Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/040000006

Trust on the World Wide Web: A Survey

Trust on the World Wide Web: A Survey

Jennifer Golbeck

University of Maryland College Park MA 20742 USA jgolbeck@umd.edu



Boston - Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 USA Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is J. Golbeck, Trust on the World Wide Web: A Survey, Foundation and Trends in Web Science, vol 1, no 2, pp 131–197, 2006

ISBN: 978-1-60198-116-5 © 2008 J. Golbeck

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc. for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science

Volume 1 Issue 2, 2006

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Wendy Hall

University of Southampton wh@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Nigel Shadbolt

University of Southampton nrs@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Editors

Tim Berners-Lee (MIT)

Lorrie Cranor (Carnegie Mellon University)

Dieter Fensel (DERI)

Carole Goble (University of Manchester)

Pat Hayes (IHMC)

James Hendler (University of Maryland)

Arun Iyengar (IBM Research)

Craig Knoblock (USC)

Ora Lassila (Nokia Research)

Cathy Marshall (Microsoft)

Ben Shneiderman (University of Maryland)

Danny Weitzner (MIT)

Yorick Wilks (University of Sheffield)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Agents and the Semantic Web
- Content Management
- Databases on the Web
- Data Mining
- Dependability
- Emergent behaviour
- Human-Computer Interaction
- Hypertext/hypermedia
- Languages on the Web
- Mobile/pervasive
- Multimedia
- Network infrastructures

- Performance
- Scalability
- Security
- Semantic Web metadata, ontologies
- Standards
- Trust and Provenance (Policy)
- Universal Usability
- User Interfaces
- Web Searching/Information Retrieval
- Web Services

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science, 2006, Volume 1, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1555-077X. ISSN online version 1555-0788. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science Vol. 1, No. 2 (2006) 131–197 © 2008 J. Golbeck DOI: 10.1561/040000006



Trust on the World Wide Web: A Survey

Jennifer Golbeck

University of Maryland, College Park, MA 20742, USA, jgolbeck@umd.edu

Abstract

The success of the Web is based largely on its open, decentralized nature; at the same time, that allows for a wide range of perspectives and intentions. Trust is required to foster successful interactions and to filter the abundance of information. In this review, we present a comprehensive survey of trust on the Web in all its contexts. Three main targets of trust are identified: content, services, and people. Trust in the content on the Web, including webpages, websites, and Semantic Web data is addressed first. Then, we move on to look at services including peer-to-peer environments and Web services. This includes a discussion of Web policy frameworks for access control. People are the final group, where we look at the role of trust in web-based social networks and algorithms for inferring trust relationships. Finally, we review applications that rely on trust and address how they utilize trust to improve functionality and interface.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Scope of Trust	3
1.2	Trust in Content	4
1.3	Trust in Services	4
1.4	Trust in People	4
2	Trust in Content	7
2.1	Trusting Web Pages	7
2.2	The Semantic Web	10
2.3	Trusting Semantic Web Information Sources	13
2.4	Provenance on the Semantic Web	14
2.5	Conclusions	17
3 Trust in Services		19
3.1	Trust Management	20
3.2	Computing Trust in Peer-to-Peer Systems	23
3.3	Trust in Web-Services	26
3.4	Conclusions	29
4	Trust in People	31
4.1	Web-Based Social Networks	32
4.2	Computing Trust in Social Networks	35
4.3	Conclusions	42

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/040000006

5 Applications	43
5.1 Recommender Systems	43
5.2 News Syndication	49
5.3 Email Filtering	51
5.4 Peer to Peer Routing	56
6 Discussion and Conclusions	59
References	

1

Introduction

Almost since the inception of the web, trust has been a concern. The success of the web is based largely on its open, unmanaged nature; at the same time, that allows for a wide range of perspectives and intentions. It provides access to billions of web pages with staggering amounts of information; as a communication medium, the web connects people and services to one another for exchanging information and making transactions; some of the most exciting new activity on the web is social, with social networks and collaborative interaction. In all of these cases, there must be trust to foster successful interactions and to filter the abundance of information.

There are three major challenges to using trust on the web.

• Trust management: Jøsang et al. [28] define trust management as The activity of creating systems and methods that allow relying parties to make assessments and decisions regarding the dependability of potential transactions involving risk, and that also allow players and system owners to increase and correctly represent the reliability of themselves and their systems. More generally, trust management is the

2 Introduction

process of determining who has access to what information or resources in a system, identity management, and delegation of trust. Essentially, instead of simply encrypting data for its protection, trust management establishes a set of policies and determines the credentials of a person or services to access the data [17]. Doing this accurately and efficiently in a variety of domains requires many approaches.

- Computing trust: The known trust relationships on the web are only a small fraction of the potential pairings. Furthermore, the number of pages, services, and users on the web is so large, that it is difficult to estimate how much trust there is between entities. For example, a user cannot possibly know how much to trust every other user and every page on the web. Instead, trust must be calculated from other available data. Depending on the context, the methods for doing that will vary.
- Applications using trust: Managing and computing trust are interesting problems, but ultimately they exist to provide trust information that can be *used*. Trust in people or content provides insight into how they should be treated within a system (e.g., should a person be given access to a resource or how much weight should a user give to some information). Building applications that take advantage of trust information and improve their functionality because of it requires an understanding of how trust relates to the system's goals and how to integrate it. Doing this effectively is a challenge in all domains.

The proper way to address these challenges varies based on the context. For example, computing trust among web services via access control policies is quite different than computing trust between users in a social network. In this review, we consider trust in three domains: trust in content, trust in services, and trust in people. Once we have reviewed methods for managing and computing trust in those domains, we move on to applications. These integrate techniques from the domains to use trust for creating new functionality.

Trust has many meanings in computing, so we begin by describing the scope of this review with respect to the term. That is followed by brief descriptions of each section.

1.1 Scope of Trust

Within computer science, trust has been co-opted by many subfields to mean many different things. It is a descriptor of security and encryption [62]; a name for authentication methods or digital signatures [9]; a measure of the quality of a peer in P2P systems [96]; a factor in game theory [82]; a model for agent interactions [56]; a gauge of attack-resistance [104]; a component of ubiquitous computing [95]; a foundation for interactions in agent systems [13, 77]; and a motivation for online interaction and recommender systems [3]. On the web, many of these variations on trust are relevant. In a distributed, anonymous system like the web where services and information come from different sources, trust is especially important.

In this review, we treat trust as a concept that helps users (and agents) to make subjective decisions about content, services, or people when there is uncertainty. The breadth of these subjects excludes any single definition of "trust." The subjective component, however, excludes cryptologic and many security issues from our scope.

Trust is largely a social concept, and its sociological and psychological attributes have been studied extensively. That work is largely relevant to the study of trust on the web, and it informs much of the research presented here. However, this review is scoped to focus on the science of trust on the web, and particularly computing with trust. We introduce algorithms, standards, and empirical studies as primary results, and social research only as it supports the computational work.

Trust has been an important topic in the agents community. While agents are often studied on the web, the research into trust and agents applies equally to non-web agents. This research is certainly applicable to many web contexts, but we have scoped this review to cover web trust only. Thus, agent-based trust is outside of what we cover in this review.

4 Introduction

1.2 Trust in Content

The web is its content. It has revolutionized the way people access information, and the amount of information they have access to. It has done this by providing billions of pages on every conceivable topic, and tools like search engines have made it accessible. On top of pages, there are vast amounts of data stored in databases and XML formats. The success of the web is due largely to the fact that there is no centralized control of the web; anyone can say anything. At the same time, this lack of moderation raises the question of trust with respect to content. Instead of being able to make a simple trust decision about one central editor or moderator, the user has to make a series of decisions each time she accesses a page. Which pages and what data can be trusted? How is that trust established? How is information about its trust shared? Section 2 looks at questions of trust in content, from web pages to data on the Semantic Web.

1.3 Trust in Services

Automated services are an important part of the web. Peer-to-peer (P2P) systems and web services are both widely used and important. Trust is important in this context because sensitive information is often exchanged between services, and also because users rely on their successful completion. Since the interactions between these services is usually automated, the conditions for trust must be established ahead of time by the users.

In Section 3, we look at trust in P2P systems and web services. The main issues addressed are how to judge trust based on performance, how to propagate trust assessments in distributed environments, and how to specify policies that increase the trust in web services.

1.4 Trust in People

The web is a social environment, facilitating the exchange of ideas, documents, money, and goods. The social components are becoming increasingly visible. Social Networking is one of the largest movements on the web, with hundreds of millions of user accounts among hundreds

of different networks. Online communities supply a forum for discussion, ratings, and interaction. On the web, social trust and reputation are important factors that inform decisions about what to reveal to others and how to treat the information they provide. However, the web is also a very big place. The background information necessary for judging how much to trust an unknown person is often distributed and potentially private. Thus, methods for understanding, managing, computing, and applying trust are required.

Ultimately, users benefit from these social rankings because they can be used to judge things like the quality of information or the risk of a transaction. We can already see places where users have come to rely on trust and reputation, such as in eBay's feedback or rating websites like Epinions. There is more that can be done with social trust, but it requires a better understanding of the properties and dynamics of the relationship. Trust is not a new concept in computing; it has been studied as a facet of security, encryption, authentication, and quality. Trust as a social relationship, however, has very different properties. Because they are social concepts, trust and reputation are fuzzier concepts than are normally treated by computer scientists. The social behavior of web users and the scale of web systems require new understanding and computational techniques. At the same time, the growth and evolution in the way the web is used demands solutions that rely on these advances.

The emergence of recent work to better understand the computational properties of social trust and reputation is timely and necessary. Researchers have been making progress on all fronts. We have developed new theories for managing and for understanding the properties of social trust and reputation relationships. That has laid the foundation for the many algorithms have recently been developed for computing trust relationships between people. Analysis of reputation systems have also led to results that help protect against deception. As this grounds for assessing trust and reputation has improved, a number of new applications have been developed that utilize trust. This brings the benefits of understanding the user's social relationships into the applications that they already use.

- [1] "Web services trust language," February 2005.
- [2] A. Abdul-Rahman and S. Hailes, "Supporting trust in virtual communities," in Proceedings of the 33rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2000.
- [3] A. Abdul-Rahman and S. Hailes, "A distributed trust model," in NSPW '97: Proceedings of the 1997 Workshop on New Security Paradigms, pp. 48–60, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 1997.
- [4] F. Abel, M. Frank, N. Henze, D. Krause, D. Plappert, and P. Siehndel, "Groupme! where semantic web meets web 2.0," in *Proceedings of the 6th International Semantic Web Conference*, 2007.
- [5] K. Aberer, "P-grid: A self-organizing access structure for P2P information systems," in *CooplS '01: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Cooperative Information Systems*, pp. 179–194, London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [6] K. Aberer and Z. Despotovic, "Managing trust in a peer-2-peer information system," in CIKM '01: Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, pp. 310–317, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2001.
- [7] M. K. Aguilera, R. E. Strom, D. C. Sturman, M. Astley, and T. D. Chandra, "Matching events in a content-based subscription system," in *Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing*, 1999.
- [8] M. Altinel and M. J. Franklin, "Efficient filtering of XML documents for selective dissemination of information," in *The VLDB Journal*, 2000.
- [9] A. Ansper, A. Buldas, M. Roos, and J. Willemson, "Efficient long-term validation of digital signatures," in *PKC '01: Proceedings of the 4th International*

- Workshop on Practice and Theory in Public Key Cryptography, pp. 402–415, London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [10] L. Aroyo, N. Stash, Y. Wang, P. Gorgels, and L. Rutledge, "Chip demonstrator: Semantics-driven recommendations and museum tour generation," in Proceedings of the 6th International Semantic Web Conference, 2007.
- [11] P. Avesani, P. Massa, and R. Tiella, "Moleskiing.it: A trust-aware recommender system for ski mountaineering," *International Journal for Infonomics*, 2005
- [12] F. Baader, D. Calvanese, D. L. McGuinness, D. Nardi, and P. F. Patel-Schneider, eds., The Description Logic Handbook: Theory, Implementation, and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [13] K. S. Barber and J. Kim, "Belief revision process based on trust: Agents evaluating reputation of information sources," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Deception, Fraud, and Trust in Agent Societies held During the Autonomous Agents Conference*, pp. 73–82, London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [14] T. Berners-Lee, W. Hall, J. A. Hendler, K. O'Hara, N. Shadbolt, and D. J. Weitzner, "A framework for web science," Foundations and Trends(R) in Web Science, 2006.
- [15] T. Berners-Lee, J. Hendler, and O. Lassila, "The semantic web," Scientific American. May 2001.
- [16] M. Blaze, J. Feigenbaum, J. Ioannidis, and A. D. Keromytis, The Role of Trust Management in Distributed Systems Security, pp. 185–210. London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [17] M. Blaze, J. Feigenbaum, and J. Lacy, "Decentralized trust management," in SP '96: Proceedings of the 1996 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, p. 164, Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 1996.
- [18] P. O. Boykin and V. Roychowdhury, "Personal email networks: An effective anti-spam tool," *IEEE Computer*, vol. 38, p. 61, 2004.
- [19] D. Brickley and L. Miller, "Foaf vocabulary specification, namespace document." 2004.
- [20] J. J. Carroll, C. Bizer, P. Hayes, and P. Stickler, "Named graphs, provenance and trust," in WWW '05: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on World Wide Web, pp. 613–622, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2005.
- [21] J. J. Carroll, P. Hayes, C. Bizer, and P. Stickler, "Named graphs, provenance and trust," in *Proceedings of the First International Semantic Web Confer*ence, 2002.
- [22] O. Celma, "Foafing the music: Bridging the semantic gap in music recommendation," in Proceedings of the 5th International Semantic Web Conference, 2006.
- [23] Cheskin and S. Archetype/Sapient, "Ecommerce trust: Building trust in digital environments," 1999.
- [24] P. A. Chirita, S. Idreos, M. Koubarakis, and W. Nejdl, "Publish/subscribe for rdf-based p2p networks," in *Proceedings of 1st European Semantic Web* Symposium, 2004.

- [25] Y.-H. Chu, J. Feigenbaum, B. LaMacchia, P. Resnick, and M. Strauss, "REF-EREE: Trust management for Web applications," Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, vol. 29, no. 8–13, pp. 953–964, 1997.
- [26] K. Cook, Trust in Society. Russell Sage Foundation, 2001.
- [27] F. Cornelli, E. Damiani, S. D. C. di Vimercati, S. Paraboschi, and P. Samarati, "Choosing reputable servents in a p2p network," in WWW '02: Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on World Wide Web, pp. 376–386, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2002.
- [28] C. L. Corritore, B. Kracher, and S. Wiedenbeck, "On-line trust: Concepts, evolving themes, a model," *International Journal of Human-Computer Stud*ies, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 737–758, 2003.
- [29] E. Damiani, D. C. di Vimercati, S. Paraboschi, P. Samarati, and F. Violante, "A reputation-based approach for choosing reliable resources in peer-to-peer networks," in CCS '02: Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pp. 207–216, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2002.
- [30] I. Davis and E. Viiello, "Relationship: A vocabulary for describing relationships between people," 2004.
- [31] Y. Diao, S. Rizvi, and M. Franklin, "Towards an internet-scale xml dissemination service," in *Proceedings of VLDB2004*, August 2004.
- [32] B. Dragovic, E. Kotsovinos, S. Hand, and P. R. Pietzuch, "Xenotrust: Event-based distributed trust management," in DEXA '03: Proceedings of the 14th International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications, p. 410, Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2003.
- [33] E. Dumbill, "Finding friends with xml and rdf," 2002.
- [34] R. Falcone and C. Castelfranchi, "Social trust: A cognitive approach," pp. 55–90, 2001.
- [35] B. J. Fogg, J. Marshall, O. Laraki, A. Osipovich, C. Varma, N. Fang, J. Paul, A. Rangnekar, J. Shon, P. Swani, and M. Treinen, "What makes web sites credible?: A report on a large quantitative study," in CHI '01: Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 61–68, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2001.
- [36] J. Futrelle and J. Myers, "Tracking provenance semantics in heterogeneous execution systems," Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, 2007.
- [37] M. Garden and G. Dudek, "Semantic feedback for hybrid recommendations in recommendz," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on e-Technology, e-Commerce, and e-Service (EEE05)*, 2005.
- [38] Y. Gil and V. Ratnakar, "Trusting information sources one citizen at a time," in *Proceedings of the First International Semantic Web Conference*, June 2002.
- [39] J. Golbeck, Computing and applying trust in web-based social networks. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, April 2005.
- [40] J. Golbeck, "Generating predictive movie recommendations from trust in social networks," in *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Trust Management*, 2006.

- [41] J. Golbeck, "Trust and nuanced profile similarity in online social networks," in MINDSWAP Technical Report TR-MS1284, 2006.
- [42] J. Golbeck, "The dynamics of web-based social networks: Membership, relationships, and change," First Monday, vol. 12, no. 11, 2007.
- [43] J. Golbeck and C. Halaschek-Wiener, "Trust-based revision for expressive web syndication," Tech. Rep. MINDSWAP TR-MS1293, University of Maryland, College Park, 2007.
- [44] J. Golbeck and J. Hendler, "Reputation network analysis for email filtering," in *Proceedings of the First Conference on Email and Anti-Spam*, 2004.
- [45] J. Golbeck and J. Hendler, "A semantic web approach to tracking provenance in scientific workflows," Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, vol. in this issue, 2007.
- [46] J. Golbeck and B. Parsia, "Trust network-based filtering of aggregated claims," *International Journal of Metadata, Semantics, and Ontologies*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 58–65, 2006.
- [47] J. Golbeck, B. Parsia, and J. Hendler, "Trust networks on the semantic web," in *Proceedings of Cooperative Intelligent Agents*, 2003.
- [48] T. Grandison and M. Sloman, "A survey of trust in internet application," IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials, vol. 3, no. 4, 2000.
- [49] E. Gray, J. Seigneur, Y. Chen, and C. Jensen, "Trust propagation in small worlds," in *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Trust Man*agement, 2003.
- [50] R. Guha, R. Kumar, P. Raghavan, and A. Tomkins, "Propagation of trust and distrust," in WWW '04: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on World Wide Web, pp. 403–412, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2004.
- [51] V. Haarslev and R. Møller, "Incremental query answering for implementing document retrieval services," in *Proceeding of the International Workshop on Description Logics*, 2003.
- [52] C. Halaschek-Wiener, Expressive Syndication on the Web Using a Description Logic Approach. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, November 2007.
- [53] R. Hardin, Trust and Trustworthiness. Russell Sage Foundation, 2002.
- [54] J. L. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Explaining collaborative filtering recommendations," in *Proceedings of the 2000 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, pp. 241–250, 2000.
- [55] J. L. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, L. G. Terveen, and J. T. Riedl, "Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems," ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS), vol. 22, pp. 5–53, 2004.
- [56] C. M. Jonker and J. Treur, "Formal analysis of models for the dynamics of trust based on experiences," in MAAMAW '99: Proceedings of the 9th European Workshop on Modelling Autonomous Agents in a Multi-Agent World, pp. 221–231, London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [57] A. Jøsang, "The right type of trust for distributed systems," in NSPW '96: Proceedings of the 1996 Workshop on New Security Paradigms, pp. 119–131, 1996.

- [58] A. Jøsang, R. Hayward, and S. Pope, "Trust network analysis with subjective logic," in ACSC '06: Proceedings of the 29th Australasian Computer Science Conference, pp. 85–94, Darlinghurst, Australia: Australian Computer Society, Inc., 2006.
- [59] A. Jøsang, C. Keser, and T. Dimitrakos, "Can we manage trust?," in iTrust, pp. 93–107, 2005.
- [60] L. Kagal, T. Finin, and Y. Peng, "A framework for distributed trust management," in Workshop on Autonomy, Delegation and Control, 2001.
- [61] S. D. Kamvar, M. T. Schlosser, and H. Garcia-Molina, "The eigentrust algorithm for reputation management in p2p networks," in WWW '03: Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on World Wide Web, pp. 640–651, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2003.
- [62] S. Kent and R. Atkinson, "Security architecture for the internet protocol," 1998
- [63] R. Khare and A. Rifkin, "Weaving a web of trust," World Wide Web Journal, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 77–112, 1997.
- [64] R. Khare and A. Rifkin, "Trust management on the world wide web," First Monday, vol. 10, no. 7, 2006.
- [65] J. Kim, E. Deelman, Y. Gil, G. Mehta, and V. Ratnakar, "Provenance trails in the wings/pegasus system," Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, 2007.
- [66] V. Kolovski, J. Hendler, and B. Parsia, "Analyzing web access control policies," in WWW '07: Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web, pp. 677–686, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2007.
- [67] V. Kolovski, B. Parsia, Y. Katz, and J. A. Hendler, "Representing web service policies in owl-dl," in *International Semantic Web Conference*, pp. 461–475, 2005.
- [68] J. A. Konstan, B. N. Miller, D. Maltz, J. L. Herlocker, L. R. Gordon, and J. Riedl, "Grouplens: Applying collaborative filtering to usenet news," Communications of the ACM, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 77–87, 1997.
- [69] E. Kotsovinos and A. Williams, "Bambootrust: Practical scalable trust management for global public computing," in SAC '06: Proceedings of the 2006 ACM Symposium on Applied computing, pp. 1893–1897, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2006.
- [70] U. Kuter and J. Golbeck, "Sunny: A new algorithm for trust inference in social networks, using probabilistic confidence models," in *Proceedings of the* National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), 2007.
- [71] Y. Lashkari, M. Metral, and P. Maes, "Collaborative interface agents," in Proceedings of the Twelfth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 444–449, 1994.
- [72] T. Leithead, W. Nejdl, D. Olmedilla, K. Seamons, M. Winslett, T. Yu, and C. C. Zhang, "How to Exploit Ontologies for Trust Negotiation," in Proceedings of Workshop on Trust, Security and Reputation on the Semantic Web in Conjunction with the 3rd International Semantic Web Conference, Hiroshima, Japan (7th–11th November 2004), The Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence and The Semantic Web Science Association, 2004.

- [73] R. Levien and A. Aiken, "Attack-resistant trust metrics for public key certification," in 7th USENIX Security Symposium, pp. 229–242, 1998.
- [74] L. Li and I. Horrocks, "A software framework for matchmaking based on semantic web technology," in *Proceedings of the 12th International World Wide Web Conference*, 2003.
- [75] M. Lorch, S. Proctor, R. Lepro, D. Kafura, and S. Shah, "First experiences using xacml for access control in distributed systems," in ACM Workshop on XML Security, 2003.
- [76] C. A. Lynch, "When documents deceive: Trust and provenance as new factors for information retrieval in a tangled web," *Journal of American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 12–17, 2001.
- [77] P. Maes, "Agents that reduce work and information overload," Communications of the ACM, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 30–40, 1994.
- [78] S. Marti, Trust and Reputation in Peer to Peer Networks. PhD thesis, Stanford University, May 2005.
- [79] P. Massa and P. Avesani, "Trust-aware collaborative filtering for recommender systems," in Proceeding of Federated International Conference On The Move to Meaningful Internet: CoopIS, DOA, ODBASE, 2004.
- [80] P. Massa and B. Bhattacharjee, "Using trust in recommender systems: An experimental analysis," in *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Trust Management*, 2004.
- [81] R. Matthew, R. Agrawal, and P. Domingos, "Trust management for the semantic web," in *Proceedings of the Second International Semantic Web Conference*, 2003.
- [82] K. A. McCabe, M. L. Rigdon, and V. L. Smith, "Positive reciprocity and intentions in trust games," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, vol. 52, pp. 267–275, October 2003.
- [83] B. N. Miller, I. Albert, S. K. Lam, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Movielens unplugged: Experiences with an occasionally connected recommender system," in *IUI '03: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Intelligent* User Interfaces, pp. 263–266, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2003.
- [84] L. Moreau, B. Ludäscher, I. Altintas, R. S. Barga, S. Bowers, S. Callahan, G. Chin Jr., B. Clifford, S. Cohen, S. Cohen-Boulakia, S. Davidson, E. Deelman, L. Digiampietri, I. Foster, J. Freire, J. Frew, J. Futrelle, T. Gibson, Y. Gil, C. Goble, J. Golbeck, P. Groth, D. A. Holland, S. Jiang, J. Kim, D. Koop, A. Krenek, T. McPhillips, G. Mehta, S. Miles, D. Metzger, S. Munroe, J. Myers, B. Plale, N. Podhorszki, V. Ratnakar, E. Santos, C. Scheidegger, K. Schuchardt, M. Seltzer, Y. L. Simmhan, C. Silva, P. Slaughter, E. Stephan, R. Stevens, D. Turi, H. Vo, M. Wilde, J. Zhao, and Y. Zhao, "The first provenance challenge," Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, 2007.
- [85] W. Nejdl, D. Olmedilla, and M. Winslett, "Peertrust: Automated trust negotiation for peers on the semantic web," in Technical Report, 2003.
- [86] J. O'Donovan and B. Smyth, "Trust in recommender systems," in IUI '05: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, pp. 167–174, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2005.

- [87] B. Oki, M. Pfluegl, and D. Skeen, "The information bus: An architecture for extensible distributed systems," in *Proceedings of the 14th SOSP*, 1993.
- [88] L. Page, S. Brin, R. Motwani, and T. Winograd, "The pagerank citation ranking: Bringing order to the web," Tech. Rep., Stanford Digital Library Technologies Project, 1998.
- [89] P. Perny and J. D. Zucker, "Preference-based search and machine learning for collaborative filtering: The "film-conseil" recommender system," *Information*, *Interaction*, *Intelligence*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9–48, 2001.
- [90] J. Riegelsberger, M. A. Sasse, and J. D. McCarthy, "Shiny happy people building trust?: Photos on e-commerce websites and consumer trust," in CHI '03: Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 121–128, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2003.
- [91] A. Salam, L. Iyer, P. Palvia, and R. Singh, "Trust in e-commerce," Communications of the ACM, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 72–77, 2005.
- [92] M. C. Schraefel, N. R. Shadbolt, N. Gibbins, S. Harris, and H. Glaser, "Cs aktive space: Representing computer science in the semantic web," in WWW '04: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on World Wide Web, pp. 384–392, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2004.
- [93] M. C. Schraefel, M. Wilson, A. Russell, and D. A. Smith, "Mspace: Improving information access to multimedia domains with multimodal exploratory search," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 47–49, 2006.
- [94] J. Seigneur, N. Dimmock, C. Bryce, and C. D. Jensen, "Combating spam with {TEA} (trusted email addresses)," in Second Annual Conference on Privacy, Security and Trust, New Brunswick, Canada, October 2004.
- [95] N. Shankar and W. Arbaugh, "On trust for ubiquitous computing," in Workshop on Security in Ubiquitous Computing, 2002.
- [96] R. Sherwood, S. Lee, and B. Bhattacharjee, "Cooperative peer groups in nice," in *Proceedings of IEEE INFOCOM*, 2003.
- [97] R. Sinha and K. Swearingen, "Comparing recommendations made by online systems and friends.," in Proceedings of the DELOS-NSF Workshop on Personalization and Recommender Systems in Digital Libraries, 2001.
- [98] H. Skogsrud, B. Benatallah, and F. Casati, "Model-driven trust negotiation for web services," *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 45–52, 2003.
- [99] C. V. Slyke, F. Belanger, and C. L. Comunale, "Factors influencing the adoption of web-based shopping: The impact of trust," SIGMIS Database, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 32–49, 2004.
- [100] I. Stoica, R. Morris, D. Liben-Nowell, D. R. Karger, F. F. Kaashoek, F. Dabek, and H. Balakrishnan, "Chord: A scalable peer-to-peer lookup protocol for internet applications," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, vol. 11, 2003.
- [101] K. Swearingen and R. Sinha, "Beyond algorithms: An hci perspective on recommender systems," in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGIR 2001 Workshop on Recommender Systems*, 2001.
- [102] M. Uschold, P. Clark, F. Dickey, C. Fung, S. Smith, S. U. M. Wilke, S. Bechhofer, and I. Horrocks, "A semantic infosphere," in *Proceedings of the International Semantic Web Conference*, 2003.

- [103] A. S. Vedamuthu, D. Orchard, F. Hirsch, M. Hondo, P. Yendluri, T. Boubez, and M. Yalinalp, "Web services policy 1.5 — framework," http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-policy, 04 September 2007.
- [104] D. S. Wallach, D. Balfanz, D. Dean, and E. W. Felten, "Extensible security architectures for java," in SOSP '97: Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, pp. 116–128, New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 1997.
- [105] J. Wang, B. Jin, and J. Li, "An ontology-based publish/subscribe system," in Middleware, 2004.
- [106] Y. Wang and J. Vassileva, "Trust and reputation model in peer-to-peer networks," in *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on P2P Computing*, pp. 150–157, September 2003.
- [107] M. Wilson, A. Russell, M. C. Schraefel, and D. A. Smith, "Mspace mobile: A ui gestalt to support on-the-go info-interaction," in CHI '06: CHI '06 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 247–250, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2006.
- [108] M. Winslett, T. Yu, K. E. Seamons, A. Hess, J. Jacobson, R. Jarvis, B. Smith, and L. Yu, "Negotiating trust on the web," *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 30–37, 2002.
- [109] Y. Yang, Y. Hu, and J. Chen, "A web trust-inducing model for e-commerce and empirical research," in *ICEC '05: Proceedings of the 7th International* Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 188–194, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2005.
- [110] I. Yaniv and E. Kleinberger, "Advice taking in decision making: Egocentric discounting and reputation formation," Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 260–281, 2000.
- [111] B. Yu and M. P. Singh, "A social mechanism of reputation management in electronic communities," in CIA '00: Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Cooperative Information Agents IV, The Future of Information Agents in Cyberspace, pp. 154–165, London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [112] J. Zhao, C. Goble, R. Stevens, and D. Turi, "Mining taverna's semantic web of provenance," *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 2007.
- [113] J. Zhao, C. Wroe, C. A. Goble, R. Stevens, D. Quan, and R. M. Greenwood, "Using semantic web technologies for representing e-science provenance," in International Semantic Web Conference, pp. 92–106, 2004.
- [114] C.-N. Ziegler and J. Golbeck, "Investigating correlations of trust and interest similarity," *Decision Support Services*, p. 1, 2006.
- [115] C.-N. Ziegler and G. Lausen, "Analyzing correlation between trust and user similarity in online communities," in *Proceedings of the Second International* Conference on Trust Management, 2004.
- [116] C.-N. Ziegler and G. Lausen, "Spreading activation models for trust propagation," in Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on e-Technology, e-Commerce, and e-Service, Taipei, Taiwan: IEEE Computer Society Press, March 2004.