

**Modeling, Prediction,
Assortment and Price
Optimization Under
Consumer Choice Behavior**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information
and Operations Management**

*Predictive Global Sensitivity Analysis: Foundational Concepts, Tools,
and Applications*

Charles L. Munson, Lan Luo and Xiaohui Huang

ISBN: 978-1-63828-338-6

Intervention-based Research in Operations Management

Aravind Chandrasekaran, Rogelio Oliva and Fabrizio Salvador

ISBN: 978-1-63828-224-2

Sequential Decision Analytics and Modeling: Modeling with Python

Warren B. Powell

ISBN: 978-1-63828-082-8

The Interface of Finance, Operations, and Risk Management

Volodymyr Babich and John R. Birge

ISBN: 978-1-68083-796-4

AI and Business Models: The Good, The Bad and The Ugly

Vania Sena and Manuela Nocker

ISBN: 978-1-68083-794-0

Modeling, Prediction, Assortment and Price Optimization Under Consumer Choice Behavior

Chenxu Ke

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics
kechenxu@mail.shufe.edu.cn

Anran Li

CUHK Business School
anranli@cuhk.edu.hk

Ruxian Wang

Johns Hopkins University
ruxian.wang@jhu.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

C. Ke *et al.*. *Modeling, Prediction, Assortment and Price Optimization Under Consumer Choice Behavior*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–141, 2025.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-489-5

© 2025 C. Ke *et al.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Volume 19, Issue 1, 2025

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Panos Kouvelis

Washington University St. Louis
USA

Honorary Editors

Charles Corbett

UCLA, USA

Uday Karmarkar

UCLA, USA

Editors

Onur Bayabatli

*Singapore Management University,
Singapore*

Li Chen

Cornell University, USA

Nesim Erkip

Bilkent University, Turkey

Jan Fransoo

Tilburg University, The Netherlands

Bardia Kamrad

Georgetown University, USA

Chuck Munson

Washington State University, USA

Nicholas Petruzzi

University of Wisconsin, USA

Guillaume Roels

INSEAD, France

Nicola Secomandi

Rice University, USA

Zuo-Jun Max Shen

University of California, Berkeley, USA

George Tagaras

*Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,
Greece*

Sherri Xiaole Wu

Fudan University, China

Y. Karen Zheng

MIT, USA

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Commerce
- Business Process Engineering and Design
- Business Process Outsourcing
- Capacity Planning
- Competitive Operations
- Contracting in Supply Chains
- E-Commerce and E-Business Models
- Electronic markets, auctions and exchanges
- Enterprise Management Systems
- Facility Location
- Information Chain Structure and Competition
- International Operations
- Marketing/Manufacturing Interfaces
- Multi-location inventory theory
- New Product & Service Design
- Queuing Networks
- Reverse Logistics
- Service Logistics and Product Support
- Supply Chain Management
- Technology Management and Strategy
- Technology, Information and Operations in:
 - Automotive Industries
 - Electronics manufacturing
 - Financial Services
 - Health Care
 - Media and Entertainment
 - Process Industries
 - Retailing
 - Telecommunications

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management, 2025, Volume 19, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1571-9545. ISSN online version 1571-9553. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The Multinomial Logit (MNL) Model	6
2.1	The MNL as a Special Case of RUM	6
2.2	Properties of the MNL	10
3	Beyond the MNL Model: New Features in Choice Behavior	13
3.1	Network Effects	13
3.2	Reference Effects	19
3.3	Threshold Effects and Consideration Set	23
3.4	Search Cost and Consideration Set	30
3.5	Nonrandom Utility	33
3.6	Ranking Effect	37
3.7	Social Learning	40
3.8	Multi-Product Purchase	45
4	Other Discrete Choice Models	49
4.1	The Nested Logit (NL) Model	49
4.2	The Mixed MNL (MMNL) Model	54
4.3	The Exponential Choice (EC) Model	56
4.4	The Markov Chain (MC) Choice Model	58
4.5	The Random Consideration Set (RCS) Model	59

5 Pricing	63
5.1 Pricing under the MNL	63
5.2 Pricing under Reference Effects	70
5.3 Pricing under the T-Luce	73
5.4 Pricing under the MNL with Nonrandom Utility	79
5.5 Pricing under the Nested Logit	83
5.6 Pricing under the Mixed MNL	87
5.7 Pricing under Other Choice Models	89
6 Assortment Planning	91
6.1 Assortment Optimization under the MNL	91
6.2 Assortment Optimization under the Nested Logit	101
6.3 Assortment Optimization under the Mixed MNL	105
6.4 Assortment Optimization under the Markov Chain Choice Model	111
7 Estimation	114
7.1 Static Estimation of Choice Models with Demand Censoring or Unobserved Lost Sales	115
7.2 Choice Models with Online Learning–Bandit Problems	116
7.3 Choice Modeling with AI and Deep Learning	123
8 Conclusion	125
Acknowledgements	127
References	128

Modeling, Prediction, Assortment and Price Optimization Under Consumer Choice Behavior

Chenxu Ke¹, Anran Li² and Ruxian Wang³

¹*College of Business, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, China; kechenxu@mail.shufe.edu.cn*

²*Department of Decisions, Operations and Technology, CUHK Business School, Hong Kong; anranli@cuhk.edu.hk*

³*Carey Business School, Johns Hopkins University, USA; ruxian.wang@jhu.edu*

ABSTRACT

Understanding how consumers make choices is of paramount importance, as it offers insights into consumer purchase behavior across multiple products, enables accurate predictions of future demand, and informs strategic planning and policy formulation. The examination of discrete consumer choice models plays a central role in decoding the decision-making process, offering a clear perspective on how individuals navigate among multiple options. These models are instrumental in evaluating a wide range of consumer decisions, such as product selection, brand preference, and the impact of various factors on choice. With the growth of e-commerce and the increasing emphasis on data-driven modeling and decision-making, consumer choice models have garnered significant attention. This rising interest underscores their relevance in the digital marketplace and their contribution to

Chenxu Ke, Anran Li and Ruxian Wang (2025), "Modeling, Prediction, Assortment and Price Optimization Under Consumer Choice Behavior", *Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management*: Vol. 19, No. 1, pp 1–141. DOI: 10.1561/0200000112.

©2025 C. Ke *et al.*

a deeper understanding of consumer behavior. The objective of this work is to present a comprehensive overview of choice modeling, covering both the theoretical underpinnings of widely adopted discrete choice models (e.g., the multinomial logit model), and those integrating contemporary elements like network externalities and ranking effects. It also discusses optimal solutions or efficient approximation heuristics for price and assortment optimization problems, where consumer choice behavior is governed by various discrete choice models. To facilitate practical business applications, this work offers estimation strategies and techniques to address data-related issues. Additionally, it includes cutting-edge advancements such as artificial intelligence and deep learning, and outlines future trends in the realm of operations management with discrete choice models. By delving into the intricate details and mechanisms of these models, this work aims to shed light on the methodological foundations and practical implementations of consumer choice modeling, providing researchers, practitioners, and policymakers with valuable insights into this rich and evolving field.

1

Introduction

Discrete consumer choice models are an important aspect of decision-making, as they help us understand how people make choices when faced with multiple alternatives. These models can be used to assess various consumer decisions, such as product choice, brand preference, and the influence of various factors on consumer decision-making. They have far-reaching implications for a variety of fields, including economics, marketing, behavioral science, transportation, and operations. Consumer choice models have received considerable interest in recent times due to the growing prevalence of e-commerce and the increasing emphasis on data-driven modeling and decision-making in academia. Researchers are exploring the effectiveness of various consumer choice models in predicting consumer behavior, such as the Random Utility Models, and are also examining the impact of situational or contextual factors, such as network externality and the presence of others, on consumer choice behavior and firms' operational strategy.

The objective of this review paper is to present a comprehensive overview of the theoretical framework, model estimation techniques, challenges, recent advances, and future directions in the area of discrete consumer choice modeling. By dissecting these models and the me-

chanics behind them, this paper aims to illuminate the methodological underpinnings and practical applications of discrete consumer choice modeling, offering researchers, practitioners, and policymakers valuable insights into this rich and evolving field.

This work is organized as follows. In the rest of this section, we provide a historical overview of the evolution of discrete consumer choice modeling and highlight key studies and models in this field. Section 2 delves into the theoretical framework of the most important discrete choice model—the Multinomial Logit (MNL) choice model. Although criticized by its Independence of Irrelevant Alternative (IIA) property, MNL remains the most popular choice for modeling consumer behavior. Section 3 covers interesting extensions built upon the classic MNL model. Section 4 presents other discrete choice models including the Nested Logit and Mixed Logit models. Section 5 focuses on the pricing problems under the discrete choice models. Section 6 identifies and discusses the challenges of the assortment optimization problems under various consumer choice models. Section 7 focuses on model estimation techniques, such as maximum likelihood estimation and expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm. It also explores the recent advances in discrete consumer choice modeling, including the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning. Finally, Section 8 points out opportunities for further research and then concludes the work with a summary of the key points and concluding remarks.

Historical Note on the Discrete Choice Model

The origins of discrete choice modeling can be traced back to the mid-20th century. Early developments in consumer theory were central in proposing that consumers' preferences could be inferred from their purchasing habits. Samuelson (1948) developed the concept of “revealed preference”. This was a major step forward because it provided a way to model and predict consumer behavior based on observable data.

In the 1950s and 1960s, the field of psychology offered substantial contributions to the nascent theory of choice modeling. Notably, Thurstone (1927) proposed the Law of Comparative Judgment, which provided a mathematical expression for how individuals make choices.

He suggested that individuals' decisions are the result of a random utility, an innovative concept at the time.

During the same period, Luce (1959b) developed a probabilistic choice model, stating that the probability of choosing an item is a function of its relative attractiveness compared to other available alternatives. This was a critical development as it introduced the concept of relative decision-making, which forms the basis for modern discrete choice models.

However, it was in the 1970s that the Random Utility Maximization (RUM) model, as we know it today, was developed. Under the RUM, the decision makers select the alternative that yields the maximum realized consumption utility. McFadden (1974) made significant contributions by developing the Multinomial Logit (MNL) Model, a specific case of RUM, where the random components of utility are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) following a Gumbel distribution. The MNL model transformed choice behavior analysis and earned McFadden the Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences in 2000.

Since then, RUM has continued to evolve with researchers developing numerous variations and extensions to deal with its limitations and better align the model with observed behaviors. These include nested logit, mixed logit, and probit models, among others. Today, RUM models remain a key tool in the fields of economics, marketing, transportation, health economics, operations and more. The focus of this review paper is on introducing the modeling, estimation and optimization techniques under the RUM framework. In the next section, we will discuss the most classic RUM model—the MNL in more details.

References

- Abdallah, T. and G. Vulcano. (2021). “Demand Estimation Under the Multinomial Logit Model from Sales Transaction Data”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 23(5): 1196–1216.
- Abeliuk, A., G. Berbeglia, M. Cebrian, and P. Van Hentenryck. (2016). “Assortment optimization under a multinomial logit model with position bias and social influence”. *4OR*. 14(1): 57–75.
- Acemoglu, D., A. Makhdoumi, A. Malekian, and A. Ozdaglar. (2017). “Fast and slow learning from reviews”.
- Agrawal, S., V. Avadhanula, V. Goyal, and A. Zeevi. (2019). “Mnl-bandit: A dynamic learning approach to assortment selection”. *Operations Research*. 67(5): 1453–1485.
- Ahumada, A. and L. Ulku. (2018). “Luce rule with limited consideration”. *Mathematical Social Sciences*. 93: 52–56.
- Akcay, Y., H. P. Natarajan, and S. H. Xu. (2010). “Joint Dynamic Pricing of Multiple Perishable Products Under Consumer Choice”. *Management Science*. 56(8): 1345–1361.
- Aksoy-Pierson, M., G. Allon, and A. Federgruen. (2013). “Price Competition Under Mixed Multinomial Logit Demand Functions”. *Management Science*. 59(8): 1817–1835.
- Alptekinoglu, A. and J. H. Semple. (2016). “The Exponential Choice Model: A New Alternative for Assortment and Price Optimization”. *Operations Research*. 64(1): 79–93.

- Alptekinoglu, A. and J. H. Semple. (2021). “Heteroscedastic exponential choice”. *Operations Research*. 69(3): 841–858.
- Anderson, S. P. and A. de Palma. (1992). “Multiproduct Firms: a Nested Logit Approach”. *The Journal of Industrial Economics*. 40(3): 261–276.
- Anderson, S. P., A. de Palma, and J. Thisse. (1992). *Discrete Choice Theory of Product Differentiation*. The MIT Press.
- Aouad, A. and A. Désir. (2022). “Representing Random Utility Choice Models with Neural Networks”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12877*.
- Aouad, A., V. Farias, R. Levi, and D. Segev. (2018). “The Approximability of Assortment Optimization Under Ranking Preferences”. *Operations Research*. 66: 1661–1669.
- Aouad, A. and D. Segev. (2021). “Display optimization for vertically differentiated locations under multinomial logit preferences”. *Management Science*. 67(6): 3519–3550.
- Arslan, A., R. Easley, R. Wang, and O. Yilmaz. (2022). “Data-Driven Sports Ticket Pricing for Multiple Sales Channels with Heterogeneous Customers”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 24(2): 1241–1260.
- Aurier, P. and V. Mejia. (2014). “Multivariate Logit and Probit models for simultaneous purchases: Presentation, uses, appeal and limitations”. *Recherche et Applications en Marketing (English Edition)*. 29(2): 75–94.
- Aydin, G. and E. Porteus. (2008). “Joint Inventory and Pricing Decisions for an Assortment”. *Operations research*. 56(5): 1247–1255.
- Bai, Y., J. Feldman, D. Segev, H. Topaloglu, and L. Wagner. (2023). “Assortment optimization under the multi-purchase multinomial logit choice model”. *Operations Research*.
- Bell, D. R., T. H. Ho, and C. S. Tang. (1998). “Determining where to shop: Fixed and variable costs of shopping”. *Journal of marketing Research*. 35(3): 352–369.
- Ben-Akiva, M. (1973). “Structure of passenger travel demand models”.
- Ben-Akiva, M. E. and S. R. Lerman. (1985). *Discrete choice analysis: Theory and application to travel demand*. Vol. 9. The MIT Press.

- Bentz, Y. and D. Merunka. (2000). “Neural networks and the multinomial logit for brand choice modelling: A hybrid approach”. *Journal of Forecasting*. 19(3): 177–200.
- Berbeglia, G. and A. Venkataraman. (2018). “The generalized stochastic preference choice model”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.04244*.
- Besanko, D., M. K. Perry, and R. H. Spady. (1990). “The logit model of monopolistic competition: Brand diversity”. *The Journal of Industrial Economics*: 397–415.
- Besbes, O. and D. Saure. (2016). “Product Assortment and Price Competition under Multinomial Logit Demand”. *Production and Operations Management*. 25(1): 114–127.
- Besbes, O. and M. Scarsini. (2018). “On information distortions in online ratings”. *Operations Research*. 66(3): 597–610.
- Bhat, C. R. (1995). “A heteroscedastic extreme value model of intercity travel mode choice”. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*. 29(6): 471–483.
- Bhat, C. R. (2005). “A multiple discrete–continuous extreme value model: formulation and application to discretionary time-use decisions”. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*. 39(8): 679–707.
- Blanchet, J., G. Gallego, and V. Goyal. (2016). “A Markov Chain Approximation to Choice Modeling”. *Operations research*. 64(4): 886–905.
- Boyd, S. and L. Vandenberghe. (2009). *Convex Optimization*. Seventh. Cambridge University Press.
- Cachon, G. P. and A. G. Kok. (2007). “Category Management and Coordination in Retail Assortment Planning in the Presence of Basket Shopping Consumers”. *Management Science*. 53(6): 934–951.
- Cao, J. and W. Sun. (2019). “Dynamic learning of sequential choice bandit problem under marketing fatigue”. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 33. 3264–3271.
- Cao, J., W. Sun, and Z. J. M. Shen. (2019). “Sequential choice bandits: Learning with marketing fatigue”.
- Cardell, N. S. (1997). “Variance Components Structures for the Extreme-Value and Logistic Distributions with Application to Models of Heterogeneity”. *Econometric Theory*. 13(2): 185–213.

- Chen, F., J. Eliashberg, and P. Zipkin. (1998). “Customer preferences, supply-chain costs, and product-line design”. *Product variety management: Research advances*: 123–144.
- Chen, K. D. and W. H. Hausman. (2000). “Technical Note: Mathematical Properties of the Optimal Product Line Selection Problem Using Choice-Based Conjoint Analysis”. *Management Science*. 46(2): 327–332.
- Chen, N. and Y. J. Chen. (2021). “Duopoly competition with network effects in discrete choice models”. *Operations Research*. 69(2): 545–559.
- Chen, N., G. Gallego, and Z. Tang. (2019). “The Use of Binary Choice Forests to Model and Estimate Discrete Choices”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.01109*.
- Chen, N., A. Li, and Y. S. (2021a). “Revenue Maximization and Learning in Products Ranking”. arXiv: [2012.03800](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.03800) [cs.LG].
- Chen, N., A. Li, and K. Talluri. (2021b). “Reviews and self-selection bias with operational implications”. *Management Science*. 67(12): 7472–7492.
- Chen, X., P. Hu, and Z. Hu. (2017). “Efficient Algorithms for Dynamic Pricing Problem with Reference Price Effect”. *Management Science*. 63(12): 4389–4408.
- Chen, X., J. Li, M. Li, T. Zhao, and Y. Zhou. (2022). “Assortment optimization under the multivariate MNL model”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.15220*.
- Chen, X., Y. Wang, and Y. Zhou. (2020). “Dynamic Assortment Optimization with Changing Contextual Information”. *Journal of machine learning research*. 21(1): 8918–8961.
- Chen, Y. C. and V. V. Mišić. (2022). “Decision Forest: A Nonparametric Approach to Modeling Irrational Choice”. *Management Science*. 68(10): 7090–7111.
- Cheung, W. C., V. Tan, and Z. Zhong. (2019). “A thompson sampling algorithm for cascading bandits”. In: *The 22nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*. 438–447.
- Cho, S., M. Ferguson, P. Pekgun, and J. Im. (2024). “Robust demand estimation with customer choice-based models for sales transaction data”. *Production and Operations Management*.

- Craswell, N., O. Zoeter, M. Taylor, and B. Ramsey. (2008). “An experimental comparison of click position-bias models”. In: *Proceedings of the 2008 international conference on web search and data mining*. 87–94.
- Daganzo, C. (1979). *Multinomial Probit: The Theory and Its Application to Demand Forecasting*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Dai, J., W. Ding, A. Kleywegt, X. Wang, and Y. Zhang. (2014). “Choice Based Revenue Management for Parallel Flights”. *Tech. rep.* Working Paper.
- Daly, A. J. and S. Zachary. (1976). “Improved multiple choice models”. In: *Urban Traffic Models PTRC Summer Meeting*. University of Warwick.
- Davis, J. M., G. Gallego, and H. Topaloglu. (2013). “Assortment Planning under the Multinomial Logit Model with Totally Unimodular Constraint Structures”.
- De los Santos, B., A. Hortacsu, and M. R. Wildenbeest. (2012). “Testing Models of Consumer Search using Data on Web Browsing and Purchasing Behavior”. *American Economic Review*. 102(2): 2955–2980.
- Debreu, G. (1952). “A SOCIAL EQUILIBRIUM EXISTENCE THEOREM”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 38(10): 886–893.
- Derakhshan, M., N. Golrezaei, V. Manshadi, and V. Mirrokni. (2022). “Product ranking on online platforms”. *Management Science*. 68(6): 4024–4041.
- Désir, A., V. Goyal, and J. Zhang. (2022). “Technical Note—Capacitated Assortment Optimization: Hardness and Approximation”. *Operations Research*. 70(2): 893–904.
- Dickson, P. R. and A. G. Sawyer. (1990). “The price knowledge and search of supermarket shoppers”. *Journal of Marketing*. 54(3): 42–53.
- Dong, L., P. Kouvelis, and Z. Tian. (2009). “Dynamic Pricing and Inventory Control of Substitute Products”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 11(2): 317–339.
- Du, C., W. Cooper, and Z. Wang. (2016). “Optimal Pricing for a Multinomial Logit Choice Model with Network Effects”. *Operations Research*. 64(2): 441–455.

- Dubé, J. P. (2004). “Multiple discreteness and product differentiation: Demand for carbonated soft drinks”. *Marketing Science*. 23(1): 66–81.
- Dupor, B. and W. Liu. (2003). “Jealousy and Equilibrium Overconsumption”. *American Economics Review*. 93(1): 423–428.
- Echenique, F. and K. Saito. (2019). “General Luce Model”. *Economic Theory*. 68(4): 811–826.
- Feige, U., S. Goldwasser, L. Lovász, S. Safra, and M. Szegedy. (1996). “Interactive Proofs and the Hardness of Approximating Cliques”. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*. 43(2): 268–292.
- Feinberg, F. M. and J. Huber. (1996). “A Theory of Cutoff Formation Under Imperfect Information”. *Management Science*. 42(1): 65–84.
- Feldman, J. and D. Segev. (2019). “Improved Approximation Schemes for MNL-Driven Sequential Assortment Optimization”.
- Ferreira, K. J., S. Parthasarathy, and S. Sekar. (2022). “Learning to rank an assortment of products”. *Management Science*. 68(3): 1828–1848.
- Flores, A., G. Berbeglia, and P. Van Hentenryck. (2019). “Assortment optimization under the Sequential Multinomial Logit Model”. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 273(3): 1052–1064.
- Gabel, S. and A. Timoshenko. (2022). “Product Choice with Large Assortments: A Scalable Deep-Learning Model”. *Management Science*. 68(3): 1808–1827.
- Gallego, G., W. Huh, W. Kang, and R. Phillips. (2006). “Price Competition with the Attraction Demand Model: Existence of Unique Equilibrium and Its Stability”. *Manufacturing Service Oper. Management*. 8(4): 359–375.
- Gallego, G. and A. Li. (2017). “Attention, Consideration then Selection Choice Model”.
- Gallego, G. and H. Topaloglu. (2014). “Constrained Assortment Optimization for the Nested Logit Model”. *Management Science*. 60(10): 2583–2601.
- Gallego, G. and R. Wang. (2014). “Multiproduct Price Optimization and Competition Under the Nested Logit Model with Product-Differentiated Price Sensitivities”. *Operations Research*. 62(2): 450–461.

- Gao, P., Y. Ma, N. Chen, G. Gallego, A. Li, P. Rusmevichientong, and H. Topaloglu. (2021). “Assortment Optimization and Pricing under the Multinomial Logit Model with Impatient Customers: Sequential Recommendation and Selection”. *Operations Research*. 69(5): 1509–1532.
- Gao, X., S. Jasin, S. Najafi, and H. Zhang. (2022). “Joint Learning and Optimization for Multi-product Pricing (and Ranking) under a General Cascade Click Model”. *Management Science*. 68(10): 7362–7382.
- Gaur, V. and D. Honhon. (2006). “Assortment planning and inventory decisions under a locational choice model”. *Management Science*. 52(10): 1528–1543.
- Golrezaei, N., V. Manshadi, J. Schneider, and S. Sekar. (2021). “Learning product rankings robust to fake users”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*. 560–561.
- Gopalakrishnan, M., H. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. (2023). “Multiproduct pricing under the multinomial logit model with local network effects”. *Decision Sciences*. 54(4): 447–466.
- Greene, W. H. and D. A. Hensher. (2003). “A latent class model for discrete choice analysis: contrasts with mixed logit”. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*. 37(8): 681–698.
- Han, Y., F. C. Pereira, M. Ben-Akiva, and C. Zengras. (2020). “A Neural-embedded Choice Model: TasteNet-MNL Modeling Taste Heterogeneity with Flexibility and Interpretability”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.00922*.
- Hanson, W. and K. Martin. (1996). “Optimizing Multinomial Logit Profit Functions”. *Management Science*. 42(7): 992–1003.
- Hauser, J. (2014). “Consideration-set heuristics”. *Journal of Business Research*. 67(8): 1688–1699.
- Heese, H. S. and V. Martinez-de-Albeniz. (2018). “Effects of Assortment Breadth Announcements on Manufacturer Competition”. *Manufacturing & service operations management*. 20(2): 302–316.
- Hopp, W. J. and X. Xu. (2005). “Product Line Selection and Pricing with Modularity in Design”. *Management Science*. 7(3): 172–187.
- Hotelling, H. (1929). “Stability in competition”. *The economic journal*. 39(153): 41–57.

- Hu, Z., X. Chen, and P. Hu. (2016). “Technical Note – Dynamic Pricing with Gain-Seeking Reference Price Effects”. *Operations Research*. 64(1): 150–157.
- Huh, W. T. and H. Li. (2015). “Pricing under the Nested Attraction Model with a Multi-stage Choice Structure”. *Operations Research*. 63(4): 840–850.
- Huh, W. T. and H. Li. (2022a). “Optimal pricing under multiple-discrete customer choices and diminishing return of consumption”. *Operations Research*. 70(2): 905–917.
- Huh, W. T. and H. Li. (2022b). “Optimal pricing under multiple-discrete customer choices and diminishing return of consumption”. *Operations Research*. 70(2): 905–917.
- Jasin, S., C. Lyu, S. Najafi, and H. Zhang. (2024). “Assortment optimization with multi-item basket purchase under multivariate mnl model”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 26(1): 215–232.
- Jiang, Z., J. Li, and D. Zhang. (2024). “A high-dimensional choice model for online retailing”. *Management Science*.
- Kahneman, D. and A. Tversky. (1979). “Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk”. *Econometrica*. 47(3): 263–291.
- Kallus, N. and M. Udell. (2020). “Dynamic Assortment Personalization in High Dimensions”. *Operations Research*. 68(4): 1020–1037.
- Kamakura, W. A. and G. J. Russell. (1989). “A probabilistic choice model for market segmentation and elasticity structure”. *Journal of marketing research*. 26(4): 379–390.
- Katariya, S., B. Kveton, C. Szepesvari, and Z. Wen. (2016). “DCM bandits: Learning to rank with multiple clicks”. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 1215–1224.
- Katz, M. L. and C. Shapiro. (1985). “Network Externalities, Competition, and Compatibility”. *The American Economic Review*. 75(3): 424–440. (Accessed on 06/29/2023).
- Ke, C., L. Lu, and R. Wang. (2022). “Efficient Frontier and Applications in Product Offering and Pricing”.
- Ke, C. and R. Wang. (2022). “Cross-category retailing management: Substitution and complementarity”. *Manufacturing & service operations management*. 24(2): 1128–1145.

- Ke, C., R. Wang, and Z. Zhao. (2023). “Discrete Choice Models with Piecewise Linear Utility: Modeling, Estimation and Pricing”.
- Kempe, D. and M. Mahdian. (2008). “A cascade model for externalities in sponsored search”. In: *International Workshop on Internet and Network Economics*. Springer. 585–596.
- Koulayev, S. (2014). “Estimating demand in online search markets, with application to hotel booking”. *RAND Journal of Economics*. 45(3): 553–575.
- Kunnumkal, S. and H. Topaloglu. (2008). “A refined deterministic linear program for the network revenue management problem with customer choice behavior”. *Naval Research Logistics*. 55(6): 563–580.
- Kveton, B., C. Szepesvari, Z. Wen, and A. Ashkan. (2015a). “Cascading bandits: Learning to rank in the cascade model”. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 767–776.
- Kveton, B., Z. Wen, A. Ashkan, and C. Szepesvari. (2015b). “Combinatorial cascading bandits”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 1450–1458.
- Lagrée, P., C. Vernade, and O. Cappe. (2016). “Multiple-play bandits in the position-based model”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 1597–1605.
- Lancaster, K. (1966). “A new approach to consumer theory”. *Journal of political economy*. 74(2): 132–157.
- Lancaster, K. (1975). “Socially optimal product differentiation”. *The american economic review*. 65(4): 567–585.
- Lancaster, K. (1990). “The economics of product variety: A survey”. *Marketing science*. 9(3): 189–206.
- Lee, S., J. Kim, and G. M. Allenby. (2013). “A direct utility model for asymmetric complements”. *Marketing Science*. 32(3): 454–470.
- Li, A. and K. Talluri. (2020). “Estimating demand with unobserved no-purchases on revenue-managed data”.
- Li, G. and P. Rusmevichientong. (2014). “A greedy algorithm for the two-level nested logit model”. *Operations Research Letters*. 42(5): 319–324.
- Li, G., P. Rusmevichientong, and H. Topaloglu. (2015). “The d-Level Nested Logit Model: Assortment and Price Optimization Problems”. *Operations Research*. 63(2): 325–341.

- Li, H. and W. T. Huh. (2011). “Pricing Multiple Products with the Multinomial Logit and Nested Logit Models: Concavity and Implications”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 13(4): 549–564.
- Li, H. and S. Webster. (2017). “Optimal Pricing of Correlated Product Options Under the Paired Combinatorial Logit Model”. *Operations Research*. 65(5): 1215–1230.
- Li, H., S. Webster, N. Mason, and K. Kempf. (2019). “Product-line pricing under Discrete Mixed Multinomial Logit demand”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 21(1): 14–28.
- Liu, G. (2006). “On Nash equilibrium in prices in an oligopolistic market with demand characterized by a nested multinomial logit model and multiproduct firm as nest”.
- Liu, N., Y. Ma, and H. Topaloglu. (2020). “Assortment Optimization under the Multinomial Logit Model with Sequential Offering”. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*. 32(3): 835–853.
- Luan, S., R. Wang, X. Xu, and W. Xue. (2020). “Operations management under consumer choice models with multiple purchases”.
- Luce, R. D. (1959a). *Individual Choice Behavior: A Theoretical Analysis*. Wiley New York.
- Luce, R. D. (1959b). “Response latencies and probabilities”. *Mathematical methods in the social sciences*: 298–311.
- Luce, R. D. and P. Suppes. (1965). “Preference, Utility, and Subjective Probability”. In: *Handbook of Mathematical Psychology, III*. Ed. by R. D. Luce, R. Bush, and E. Galanter. Wiley, New York. 249–410.
- Maldonado, F. (2020). “Modeling consumer behaviour in the presence of network effects”.
- Manzini, P. and M. Mariott. (2014). “Stochastic Choice And Consideration Sets”. *Econometrica*. 82(3): 1153–1176.
- Mazumdar, T. and P. Papatla. (2000). “An Investigation of Reference Price Segments”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 37(2): 246–258.
- McFadden, D. (1974). “Conditional Logit Analysis of Qualitative Choice Behavior”. In: *Frontiers in Econometrics*. Ed. by P. Zarembka. Academic Press, New York. Chap. 5. 105–142.

- McFadden, D. (1978). “Modelling the choice of residential location”. In: *Spatial Interaction Theory and Planning Models*. Ed. by A. Karlqvist, L. Lundqvist, F. Snickars, and J. Weibull. Amsterdam: North-Holland. 75–96.
- McFadden, D. (1999). “Rationality for Economists?” *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*. 19(1): 73–105.
- McFadden, D. and K. Train. (2000). “Mixed MNL models for discrete response”. *Journal of applied Econometrics*. 15(5): 447–470.
- Mehta, N. (2007). “Investigating consumers’ purchase incidence and brand choice decisions across multiple product categories: A theoretical and empirical analysis”. *Marketing Science*. 26(2): 196–217.
- Miyao, T. and P. Shapiro. (1981). “Discrete Choice and Variable Returns to Scale”. *International Economic Review*. 22(2): 257–273.
- Newman, J. P., M. E. Ferguson, A. G. Laurie, and T. L. Jacobs. (2014). “Estimation of Choice-Based Models Using Sales Data from a Single Firm”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 16(2): 184–197.
- Nosrat, F., W. L. Cooper, and Z. Wang. (2021). “Pricing for a product with network effects and mixed logit demand”. *Naval Research Logistics (NRL)*. 68(2): 159–182.
- Popescu, I. and Y. Wu. (2007). “Dynamic Pricing Strategies with Reference Effects”. *Operations Research*. 55(3): 413–429.
- Rajendran, K. N. and G. J. Tellis. (1994). “Contextual and temporal components of reference price”. *Journal of Marketing*. (1): 22–34.
- Ratliff, R. M., B. Venkateshwara Rao, C. P. Narayan, and K. Yellepeddi. (2008). “A multi-flight recapture heuristic for estimating unconstrained demand from airline bookings”. *Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management*. 7(2): 153–171.
- Roberts, J. and M. Lattin. (1991). “Development and Testing of a Model Consideration Set Composition”. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 28(4): 429–440.
- Rosenfeld, N., K. Oshiba, and Y. Singer. (2020). “Predicting Choice with Set-Dependent Aggregation”. In: *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*. Ed. by H. D. III and A. Singh. Vol. 119. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*. PMLR. 8220–8229.

- Rusmevichientong, P., Z.-J. M. Shen, and D. B. Shmoys. (2010). “Dynamic Assortment Optimization with a Multinomial Logit Choice Model and Capacity Constraint”. *Operations Research*. 58(6): 1666–1680.
- Russell, G., D. Bell, A. Bodapati, C. Brown, J. Chiang, G. Gaeth, S. Gupta, and P. Manchanda. (1997). “Perspectives on multiple category choice”. *Marketing Letters*. 8: 297–305.
- Samuelson, P. A. (1948). “Consumption Theory in Terms of Revealed Preference”. *Economica*. 15(60): 243–253.
- Sifringer, B., V. Lurkin, and A. Alahi. (2020). “Enhancing discrete choice models with representation learning”. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*. 140: 236–261.
- Song, I. and P. K. Chintagunta. (2007). “A discrete–continuous model for multicategory purchase behavior of households”. *Journal of marketing Research*. 44(4): 595–612.
- Song, J. and Z. Xue. (2007). “Demand management and inventory control for substitutable products”.
- Strauss, D. (1979). “Some Results on Random Utility Models”. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*. 20: 35–52.
- Subramanian, S. and P. Harsha. (2021). “Demand modeling in the presence of unobserved lost sales”. *Management Science*. 67(6): 3803–3833.
- Talluri, K. (2009). “A finite-population revenue management model and a risk-ratio procedure for the joint estimation of population size and parameters”. *Tech. rep.* Barcelona, Spain: Working Paper 1141, Department of Economics, Universitat Pompeu Fabra.
- Talluri, K. and G. Van Ryzin. (2004). “Revenue management under a general discrete choice model of consumer behavior”. *Management Science*. 50(1): 15–33.
- Thurstone, L. L. (1927). “A law of comparative judgment”. *Psychological review*. 34(4): 273.
- Train, K. (2003). *Discrete choice methods with simulation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tulabandhula, T., D. Sinha, S. R. Karra, and P. Patidar. (2023). “Multi-purchase behavior: Modeling, estimation, and optimization”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 25(6): 2298–2313.

- Tversky, A. (1972). “Choice by elimination”. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*. 9(4): 341–367.
- Tversky, A. and D. Kahneman. (1991). “Loss Aversion in Riskless Choice : A Reference-Dependent Model”. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 106(4): 1039–1061.
- Vives, X. (2001). *Oligopoly pricing: Old ideas and new tools*. The MIT Press.
- Vulcano, G., G. van Ryzin, and W. Chahr. (2010). “OM Practice: Choice-Based Revenue Management: An Empirical Study of Estimation and Optimization”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 12(3): 371–392.
- Vulcano, G., G. van Ryzin, and R. Ratliff. (2012). “Estimating Primary Demand for Substitutable Products from Sales Transaction Data”. *Operations Research*. 60(2): 313–334.
- Wang, R. (2012). “Capacitated Assortment and Price Optimization under the Multinomial Logit Choice Model”. *Operations Research Letters*. 40(6): 492–497.
- Wang, R. (2018). “When Prospect Theory Meets Consumer Choice Models: assortment and Pricing Management with Reference Prices”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 20(3): 389–600.
- Wang, R. (2021a). “Discrete choice models and applications in operations management”. In: *Tutorials in Operations Research: Emerging Optimization Methods and Modeling Techniques with Applications*. INFORMS. 199–226.
- Wang, R. (2021b). “The Threshold Effects on Consumer Choice and Pricing Decisions”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 24(1): 448–466.
- Wang, R. (2022). “What Is the Impact of Nonrandomness on Random Choice Models?” *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 24(1): 485–503.
- Wang, R., C. Ke, and Z. Zhao. (2024). “Anticipated Wait and Its Effects on Consumer Choice, Pricing, and Assortment Management”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 26(3): 1082–1101.
- Wang, R. and O. Sahin. (2018). “The Impact of Consumer Search Cost on Assortment Planning and Pricing”. *Management Science*. 64(8): 3649–3666.

- Wang, R. and Z. Wang. (2017). “Consumer Choice Models with Endogenous Network Effects”. *Management Science*. 63(11): 3944–3960.
- Wang, R., Z. Zhao, and C. Ke. (2022). “Modeling Consumer Choice and Optimizing Assortment under the Threshold Multinomial Logit Model”.
- Wang, S., B. Mo, and J. Zhao. (2020). “Deep Neural Networks for Choice Analysis: Architecture Design with Alternative-Specific Utility Functions”. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*. 112: 234–251.
- Wang, S., B. Mo, and J. Zhao. (2021). “Theory-based residual neural networks: A synergy of discrete choice models and deep neural networks”. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*. 146: 333–358.
- Williams, H. C. (1977). “On the formation of travel demand models and economic evaluation measures of user benefit”. *Environment and Planning A*. 9(3): 285–344.
- Zhang, H., H. Piri, W. T. Huh, and H. Li. (2021). “Assortment optimization under multiple-discrete customer choices”.
- Zhang, H., P. Rusmevichientong, and H. Topaloglu. (2018). “Multi-product Pricing Under the Generalized Extreme Value Models with Homogeneous Price Sensitivity Parameters”. *Operations Research*. 66(6): 1559–1570.
- Zoghi, M., T. Tunys, M. Ghavamzadeh, B. Kveton, C. Szepesvari, and Z. Wen. (2017). “Online learning to rank in stochastic click models”. *In International conference on machine learning*: 4199–4208.
- Zong, S., H. Ni, K. Sung, N. R. Ke, Z. Wen, and B. Kveton. (2016). “Cascading bandits for large-scale recommendation problems”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.05359*.