
A Framework for Web Science

A Framework for Web Science

Tim Berners-Lee

*Computer Science and
Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Wendy Hall

*School of Electronics and Computer Science
University of Southampton*

James A. Hendler

*Department of Computer Science
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*

Kieron O'Hara

Nigel Shadbolt

*School of Electronics and Computer Science
University of Southampton*

Daniel J. Weitzner

*Computer Science and
Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

now

the essence of **knowledge**

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

A Cataloging-in-Publication record is available from the Library of Congress

The preferred citation for this publication is T. Berners-Lee, W. Hall, J.A. Hendler, K. O'Hara, N. Shadbolt and D.J. Weitzner, A Framework for Web Science, Foundation and Trends[®] in Web Science, vol 1, no 1, pp 1–130, 2006

Printed on acid-free paper

ISBN: 1-933019-80-8

© 2006 T. Berners-Lee, W. Hall, J.A. Hendler, K. O'Hara, N. Shadbolt and D.J. Weitzner

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Web Science**

Volume 1 Issue 1, 2006

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief:

Wendy Hall

University of Southampton

wh@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Nigel Shadbolt

University of Southampton

nrs@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Editors

Tim Berners-Lee (MIT)
Lorrie Cranor (Carnegie Mellon
University)
Dieter Fensel (DERI)
Carole Goble (University of
Manchester)
Pat Hayes (IHMC)
James Hendler (University of
Maryland)

Arun Iyengar (IBM Research)
Craig Knoblock (USC)
Ora Lassila (Nokia Research)
Cathy Marshall (Microsoft)
Ben Shneiderman (University of
Maryland)
Danny Weitzner (MIT)
Yorick Wilks (University of Sheffield)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Agents and the Semantic Web
- Content Management
- Databases on the Web
- Data Mining
- Dependability
- Emergent behaviour
- Human-Computer Interaction
- Hypertext/hypermedia
- Languages on the Web
- Mobile/pervasive
- Multimedia
- Network infrastructures
- Performance
- Scalability
- Security
- Semantic Web - metadata, ontologies
- Standards
- Trust and Provenance (Policy)
- Universal Usability
- User Interfaces
- Web Searching/Information Retrieval
- Web Services

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science, 2006, Volume 1, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1555-077X. ISSN online version 1555-0788. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends® in
Web Science
Vol. 1, No 1 (2006) 1–130
© 2006 T. Berners-Lee, W. Hall, J.A. Hendler,
K. O’Hara, N. Shadbolt and D.J. Weitzner
DOI: 10.1561/1800000001



A Framework for Web Science

Tim Berners-Lee¹, Wendy Hall²,
James A. Hendler³, Kieron O’Hara⁴,
Nigel Shadbolt⁴ and Daniel J. Weitzner⁵

¹ *Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

² *School of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton*

³ *Department of Computer Science, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*

⁴ *School of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton*

⁵ *Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Abstract

This text sets out a series of approaches to the analysis and synthesis of the World Wide Web, and other web-like information structures. A comprehensive set of research questions is outlined, together with a sub-disciplinary breakdown, emphasising the multi-faceted nature of the Web, and the multi-disciplinary nature of its study and development. These questions and approaches together set out an agenda for *Web Science*, the science of decentralised information systems. Web Science is required both as a way to understand the Web, and as a way to focus its development on key communicational and representational requirements. The text surveys central engineering issues, such as the development of the Semantic Web, Web services and P2P. Analytic approaches to discover the Web’s topology, or its graph-like structures, are examined. Finally, the Web as a technology is essentially socially embedded; therefore various issues and requirements for Web use and governance are also reviewed.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Web and its Science	7
2.1	Web architecture	7
2.2	Web science: Methodology	12
3	Engineering the Web	17
3.1	Web semantics	17
3.2	Reference and identity	39
3.3	Web engineering: New directions	42
4	The analysis of the Web	53
4.1	Web topology	53
4.2	Web mathematics	62
5	Social Aspects	73
5.1	Meaning, supervenience and symbol grounding	73
5.2	Web reasoning	75
5.3	Web epistemology	81
5.4	Web sociology	82

6	Web Governance, Security and Standards	99
6.1	Standards and policies	100
6.2	Copyright issues	102
6.3	Transgressive behaviour	103
6.4	Privacy and identity	104
6.5	The economics of information and communication	107
6.6	A liberal hegemony?	107
7	Discussion and Conclusions	111
	Acknowledgements	113
	References	115

1

Introduction

The World Wide Web is a technology that is only a few years old, yet its growth, and its effect on the society within which it is embedded, have been astonishing. Its inception was in support of the information requirements of research into high energy physics. It has spread inexorably into other scientific disciplines, academe in general, commerce, entertainment, politics and almost anywhere where communication serves a purpose [142, 143]. Freed from the constraints of printing and physical distribution, the results of scientific research, and the data upon which that research is carried out, can be shared quickly. Linking allows the work to be situated within rich contexts. Meanwhile, innovation has widened the possibilities for communication. Weblogs and wikis allow the immediacy of conversation, while the potential of multimedia and interactivity is vast.

But neither the Web nor the world is static. The Web evolves in response to various pressures from science, commerce, the public and politics. For instance, the growth of e-science has created a need to integrate large quantities of diverse and heterogeneous data; e-government and e-commerce also demand more effective use of information [34]. We need to understand these evolutionary and developmental forces.

2 Introduction

Without such an appreciation opportunities for adding value to the Web by facilitating more communicative and representational possibilities may be missed. But development is not the whole of the story. Though multi-faceted and extensible, the Web is based on a set of architectural principles which need to be respected. Furthermore, the Web is a social technology that thrives on growth and therefore needs to be trusted by an expanding user base – trustworthiness, personal control over information, and respect for the rights and preferences of others are all important aspects of the Web. These aspects also need to be understood and maintained as the Web changes.

A research agenda that can help identify what needs to stay fixed and where change can be profitable is imperative. This is the aim of *Web Science*, which aims to map how decentralised information structures can serve these scientific, representational and communicational requirements, and to produce designs and design principles governing such structures [34]. We contend that this science of decentralised information structures is essential for understanding how informal and unplanned informational links between people, agents, databases, organisations and other actors and resources can meet the informational needs of important drivers such as e-science and e-government. How an essentially decentralised system can have such performance designed into it is the key question of Web Science [34].

‘Web Science’ is a deliberately ambiguous phrase. Physical science is an analytic discipline that aims to find laws that generate or explain observed phenomena; computer science is predominantly (though not exclusively) synthetic, in that formalisms and algorithms are created in order to support particular desired behaviour. Web science has to be a merging of these two paradigms; the Web needs to be *studied* and understood, and it needs to be *engineered*. At the micro scale, the Web is an infrastructure of artificial languages and protocols; it is a piece of engineering. But the linking philosophy that governs the Web, and its use in communication, result in emergent properties at the macro scale (some of which are desirable, and therefore to be engineered in, others undesirable, and if possible to be engineered out). And of course the Web’s use in communication is part of a wider system of human interaction governed by conventions and laws. The various levels at which Web

technology interacts with human society mean that interdisciplinarity is a firm requirement of Web Science.

Such an interdisciplinary research agenda, able to drive Web development in socially and scientifically useful ways, is not yet visible and needs to be created. To that end, in September 2005 a Web Science Workshop was convened in London, UK (details of the contributors to the Workshop are given in the Acknowledgements). The workshop examined a number of issues, including:

- Emerging trends on the Web.
- Challenges to understanding and guiding the development of the Web.
- Structuring research to support the exploitation of opportunities created by (*inter alia*) ubiquity, mobility, new media and the increasing amount of data available online.
- Ensuring important social properties such as privacy are respected.
- Identifying and preserving the essential invariants of the Web experience.

This text grew out of the Web Science Workshop, and it attempts to summarise, expand and comment on the debates. That an interdisciplinary approach was required was agreed by all, encompassing computer science and engineering, the physical and mathematical sciences, social science and policymaking. Web Science, therefore, is not just about methods for modelling, analysing and understanding the Web at the various micro- and macroscopic levels. It is also about engineering protocols and providing infrastructure, and ensuring that there is fit between the infrastructure and the society that hosts it. Web Science must coordinate engineering with a social agenda, policy with technical constraints and possibilities, analysis with synthesis – it is inherently interdisciplinary, and this text is structured to reflect that.

Developing the Web also involves determining what factors influence the Web experience, and ensuring that they remain in place. Examples of basic architectural decisions that underpin the Web include: the 404 error, which means that failure to link to a resource doesn't cause catastrophic failure; the use of the Uniform Resource Indicator (URI); and

4 *Introduction*

the full exploitation of the pre-existing Internet infrastructure (such as the Domain Name System) as the platform on which the Web was built. Standards are also crucial, and the World Wide Web Consortium's (W3C) work of creating and recommending standards while maintaining stakeholder consensus shows that engineering needs to go hand in hand with a social process of negotiation.

Section 2 reviews these basic scientific and architectural principles in more detail. Exploring the metaphor of 'evolution' may help us to envisage the Web as a populated ecology, and as a society with the usual social requirements of policies and rules. Connecting relevant approaches, covering variant methodologies, varying spatiotemporal grain sizes and modelling across a wide range of domains, will be challenging.

Section 3 looks at some of the issues to do with engineering the Web, and how to promote, and be promoted by, new technologies such as grids or services. Perhaps one of the most important potential developments to be discussed in this section is the Semantic Web. The Web is usually characterised as a network of linked documents many of which are designed to be read by humans, so that machine-readability requires the heuristics of natural language processing. However, the Semantic Web, a vision of extending and adding value to the Web, is intended to exploit the possibilities of logical assertion over linked relational data to allow the automation of much information processing. Research and development has been underway for some time now on developing the languages and formalisms that will support querying, inference, aligning data models, visualisation and modelling.

To flourish, the Semantic Web needs the same decentralising philosophy as the World Wide Web. One challenge is to ensure that various individual data systems can be amalgamated with local consistency without attempting the impossible task of trying to enforce consistency globally. Furthermore, the basic use of a common set of symbols – URIs – by a number of formalisms with contrasting properties, such as rules and logic, without assuming any kind of centralised or 'basic' formalism for describing the Web is also non-trivial. A third issue is to do with bringing data together to leverage the power of amalgamation and serendipitous reuse; most data currently sit in standalone repositories

and are not published (in contrast to the WWW, where documents are routinely made available to a wider audience).

Section 4 looks at attempts to analyse the Web in ways that can feed back into the engineering effort. For instance, modelling the Web mathematically will enable search and information retrieval to keep pace with its growth, especially if linked to important fields such as natural language processing, network analysis and process modelling. Understanding emergent structures and macroscopic topology will help to generate the laws of connectivity and scaling to which the Web conforms.

As noted, the Web's value depends on its use by and in society, and its ability to serve communication needs without destroying other valuable types of interaction. This means understanding those needs, their relation to other social structures, and the two-way interaction with technological development. Social issues such as these are discussed in Section 5, and include philosophical issues to do with the meaning of symbols, logical problems such as methods of reasoning, and social issues including the creation and maintenance of trust, and the mapping of social communities via their activities on the Web.

Some of the interactions between society and Web technology are current and require policies for regulation and expressing preferences. For instance, the Semantic Web clearly motivates a corporate and individual cultural imperative to publish and share data resources, which in turn will require policies dealing with access control, privacy, identity and intellectual property (as well as interfaces and systems that can express policy rules to a heterogeneous user base). Policy, governance and political issues such as these are discussed in Section 6.

Section 7 provides a brief conclusion, summarising the case for a Science of the Web, and encapsulating the vision that this text, in an extended form, has presented.

References

- [1] M. Abadi and C. Fournet, “Mobile values, new names and secure communication,” in *Proceedings of 28th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL '01)*, 2001. <http://research.microsoft.com/users/fournet/papers/mobile-values-new-names-and-secure-communication.pdf>.
- [2] K. Aberer, P. Cudré-Mauroux, and M. Hauswirth, “Start making sense: The Chatty Web approach for global semantic agreement,” *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 1, no. 1, <http://www.websemanticsjournal.org/volume1/issue1/Abereretal2003/index.html>, 2003.
- [3] L. A. Adamic and N. Glance, “The political blogosphere and the 2004 U.S. election: Divided they blog,” *2nd Annual Workshop on the Weblogging Ecosystem: Aggregation, Analysis and Dynamics, WWW05*, <http://www.hpl.hp.com/research/idl/papers/politicalblogs/AdamicGlanceBlogWWW.pdf>, 2005.
- [4] L. A. Adamic and A. B. Huberman, “The Web’s hidden order,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 44, no. 9, <http://www.hpl.hp.com/research/papers/weborder.pdf>, 2001.
- [5] E. Adar and L. A. Adamic, “Tracking information epidemics in blogspace,” *Web Intelligence 2005*, <http://www.hpl.hp.com/research/idl/papers/blogs2/trackingblogepidemics.pdf>, 2005.
- [6] G. Adomavicius and A. Tuzhilin, “Toward the next generation of recommender systems: A survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 734–749, 2005.

- [7] K. Ahmad, M. Tariq, B. Vrusias, and C. Handy, "Corpus-based thesaurus construction for image retrieval in specialist domains," in *Advances in Information Retrieval: Proceedings of the 25th European Conference on IR Research (ECIR 2004)*, (F. Sebastiani, ed.), pp. 502–510, Berlin: Springer, 2003.
- [8] K. Ahmad, B. Vrusias, and M. Zhu, "Visualising an image collection?," *Proceedings of 9th International Conference on Information Visualisation (IV '05)*, pp. 268–274, 2005.
- [9] J. Aizen, D. Huttenlocher, J. Kleinberg, and A. Novak, "Traffic-based feedback on the Web," *PNAS*, vol. 101, (April 6th 2004), <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/reprint/101/suppl.1/5254>, 2004.
- [10] H. Alani, "Ontology construction from online ontologies," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/4013.pdf>, 2006.
- [11] H. Alani, S. Dasmahapatra, N. Gibbins, H. Glaser, S. Harris, Y. Kalfoglou, K. O'Hara, and N. Shadbolt, "Managing reference: Ensuring referential integrity of ontologies for the Semantic Web," in *Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management: Ontologies and the Semantic Web*, (A. Gómez-Pérez and V. R. Benjamins, eds.), pp. 317–334, Berlin: Springer, 2002.
- [12] H. Alani, S. Dasmahapatra, K. O'Hara, and N. Shadbolt, "Identifying communities of practice through ontology network analysis," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, pp. 18–25, <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/7397/>, March/April 2003.
- [13] R. Albert, H. Jeong, and A.-L. Barabási, "Diameter of the World-Wide Web," *Nature*, vol. 401, pp. 130–131, 1999.
- [14] B. Aleman-Meza, M. Nagarajan, C. Ramakrishnan, L. Ding, P. Kolari, A. P. Sheth, I. B. Arpinar, A. Joshi, and T. Finin, "Semantic analysis on social networks: Experiences in addressing the problem of conflict of interest detection," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/4068.pdf>, 2006.
- [15] A. R. Anderson and N. D. Belnap, *Entailment: The Logic of Relevance and Necessity vol.1*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [16] Anonymous, "Fuzzy maths," *The Economist*, 11th May 2006.
- [17] G. Antoniou and F. van Harmelen, *A Semantic Web Primer*, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2004.
- [18] A. Arasu, J. Novak, A. Tomkins, and J. Tomlin, "PageRank computation and the structure of the Web: Experiments and algorithms," *Proceedings of the 11th World Wide Web Conference*, 2002.
- [19] K. Baclawski and T. Niu, *Ontologies for Bioinformatics*, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2005.
- [20] S. Baluja, "Browsing on small screens: Recasting Web-page segmentation into an efficient machine learning framework," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/2502.pdf>, 2006.
- [21] A.-L. Barabási, "The physics of the Web," *Physics World*, <http://physicsweb.org/articles/world/14/7/09>, July 2001.
- [22] A.-L. Barabási, R. Albert, and H. Jeong, "Scale-free characteristics of random networks: The topology of the World Wide Web," *Physica A*, vol. 281, pp. 69–77, 2000.

- [23] J. Basney, W. Nejdl, D. Olmedilla, V. Welch, and M. Winslett, "Negotiating trust on the Grid," *Proceedings of Dagstuhl Seminar on Semantic Grid: The Convergence of Technologies*, <http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2005/387/pdf/05271.OlmedillaDaniel.Paper.387.pdf>, 2005.
- [24] T. Basten, *In Terms of Nets: System Design With Petri Nets and Process Algebra*, Ph.D. thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 1998.
- [25] J. Battelle, *The Search: How Google and its Rivals Rewrote the Rules of Business and Transformed Our Culture*, Boston: Nicholas Brealey Publishing, 2005.
- [26] T. Berners-Lee, "The Myth of Names and Addresses," <http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/NameMyth.html>, 1996.
- [27] T. Berners-Lee, "Cool URIs Don't Change," <http://www.w3.org/Provider/Style/URI>, 1998.
- [28] T. Berners-Lee, "Relational Databases on the Semantic Web," <http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/RDB-RDF.html>, 1998.
- [29] T. Berners-Lee, "The Fractal Nature of the Web," <http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/Fractal.html>, 1998/2005.
- [30] T. Berners-Lee, *Weaving the Web: The Past, Present and Future of the World Wide Web by its Inventor*, London: Texere Publishing, 1999.
- [31] T. Berners-Lee, "What Do HTTP URIs Identify?," <http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/HTTP-URI.html>, 2002/3.
- [32] T. Berners-Lee, "Foreword," in *Spinning the Semantic Web: Bringing the World Wide Web to its Full Potential*, (D. Fensel, J. Hendler, H. Lieberman, and W. Wahlster, eds.), Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2003.
- [33] T. Berners-Lee, R. T. Fielding, and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax," <http://www.gbiv.com/protocols/uri/rfc/rfc3986.html>, 2005.
- [34] T. Berners-Lee, W. Hall, J. Hendler, N. Shadbolt, and D. Weitzner, "Web Science," *Science*, vol. 313, August 11th 2006.
- [35] T. Berners-Lee, J. Hendler, and O. Lassila, "The Semantic Web," *Scientific American*, <http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?articleID=00048144-10D2-1C70-84A9809EC588EF21>, May 2001.
- [36] S. Besen and J. Farrell, "Choosing how to compete: Strategies and tactics in standardization," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 8, pp. 117–131, 1994.
- [37] M. Bianchini, M. Gori, and F. Scarselli, "Inside PageRank," *ACM Transactions on Internet Technology*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 92–128, 2005.
- [38] P. E. Black, ed., ch. Dictionary of Algorithms and Data Structures, *Levenshtein distance*. 2005. <http://www.nist.gov/dads/HTML/Levenshtein.html>.
- [39] D. C. Blair, "Wittgenstein, language and information: 'Back to the rough ground'," in *Context: Nature, Impact and Role – 5th International Conference on Conceptions of Library and Information Science (CoLIS 2005)*, (F. Crestani and I. Ruthven, eds.), pp. 1–4, Berlin: Springer, 2005.
- [40] R. A. Botafogo, E. Rivlin, and B. Shneiderman, "Structural analysis of hypertexts: Identifying hierarchies and useful metrics," *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 142–180, 1992.

- [41] P. Bouquet, F. Giunchiglia, F. van Harmelen, L. Serafini, and H. Stuckenschmidt, "Contextualizing ontologies," *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 325–343, 2004.
- [42] R. J. Brachman and J. G. Schmolze, "An overview of the KL-ONE knowledge representation system," *Cognitive Science*, vol. 9, pp. 171–216, <http://www.cogsci.rpi.edu/CSJarchive/1985v09/i02/p0171p0216/MAIN.PDF>, 1985.
- [43] B. Brewington and G. Cybenko, "How dynamic is the Web?," *Proceedings of the 9th World Wide Web Conference*, <http://www9.org/w9cdrom/264/264.html>, 2000.
- [44] D. Brickley and R. V. Guha, eds., *RDF Vocabulary Description Language 1.0: RDF Schema*. 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-schema/>.
- [45] D. Brickley and L. Miller, "FOAF Vocabulary Specification," <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/>, 2005.
- [46] D. Brin, *The Transparent Society: Will Technology Force Us to Choose Between Privacy and Freedom?*, New York: Basic Books, 1998.
- [47] S. Brin and L. Page, "The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine," *Proceedings of the 7th World Wide Web Conference*, 1998.
- [48] A. Broder, S. Glassman, M. Manasse, and G. Zweig, "Syntactic clustering of the Web," *Proceedings of the 6th World Wide Web Conference*, 1997.
- [49] A. Broder, R. Kumar, F. Maghoul, P. Raghavan, S. Rajagopalan, S. Stata, A. Tomkins, and J. Wiener, "Graph structure in the Web," *Computer Networks*, vol. 33, no. 107, 2000.
- [50] F. P. Brooks, *The Mythical Man-Month: Essays in Software Engineering 2nd Edition*, Boston: Addison Wesley Longman, 1995.
- [51] R. A. Brooks, "How to build complete creatures rather than isolated cognitive simulators," in *Architectures for Intelligence*, (K. VanLehn, ed.), pp. 225–239, Hillsdale N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1991.
- [52] A. Caldas, P. A. David, and O. Ormanidhi, "Digital Information Network Technologies, Organizational Performance and Productivity," Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research discussion paper 05-11, http://siepr.stanford.edu/papers/pdf/05-11_summary.pdf, 2005.
- [53] F. Carmagnola, F. Cena, C. Gena, and I. Torre, "A multidimensional approach for the semantic representation of taxonomies and rules in adaptive hypermedia systems," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Personalisation on the Semantic Web: PerSWeb '05*, pp. 5–14, <http://www.win.tue.nl/persweb/full-proceedings.pdf>, 2005.
- [54] L. Carr, S. Bechhofer, C. Goble, and W. Hall, "Conceptual linking: Ontology-based open hypermedia," *Proceedings of 10th World Wide Web Conference*, <http://www10.org/cdrom/papers/frame.html>, 2001.
- [55] E. Castronova, *Synthetic Worlds: The Business and Culture of Online Games*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.
- [56] J. Cave, "The economics of cyber trust between cyber partners," in *Trust and Crime in Information Societies*, (R. Mansell and B. S. Collins, eds.), pp. 380–427, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005.

- [57] CCSDS, “Reference Model for an Open Archiving Information System (OAIS),” Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems, <http://ssdoo.gsfc.nasa.gov/nost/wwwclassic/documents/pdf/CCSDS-650.0-B-1.pdf>, 2002.
- [58] Cedars, “Cedars Guide to Preservation Metadata,” <http://www.leeds.ac.uk/cedars/guideto/metadata/guidetometadata.pdf>, 2002.
- [59] Q. Chen, H. Chang, R. Govindan, S. Jamin, S. J. Shenker, and W. Willinger, “The origin of power laws in Internet topologies revisited,” *IEEE Infocom*, 2002.
- [60] P. C.-H. Cheng, “Diagrammatic knowledge acquisition: Elicitation, analysis and issues,” in *Advances in Knowledge Acquisition: Proceedings of the 9th European Knowledge Acquisition Workshop*, (N. Shadbolt, K. O’Hara, and G. Schreiber, eds.), pp. 179–194, Berlin: Springer, 1996.
- [61] T. Coates, M. Biddulph, P. Hammond, and M. Webb, “Reinventing radio: Enriching broadcast with social software,” *O’Reilly Emerging Technology Conference*, http://conferences.oreillynet.com/cs/et2005/view/e_sess/5981, 2005.
- [62] E. F. Codd, “A relational model of data for large shared data banks,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 377–387, 1970.
- [63] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, “Resilience of the Internet to random breakdowns,” *Phys Rev Lett*, vol. 85, http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~recohen/publications/internet_prl.pdf, 2000.
- [64] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, “Breakdown of the Internet under intentional attack,” *Phys Rev Lett*, vol. 86, http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~recohen/publications/attack_prl.pdf, 2001.
- [65] D. Connolly, F. van Harmelen, Ian Horrocks, D. L. McGuinness, P. F. Patel-Schneider, and L. A. Stein, “DAML+OIL Reference Description,” <http://www.w3.org/TR/daml+oil-reference>, 2001.
- [66] L. Coyle, D. Doyle, and P. Cunningham, “Representing similarity for CBR in XML,” in *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning: Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Case-Based Reasoning*, (P. Funk and P. A. G. Calero, eds.), Berlin: Springer, 2004. <https://www.cs.tcd.ie/publications/tech-reports/reports.04/TCD-CS-2004-25.pdf>.
- [67] L. Cranor, M. Langheinrich, M. Marchiori, M. Presler-Marshall, and J. Reagle, “The Platform for Privacy Preferences 1.0 (P3P1.0) Specification,” <http://www.w3.org/TR/P3P/>, 2002.
- [68] N. C. Damianou, *A Policy Framework for Management of Distributed Systems*, Ph.D. thesis, Imperial College, London, 2002. <http://www-dse.doc.ic.ac.uk/Research/policies/ponder/thesis-ncd.pdf>.
- [69] H. T. Dang, “Overview of DUC 2005,” *Proceedings of DUC 2005*, <http://www-nlpir.nist.gov/projects/duc/pubs/2005papers/OVERVIEW05.pdf>, 2005.
- [70] M. d’Aquin, J. Lieber, and A. Napoli, “Decentralized case-based reasoning for the Semantic Web,” *Proceedings of the International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2005)*, <http://www.loria.fr/equipes/orpailleur/Documents/daquin05b.pdf>, 2005.
- [71] W. Davies, “You Don’t Know Me, But ...: Social Capital and Social Software,” The Work Foundation, <http://www.theworkfoundation.com/pdf/1843730103.pdf>, 2003.

120 *References*

- [72] D. C. De Roure, N. R. Jennings, and N. R. Shadbolt, "The Semantic Grid: Past, present and future," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 669–681, 2005.
- [73] R. A. DeMillo, R. J. Lipton, and A. J. Perlis, "Social processes and proofs of theorems and programs," *Proceedings of the 4th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, pp. 206–214, 1977.
- [74] R. Denaux, L. Aroyo, and V. Dimitrova, "OWL-OLM: Interactive ontology-based elicitation of user models," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Personalisation on the Semantic Web: PerSWeb '05*, pp. 34–46, <http://www.win.tue.nl/persweb/full-proceedings.pdf>, 2005.
- [75] A. Deutsch, L. Sui, and V. Vianu, "Specification and verification of data-driven Web services," *Proceedings of PODS '04*, 2004.
- [76] D. Dhyani, W. K. Ng, and S. S. Bhowmick, "A survey of Web metrics," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 469–503, 2002.
- [77] E. Dijkstra, "Go To statement considered harmful," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 147–148, <http://www.acm.org/classics/oct95/>, 1968.
- [78] S. Dill, R. Kumar, K. McCurley, S. Rajagopalan, D. Sivakumar, and A. Tomkins, "Self-similarity in the Web," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases*, <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/dill01selfsimilarity.html>, 2001.
- [79] A. Dix, A. Friday, B. Koleva, T. Rodden, H. Muller, C. Randell, and A. Steed, "Managing multiple spaces," in *Spaces, Spatiality and Technology*, (P. Turner and E. Davenport, eds.), Dordrecht: Kluwer, 2005. <http://www.equator.ac.uk/index.php/articles/c94/>.
- [80] D. Donato, L. Laura, S. Leonardi, and S. Millozzi, "Large scale properties of the webgraph," *European Physical Journal B*, vol. 38, pp. 239–243, 2004.
- [81] L. Downes and C. Mui, *Unleashing the Killer App: Digital Strategies for Market Dominance*, Cambridge MA: Harvard Business School Press, 2000.
- [82] H. L. Dreyfus and S. E. Dreyfus, "Making a mind versus modelling the brain: Artificial intelligence back at a branch-point," *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 117, no. 1, 1988.
- [83] B. Du, M. Demmer, and E. Brewer, "Analysis of WWW traffic in Cambodia and Ghana," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/5510.pdf>, 2006.
- [84] M. Dubinko, R. Kumar, J. Magnani, J. Novak, P. Raghavan, and A. Tomkins, "Visualizing tags over time," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/25.pdf>, 2006.
- [85] W. H. Dutton and A. Shepherd, "Confidence and risk on the Internet," in *Trust and Crime in Information Societies*, (R. Mansell and B. S. Collins, eds.), pp. 207–244, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005.
- [86] eBay, "Evaluating a Member's Reputation," <http://pages.ebay.com/help/feedback/evaluating-feedback.html>, 2005.
- [87] S. A. Edwards, "It's alive," *Wired*, Apr.97 1997.
- [88] J. Ellman, "Corporate ontologies as information interfaces," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, pp. 79–80, Jan/Feb 2004.

- [89] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, “On the evolution of random graphs,” *Publications of the Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Science*, vol. 5, pp. 17–61, 1960.
- [90] P. Evans and T. S. Wurster, *Blown to Bits: How the New Economics of Information Transforms Strategy*, Cambridge MA: Harvard Business School Press, 2000.
- [91] R. Fagin, R. Kumar, K. S. McCurley, J. Novak, D. Sivakumar, J. A. Tomlin, and D. P. Williamson, “Searching the workplace Web,” *Proceedings of the 12th International World Wide Web Conference*, <http://www2003.org/cdrom/papers/refereed/p641/xhtml/p641-mccurley.html>, 2003.
- [92] M. Faloutsos, P. Faloutsos, and C. Faloutsos, “On power-law relationships of the Internet topology,” *ACM SIGCOMM 99*, vol. 29, 1999.
- [93] J. Farrell and C. Shapiro, “Intellectual property, competition and information technology,” in *The Economics of Information Technology: An Introduction*, (H. R. Varian, J. Farrell, and C. Shapiro, eds.), pp. 49–86, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [94] M. Fayed, P. Krapivsky, J. Byers, M. Crovella, D. Finkel, and S. Redner, “On the emergence of highly variable distributions in the autonomous system topology,” *ACM Computer Communication Review*, July 2003.
- [95] M. Fayzullin, M. Nanni, D. Pedraschi, and V. S. Subrahmanian, “Foundations of distributed interaction systems,” *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 28, pp. 127–168, <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/478943.html>, 2000.
- [96] D. Fensel, *Ontologies: A Silver Bullet for Knowledge Management and Electronic Commerce, 2nd edition*, Berlin: Springer, 2004.
- [97] D. Fensel, J. Hendler, H. Lieberman, and W. Wahlster, “Introduction,” in *Spinning the Semantic Web: Bringing the World Wide Web to its Full Potential*, (D. Fensel, J. Hendler, H. Lieberman, and W. Wahlster, eds.), pp. 1–25, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2003.
- [98] A. Ferrara, “Web services: A process algebra approach,” in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Service-Oriented Computing (ICSOC 2004)*, (M. Aiello, M. Aoyama, F. Curbera, and M. P. Papazoglou, eds.), pp. 242–251, New York: ACM Press, 2004.
- [99] D. Fetterly, M. Manasse, M. Najork, and J. Wiener, “A large-scale study of the evolution of Web pages,” *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 213–237, <http://research.microsoft.com/research/sv/sv-pubs/p97-fetterly/p97-fetterly.html>, 2004.
- [100] J. H. Fetzer, “Program verification: The very idea,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 31, pp. 1048–1063, 1988.
- [101] G. W. Flake, D. M. Pennock, and D. C. Fain, “The self-organized Web: The yin to the Semantic Web’s yang,” *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, vol. 18, no. 4, <http://research.yahoo.com/publication/OR-2003-003.pdf>, 2003.
- [102] I. Foster and C. Kesselman, eds., *The Grid 2: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure*, San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [103] S. Fox, J. Q. Anderson, and L. Rainie, “The Future of the Internet: In a Survey, Technology Experts and Scholars Evaluate Where the Network is

122 *References*

- Headed in the Next Ten Years,” Pew Internet & American Life Project, http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Future_of_Internet.pdf, 2005.
- [104] C. Fry, M. Plusch, and H. Lieberman, “Static and dynamic semantics of the Web,” in *Spinning the Semantic Web: Bringing the World Wide Web to its Full Potential*, (D. Fensel, J. Hendler, H. Lieberman, and W. Wahlster, eds.), pp. 377–401, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2003.
- [105] X. Fu, T. Bultan, and J. Su, “Analysis of interacting BPEL Web services,” *Proceedings of the World Wide Web Conference 2004*, 2004.
- [106] A. Gangemi, N. Guarino, C. Masolo, A. Oltramari, and L. Schneider, “Sweetening ontologies with DOLCE,” in *Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management: Ontologies and the Semantic Web*, (A. Gómez-Pérez and V. R. Benjamins, eds.), pp. 166–181, Berlin: Springer, 2002.
- [107] J. Garrett and D. Waters, “Preserving Digital Information: Report of the Task Force on Archiving of Digital Information,” The Commission on Preservation and Access, and the Research Libraries Group, <http://www.rlg.org/ArchTF/>, 1996.
- [108] W. Gaver, A. Boucher, S. Pennington, and B. Walker, “Evaluating technologies for ludic engagement,” *CHI '05 Workshop on Affective Evaluation*, <http://www.equator.ac.uk/index.php/articles/c94/>, 2005.
- [109] D. Gentner, “Structure-mapping: A theoretical framework for analogy,” *Cognitive Science*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 155–170, 1983.
- [110] Y. Gil, “Knowledge mobility: Semantics for the Web as a white knight for knowledge-based systems,” in *Spinning the Semantic Web: Bringing the World Wide Web to its Full Potential*, (D. Fensel, J. Hendler, H. Lieberman, and W. Wahlster, eds.), pp. 253–278, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2003.
- [111] Y. Gil and V. Ratnakar, “Trusting information sources one citizen at a time,” *Proceedings of the 1st International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC)*, 2002.
- [112] A. Ginsberg and D. Hirtle, eds., *RIF Use Cases and Requirements*, 2006. <http://www.w3.org/TR/rif-ucr/>.
- [113] J. A. Goguen, “Ontology, ontotheology and society,” *International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems (FOIS 2004)*, <http://charlotte.ucsd.edu/users/goguen/pps/fois04.pdf>, 2004.
- [114] J. Golbeck and B. Parsia, “Trust network based filtering of aggregated claims,” *International Journal of Metadata, Semantics and Ontologies*, vol. 1, no. 1, <http://trust.mindswap.org/papers/ijmso.pdf>, 2005.
- [115] J. Golbeck, B. Parsia, and J. Hendler, “Trust networks on the Semantic Web,” in *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Cooperative Intelligent Agents*, (M. Klusch, S. Ossowski, A. Omicini, and H. Laamnenen, eds.), pp. 238–249, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2003. <http://www.mindswap.org/papers/CIA03.pdf>.
- [116] S. Grabner-Kräuter and E. A. Kaluscha, “Empirical research in on-line trust: A review and critical assessment,” *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, vol. 58, pp. 783–812, 2003.
- [117] P. Graham, “A Plan for Spam,” <http://www.paulgraham.com/spam.html>, 2002.

- [118] T. L. Griffiths and J. B. Tenenbaum, "Optimal predications in everyday cognition," *Psychological Science*, <http://web.mit.edu/cocosci/Papers/prediction10.pdf>, 2006.
- [119] G. Grimmett, *Percolation*, Berlin: Springer, 2nd edition ed., 1989.
- [120] G. Grimnes, P. Edwards, and A. Preece, "Learning from semantic flora and fauna," *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Semantic Web Personalization*, <http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~mobasher/swp04/accepted/grimnes.pdf>, 2004.
- [121] W. I. Grosky, D. V. Sreenath, and F. Fotouhi, "Emergent semantics and the multimedia Semantic Web," *ACM Sigmod*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 54–58, <http://lstdis.cs.uga.edu/SemNSF/SIGMOD-Record-Dec02/Gorsky.pdf> (sic), 2002.
- [122] P. Groth, S. Miles, V. Tan, and L. Moreau, eds., *Architecture for Provenance Systems Version 0.4*. 2005. <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/11310/>.
- [123] T. Gruber, "A translation approach to formal ontologies," *Knowledge Acquisition*, vol. 5, no. 25, pp. 199–200, http://ksl-web.stanford.edu/KSL_Abstracts/KSL-92-71.html, 1993.
- [124] N. Guarino, "Formal ontology, conceptual analysis and knowledge representation," in *Formal Ontology in Conceptual Analysis and Knowledge Representation: Special Issue of International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, (N. Guarino and R. Poli, eds.), 1995. <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/guarino95formal.html>.
- [125] N. Guarino and C. A. Welty, "An overview of OntoClean," in *The Handbook on Ontologies*, (S. Staab and R. Studer, eds.), pp. 151–172, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [126] P. Haase, M. Ehrig, A. Hotho, and B. Schnizler, "Personalized information access in a bibliographic peer-to-peer system," *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Semantic Web Personalization*, <http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~mobasher/swp04/accepted/haase.pdf>, 2004.
- [127] W. Hall, "The button strikes back," *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia*, vol. 6, pp. 5–17, 2000.
- [128] T. Hammond, T. Hamay, B. Lund, and J. Scott, "Social bookmarking tools (I): A general review," *D-Lib*, vol. 11, no. 4, <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/april05/hammond/04hammond.html>, 2005.
- [129] S. Harnad, "The symbol grounding problem," *Physica D*, vol. 42, pp. 335–346, <http://cogprints.org/3106/01/sgproblem1.html>, 1990.
- [130] S. Harnad, *The symbol grounding problem*, Nature Publishing Group/Macmillan, 2003. <http://cogprints.org/3018/01/symgro.htm>.
- [131] S. Harnad, "Publish or perish – self-archive to flourish: The green route to open access," *ERCIM News*, <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/11715/>, 2006.
- [132] T. Hart and L. Fazzani, *Intellectual Property Law 3rd Edition*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004.
- [133] J. Haugeland, "Understanding natural language," *Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 76, pp. 619–632, 1979.
- [134] J. A. Hendler, "Frequently Asked Questions on W3C's Web Ontology Language (OWL)," <http://www.w3.org/2003/08/owlfaq>, 2004.

124 *References*

- [135] J. A. Hendler, “From Atoms to Owls: The New Ecology of the WWW,” Keynote Lecture, XML2005, <http://www.cs.umd.edu/~hendler/presentations/XML2005Keynote.pdf>, 2005.
- [136] N. Henze and M. Kriesell, “Personalization functionality for the Semantic Web: Architectural outline and first sample implementations,” *Proceedings of 1st International Workshop on Engineering the Adaptive Web*, <http://reverse.net/publications/download/REWERSE-RP-2004-31.pdf>, 2004.
- [137] M. Henzinger and S. Lawrence, “Extracting knowledge from the World Wide Web,” *PNAS*, vol. 101, http://www.pnas.org/cgi/reprint/101/suppl_1/5186, April 6th 2004.
- [138] M. R. Henzinger, “Algorithmic challenges in Web search engines,” *Internet Mathematics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 115–126, 2004.
- [139] M. R. Henzinger, R. Motwani, and C. Silverstein, “Challenges in Web search engines,” *SIGIR Forum*, <http://www.sigir.org/forum/F2002/henzinger.pdf>, Fall 2002.
- [140] C. Hewitt, *PLANNER: A Language for Manipulating Models and Proving Theorems in a Robot*, AI Memo AIM-168, MIT, 1970. <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/6171>.
- [141] C. A. R. Hoare, *Communicating Sequential Processes*, New York: Prentice-Hall, 1984.
- [142] D. L. Hoffman, T. P. Novak, and A. Venkatesh, “Has the Internet become indispensable?,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 37–42, 2004.
- [143] D. L. Hoffman, T. P. Novak, and A. Venkatesh, “Has the Internet Become Indispensable? Empirical Findings and Model Development,” Working Paper, Sloan Center for Internet Retailing, Vanderbilt University, http://elab.vanderbilt.edu/research_papers.htm, 2004.
- [144] M. Hollis, *Trust Within Reason*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [145] J. B. Horrigan, “Online Communities: Networks that Nurture Long-Distance Relationships and Social Ties,” Pew Internet and American Life Project, http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Communities.Report.pdf, 2001.
- [146] I. Horrocks, P. F. Patel-Schneider, and F. van Harmelen, “From SHIQ and RDF to OWL: The making of a Web ontology language,” *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 1, no. 1, <http://www.websemanticsjournal.org/volume1/issue1/Horrocketal2003/index.html>, 2003.
- [147] M. Horstmann, M. Lorenz, A. Watkowski, G. Ioannidis, O. Herzog, A. King, D. G. Evans, C. Hagen, C. Schlieder, A.-M. Burn, N. King, H. Petrie, S. Dijkstra, and D. Crombie, “Automated interpretation and accessible presentation of technical diagrams for blind people,” *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 141–163, 2004.
- [148] J. Huang and M. S. Fox, “Uncertainty in knowledge provenance,” *Proceedings of 1st European Semantic Web Symposium*, <http://www.eil.utoronto.ca/km/papers/EuroSemWeb04-online.pdf>, 2004.

- [149] Z. Huang and H. Stuckenschmidt, "Reasoning with multi-version ontologies: A temporal logic approach," *Proceedings of the 4th International Semantic Web Workshop*, <http://www.cs.vu.nl/~heiner/public/ISWC05a.pdf>, 2005.
- [150] B. A. Huberman and L. A. Adamic, "Growth dynamics of the World-Wide Web," *Nature*, vol. 401, p. 131, 1999.
- [151] B. A. Huberman and L. A. Adamic, "Information dynamics in the networked world," in *Complex Networks*, (E. Ben-Naim, H. Frauenfelder, and Z. Toroczkai, eds.), pp. 371–398, Berlin: Springer, 2003. <http://www.hpl.hp.com/research/idl/papers/infodynamics/infodynamics.pdf>.
- [152] D. Huynh, N. R. Jennings, and N. R. Shadbolt, "Developing an integrated trust and reputation model for open multi-agent systems," *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Trust in Agent Societies*, <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/9557/01/aamas-trust04.pdf>, 2004.
- [153] J. Iria and F. Ciravegna, "Relation extraction for mining the Semantic Web," *Dagstuhl Seminar on Machine Learning for the Semantic Web*, <http://tyne.shef.ac.uk/t-rex/pdocs/dagstuhl.pdf>, 2005.
- [154] I. Jacobs, ed., *Technical Architecture Group (TAG) Charter*. 2004. <http://www.w3.org/2004/10/27-tag-charter>.
- [155] I. Jacobs and N. Walsh, eds., *Architecture of the World Wide Web Volume One*. 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/webarch/>.
- [156] A. Jaimes, "Human factors in automatic image retrieval design and evaluation," *SPIE Conference: Electronic Imaging 2006*, http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~ajaimies/Pubs/ajaimies_spie06.pdf, 2006.
- [157] X. Jin, Y. Zhou, and B. Mobasher, "A unified approach to personalization based on probabilistic latent semantic models of Web usage and content," *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Semantic Web Personalization*, <http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~mobasher/swp04/accepted/jin.pdf>, 2004.
- [158] K. S. Jones, "What's new about the Semantic Web? some questions," *SIGIR Forum*, vol. 38, no. 2, http://www.acm.org/sigir/forum/2004D/sparck-jones_sigirforum_2004d.pdf, 2004.
- [159] A. Jøsang, "A logic for uncertain probabilities," *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 279–311, <http://security.dstc.edu.au/papers/logunprob.pdf>, 2001.
- [160] A. Jøsang and D. McAnally, "Multiplication and comultiplication of beliefs," *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 19–51, <http://security.dstc.edu.au/papers/JM2004-IJAR.pdf>, 2004.
- [161] L. Kagal, T. Finin, M. Paolucci, N. Srinivasan, K. Sycara, and G. Denker, "Authorization and privacy for Semantic Web services," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, pp. 52–58, July/August 2004.
- [162] D. Kahneman, P. Slovic, and A. Tversky, eds., *Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [163] D. R. Karger and D. Quan, "What would it mean to blog on the Semantic Web," *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 3, no. 2, <http://www.websemanticsjournal.org/ps/pub/2005-18>, 2005.

126 *References*

- [164] N. Kavantzas, D. Burdett, G. Ritzinger, T. Fletcher, Y. Lafon, and C. Barreto, “Web Services Choreography Description Language Version 1.0,” <http://www.w3.org/TR/2005/CR-ws-cdl-10-20051109/>, 2005.
- [165] J. Kay and A. Lum, “Ontology-based user modelling for the Semantic Web,” *Proceedings of the Workshop on Personalisation on the Semantic Web: PerSWeb '05*, pp. 15–23, <http://www.win.tue.nl/persweb/full-proceedings.pdf>, 2005.
- [166] O. Kharif, “Less impact from the “Slashdot effect”,” *BusinessWeek Online*, http://www.businessweek.com/technology/content/mar2005/tc2005032_0932_tc119.htm?campaign_id=search, 2nd Mar 2005.
- [167] A. Kilgarriff and G. Grefenstette, “Introduction to the special issue on the Web as corpus,” *Computational Linguistics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 333–348, <http://www.kilgarriff.co.uk/Publications/2003-KilGrefenstette-WACIntro.pdf>, 2003.
- [168] J. Kim, “Supervenience and nomological incommensurables,” *American Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 15, pp. 149–156, 1978.
- [169] J. Kim, “Psychological supervenience,” *Philosophical Studies*, vol. 41, pp. 51–70, 1982.
- [170] A. Kiryakov, B. Popov, I. Terziev, D. Manov, and D. Ognyanoff, “Semantic annotation, indexing and retrieval,” *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 2, no. 1, <http://www.websemanticsjournal.org/ps/pub/2005-10>, 2005.
- [171] J. Kleinberg, “Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment,” *ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pp. 668–677, <http://www.cs.cornell.edu/home/kleinber/auth.pdf>, 1998.
- [172] G. Klyne and J. J. Carroll, eds., *Resource Description Framework (RDF): Concepts and Abstract Syntax*, 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-concepts/>.
- [173] G. F. Knolmayer and T. Myrach, “Concepts of Bitemporal Database Theory and the Evolution of Web Documents,” *Institute of Information Systems, University of Bern, Working Paper 127*, <http://www.ie.iwi.unibe.ch/publikationen/berichte/resource/WP-127.pdf>, 2000.
- [174] K. R. Koedinger and J. R. Anderson, “Abstract planning and perceptual chunks: Elements of expertise in geometry,” *Cognitive Science*, vol. 14, pp. 511–550, 1990.
- [175] R. Kumar, P. Raghavan, S. Rajagopalan, and A. Tomkins, “Trawling the Web for emerging cyber-communities,” *Proceedings of the 8th World Wide Web Conference*, <http://www8.org/w8-papers/4a-search-mining/trawling/trawling.html>, 1999.
- [176] A. M. Lai, J. Nieh, B. Bohra, V. Nandikonda, A. P. Surana, and S. Varshneya, “Improving Web browsing on wireless PDAs using thin-client computing,” *Proceedings of World Wide Web Conference 2004*, <http://www2004.org/proceedings/docs/1p143.pdf>, 2004.
- [177] C. Lam, “Collaborative filtering using associative neural memory,” *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Semantic Web Personalization*, <http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~mobasher/swp04/accepted/lam.pdf>, 2004.

- [178] A. N. Langville and C. D. Meyer, “Deeper inside PageRank,” *Internet Mathematics*, vol. 1, no. 3, <http://www.internetmathematics.org/volumes/1/3/Langville.pdf>, 2004.
- [179] O. Lassila and M. Adler, “Semantic gadgets: Ubiquitous computing meets the Semantic Web,” in *Spinning the Semantic Web: Bringing the World Wide Web to its Full Potential*, (D. Fensel, J. Hendler, H. Lieberman, and W. Wahlster, eds.), pp. 363–376, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2003.
- [180] D. Lee, H. Chuang, and K. Seamons, “Effectiveness of document ranking and relevance feedback techniques,” *IEEE Software*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 67–75, 1997.
- [181] R. Lempel and S. Moran, “The stochastic approach for link structure analysis (SALSA) and the TKC effect,” *Proceedings of the 9th World Wide Web Conference*, 2000.
- [182] R. Lempel and A. Soffer, “PicASHOW: Pictorial authority search by hyperlinks on the Web,” *Proceedings of the 10th World Wide Web Conference*, 2001.
- [183] D. B. Lenat, “Cyc: A large-scale investment in knowledge infrastructure,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 38, no. 11, 1995.
- [184] A. Lenhart, “Around 25 Million People Have Used the Internet to Sell Something,” Pew Internet and American Life Project, http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_SellingOnline_Nov05.pdf, 2005.
- [185] E. L. Lesser, M. A. Fontaine, and J. A. Slusher, eds., *Knowledge and Communities*, Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2000.
- [186] L. Lessig, *Code and Other Laws of Cyberspace*, New York: Basic Books, 1999.
- [187] L. Lessig, *The Future of Ideas: The Fate of the Commons in a Connected World*, New York: Random House, 2001.
- [188] S.-T. A. Leung, S. E. Perl, R. Stata, and J. L. Wiener, “Towards Web-Scale Web Archaeology,” Compaq Systems Research Center report #174, 2001.
- [189] J. Liang, R. Kumar, and K. W. Ross, “Understanding KaZaA,” Working paper, <http://cis.poly.edu/~ross/papers/UnderstandingKaZaA.pdf>, 2004.
- [190] J. Lohse, K. Biolsi, N. Walker, and H. Rueter, “A classification of visual representations,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 36–49, 1994.
- [191] A. López-Ortiz, “Algorithmic foundations of the Internet,” *ACM SIGACT News*, vol. 36, no. 2, 2005.
- [192] D. MacKenzie, *Mechanizing Proof: Computing, Risk and Trust*, Cambridge MA: MIT Press, 2001.
- [193] T. Maekawa, T. Hara, and S. Nishio, “Image classification for mobile Web browsing,” *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/2506.pdf>, 2006.
- [194] T. Maneewatthana, G. Wills, and W. Hall, “Adaptive personal information environment based on Semantic Web,” *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Adaptive and Personalized Semantic Web, Hypertext 2005*, <http://www.ru5.cti.gr/HT05/files/Maneewatthana.pdf>, 2005.
- [195] F. Manola and E. Miller, eds., *RDF Primer*, 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-primer/>.

128 *References*

- [196] C. C. Marshall and F. L. Shipman, "Which Semantic Web?," in *Proceedings of the 14th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Hypermedia*, pp. 57–66, ACM Press, 2003. <http://www.csdl.tamu.edu/~marshall/ht03-sw-4.pdf>.
- [197] D. K. Mauzy and R. S. Milne, *Singapore Politics Under the People's Action Party*, London: Routledge, 2002.
- [198] D. L. McGuinness and F. van Harmelen, eds., *OWL Web Ontology Language Overview*, 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl-features/>.
- [199] S. Mendes and R. P. Chaves, "Enriching WordNet with qualia information," *Proceedings of NAACL 2001 Workshop on WordNet and Other Lexical Resources*, <http://errepe.no.sapo.pt/ewqf.pdf>, 2001.
- [200] P. Mika, "Flink: Semantic Web technology for the extraction and analysis of social networks," *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 3, no. 2, <http://www.websemanticsjournal.org/ps/pub/2005-20>, 2005.
- [201] P. Mika, "Ontologies are us: A unified model of social networks and Semantics," *Proceedings of the 4th International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC2005)*, 2005.
- [202] T. Miles-Board, L. Carr, and W. Hall, "Looking for linking: Associative links on the Web," *Proceedings of 13th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Hypermedia (HT '02)*, <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/6977/>, 2002.
- [203] R. Milner, *Communication and Concurrency*, New York: Prentice Hall, 1989.
- [204] R. Milner, *Communicating and Mobile Systems: The Pi-Calculus*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [205] B. A. Misztal, *Trust in Modern Societies*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 1996.
- [206] H. Müller, P. Clough, W. Hersh, T. Deselaers, T. M. Lehmann, B. Janvier, and A. Geissbuhler, "Using heterogeneous annotation and visual information for the benchmarking of image retrieval systems," *SPIE Conference Photonics West: Electronic Imaging*, <http://medir.ohsu.edu/~hersh/spie-06-imageclef.pdf>, 2006.
- [207] J. Myers, "What can the Semantic Grid do for science and engineering?," *Proceedings of Dagstuhl Seminar on Semantic Grid: The Convergence of Technologies*, <http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2005/395/pdf/05271.MyersJames.ExtAbstract.395.pdf>, 2005.
- [208] S. Narayanan and S. McIlraith, "Analysis and simulation of Web services," *Computer Networks*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 675–693, 2003.
- [209] D. Neef, G. A. Siesfeld, and J. Cefola, eds., *The Economic Impact of Knowledge*, Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1998.
- [210] F. Neuhaus, P. Grenon, and B. Smith, "A formal theory of substances, qualities and universals," in *Formal Ontology in Information Systems*, (A. Varzi and L. Vieu, eds.), pp. 49–59, Turin: IOS Press, 2004.
- [211] N. F. Noy and D. L. McGuinness, "Ontology Development 101: A Guide to Creating Your First Ontology," http://protege.stanford.edu/publications/ontology_development/ontology101-noy-mcguinness.html, 2001.
- [212] N. F. Noy and M. A. Musen, "The PROMPT suite: Interactive tools for ontology merging and mapping," *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 983–1024, 2003.

- [213] N. F. Noy, W. Grosso, and M. A. Musen, "Knowledge-acquisition interfaces for domain experts: An empirical evaluation of Protégé-2000," *12th International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering (SEKE2000)*, <http://smi-web.stanford.edu/auslese/smi-web/reports/SMI-2000-0825.pdf>, 2000.
- [214] C. Nugent, D. Doyle, and P. Cunningham, "Gaining Insight Through Case-Based Explanation," Technical Report TCD-CS-2004-49, Trinity College Dublin, <https://www.cs.tcd.ie/publications/tech-reports/reports.04/TCD-CS-2004-49.pdf>, 2004.
- [215] K. O'Hara, *Trust: From Socrates to Spin*, Cambridge: Icon Books, 2004.
- [216] K. O'Hara, H. Alani, and N. Shadbolt, "Identifying communities of practice: Analysing ontologies as networks to support community recognition," in *Proceedings of the 2002 World Computer Congress, Information Systems: The E-Business Challenge*, (R. Traummüller, ed.), pp. 89–102, Dordrecht: Kluwer, 2002. <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/6522/>.
- [217] K. O'Hara and D. Stevens, *inequality.com: Power, Poverty and the Digital Divide*, Oxford: OneWorld, 2006.
- [218] E. T. O'Neill, B. F. Lavoie, and R. Bennett, "Trends in the evolution of the public Web 1998–2002," *D-Lib Magazine*, vol. 9, no. 4, <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/april03/lavoie/04lavoie.html>, 2003.
- [219] E. T. O'Neill, P. D. McClain, and B. F. Lavoie, "A Methodology for Sampling the World Wide Web," Online Computer Library Center, <http://digitalarchive.oclc.org/da/ViewObject.jsp?objid=0000003447>, 1998.
- [220] A. Oram, *Peer-to-Peer: Harnessing the Power of Disruptive Technologies*, Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly & Associates, 2001.
- [221] L. Page, S. Brin, R. Motwani, and T. Winograd, "The PageRank Citation Ranking: Bringing Order to the Web," Dept. of Computer Science, Stanford University, technical report 1999-66, 1999.
- [222] T. S. Parikh and E. D. Lazowska, "Designing an architecture for delivering mobile information services to the rural developing world," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/5507.pdf>, 2006.
- [223] J. Parsons, P. Ralph, and K. Gallagher, "Using viewing time to infer user preference in recommender systems," *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Semantic Web Personalization*, <http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~mobasher/swp04/accepted/parsons.pdf>, 2004.
- [224] K. Pastra and Y. Wilks, "Vision-language integration in AI: A reality check," *Proceedings of ECAI 2004*, <http://www.dcs.shef.ac.uk/~yorick/papers/ecai04.pdf>, 2004.
- [225] J. Pathak, D. Caragea, and V. G. Honovar, "Ontology-extended component-based workflows: A framework for constructing complex workflows from semantics heterogeneous software components," *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Semantic Web and Databases (SWDB-04)*, <http://www.cs.iastate.edu/~honavar/Papers/SWDB04.pdf>, 2004.
- [226] W. D. Perdue, "The new totalitarianism: Cyber-hegemony and the global system," *International Roundtable on the Challenges of Globalization*, <http://-p-o.org/perdue.htm>, 1999.

130 *References*

- [227] M. Perkowitz and O. Etzioni, "Towards adaptive Web sites: Conceptual framework and case study," *Proceedings of the 8th World Wide Web Conference*, 1999.
- [228] E. L. Peterson, "Customized resource discovery: Linking formalized Web taxonomies to a Web ontology hub," *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Semantic Web Personalization*, <http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~mobasher/swp04/accepted/peterson.pdf>, 2004.
- [229] K. Petridis, F. Precioso, T. Athanasiadis, Y. Avrithis, and Y. Kompatsiaris, "Combined domain specific and multimedia ontologies for image understanding," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Mixed-Reality as a Challenge to Image Understanding and Artificial Intelligence, 28th German Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, <http://www.image.ntua.gr/papers/384.pdf>, 2005.
- [230] F. Piper, M. J. B. Robshaw, and S. Schwiderski-Grosche, "Identities and authentication," in *Trust and Crime in Information Societies*, (R. Mansell and B. S. Collins, eds.), pp. 91–112, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005.
- [231] G. Priest, "Paraconsistent logic," in *Handbook of Philosophical Logic 2nd Edition Vol.6*, (D. F. Gabbay and F. Guenther, eds.), pp. 287–393, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [232] E. Prud'hommeaux and A. Seaborne, eds., *SPARQL Query Language for RDF*, 2005. <http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-sparql-query/>.
- [233] H. Putnam, "The meaning of "meaning"," in *Mind, Language and Reality: Philosophical Papers Volume 2*, pp. 215–271, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- [234] C. D. Raab, "The future of privacy protection," in *Trust and Crime in Information Societies*, (R. Mansell and B. S. Collins, eds.), pp. 282–318, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005.
- [235] D. Rafiei and A. Mendelzon, "What is this page known for? computing web page reputations," *Proceedings of the 9th World Wide Web Conference*, 2000.
- [236] L. Rainie, "Big Jump in Search Engine Use," Pew Internet and American Life Project, http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_SearchData_1105.pdf, 2005.
- [237] L. Rainie, "Use of Web Cams," Pew Internet and American Life Project, http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_webcam_use.pdf, 2005.
- [238] L. Rainie and M. Madden, "Podcasting Catches on," Pew Internet & American Life Project, http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_podcasting.pdf, 2005.
- [239] S. D. Ramchurn and N. R. Jennings, "Trust in agent-based software," in *Trust and Crime in Information Societies*, (R. Mansell and B. S. Collins, eds.), pp. 165–204, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005.
- [240] R. Reiter, "Equality and domain closure in first-order databases," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 235–249, 1980.
- [241] D. Resnick, "Politics on the internet: The normalization of cyberspace," in *The Politics of Cyberspace*, (C. Toulouse and T. W. Luke, eds.), pp. 48–68, New York: Routledge, 1998.
- [242] P. Resnick and R. Zeckhauser, "Trust among strangers in Internet transactions: Empirical analysis of e-Bay's reputation system," in *The Economics of the Internet and E-Commerce: Advances in Applied Microeconomics Vol.11*, (M. R. Baye, ed.), pp. 127–157, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 2002.

- [243] M. Richardson, R. Agrawal, and P. Domingos, "Trust management for the Semantic Web," *Proceedings of the 2nd International Semantic Web Conference*, <http://www.cs.washington.edu/homes/pedrod/papers/iswc03.pdf>, 2003.
- [244] P. Rodriguez, S. Mukherjee, and S. Rangarajan, "Session level techniques for improving Web browsing performance on wireless links," *Proceedings of the World Wide Web Conference 2005*, <http://www2004.org/proceedings/docs/1p121.pdf>, 2004.
- [245] O. Roy, *Globalized Islam: The Search for a New Ummah*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2004.
- [246] J. Sabater and C. Sierra, "REGRET: A reputation model for gregarious societies," in *Proceedings of 1st International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, (C. Castelfranchi and L. Johnson, eds.), pp. 475–482, New York: ACM Press, 2002.
- [247] P. Samuelson and S. Scotchmer, "The law and economics of reverse engineering," *Yale Law Journal*, vol. 111, pp. 1575–1663, <http://socrates.berkeley.edu/~scotch/re.pdf>, 2002.
- [248] E. Sanchez, ed., *Fuzzy Logic and the Semantic Web*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2006.
- [249] S. Saroiu, K. P. Gummadi, R. Dunn, S. D. Gribble, and H. M. Levy, "An analysis of internet content delivery systems," *Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation*, 2002.
- [250] C. Schindler, P. Arya, A. Rath, and W. Slany, "htmlButler – wrapper usability enhancement through ontology sharing and large scale cooperation," *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Adaptive and Personalized Semantic Web, Hypertext 2005*, <http://www.ru5.cti.gr/HT05/files/rath.pdf>, 2005.
- [251] M. M. C. Schraefel, N. R. Shadbolt, N. Gibbins, H. Glaser, and S. Harris, "CS AKTive Space: Representing computer science on the Semantic Web," *Proceedings of WWW 2004*, <http://www.www2004.org/proceedings/docs/1p384.pdf>, 2004.
- [252] R. Schroeder, A. Caldas, G. Mesch, and W. Dutton, "The World Wide Web of Science: Reconfiguring access to information," *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the National Centre for e-Social Science*, http://www.oii.ox.ac.uk/research/files/W3Sc_ncess2005_paper_Schroeder.pdf, 2005.
- [253] N. Schwartz, R. Cohen, D. ben-Avraham, A.-L. Barabási, and S. Havlin, "Percolation in directed scale-free networks," *Physics Review E*, vol. 66, <http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~recohen/publications/directed.pdf>, 2002.
- [254] P. Seabright, *The company of strangers: A natural history of economic life*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2004.
- [255] J. Searle, "Minds, brains and programs," *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, vol. 3, pp. 417–424, 1980.
- [256] J. Seidenberg and A. Rector, "Web ontology segmentation: Analysis, classification and use," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/4026.pdf>, 2006.
- [257] N. Shadbolt, W. Hall, and T. Berners-Lee, "The Semantic Web revisited," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, pp. 96–101, May/June 2006.

132 *References*

- [258] G. Shafer, “Causal logic,” *Proceedings of IJCAI-98*, <http://www.glennshafer.com/assets/downloads/article62.pdf>, 1998.
- [259] C. Shirky, “Ontology is Overrated: Categories, Links and Tags,” http://www.shirky.com/writings/ontology_overrated.html, 2005.
- [260] N. Simou, C. Saathoff, S. Dasiopoulou, E. Spyrou, N. Voisine, V. Tzouvaras, I. Kompatsiaris, Y. Avrithis, and S. Staab, “An ontology infrastructure for multimedia reasoning,” *International Workshop on Very Low Bit-Rate Video Coding*, <http://www.image.ntua.gr/papers/381.pdf>, 2005.
- [261] B. Skyrms, *Evolution of the Social Contract*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [262] J. Slaney, “Relevant logic and paraconsistency,” in *Inconsistency Tolerance*, (L. Bertossi, A. Hunter, and T. Schaub, eds.), pp. 270–293, Berlin: Springer, 2004.
- [263] A. Sloman, “Diagrams in the mind?,” in *Diagrammatic Representation and Reasoning*, (M. Anderson, B. Meyer, and P. Olivier, eds.), London: Springer-Verlag, 2001. <http://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/research/cogaff/sloman.diagbook.pdf>.
- [264] A. Smeaton, “Creating information links in digital video as a means to support effective video navigation,” *keynoteMultimedia Information Retrieval Workshop, SIGIR 2003*, <http://km.doc.ic.ac.uk/mmir2003/1alansmeaton.wsmm03.pdf>, 2003.
- [265] B. Smith, “Protecting consumers and the marketplace: The need for federal privacy legislation,” speech to the Congressional Internet Caucus, <http://www.netcaucus.org/speakers/2005/smith/privacyspeech.pdf>, 2005.
- [266] J. F. Sowa and A. K. Majumdar, “Analogical reasoning,” in *Conceptual Structures for Knowledge Creation and Communication*, (A. de Moor, W. Lex, and B. Ganter, eds.), Berlin: Springer, 2003. <http://www.jfsowa.com/pubs/analog.htm>.
- [267] J. Stanley and T. Williamson, “Knowing how,” *Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 98, pp. 411–444, 2001.
- [268] L. Steels, “Semiotic dynamics for embodied agents,” *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, pp. 32–38, May/June 2006.
- [269] H. Störrle, *Models of Software Architecture: Design and Analysis With UML and Petri Nets*, Ph.D. thesis, University of München, 2000.
- [270] K. Su, A. Sattar, G. Governatori, and Q. Chen, “A computationally grounded logic of knowledge, belief and certainty,” *Proceedings of the 4th International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS 2005)*, <http://eprint.uq.edu.au/archive/00002328/01/p409-su.pdf>, 2005.
- [271] V. S. Subrahmanian, “Amalgamating knowledge bases,” *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 291–331, 1994.
- [272] C. Sunstein, *republic.com*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [273] J. B. H. Tan and J. S. L. Yong, “Many agencies, one government – Singapore’s vision of public services delivery,” in *E-Government in Asia: Enabling Public Service Innovation in the 21st Century*, (J. S. L. Yong, ed.), pp. 267–308, Singapore: Marshall Cavendish Business, 2003.

- [274] P. Twomey, “Hey governments, hands off the internet,” *New Scientist*, 12th Nov 2005.
- [275] A. Uszok, J. M. Bradshaw, M. Johnson, R. Jeffers, A. Tate, J. Dalton, and S. Aitken, “Kaos policy management for Semantic Web services,” *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, pp. 32–41, <http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/project/ix/documents/2004/2004-ieee-is-uszok-kaos.pdf>, July/August 2004.
- [276] W. M. P. van der Aalst, “Pi calculus versus petri nets: Let us eat “humble pie” rather than further inflate the “pi hype”,” *BPTrends*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 1–11, <http://is.tm.tue.nl/staff/wvdaalst/pi-hype.pdf>, 2005.
- [277] P. C. van Fenema and F. Go, “Dispersed communities: Weaving collective and individual life, fact and fiction, mediated and direct spatial experiences,” *Communities and Technologies Conference*, <http://www.fbk.eur.nl/PEOPLE/pfenema/personal/>, 2003.
- [278] J. van Ossenbruggen, L. Hardman, and L. Rutledge, “Hypermedia and the Semantic Web: A research agenda,” *Journal of Digital Information*, vol. 3, no. 1, <http://jodi.ecs.soton.ac.uk/Articles/v03/i01/VanOssenbruggen/>, 2002.
- [279] J. van Ossenbruggen, G. Stamou, and J. Z. Pan, “Multimedia annotations and the Semantic Web,” *Workshop on Semantic Web Case Studies and Best Practice for eBusiness, International Semantic Web Conference*, <http://homepages.cwi.nl/~media/publications/SWCASE05.pdf>, 2005.
- [280] C. J. van Rijsbergen, “Evaluation,” in *Information Retrieval 2nd Edition*, 1979. online book, <http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/Keith/Chapter.7/Ch.7.html>.
- [281] H. R. Varian, “Competition and market power,” in *The Economics of Information Technology: An Introduction*, (H. R. Varian, J. Farrell, and C. Shapiro, eds.), pp. 1–47, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [282] J. M. Vidal, P. Buhler, and C. Stahl, “Multiagent systems with workflows,” *IEEE Internet Computing*, pp. 76–82, <http://jmvidal.cse.sc.edu/papers/vidal04a.pdf>, January/February 2004.
- [283] R. Volz, S. Handschuh, S. Staab, L. Stojanovic, and N. Stojanovic, “Unveiling the idden bride: Deep annotation for mapping and migrating legacy data to the Semantic Web,” *Journal of Web Semantics*, vol. 1, no. 2, <http://www.websemanticsjournal.org/ps/pub/2004-15>, 2004.
- [284] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, “Collective dynamics of “small world” networks,” *Nature*, vol. 393, pp. 440–442, 1998.
- [285] G. Weimann, *Terror on the Internet: The New Arena, The New Challenges*, Washington: United States Institute of Peace, 2006.
- [286] D. J. Weitzner, “Testimony Before the United States Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation,” <http://www.w3.org/2000/05/25-Senate-Privacy-Testimony.html>, 2000.
- [287] D. J. Weitzner, J. Hendler, T. Berners-Lee, and D. Connolly, “Creating a Policy-Aware Web: Discretionary, rule-based access for the World Wide Web,” in *Web and Information Security*, (E. Ferrari and B. Thuraisingham, eds.), Hershey PA: Idea Group Inc, 2005. <http://www.mindswap.org/users/hendler/2004/PAW.html>.

134 *References*

- [288] WGIG, "Report of the Working Group on Internet Governance," <http://www.wgig.org/docs/WGIGREPORT.pdf> (available in a number of languages and formats from <http://www.wgig.org/>, 2005.
- [289] Y. Wilks, "Ontotherapy, or how to stop worrying about what there is," in *Knowledge Representation With Ontologies: Present Challenges, Future Possibilities*, (C. Brewster and K. O'Hara, eds.), International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 2006.
- [290] Y. Wilks, "The Semantic Web as the apotheosis of annotation, but what are its semantics?," in press. http://www.dcs.shef.ac.uk/~yorick/papers/AAAI_Paper.pdf.
- [291] L. Wittgenstein, *Philosophical Investigations*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1953.
- [292] L. Wittgenstein, *Remarks on the Foundations of Mathematics 3rd Edition*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1978.
- [293] K. Yanai and K. Barnard, "Probabilistic Web image gathering," *Proceedings of the 7th ACM SIGMM International Workshop on Multimedia Information Retrieval (MIR 2005)*, <http://kobus.ca/research/publications/ACM-MM-MIR-05/Yanai-Barnard-ACM-MM-MIR-05.pdf>, 2005.
- [294] B. Yuwono and D. Lee, "Search and ranking algorithms for locating resources on the World Wide Web," *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Data Engineering*, 1996.
- [295] L. Zadeh, "From search engines to question-answering systems – the problems of world knowledge, relevance, deduction and precisiation," *Keynote2005 IEEE International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration*, <http://www.cs.fiu.edu/IRI05/>, 2005.
- [296] J. Zhang, J.-Y. Chung, C. K. Chang, and S. W. Kim, "WS-Net: A Petri-net based specification model for Web services," *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS '04)*, 2004.
- [297] D. Zhou, E. Manavoglu, J. Li, C. L. Giles, and H. Zha, "Probabilistic models for discovering e-communities," *Proceedings of WWW 2006*, <http://www2006.org/programme/files/pdf/1016.pdf>, 2006.
- [298] M. Zhou and K. Venkatesh, *Modeling, Simulation and Control of Flexible Manufacturing Systems: A Petri Net Approach*, Singapore: World Scientific Publishing, 1999.
- [299] H. Zhuge, L. Zheng, N. Zhang, and X. Li, "An automatic Semantic relationships discovery approach," *poster presentation World Wide Web Conference 2004*, <http://www2004.org/proceedings/docs/2p278.pdf>, 2004.