyers and suppliers in a bilateral chain with renegotiation processes is the topic of "Specific Capacity Investment in Supply Chains with Renegotiation".

We hope you will enjoy the rich treatment offered in our edited volume of newly defined problems from current challenges, and thoughtprovoking approaches and solutions in dealing with them. Furthermore, you will enjoy reading the rich implications for future research directions in efforts to master the new complexities and uncertainties of the global business environment and better understand the impact of advanced technologies in global supply chains.

> Panos Kouvelis Ling Dong Danko Turcic Olin Business School Washington University in St. Louis USA

Material and Cash Flow in Two-Tier Supply Chain with Trade Credits and Defaults

Mabel C. Chou¹, Chung-Piaw Teo² and Yuan-Guang Zhong³

¹Department of Analytics and Operations, NUS Business School, National University of Singapore, Singapore; bizchoum@nus.edu.sg ²Department of Analytics and Operations, NUS Business School, National University of Singapore, Singapore; bizteocp@nus.edu.sg ³School of Business Administration, South China University of Technology, China; bmygzhong@scut.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

We develop a supply chain finance and inventory model to understand how trade credit terms affect a firm's financing costs and inventory decision along the supply chain. In particular, we study the following question: how should a warehouse (or distributor) receiving trade credits from an external supplier share and extend the trade credit terms to her customers (i.e. retailers)? How does this financial flow affect the replenishment decisions (i.e. material flow) in the system? We use the classical echelon inventory approach to synthesize the effects of trade credits in a one-warehouse-multi-retailer system. Payment default from retailers are considered and trade credit limit is used as a risk management tool. Interestingly, we show that longer credit terms from the external supplier may not necessarily translates into longer credit terms for the retailers in some supply chain environments.

Mabel C. Chou, Chung-Piaw Teo and Yuan-Guang Zhong (2019), "Material and Cash Flow in Two-Tier Supply Chain with Trade Credits and Defaults", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 119–134. DOI: 10.1561/0200000081.

- Babich, V. and C. S. Tang. 2012. "Managing Opportunistic Supplier Product Adulteration: Deferred Payments, Inspection, and Combined Mechanisms". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management.* 14(2): 301–314.
- Banerjee, S., S. Dasgupta, and Y. Kim. 2007. "Buyer-supplier relationships and trade credit". Working paper. Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. URL: http://ssrn.com/abstract=590482.
- Chan, C. ., Y. C. E. Lee, and S. K. Goyal. 2010. "A delayed payment method in co-ordinating a single-vendor multi-buyer supply chain". *International Journal of Production Economics*. 127(1): 95–102.
- Chan, L. M., A. Muriel, M. Z. J. Shen, D. S. Levi, and C. P. Teo. 2002. "Effective zero inventory ordering policies for the single-warehouse multi-retailer problem with piecewise linear cost structures". *Management Science*. 48(11): 1446–1460.
- Chu, L. and M. Z. J. Shen. 2010. "A power-of-two ordering policy for one-warehouse multi-retailer systems". Operations Research. 58(2): 492–502.
- Ferrando, A. and K. Mulier. 2011. "Do firms use the trade credit channel to finance growth?" Working paper. European Central Bank.
- Goyal, S. K. 1985. "Economic order quantity under conditions of permissible delay in payments". Journal of the Operational Research Society. 36(4): 335–338.

- Jaber, M. and S. K. Goyal. 2008. "Coordinating a three-level supply chain with multiple suppliers, a vendor and multiple buyers". *International Journal of the Production Economics*. 116(1): 95–103.
- Klapper, L., L. Laeven, and R. Rajan. 2012. "Trade credit contracts". *The Review of Financial Studies*. 25(3): 838–867.
- Levi, R., R. Roundy, D. B. Shmoys, and M. Sviridenko. 2008. "A constant approximation algorithm for the one warehouse multiretailer problem". *Management Science*. 54(4): 763–776.
- Lim, W. S., J. Ou, and C. Teo. 2003. "Inventory cost effect of consolidating several one-warehouse multiretailer systems". Operations Research. 51(4): 668–672.
- Pfohl, H. C. and M. Gomm. 2009. "Supply chain finance: optimizing financial flows in supply chains". *Logistics Researchs*. 1: 149–161.
- Rahman, F. 2008. "Three-echelon supply chain delivery policy with trade credit consideration. A Thesis of Master of Science in Industrial Engineering". Thesis of Master of Science in Industrial Engineering. Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College.
- Roundy, R. 1985. "Effective integer-ratio lot sizing for one warehouse multi-retailer systems". *Management Science*. 31(11): 1416–14309.
- Scherr, F. C. 1996. "Optimal Trade Credit Limits". Financial Management. 25(1): 71–85.
- Stauffer, G. 2012. "Using the economical order quantity formula for inventory control in one-warehouse multiretailer systems". Naval Research Logistics. 59(3-4): 285–297.
- Teo, C. P. and D. Bertsimas. 2001. "Multistage lotsizing via randomized rounding". *Operations Research*. 49(4): 599–608.

Financing Suppliers under Performance Risk

Christopher S. Tang¹, S. Alex Yang² and Jing Wu³

¹Anderson School of Management, UCLA; chris.tang@anderson.ucla.edu ²The University of Hong Kong; sayang@hku.hk ³College of Business, City University of Hong Kong; jing.wu@city.edu.hk

ABSTRACT

This chapter focuses on the relative efficiency of two innovation pre-shipment financing schemes that enable suppliers to obtain financing for production: purchase order financing (POF, under which financial institutions offer loans to suppliers by considering the value of purchase orders) and buyer direct financing (BDF, under which manufacturers lend directly to suppliers). Both schemes are closely related to suppliers' *performance risk* (whether the supplier can deliver the order successfully). When the manufacturer and the bank have symmetric information regarding the supplier's operational capabilitiv, we find that even though POF and BDF yield the same payoffs, BDF allows more flexibility in contract terms. However, when the manufacturer has superior information, BDF leads to higher payoffs when the supplier is severely financially constrained. The relative benefit of BDF is more pronounced when the supply market contains a larger fraction of inefficient suppliers, when efficiency gaps between suppliers are greater, or when the manufacturer's alternative sourcing option is more expensive.

Christopher S. Tang, S. Alex Yang and Jing Wu (2019), "Financing Suppliers under Performance Risk", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 135–151. DOI: 10.1561/0200000091.

- Babich, V. and P. Kouvelis. 2018. "Introduction to the Special Issue on Research at the Interface of Finance, Operations, and Risk Management (iFORM): Recent Contributions and Future Directions". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 20(1): 1–18.
- Fung, V. K., W. K. Fung, and Y. J. R. Wind. 2007. Competing in a flat world: building enterprises for a borderless world. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Gustin, D. 2014. "Purchase order finance, the tough nut to crack". Accessed at August 20, 2015. URL: http://spendmatters.com/ tfmatters/purchase-order-finance-the-tough-nut-to-crack/.
- Tang, C. S. 2006. "Perspectives in supply chain risk management". International Journal of Production Economics. 103(2): 451–488.
- Tang, C. S., S. A. Yang, and J. Wu. 2018. "Sourcing from Suppliers with Financial Constraints and Performance Risk". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 20(1): 70–84.
- Tice, C. 2010. "Can a Purchase Order Loan Keep Your Business Growing?" Accessed at March 10, 2015. URL: http://www.entrepreneur. com/article/207058.
- Yang, S. A. and J. R. Birge. 2018. "Trade Credit, Risk Sharing, and Inventory Financing Portfolios". *Management Science*. 64(8): 3667– 3689.

Blockchain and other Distributed Ledger Technologies in Operations

Volodymyr Babich¹ and Gilles Hilary²

¹Georgetown University; vob2@georgetown.edu ²Georgetown University; Gilles.Hilary@georgetown.edu

ABSTRACT

Blockchain is a form of distributed ledger technology (DLT) that has grown in prominence, although its full potential and possible downsides are not yet fully understood, especially with respect to Operations Management (OM). This manuscript contributes to filling in this gap. We identify three research themes in applying Blockchain technology to OM, illustrated through several applications to OM problems. Elsewhere, in a companion article (Babich and Hilary, 2018), we provide a conceptual framework for the role of Blockchain and other DLT in OM, along with specific examples of research questions, and we demonstrate how research in economics can inform research in OM on Blockchain applications. Finally, we discuss possible future uses for the technology.¹

¹This article is inspired by "Distributed Ledgers and Operations: What Operations Management researchers should know about Blockchain technology," a longer manuscript by the same authors is forthcoming at M&SOM.

Volodymyr Babich and Gilles Hilary (2019), "Blockchain and other Distributed Ledger Technologies in Operations", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 152–172. DOI: 10.1561/0200000084.

- Babich, V. 2006. "Vulnerable Options in Supply Chains: Effects of Supplier Competition". Nav Res Log. 53(7): 656–673.
- Babich, V. and G. Hilary. 2018. "Distributed Ledgers and Operations: What Operations Management Researchers Should Know About Blockchain Technology". working paper. URL: https://ssrn.com/ abstract=3131250.
- Babich, V. and P. Kouvelis. 2018. "Introduction to the Special Issue on Research at the Interface of Finance, Operations, and Risk Management (iFORM): Recent Contributions and Future Directions". *M&SOM.* 20(1): 1–18.
- Bank for International Settlements. 2014. "Trade finance: Developments and issues". *publication* No. 50. Basel: Committee on the Global Financial System (CGFS).
- Barnes-Schuster, D., Y. Bassok, and R. Anupindi. 2002. "Coordination and Flexibility in Supply Contracts with Options". M&SOM. 4(3): 171–207.
- Browne, R. 2017. "There were more than 26,000 new blockchain projects last year - only 8% are still active". November 9, 2017. Accessed on Feb 26, 2018. URL: http://cnb.cx/2FCWEh3.
- Chod, J., N. Trichakis, G. Tsoukalas, H. Aspegren, and M. Weber. 2018. Blockchain and The Value of Operational Transparency for Supply Chain Finance. working paper, MIT.

- Dyble, J. 2017. "Canada urged by IBM to use blockchain in the distribution of legal cannabis". *Digital Supply Chain*. Nov 7, 2017. Accessed on Feb 26, 2018. URL: https://shar.es/1LWxfF.
- Hermann, M., T. Pentek, and B. Otto. 2016. "Design principles for industrie 4.0 scenarios". In: System Sciences (HICSS), 2016 49th Hawaii International Conference on. IEEE. 3928–3937.
- Hilary, G. 2015. "The End of Human Risk Management?" November 23, 2015. URL: https://goo.gl/aMsBJ6.
- Hilary, G. 2018. Blockchain and other Distributed Ledger Technologies, an advanced primer. working paper, Georgetown University.
- Nash, K. S. 2016. "Wal-Mart Turns To Blockchain For Tracking Pork In China". WSJ. Oct 19. https://blogs.wsj.com/cio/2016/10/19/walmart-turns-to-blockchain-for-tracking-pork-in-china/.
- Naydenova, M. 2017. "The world's first traceable coffee blockchain revolution". Nov 7, 2017. URL: https://goo.gl/ZWYA78.
- Wadecki, A., V. Babich, and O. Wu. 2012. "Manufacturers' Competition and Subsidies to Suppliers". In: *Managing Supply Disruptions*. Ed. by H. Gurnani, A. Mehrotra, and S. Ray. London: Springer-Verlag Ltd. 141–163.

Cash Beer Game

Kevin Shang

Fuqua School of Business, Duke University; khshang@duke.edu

ABSTRACT

This article introduces a new online simulation game called *Cash Beer Game*, which is an augmented version of the standard Beer Game by including cash flows. In addition to the inventory ordering and shipping activities, each player pays cash for the ordered inventory to her upstream partner and receives cash from her downstream partner. The goal of this game is to explain the interactions between material, information, and financial flows in a supply chain and help students understand the impact of financial flows on the inventory decision. The resulting bullwhip effect can be compared between teams and with that of the standard Beer Game for the same team.

Kevin Shang (2019), "Cash Beer Game", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 173–188. DOI: 10.1561/020000088.

- Baye, M. and J. Frince. 2014. Managerial Economics and Business Strategy. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Chen, L., W. Luo, and K. Shang. 2017. "Measuring the bullwhip effect: Discrepancy and alignment between information and material flows". Manufacturing and Service Operations Management. 19(1): 36–51.
- Luo, W. and K. Shang. Forthcoming. "Managing inventory for firms with trade credit and default penalty". *Operations Research*.
- Modigliani, F. and M. Miller. 1958. "The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment". American Economic Review. 48(3): 261–297.

A Framework of Hedging Decisions for Supply Chain Partners

Panos Kouvelis¹, Xiaole Wu² and Yixuan Xiao³

¹Olin Business School, Washington University in St. Louis; kouvelis@wustl.edu
²School of Management, Fudan University; wuxiaole@fudan.edu.cn
³College of Business, City University of Hong Kong; yixuxiao@cityu.edu.hk

ABSTRACT

We study cash flow risk hedging in a bilateral supply chain of a supplier and a manufacturer that use internal cash to invest in production efficiency improvements. The associated production efficiency function is convex in capital investment. We offer a conceptual framework for understanding supply chain cash hedging strategies by decomposing the difference of a firm's expected profit of hedging versus not hedging into a sum of two terms: the cost reduction effect and the flexibility effect of hedging. We find that the correlation of cash flow risks of supply chain partners significantly affects the hedging decisions of firms via impacts on production efficiencies. When the cash flows of firms are independent, the cost reduction effect favors hedging, whereas the flexibility effect favors not hedging. A firm is more likely to hedge when the supply chain is more profitable or its supply chain partner hedges. When the cash flows of firms are correlated, the cost reduction and flexibility effect of hedging may complement each other and support the same hedging choice. The impact of market size on firms' hedging decisions is contingent on the cash flow correlation.

Panos Kouvelis, Xiaole Wu and Yixuan Xiao (2019), "A Framework of Hedging Decisions for Supply Chain Partners", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 189–200. DOI: 10.1561/020000082.

- Adam, T., S. Dasgupta, and S. Titman. 2007. "Financial Constraints, Competition, and Hedging in Industry Equilibrium". *The Journal* of Finance. 62(5): 2445–2473.
- Hofmann, E. 2011. "Natural Hedging as A Risk Prophylaxis and Supplier Financing Instrument in Automotive Supply Chains". Supply Chain Management: An International Journal. 16(2): 128–141.
- Kang, J.-K., L. Xu, and L. Zhang. 2012. "Supplier-Customer Relationships and Corporate Hedging Policy". Working paper, Nanyang Technological University.
- Kouvelis, P., X. Wu, and Y. Xiao. 2019. "Cash Hedging in a Supply Chain". Management Science. Forthcoming.
- Matthews, R. G. 2011. "Steel-Price Increases Creep into Supply Chain". Wall Street Journal (June 28). URL: http://www.wsj.com/articles/ SB10001424052748704775604576120382801078352.
- Stulz, R. M. 1996. "Rethinking Risk Management". Journal of Applied Corporate Finance. 9(3): 8–25.
- Turcic, D., P. Kouvelis, and E. Bolandifar. 2015. "Hedging Commodity Procurement in a Bilateral Supply Chain". Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. 17(2): 221–235.

Data and Risk Analytics for Production Planning

Liao Wang¹ and David D. Yao^2

¹Faculty of Business and Economics, The University of Hong Kong; lwang98@hku.hk.
²Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, Columbia University; yao@columbia.edu.

ABSTRACT

We examine the classical productional planning model, where a capacity decision that has to be made at the beginning of the planning horizon is the primary means to protect against demand uncertainty. We provide a critique on the model focusing on its profit maximizing objective, its underlying assumptions on demand and related forecasting scheme, and its overall business relevance (or the lack thereof); and we do so in the context of data, risk and analytics. Specifically, we will consider minimizing a shortfall risk relative to a profit target, with a demand model that captures impacts from the financial market and can be learned from data sets that are application specific. With a jointly optimized production and hedging strategy, we show the new model outperforms traditional approaches in risk mitigation as well as in expected profit.

Liao Wang and David D. Yao (2019), "Data and Risk Analytics for Production Planning", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 201–218. DOI: 10.1561/020000086.

- Caldentey, R. and M. Haugh. 2006. "Optimal Control and Hedging of Operations in the Presence of Financial Markets". *Math. Opns. Res.* 31(2): 285–304.
- Guyon, I. and A. Elisseeff. 2003. "An Introduction to Variable and Feature Selection". J. Mach. Learn. Res. 3: 1157–1182.
- Hastie, T., R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman. 2009. The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Pham, H. 2009. Continuous-time Stochastic Control and Optimization with Financial Applications. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Tibshirani, R. 1996. "Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso". J. Royal. Statist. Soc B. 58(1): 267–288.
- Wang, L. and D. Yao. 2017a. "Production Planning with Shortfall Hedging under Partial Information and Budget Constraint". Preprint.
- Wang, L. and D. Yao. 2017b. "Production with Risk Hedging: Optimal Policy and Efficient Frontier". Opns. Res. 65(4): 1095–1113.

Risk Management in Commodity Processing Firms

Hamed Ghoddusi¹

¹School of Business, Stevens Institute of Technology, hghoddus@stevens.edu

ABSTRACT

We introduce an equilibrium view of profit hedging in a representative commodity processing industry. The commodity processor takes shocks to the supply of the primary commodity and the demand for the processed commodity as given and chooses the optimal quantity of production. Such a model generates an endogenous stochastic profit stream for the processor, which is possibly substantially different than input and output prices. Thus, absent financial instrument specifically on the spread, hedging input or output prices alone may only provide poor partial hedging to the processor.

Hamed Ghoddusi (2019), "Risk Management in Commodity Processing Firms", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 219–239. DOI: 10.1561/020000093.

- Babich, V. and P. Kouvelis. 2018. "Introduction to the Special Issue on Research at the Interface of Finance, Operations, and Risk Management (iFORM): Recent Contributions and Future Directions".
- Bessembinder, H. and M. L. Lemmon. 2002. "Equilibrium Pricing and Optimal Hedging in Electricity Forward Markets". The Journal of Finance. 57: 1347–1382.
- Boyabatli, O. and L. B. Toktay. 2004. "Operational hedging: A review with discussion".
- Boyabath, O. and L. B. Toktay. 2011. "Stochastic capacity investment and flexible vs. dedicated technology choice in imperfect capital markets". *Management Science*. 57(12): 2163–2179.
- Carter, D. A., D. A. Rogers, B. J. Simkins, and S. D. Treanor. 2017. "A review of the literature on commodity risk management". *Journal* of Commodity Markets.
- Chod, J., N. Rudi, and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2010. "Operational flexibility and financial hedging: Complements or substitutes?" *Management Science*. 56(6): 1030–1045.
- Coles, J. L., N. D. Daniel, and L. Naveen. 2006. "Managerial incentives and risk-taking". *Journal of financial Economics*. 79(2): 431–468.
- Devalkar, S. K., R. Anupindi, and A. Sinha. 2011. "Integrated optimization of procurement, processing, and trade of commodities". *Operations Research*. 59(6): 1369–1381.

- Ding, Q., L. Dong, and P. Kouvelis. 2007. "On the integration of production and financial hedging decisions in global markets". Operations Research. 55(3): 470–489.
- Dong, L., P. Kouvelis, and X. Wu. 2014. "The value of operational flexibility in the presence of input and output price uncertainties with oil refining applications". *Management Science*. 60(12): 2908– 2926.
- Froot, K. A., D. S. Scharfstein, and J. C. Stein. 1993. "Risk management: Coordinating corporate investment and financing policies". *the Journal of Finance*. 48(5): 1629–1658.
- Gaur, V. and S. Seshadri. 2005. "Hedging inventory risk through market instruments". Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. 7(2): 103–120.
- Ghoddusi, H., S. Titman, and S. Tompaidis. 2018a. "Hedging Commodity Price Risk in a Supply Chain". Working Paper.
- Ghoddusi, H., S. Titman, and S. Tompaidis. 2018b. "Profit Dynamics in Commodity Processing Firms". Working Paper.
- Goel, A. and F. Tanrisever. 2017. "Financial hedging and optimal procurement policies under correlated price and demand". *Production and Operations Management*.
- Goyal, M. and S. Netessine. 2007. "Strategic technology choice and capacity investment under demand uncertainty". *Management Science*. 53(2): 192–207.
- Hirshleifer, D. 1988a. "Residual risk, trading costs, and commodity futures risk premia". The Review of Financial Studies. 1(2): 173– 193.
- Hirshleifer, D. 1988b. "Risk, Futures Pricing, and the Organization of Production in Commodity Markets". The Journal of Political Economy. 96: 1206–1220.
- Hirshleifer, D. 1989. "Determinants of hedging and risk premia in commodity futures markets". Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis. 24(3): 313–331.
- Kamara, A. 1993. "Production flexibility, stochastic separation, hedging, and futures prices". *Review of Financial Studies*. 6(4): 935–957.

- Kouvelis, P., R. Li, and Q. Ding. 2013. "Managing storable commodity risks: The role of inventory and financial hedge". *Manufacturing &* Service Operations Management. 15(3): 507–521.
- Kouvelis, P., Z. Pang, and Q. Ding. 2018. "Integrated Commodity Inventory Management and Financial Hedging: A Dynamic Mean-Variance Analysis". *Production and Operations Management*.
- Kouvelis, P., D. Turcic, and W. Zhao. 2017. "Supply Chain Contracting in Environments with Volatile Input Prices and Frictions". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*.
- Nadarajah, S., N. Secomandi, G. Sowers, and J. M. Wassick. 2017. "Real option management of hydrocarbon cracking operations". In: *Real Options in Energy and Commodity Markets*. World Scientific. 173–202.
- Park, J. H., B. Kazaz, and S. Webster. 2017. "Risk mitigation of production hedging". Production and Operations Management.
- Routledge, B. R., C. S. Spatt, and D. J. Seppi. 2001. "The" spark spread:" An equilibrium model of cross-commodity price relationships in electricity".
- Secomandi, N. 2010. "On the pricing of natural gas pipeline capacity". Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. 12(3): 393–408.
- Secomandi, N., G. Lai, F. Margot, A. Scheller-Wolf, and D. J. Seppi. 2015. "Merchant commodity storage and term-structure model error". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management.* 17(3): 302–320.
- Shantia, A., S. Aflaki, and H. Ghoddusi. 2017. "Input-price Risk Management: Technology Improvement and Financial Hedging".
- Smith, C. W. and R. M. Stulz. 1985. "The determinants of firms' hedging policies". Journal of financial and quantitative analysis. 20(4): 391– 405.
- Turcic, D., P. Kouvelis, and E. Bolandifar. 2015. "Hedging commodity procurement in a bilateral supply chain". Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. 17(2): 221–235.
- Wu, O. Q. and H. Chen. 2010. "Optimal control and equilibrium behavior of production-inventory systems". *Management Science*. 56(8): 1362–1379.
- Zhao, L. and A. Huchzermeier. 2018. "Research Overview of Operations-Finance Interface". In: Supply Chain Finance. Springer. 143–183.

Quadratic Hedging of Commodity and Energy Cash Flows

Nicola Secomandi

Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ns7@andrew.cmu.edu

ABSTRACT

Commodity and energy prices are notoriously volatile. Firms routinely trade financial contracts to hedge their cash flows that are exposed to this source of risk. When markets are incomplete, which is typical in practice, eliminating such risk is impossible and attention must thus shift to its partial mitigation. This paper reviews quadratic hedging, which is an appealing financial risk management approach for this setting, considering a single commodity or energy cash flow that occurs on a given future date and assuming that financial hedging is based on trading a risk less bond and a futures contract. This work formulates this hedging problem as a Markov decision process, derives the optimal policy using stochastic dynamic programming, and characterizes the initial optimal bond position. Further, it highlights related current and potential future research.

Nicola Secomandi (2019), "Quadratic Hedging of Commodity and Energy Cash Flows", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 240–253. DOI: 10.1561/020000089.

- Bertsimas, D., L. Kogan, and A. W. Lo. 2001. "Hedging Derivative Securities and Incomplete Markets: An ϵ -Arbitrage Approach". *Operations Research*. 49(3): 372–397.
- Canyakmaz, C., F. Karaesmen, and S. Özekici. 2017. "Minimum-Variance Hedging for Managing Risks in Inventory Models with Price Fluctuations". Foundations and Trends in Technology, Information and Operations Management. 11(1-2): 129–148.
- Cerný, A. 2004. "Dynamic Programming and Mean-Variance Hedging in Discrete Time". *Applied Mathematical Finance*. 11(1): 1–25.
- Geman, H. 2005. Commodities and Commodity Derivatives: Modeling and Pricing for Agriculturals, Metals and Energy. Chichester, England, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Gugushvili, S. 2003. "Dynamic Programming and Mean-Variance Hedging in Discrete Time". Georgian Mathematical Journal. 10(2): 237– 246.

Kaminski, V. 2013. Energy Markets. London, England, UK: Risk Books.

- Luenberger, D. G. 2014. Investment Science. Second. New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press.
- Pirrong, C. 2015. "Risk Management by Commodity Trading Firms: The Case of Trafigura". Applied Corporate Finance. 27(1): 19–26.

- Roncoroni, A., G. Fusai, and M. Cummins, eds. 2015. Handbook of Multi-Commodity Markets and Products: Structuring, Trading and Risk Management. Chichester, England, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Schweizer, M. 1995. "Variance-Optimal Hedging in Discrete Time". Mathematics of Operations Research. 20(1): 1–32.
- Secomandi, N. 2017. "Approximations for High Dimensional Commodity and Energy Merchant Operations Models". Foundations and Trends in Technology, Information and Operations Management. 11(1-2): 173–195.
- Secomandi, N. 2018. "Optimization of Option Exercise Policies in Incomplete Markets with Quadratic Hedging". Working Paper, Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.
- Secomandi, N. and D. J. Seppi. 2014. "Real Options and Merchant Operations of Energy and Other Commodities". Foundations and Trends in Technology, Information and Operations Management. 6(3-4): 161–331.
- Secomandi, N. and D. J. Seppi. 2016. "Energy Real Options: Valuation and Operations". In: *Managing Energy Price Risk*. Ed. by V. Kaminski. 4-th Edition. London, England, UK: Risk Books. 449–477.
- Smith, J. E. and K. F. McCardle. 1999. "Options in the Real World: Lessons Learned in Evaluating Oil and Gas Investments". Operations Research. 47(1): 1–15.
- Swindle, G. 2016. "Assets and Structured Hedges in Energy Markets: Severe Incompleteness and Methods for Dealing with It". In: Optimization Challenges in Complex, Networked and Risky Systems. Ed. by A. Gupta and A. Capponi. Tutorials in Operations Research. Catonsville, MD, USA: INFORMS. 282–306.
- Tirole, J. 2006. *The Theory of Corporate Finance*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press.

Optimal Positioning in the Derivative Market: Review, Foundations, and Trends

Paolo Guiotto¹ and Andrea Roncoroni²

¹Università di Padova; paolo.guiotto@unipd.it ²ESSEC Business School; roncoroni@essec.edu

ABSTRACT

We review the theoretical development of optimal positioning in financial derivatives for managing corporate exposure. Our primary focus is on one-period integrated financial-operational policies featuring a bespoke financial contingent claim (or portfolio of claims) and an operational control variable. We develop a unifying theoretical framework which (a) encompasses all of existing solutions in a static set-up across the areas of of portfolio insurance, agricultural economics, and integrated financial-operational management, (b) provides researchers with a solid ground to either fill in gaps in the current literature and move forward towards a general theory of contingent claim origination. We also put forward pathways for future development, one based on current research problem, the other focusing on new methodological issue

Paolo Guiotto and Andrea Roncoroni (2019), "Optimal Positioning in the Derivative Market: Review, Foundations, and Trends", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 254–279. DOI: 10.1561/020000085.

- Anderson, R. W. and J.-P. Danthine. 1980. "Hedging and Joint Production: Theory and Illustrations". *The Journal of Finance*. 35(2): 487–498. DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1980.tb02180.x.
- Arrow, K. J. 1974. "Optimal Insurance and Generalized Deductibles". Scandinavian Actuarial Journal. 1974(1): 1–42. DOI: 10.1080/03461238. 1974.10408659.
- Babich, V. and P. Kouvelis. 2018. "Introduction to the Special Issue on Research at the Interface of Finance, Operations, and Risk Management (iFORM): Recent Contributions and Future Directions". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 20(1): 1–18. DOI: 10.1287/msom.2018.0706.
- Berling, P. and V. Martínez-de-Albéniz. 2011. "Optimal Inventory Policies when Purchase Price and Demand Are Stochastic". Operations Research. 59(1): 109–124. DOI: 10.1287/opre.1100.0862.
- Bingham, N. and R. Kiesel. 2013. Risk-Neutral Valuation: Pricing and Hedging of Financial Derivatives. Springer Finance. Springer London. ISBN: 9781447138563.
- Birge, J. R. 2015. "OM Forum—Operations and Finance Interactions". Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. 17(1): 4–15. DOI: 10.1287/msom.2014.0509.

- Brennan, M. J. and R. Solanki. 1981. "Optimal Portfolio Insurance". The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis. 16(3): 279–300. ISSN: 0022-1090. DOI: 10.2307/2330239.
- Brown, G. W. and K. B. Toft. 2002. "How Firms Should Hedge". The Review of Financial Studies. 15(4): 1283–1324. DOI: 10.1093/rfs/15. 4.1283.
- Caldentey, R. and M. Haugh. 2006. "Optimal Control and Hedging of Operations in the Presence of Financial Markets". *Mathematics of Operations Research.* 31(2): 285–304. DOI: 10.1287/moor.1050.0179.
- Carr, P. and D. Madan. 2001. "Optimal Positioning in Derivative Securities". *Quantitative Finance*. 1(1): 19–37. DOI: 10.1080/713665549.
- Chen, L., S. Li, and L. Wang. 2014. "Capacity Planning with Financial and Operational Hedging in Low-Cost Countries". Production and Operations Management. 23(9): 1495–1510. DOI: 10.1111/poms. 12172.
- Chod, J., N. Rudi, and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2010. "Operational Flexibility and Financial Hedging: Complements or Substitutes?" *Man*agement Science. 56(6): 1030–1045. DOI: 10.1287/mnsc.1090.1137.
- Chowdhry, B. 1995. "Corporate Hedging of Exchange Risk When Foreign Currency Cash Flow Is Uncertain". *Management Science*. 41(6): 1083–1090. DOI: 10.1287/mnsc.41.6.1083.
- Chowdhry, B. and J. T. B. Howe. 1999. "Corporate Risk Management for Multinational Corporations: Financial and Operational Hedging Policies". *Review of Finance*. 2(2): 229–246. DOI: 10.1023/A: 1009778703889.
- Ding, Q., L. Dong, and P. Kouvelis. 2007. "On the Integration of Production and Financial Hedging Decisions in Global Markets". *Operations Research*. 55(3): 470–489. DOI: 10.1287/opre.1070.0364.
- Dong, L. and B. Tomlin. 2012. "Managing Disruption Risk: The Interplay Between Operations and Insurance". Management Science. 58(10): 1898–1915. DOI: 10.1287/mnsc.1120.1524.
- Dumas, B. and E. Luciano. 2017. The Economics of Continuous-Time Finance. MIT Press. ISBN: 9780262036542.
- Eraker, B. 2013. "The Performance of Model Based Option Trading Strategies". *Review of Derivatives Research*. 16(1): 1–23. ISSN: 1573-7144. DOI: 10.1007/s11147-012-9079-8.
- Gaur, V. and S. Seshadri. 2005. "Hedging Inventory Risk Through Market Instruments". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 7(2): 103–120. DOI: 10.1287/msom.1040.0061.
- Guiotto, P. and A. Roncoroni. 2018. "Contingent Claim Origination". Working Paper, ESSEC Business School.
- Guiotto, P., A. Roncoroni, and R. Tedongap. 2018. "The Value of Integrating Optimal Portfolio Allocation and Insurance". Working Paper, ESSEC Business School.
- Hull, J. 2017. Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives. Pearson Education. ISBN: 9780134631493.
- Kat, H. 2001. Structured Equity Derivatives: The Definitive Guide to Exotic Options and Structured Notes. Wiley. ISBN: 9780471486527.
- Kerkvliet, J. and M. H. Moffett. 1991. "The Hedging of an Uncertain Future Foreign Currency Cash Flow". Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis. 26(4): 565–578. DOI: 10.2307/2331413.
- Kouvelis, P., L. Dong, O. Boyabatli, and R. Li. 2011. Handbook of Integrated Risk Management in Global Supply Chains. Wiley. ISBN: 9781118115794.
- Kouvelis, P., R. Li, and Q. Ding. 2013. "Managing Storable Commodity Risks: The Role of Inventory and Financial Hedge". *Manufacturing* & Service Operations Management. 15(3): 507–521. DOI: 10.1287/ msom.2013.0433.
- Lapan, H. and G. Moschini. 1994. "Futures Hedging Under Price, Basis, and Production Risk". American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 76(3): 465–477. DOI: 10.2307/1243658.
- Leland, H. E. 1980. "Who Should Buy Portfolio Insurance?" *The Journal* of Finance. 35(2): 581–594. DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1980.tb02190.x.
- Leppard, S. 2005. Energy Risk Management: A Non-technical Introduction to Energy Derivatives. Risk Books. ISBN: 9781904339748.
- Liu, J. and J. Pan. 2003. "Dynamic derivative strategies". Journal of Financial Economics. 69(3): 401–430. ISSN: 0304-405X. DOI: 10.1016/ S0304-405X(03)00118-1.
- McKinnon, R. I. 1967. "Futures Markets, Buffer Stocks, and Income Stability for Primary Producers". Journal of Political Economy. 75(6): 844–861. DOI: 10.1086/259363.

- Moschini, G. and D. A. Hennessy. 2001. "Uncertainty, Risk Aversion, and Risk Management for Agricultural Producers". In: Agricultural Production. Vol. 1. Handbook of Agricultural Economics. Elsevier. 87–153. DOI: 10.1016/S1574-0072(01)10005-8.
- Moschini, G. and H. Lapan. 1995. "The Hedging Role of Options and Futures Under Joint Price, Basis, and Production Risk". *International Economic Review*. 36(4): 1025–1049. ISSN: 00206598, 14682354. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2527271.
- Näsäkkälä, E. and J. Keppo. 2005. "Electricity Load Pattern Hedging with Static Forward Strategies". *Managerial Finance*. 31(6): 116–137. DOI: 10.1108/03074350510769721.
- Oum, Y. and S. S. Oren. 2010. "Optimal Static Hedging of Volumetric Risk in a Competitive Wholesale Electricity Market". *Decision Analysis.* 7(1): 107–122. DOI: 10.1287/deca.1090.0167.
- Oum, Y., S. Oren, and S. Deng. 2006. "Hedging Quantity Risks with Standard Power Options in a Competitive Wholesale Electricity Market". Naval Research Logistics (NRL). 53(7): 697–712. DOI: 10.1002/nav.20184.
- Raviv, A. 1979. "The Design of an Optimal Insurance Policy". *The American Economic Review.* 69(1): 84–96. ISSN: 00028282.
- Ritchken, P. H. and C. S. Tapiero. 1986. "Contingent Claims Contracting for Purchasing Decisions in Inventory Management". Operations Research. 34(6): 864–870. DOI: 10.1287/opre.34.6.864.
- Rockafellar, R. 2015. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press. ISBN: 9781400873173.
- Rolfo, J. 1980. "Optimal Hedging under Price and Quantity Uncertainty: The Case of a Cocoa Producer". *Journal of Political Economy.* 88(1): 100–116. DOI: 10.1086/260849.
- Roncoroni, A., G. Fusai, and M. Cummins. 2015. Handbook of Multi-Commodity Markets and Products: Structuring, Trading and Risk Management. Wiley. ISBN: 9780470745243.
- Roncoroni, A. and R. I. Brik. 2017. "Hedging Size Risk: Theory and Application to the US Gas Market". *Energy Economics*. 64: 415–437. ISSN: 0140-9883. DOI: 10.1016/j.eneco.2016.10.020.
- Secomandi, N. 2015. "Merchant Commodity Storage Practice Revisited". Operations Research. 63(5): 1131–1143. DOI: 10.1287/opre.2015.1407.

- Secomandi, N. and S. Kekre. 2014. "Optimal Energy Procurement in Spot and Forward Markets". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management.* 16(2): 270–282. DOI: 10.1287/msom.2013.0473.
- Shiller, R. J. 1988. "Portfolio Insurance and Other Investor Fashions as Factors in the 1987 Stock Market Crash". NBER Macroeconomics Annual. 3: 287–297. DOI: 10.1086/654091.
- Spiegel, M. and A. Subrahmanyam. 1992. "Informed Speculation and Hedging in a Noncompetitive Securities Market". *The Review of Financial Studies*. 5(2): 307–329. DOI: 10.1093/rfs/5.2.307.
- Wang, L. and D. D. Yao. 2017. "Production with Risk Hedging— Optimal Policy and Efficient Frontier". *Operations Research*. 65(4): 1095–1113. DOI: 10.1287/opre.2017.1597.
- Xu, Y., M. Pinedo, and M. Xue. 2017. "Operational Risk in Financial Services: A Review and New Research Opportunities". Production and Operations Management. 26(3): 426–445. DOI: 10.1111/poms. 12652.
- Zhao, L. and A. Huchzermeier. 2015. "Operations–Finance Interface Models: a Literature Review and Framework". *European Journal* of Operational Research. 244(3): 905–917. ISSN: 0377-2217. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2015.02.015.

Corn, Soybeans or Fallow: Dynamic Farmland Allocation under Uncertainty

Onur Boyabath¹, Javad Nasiry² and Yangfang (Helen) Zhou³

¹Lee Kong Chian School of Business, Singapore Management University;oboyabatli@smu.edu.sg

²Hong Kong University of Science and Technology;nasiry@ust.hk
³ Lee Kong Chian School of Business, Singapore Management University; helenzhou@smu.edu.sq

ABSTRACT

This chapter develops a theoretical basis for understanding the trade-offs facing a farmer for allocating his farmland among several crops over multiple growing seasons. Specifically, we focus on the farmland allocation among two cash crops (corn and soybeans) and letting the farmland lay fallow to rejuvenate the soil and increase the revenue for the crop grown on this farmland in the subsequent seasons. In each growing period, the farmer chooses the allocation in the presence of revenue uncertainty for each cash crop, and crop rotation benefits across periods, where revenue is stochastically larger and farming cost is lower when a cash crop is grown on a rotated farmland (where the same crop was not grown in the previous period). We solve for the optimal dynamic allocation policy.

Onur Boyabath, Javad Nasiry and Yangfang (Helen) Zhou (2019), "Corn, Soybeans or Fallow: Dynamic Farmland Allocation under Uncertainty", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 280–297. DOI: 10.1561/020000083.

- Boyabath, O., J. Nasiry, and Y. Zhou. 2018. "Crop Planning in Sustainable Agriculture: Dynamic Farmland Allocation in the Presence of Crop Rotation Benefits". *Management Science*. DOI: 10.1287/mnsc. 2018.3044.
- Cai, R., J. D. Mullen, M. E. Wetzstein, and J. C. Bergstrom. 2013. "The impacts of crop yield and price volatility on producers' cropping patterns: A dynamic optimal crop rotation model". Agricultural Systems. 116: 52–59. ISSN: 0308-521X. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.agsy.2012.11.001.
- Chavas, J.-P. and M. T. Holt. 1990. "Acreage Decisions under Risk: The Case of Corn and Soybeans". *American Journal of Agricultural Economics.* 72(3): 529–538. ISSN: 00029092, 14678276. URL: http: //www.jstor.org/stable/1243021.
- Collender, R. N. and D. Zilberman. 1985. "Land Allocation under Uncertainty for Alternative Specifications of Return Distributions". *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 67(4): 779–786. ISSN: 00029092, 14678276. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1241817.
- Goel, A. and F. Tanrisever. 2017. "Financial Hedging and Optimal Procurement Policies under Correlated Price and Demand". *Production* and Operations Management. 26(10): 1924–1945. DOI: 10.1111/poms. 12723.

- Hennessy, D. A. 2006. "On Monoculture and the Structure of Crop Rotations". American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 88(4): 900–914. ISSN: 00029092, 14678276. URL: http://www.jstor.org/ stable/4123535.
- Huh, W. T. and U. Lall. 2013. "Optimal Crop Choice, Irrigation Allocation, and the Impact of Contract Farming". Production and Operations Management. 22(5): 1126–1143. DOI: 10.1111/poms.12007.
- Kazaz, B. and S. Webster. 2011. "The Impact of Yield-Dependent Trading Costs on Pricing and Production Planning Under Supply Uncertainty". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 13(3): 404–417. DOI: 10.1287/msom.1110.0335.
- Livingston, M., M. J. Roberts, and Y. Zhang. 2015. "Optimal Sequential Plantings of Corn and Soybeans Under Price Uncertainty". American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 97(3): 855–878. DOI: 10.1093/ ajae/aau055.
- Lowe, T. J. and P. V. Preckel. 2004. "Decision Technologies for Agribusiness Problems: A Brief Review of Selected Literature and a Call for Research". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 6(3): 201–208. DOI: 10.1287/msom.1040.0051.
- Maatman, A., C. Schweigman, A. Ruijs, and M. H. van Der Vlerk. 2002.
 "Modeling Farmers' Response to Uncertain Rainfall in Burkina Faso: A Stochastic Programming Approach". *Operations Research*. 50(3): 399–414. DOI: 10.1287/opre.50.3.399.7749.
- Meyer, G. 2012. "US drought: Stuck on dry land". *Financial Times*. July.
- Taylor, C. R. and O. R. Burt. 1984. "Near-Optimal Management Strategies for Controlling Wild Oats in Spring Wheat". American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 66(1): 50–60. ISSN: 00029092, 14678276. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1240615.
- USDA. 2015a. "Crop Production 2014 Summary". Dec. URL: http: //usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do? documentID=1047.
- USDA. 2015b. "Crop Values 2014 Summary". Dec. URL: http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do?documentID=1050.

186

Disruption Risk Management in Serial Multi-Echelon Supply Chains

Florian Lücker¹, Sunil Chopra² and Ralf W. Seifert³

¹Cass Business School; florian.lucker@city.ac.uk
²Kellogg School of Management; s-chopra@kellogg.northwestern.edu
³EPFL & IMD; ralf.seifert@epfl.ch

Disclaimer: This chapter is a short version of the article *Mitigating product* shortages due to disruptions in multi-stage supply chains that is avilable at https://papers.srn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3072382

ABSTRACT

This research focuses on managing supply chain disruption risk using inventory and reserve capacity in serial multi-echelon supply chains. The research problem is to determine the optimal risk mitigation inventories and reserve capacities when product transformation occurs at each echelon. Disruptions at each echelon are modeled as a random process. We derive insights on the optimal location and quantity of risk mitigation inventory (RMI) and reserve capacity held in serial supply chains. We show that the downstream echelon typically holds at least as much RMI as the upstream echelon. At the same time, it is often optimal to hold additionally more reserve capacity downstream than upstream. These results hold under the assumption that inventory and reserve capacity holding costs are larger downstream than upstream. Our research also suggests that RMI is preferred to reserve capacity as a risk mitigation lever in long serial supply chains, i.e., in supply chains with a large number of echelons. This research problem is inspired by a risk management problem of a leading pharmaceutical company.

Florian Lücker, Sunil Chopra and Ralf W. Seifert (2019), "Disruption Risk Management in Serial Multi-Echelon Supply Chains", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 298–315. DOI: 10.1561/0200000092.

- Ang, E., D. Iancu, and R. Swinney. 2017. "Disruption Risk and Optimal Sourcing in Multitier Supply Networks". *Management Science*. 63 (8): 2397–2419.
- Dong, L., S. Tang, and B. Tomlin. 2018. "Production Chain Disruptions: Inventory, Preparedness, and Insurance". Production and Operations Management, forthcoming.
- Ross, S. 1996. "Stochastic Processes". John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2nd edition.
- Samii, R. and L. N. Van Wassenhove. 2008. "Fighting the Flu. Tamiflu Stockpiling: A Pandemic Preparedness Policy". INSEAD Case Study.
- Schmitt, A. and M. Singh. 2012. "A quantitative analysis of disruption risk in a multi-echelon supply chain". International Journal of Production Economics. 139: 22–32.
- Schorpp, G., F. Erhun, and H. Lee. 2018. "Multi-Tiered Supply Chain Risk Management". Working Paper.

Financial Incentives to Avoid Major Quality Problems in a Supply Chain

Susan A. Slotnick¹ and Matthew J. Sobel²

¹Department of Operations and Supply Chain Management, Cleveland State University; s.slotnick@csuohio.edu ²Department of Operations, Weatherhead School of Management, Case Western Reserve Universitu: matthew.sobel@case.edu

ABSTRACT

Manufacturers who outsource components incur risks as well as benefits. If the supplied product has a major quality defect, the adverse effect on the manufacturer's reputation reduces its market share. This paper presents a discrete-time model of a buyer who collaborates with a sole supplier to avoid quality problems by paying a higher per-unit purchase price to the supplier and/or paying the supplier a lump sum contingent on the absence of a major quality defect. Analytical results include an optimal risk-posture policy for which the buyer should use only one of these financial incentives or the other, and computational results provide insights about the relationship of that optimal policy to various parameters.

Susan A. Slotnick and Matthew J. Sobel (2019), "Financial Incentives to Avoid Major Quality Problems in a Supply Chain", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 316–333. DOI: 10.1561/0200000090.

- Clemons, R. and S. A. Slotnick. 2016. "The effect of supply-chain disruption, quality and knowledge transfer on firm strategy". *International Journal of Production Economics*. 178: 169–186.
- Lambertini, L. 2018. "Coordinating research and development efforts for quality improvement along a supply chain". *European Journal* of Operational Research. 270: 599–605.
- Radej, B., J. Drnovsek, and G. Beges. 2017. "An overview and evaluation of quality-improvement methods from the manufacturing and supply-chain perspective". Advances in Production Engineering & Management. 12(4): 388–400.
- Shepardson, D. 2015. "U.S. auto recalls hit 63.95 million in 2014". The Detroit News. (February 12).
- Slotnick, S. A. and M. J. Sobel. 2018. "Collaboration with a Supplier to Induce Fair Labor Practices: Risk, Reputation, and Profit". Available at SSRN: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3149216. [Online; accessed 5-June-2018].
- Tabuchi, H. 2014. "Airbag Maker Hid '04 Tests, 2 Workers Say". New York Times. (November 7): A1. [Online; accessed 5-July-2018].
- Tabuchi, H. 2016. "Files Show That Takata Was Worried About Costs". New York Times. (April 14): B1.

- Yoo, S. H. and T. Cheong. 2018. "Quality improvement incentive strategies in a supply chain". *Transportation Research Part E*. 114: 331– 342.
- Zhang, H. and D. Hong. 2017. "Supplier's Joint Investments in Cost Reduction and Quality Improvement in a Decentralized Supply Chain". Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2017: 1–10.

Specific Capacity Investment in Supply Chains With Renegotiation

Qiaohai (Joice) Hu

University of Missouri St. Louis; huqiao@umsl.edu

ABSTRACT

A supplier must invest and build specific capacity for its buyer to lower variable production cost long before uncertainties have been resolved. Bearing the upfront capacity and cost-reduction investment costs, the supplier under-builds the specialized capacity and under-invests in cost reduction. To resolve this issue, the supply chain partners often rely on informal agreements plus ex post renegotiation. This paper shows that neither quantity commitment only or price only initial agreement can induce the supplier to invest and build specific capacity at the channelefficient level. The supplier will over-invest but under-build the specific capacity under quantity commitment only contracts, and will under-invest but over-build the specific capacity under priceonly initial contracts. There exists an initial quantity plus price contract or option contract that induces the supplier to build the capacity and invest in cost reduction at the first-best level with or without ex post renegotiation. To improve the channel efficiency, the firms will renegotiate expost with probability one under the quantity plus contract, but will renegotiate only if realized demand is high so that options are exercised up.

Qiaohai (Joice) Hu (2019), "Specific Capacity Investment in Supply Chains With Renegotiation", Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 12, No. 2-3, Special Issue on Emerging Technology & Advances in Supply Chain Finance & Risk Management. Edited by P. Kouvelis, L. Dong and D. Turcic, pp 334–348. DOI: 10.1561/020000087.

- Binmore, K., A. Rubinstein, and A. Wolinsky. 1986. "The Nash bargaining solution in economic modelling". The RAND Journal of Economics: 176–188.
- Cachon, G. P. and M. A. Lariviere. 2001. "Contracting to assure supply: How to share demand forecasts in a supply chain". *Management Science*. 47(5): 629–646.
- Helper, S. 1991. "Strategy and irreversibility in supplier relations: the case of the US automobile industry". *Business history review*. 65(04): 781–824.
- Nagarajan, M. and Y. Bassok. 2008. "A bargaining framework in supply chains: The assembly problem". *Management science*. 54(8): 1482– 1496.
- Nash Jr, J. F. 1950. "The bargaining problem". *Econometrica: Journal* of the Econometric Society: 155–162.
- Sako, M. 1992. Price, quality and trust: Inter-firm relations in Britain and Japan. No. 18. Cambridge University Press.
- Stuckey, J. and D. White. 1993. "When and when not to vertically integrate". *Sloan Management Review*. 34(3): 71.
- Taylor, T. A. and E. L. Plambeck. 2007. "Simple relational contracts to motivate capacity investment: Price only vs. price and quantity". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management.* 9(1): 94–113.

Tomlin, B. 2003. "Capacity investments in supply chains: Sharing the gain rather than sharing the pain". *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management.* 5(4): 317–333.

Treece, J. B. 1994. "Hardball is still GM's Game". Business Week. 26.