
Health Web Science

Health Web Science

Joanne S. Luciano

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and Predictive Medicine, Inc., USA

Grant P. Cumming

NHS Grampian, UK

Eva Kahana

Case Western University, USA

Mark D. Wilkinson

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Elizabeth H. Brooks

Digital Health Institute, The Glasgow School of Art, Scotland

Holly Jarman

University of Michigan School of Public Health, USA

Deborah L. McGuinness

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Minna S. Levine

SymTrend, Inc., USA

now

the essence of **knowledge**

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is J. S. Luciano, G. P. Cumming, E. Kahana, M. D. Wilkinson, E. H. Brooks, H. Jarman, D. L. McGuinness and M. S. Levine, Health Web Science, Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science, vol 4, no 4, pp 269–419, 2012

ISBN: 978-1-60198-823-2

© 2014 J. S. Luciano, G. P. Cumming, E. Kahana, M. D. Wilkinson, E. H. Brooks, H. Jarman, D. L. McGuinness and M. S. Levine

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc. for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Web Science**
Volume 4 Issue 4, 2012
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Wendy Hall

University of Southampton

wh@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Nigel Shadbolt

University of Southampton

nrs@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Associate Editor:

Kieron O'Hara

University of Southampton

kmo@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Editors

Tim Berners-Lee (MIT)

Noshir Contractor (Northwestern)

Lorrie Cranor (Carnegie Mellon University)

Dieter Fensel (DERI)

Carole Goble (University of Manchester)

Pat Hayes (IHMC)

James Hendler (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute)

Arun Iyengar (IBM Research)

Craig Knoblock (USC)

Ora Lassila (Nokia Research)

Sun Maosong (Tsinghua University)

Cathy Marshall (Microsoft)

Peter Monge (USC)

Ben Shneiderman (University of Maryland)

Danny Weitzner (MIT)

Yorick Wilks (Oxford Internet Institute)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Agents and the Semantic Web
- Collective Intelligence
- Content Management
- Databases on the Web
- Data Mining
- Democracy and the Web
- Dependability
- Economics of information and the Web
- E-Crime
- E-Government
- Emergent behaviour
- Ethics
- Hypertext/Hypermedia
- Identity
- Languages on the Web
- Memories for Life
- Mobile/Pervasive
- Network Infrastructures
- Performance
- Privacy
- Scalability
- Security
- Semantic Web
- Social Networking
- Standards
- The Law and the Web
- The Web as an Educational Tool
- The Web in the Developing World
- Trust and Provenance
- Universal Usability
- User Interfaces
- Virtual Reality
- Web Art
- Web Governance
- Search
- Web Services

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Web Science, 2012, Volume 4, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1555-077X. ISSN online version 1555-0788. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in
Web Science
Vol. 4, No. 4 (2012) 269–419
© 2014 J. S. Luciano, G. P. Cumming, E. Kahana,
M. D. Wilkinson, E. H. Brooks, H. Jarman,
D. L. McGuinness and M. S. Levine
DOI: 10.1561/18000000019



Health Web Science

Joanne S. Luciano¹, Grant P. Cumming²,
Eva Kahana³, Mark D. Wilkinson⁴,
Elizabeth H. Brooks⁵, Holly Jarman⁶,
Deborah L. McGuinness⁷ and Minna S. Levine⁸

With contributions by John Willbanks, Catherine Pope,
Dominic DiFranzo, and Tracy Ann Kosa

¹ *Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Predictive Medicine, Inc., USA,*
joanne.luciano@predmed.com

² *NHS Grampian, UK, grant.cumming@nhs.net*

³ *Case Western University, USA, exk@case.edu*

⁴ *Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain, mark.wilkinson@upm.es*

⁵ *Digital Health Institute, The Glasgow School of Art, Scotland,*
elizabeth.brooks@dhi-scotland.com

⁶ *University of Michigan School of Public Health, USA, hjarman@umich.edu*

⁷ *Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA, dlm@cs.rpi.edu*

⁸ *SymTrend, Inc., USA, mlevine@symtrend.com*

Abstract

The transformative power of the Internet on all aspects of daily life, including health care, has been widely recognized. These transformations reveal opportunities realized, the promise of future advances, and the problems created by the penetration of the World Wide Web for both individuals and for society at large. Health Web Science explores the role of the Web as it drives discussions, technologies, policies, and

solutions related to health. We also examine the impact of the Web's health-related uses on the design, structure and evolution of the Web itself. The orientation of Health Web Science, compared to related research domains, motivates innovation in Web technology and better utilization of the Web for communication, collaboration, information access and sharing, remote sensing, and even remote treatment.

Dedication

This book is dedicated in memory of

James R. Brooks 1995–2014
Tristan Clark 1991–2013
Sergeant Matthew Scott Patton, 1990–2013
Eugene Landau 1950–2013
Peter T. Demos 1919–2013
Bennett L. Greenstein 1956–2009
Sylvia Statlender Luciano 1919–2007
Joseph William Luciano 1916–1985

Contents

Foreword	xi
Preface	xiv
1 Introduction to Health Web Science	1
1.1 What is Web Science?	2
1.2 What is Health Web Science?	3
1.3 The Health Web Science Space	3
2 Health Web Science and the Web of Documents	6
2.1 Engagement	7
3 Health Web Science and Social Web	11
3.1 The Social Web Tools in Healthcare	13
3.2 Social Web Case Studies in Health	17
3.3 Patient Empowerment	22
4 Health Web Science, the Semantic Web and Linked Data	31
4.1 Semantic Web Basics	33
4.2 Why Semantic Web for Health	38

4.3	Workflows and Web Services	46
4.4	Open Research Questions	54
5	Methodologies and Methods for Cross-Disciplinary Study	56
5.1	Health Web Science Research	57
5.2	Health Behaviors, Incentives and Motivation	63
5.3	Engineering Aspects/Medical and Health Applications	66
5.4	Open and Non-proprietary Health Web Science	69
6	Health Web Science and Protection of Privacy	81
6.1	Protection of Private Health Data on the Web	82
6.2	Protection of Electronic Health Data on the Web	90
6.3	Health Data Use and Users of Health Information	91
6.4	Disclosure	93
7	Health Web Science and Health Policy	96
7.1	The Effect of the Web on Key Elements of Health Policymaking	97
7.2	The Effect of the Web on Key Dilemmas in Health Policy	101
7.3	Informing Public Debates About Health and the Web	105
8	Conclusion	109
	Acknowledgments	121
	Appendix: A Brief History of the Web	122
	References	127

Foreword

As a researcher and social scientist, I have come to realize the value of varied perspectives in both discipline and disciplinarity. A researcher from the tiny country of Lebanon, I am also used to the feeling of being a foreigner amongst peers. In the spring of 2010, during the Web Science conference in Raleigh, North Carolina, this feeling was even more pronounced. I found myself amongst a vibrant community of computer scientists, hypertext gurus, and statisticians who were all gathered, like me, to discuss the impact of the World Wide Web. In chorus, I too wanted to share in its applause as a beautiful set of technologies that enabled people to produce, share, and improve information of all kinds from anywhere in the world. But, more importantly, I wanted to be clear that the Web seen from Beirut was not that same as the Web seen from Raleigh. Instead, Beirut's Web is about an emergent online platform for community building and mobilization against authoritative regimes; about cyber warfare among Middle Eastern armies; and about the transformation of traditional values of Arab societies. This was my perspective. This is what I had come to share with the Web Science community.

As I glanced around the room at that first conference, I was fortunate enough to choose a table that would reinforce my decision to attend and engage with this community. There I sat with researchers like Cathy Pope, Professor of Medical Sociology and Susan Halford, Head of Sociology and Social Policy (both at Southampton University), whom emphasized the need for multiple-perspectives in order to truly understand the Web's impact on societies, cultures, etc. The following year, in Koblenz, Germany, members of the Health Web Science Community including, Dr. Elizabeth Brooks and Dr. Joanne S. Luciano, met to push forward this specific domain. They, like me, were deeply involved in understanding the Web's influence on our everyday lives. Over the years and many more conferences later, I continue to be impressed and enlightened by the inventive and innovative work of web scientists. The Royal Society was forthcoming in 2010, when it decided to include Web Science in the 10 most important research domains for the twenty-first century.

The collective efforts of the authors of *Foundations and Trends in Health Web Science* is an enormous testament to show how the Web has changed the way we approach modern-day health issues. This is Web Science at its best, with insights into technology, society, and humanity. The various authors, spanning multiple disciplines, including clinicians and practitioners, convey a much-needed 360-degree perspective of the Web's impact on the health domain. Specifically, the monograph takes knowledge and observation to the level of personal experiences, where common languages of mixed methods can help each of us objectively to evaluate the Web's efficacy.

After reading the monograph, I felt much more informed about the challenges of Health Web Science; particularly in different parts of the world. Given my background, I was surprised to learn about the different attitudes and reactions of patients and doctors to some of the E-health services described in the monograph. Overall, it lays the foundation for a new discipline to support future medicine. Pointing to the lack of research evidence that E-Health is beneficial as a new "global thinking to improve healthcare," the authors review the relevant dynamics of contributing disciplines to Health Web Science. For instance, social science helps bridge the gap between advanced research

design and the “real world of practice.” The authors show how actors and stakeholders use the Web to influence policymaking, costs strategies, and take advantage of its global impact to raise awareness on health issues. This impact follows three aspirations: efficiency of health services, dissemination of data, and improvement of access. However, the authors are cautious and state that positive externalities created by policy, technology, and development may not be so easy to obtain. Going forward, those who choose health related disciplines would need to understand enough about the multiple, different aspects to aide in their decision-making.

This monograph provides a basis for a new multidisciplinary science. It is written by scholars from multiple disciplines who worked together to make the monograph accessible from any discipline. It is full of important and interesting data and it questions our usages, our safety, and our general practices in relation to health knowledge and information.

Finally, a word of thanks to Dr. Joanne Luciano for her vision and leadership in undertaking this monograph and for being such a great person and scientist.

Stéphane B. Bazan
Head of the Interdisciplinary Research Unit in Web Science
Saint-Joseph University — Lebanon

Preface

The Web has quickly become a common repository for all human knowledge as well as the means for connecting people to people, globally. We access it over an ever-increasing variety of devices, including gadgets that we wear and, our very clothing. It has become so pervasive that in some cases it has become invisible, automatically connecting machines to machines and databases to databases. The kinds of connectivity and information-sharing that we take for granted in our cars — for GPS and road service — and that we use to keep track of children, pets, and prisoners, will be widely used for remote medical monitoring and treatment, with sensors as well as devices for dispensing medications embedded in our bodies. Standalone medical implants, like pace makers, will become obsolete — all such devices being remotely adjustable and fixable and instantly providing data that can be correlated with other health data. At the same time, nanotechnology is opening opportunities not only for medical diagnosis and treatment, but also for human enhancement — everything from pills that you swallow that have cameras in them to implants into the cornea that could provide night vision to soldiers in the field.

Along with the new opportunities come new challenges. Patients as well as doctors have access to an overwhelming wealth of information already. Hence the role of medical professionals is expanding and evolving. Not only must they be able to quickly access and judge data to make informed decisions, but also they need to educate and consult with patients who are also using such resources, and may, in some cases, as a result of independent Web-based research, have more information than the medical professional. This collaboration that includes patients and their values, which differ by culture and by person, is a new paradigm in western medicine and in personal health.

So, as all of western medicine moves from diagnosing and treating the mythical “average” patient to dealing with the individual as an individual and as a collaborator, with personally tailored treatment plans and DNA-specific medications, the medical community and the health of all of humanity will depend increasingly on web-based data, devices, collaboration, and applications.

In this fast-paced environment of Web-based medical innovation and collaboration, Web Science has emerged as a new multidisciplinary field whose charter is to study the Web in all of its aspects, including engineering, governance, and society.* It is the goal of this book to explore these concepts for a wide-ranging set of academics, engineers, medical doctors, and practitioners in any of the relevant fields.

We begin our exploration with a brief discussion regarding the evolution of the Web from a simple vehicle for communication to a far more complex system that enables qualities like interactivity, immediacy, mobility, and web-scale collaboration. We divide its evolution into three iterations, which signify shifts in infrastructure and use. These include: the “Web of Documents”, the “Social Web”, and the “Web of Linked Data”. Today, the Web has become a part of daily life, not only among the richest societies, but also in industrializing countries. Even

*The impetus to formally name Health Web Science as distinct research field, with a charter to study the aspects of the Web related to health and to recognize health as a driver for Web development, arose from a workshop on Health Web Science held in conjunction with the Third International Conference on Web Science in Koblenz, Germany, in June 2011. This dialog continued at the Second Health Web Science Workshop in Evanston, Illinois 2012 and again at the Web Science conference held in Paris 2013.

in areas where computers are scarce, mobile devices have penetrated, allowing the Web to be accessed through mobile apps. The phenomena of Web Science is the realization that the access to the Web goes beyond retrieving information from a remote site, or using it as to make a video call, or writing a blog -it is something different and something greater. When we interact with the Web, i.e., retrieve information from, communicate via, or change the Web, by modifying the architecture or content, we furnish the Web with the capability to change us.

While use of the Web has become nearly ubiquitous, adoption of the Web as a driver of health, healthcare, and health research has been relatively slow. Researchers note that, while health related technologies have long existed, they traditionally take the form of closed health informatics systems that were predominantly focused on health administration rather than how health informatics systems could be utilized to improve health outcomes. Concerns regarding privacy, authenticity and design limitations have also stalled the adoption of newer health-outcome focused applications.

Yet, as the Web continues to mature, so too does the health industry. The Journal of Medical Internet Research, founded in 1999, publishes on “all aspects of research, information and communication in health-care using Internet and Intranet-related technologies.” While older and broader in scope, a more recent journal, the Journal of Biomedical Semantics, founded in 2010, publishes papers on issues of semantic enrichment and semantic processing in the biomedical domain. These include infrastructure for biomedical semantics and semantic mining, annotation, and analysis.

Perhaps the defining feature of Web Science is the study of the Web’s unanticipated emergent characteristics. In order to improve health-care through the application of Web Science, we must first understand Web Science, and then explore how the novel technologies and human-behaviors emerging from Web Science relate to the achievement of better health outcomes. To do so, we need to integrate the collective wisdom and perspectives of multiple disciplines. Such integrated knowledge will enable the development of prescriptive interventions that are of a Web nature and on a Web scale. The field of Health Web Science aims to accomplish this goal. It concerns itself with

how individuals (professionals, patients, communities) and machines co-create the virtual environment within which they live.

Scholars of Health Web Science, spanning many distinct disciplines, note the emergent properties of the Web that are significant to human health, health care administration, health care delivery, health information acquisition and delivery, and health research. These individual observations, studies, and scientific breakthroughs are subsequently studied in their aggregate in order to understand their heuristic value. Framing these activities as a new discipline facilitates the necessary collaborations that will enable us to observe and assess the impact of the Web-based human-computer-community interaction on human health.

Understanding this mutual influence between technology and people will help us better prepare for a variety of immanent and significant challenges. For example, one key goal of Health Web Science is to support the world's aging population, and address the associated increase in chronic illness (Yach 2004, Holman 2005) and the consequent costs of healthcare that will render current models of health care delivery largely unsustainable. To address these challenges, more effective methods of healthcare delivery and intervention programs are needed. Thus there is the motivation to utilize the Web to both improve health, as well as to decrease its delivery cost. This cannot happen without research into the benefits and pitfalls of the potential capabilities of the Web in the human-computer-societal ecosystem. Moreover, so as to not waste existing infrastructure, we need to understand how Web-based technologies can complement and improve traditional models of healthcare delivery. As a result of formalizing, and thus establishing the discipline of Health Web Science, we hope to unify and coordinate our efforts to provide the data and evidence that will allow all societies to become better informed and more empowered; to enhance participants' understanding not just of health care options, but also the *politics* behind healthcare delivery and thereby make more and better informed decisions towards a healthier human existence. Thus Health Web Science holds important promise both for our rapidly changing health care system and for the consumers who utilize it.

The Web has also revealed itself to be a powerful motivational tool. Health Web Science, therefore, studies and leverages this human

response to the technology in an effort to improve patient outcomes. For example, one way for societies to improve their health is to move from a reactive (illness) model -where individuals only take an interest in their health when they are ill and respond to directives issued from health care professionals- to a proactive (wellness) model. In a preventive, personalized, participatory, and predictive proactive model, individuals take responsibility for their wellbeing and health and are therefore not only informed but also part of the discussion and decision-making process about their health care (Kahana & Kahana 2003, 2007). Incentives for proactive behavior can be driven by the very characteristics of the Web that have led to its global success, including immediacy, mobility, multimedia, search, customization/personalization, and time shifting, the capability to consume media at a time you choose* (Stein, 2011; Baur, Deering and Hsu, 2001, 356; Duffy and Thorson, 2009, 107; Jimison et al., 2008, 1; Rice, 2001, 35; Street and Rimal, 1997, 3; Street and Piziak, 2001, 290; Walther, Gay and Hancock, 2005, 633). Beyond the successful intersection of the Web and Healthcare in a variety of initiatives (many of which are discussed and examined in this book) the Web has, nevertheless, an as-yet unrealized capability to enable and empower individuals and communities to adopt activities that promote health and improve healthcare.

Health Web Science also seeks to inform the development of the Web itself. For example, many health-related Web initiatives in the past have assumed that if you build a useful resource, people will take advantage of it. This has not always been the case, and worse, in some cases the use of the Web resource has had negative consequences. Thus we must study the incentives and motivations of people to utilize Web resources, in order to achieve the active participation of all participants in the Health Care continuum, but moreover, we must also recognize and understand the potential of the Web to do harm, and similarly study the motivations, mal-incentives or design-flaws that lead to negative outcomes. Such directed research will allow us to identify factors that maximize the benefits while limiting the perils. For this reason, we

* Prior to the Web, VCRs and DVRs, one could only view (or listen) to a broadcast program at the time it was being aired. Time-shifting technologies enable viewing at a later more convenient time.

have devoted crosscutting sections of the book to discuss the issues of individual privacy and health data on the web and the motivations of policymakers and interest groups in calling for the utilization of web technology in health.

In the closing chapters of this monograph we attempt to identify future research questions as a means to better understand future directions for growth within the Health Web Science discipline. For example, we will raise questions such as, which on-line communities have had an impact on health outcomes? Which online communities have caused harm? What are the factors that make the difference? Does access to health data actually improve one's health or hurt it because it falls in the wrong hands? How do health behaviors and Web-based communities differ across cultures? What factors are relevant when accessing the Web for health care across different demographics such as age, gender, belief systems, life experiences, and religious or sexual preference? Can the Web be used to help us find out about health issues or outbreaks before they happen? What are the limits of the impact of the Web on health issues, and can knowing them better help us prepare for and accept them? We explore the questions raised primarily in the context of one or the other of two divergent healthcare systems in developed countries, namely those of the US and the UK. Indeed the co-authors include scientists from both of those regions. In this book we alternatively refer to challenges and opportunities posed by Health Web Science as they interact with or apply to cultural, organizational and demographic features of these environments. We recognize that other regions in the world including those in developing countries may face parallel or divergent challenges and opportunities through the Web. Indeed, the Web can be an important facilitator of remote medicine over the mobile web. It is our hope that the insights offered by this volume will stimulate further research relevant to for diverse regions beyond those included here.

The contributors to this monograph come from a wide variety of disciplinary backgrounds, with experience in health science, the formal sciences, and social science. There is a bias towards describing Health Web Science through the lens of people working in the USA and UK; assumptions and results do not always cross socio-economic and

cultural borders. Some areas of the text will resonate more readily with doctors, other areas with social scientists, and still others with data scientists and Web scientists. The “diversity of voice” within this treatise is intended and unavoidable, as it reflects and embraces the multidisciplinary, co-production philosophy that characterizes the nascent discipline of Health Web Science. We have, however, endeavored, and hopefully succeeded, to make all areas of the book accessible to anybody with an interest in this new discipline, from those coming to the topic for the first time to those more experienced this space.

1

Introduction to Health Web Science

Recently, many Web researchers and developers are beginning to talk of a Web not of linked documents, but of linked *data*, sometimes called Web 3.0 or the Semantic Web, in which the data within Web pages can now be “read” and “understood” by machines (W3C 2001). An alternative to the Semantic Web or Linked Data definition of Web 3.0 is presented by the theory of autonomous agents. Conrad Wolfram remarks that this stage of development of the Web is one in which “the computer is generating new information”. The computer is a content-creator “producing new results in real time, responding to a question” [123]. Independently of what one chooses to call it... Web applications that approach an emergent technology only allow for new insights in data and information and not necessarily completely new data (Wolfram) Twenty years ago, many of these developments could not have been predicted; they evolved from and with our interactions with the technology.

As the Web has undergone these transformations from “Web 1.0” to the more interactive “Web 2.0” and increasingly to the intricately linked data of “Web 3.0”, corresponding terms have appeared in the discussion

2 *Introduction to Health Web Science*

of health and medicine in relation to the Web. Terms such as “health 2.0” and “medicine 2.0” have recently appeared in the literature (Van De Belt 2010) to suggest that Web technologies may support and enable interaction and the creation of user generated content relevant to health care. Medicine 2.0 has been used to denote the Social Web in health, medicine, and biomedical research (Medicine 2.0 Congress 2012). There is no general consensus as to these definitions, and definitions are influenced by the different stakeholders’ agendas of which there are many [204]. Nevertheless, there seems to be agreement that using the suffix 2.0 denotes the ability of the technology to provide interaction and allow the creation of user generated content, which is the hallmark of Medicine 2.0. The lack of a specific definition reflects in part that the technology, and how we use it, is dynamic and evolving, and this in turn highlights the requirement for a multidisciplinary approach towards understanding the impact of this technology on healthcare, communities, individuals and society as a whole.

1.1 What is Web Science?

Web science is the study of the World Wide Web and its impact on society and technology [28]. Web Science seeks to understand the multifaceted nature of the Web and its development as a key communicational and representational system that enables information systems to be decentralized. It further studies how to utilize that knowledge to advance and engineer the Web itself. It includes the study of those interfaces that emerge at the boundaries of the Web and the individual, and extends to society, policy, and government issues. Current central engineering issues in Web Science include the development and evolution of the Semantic Web, Web services, and peer-to-peer networks, where no dedicated server is involved. Web Science analytic research has focused mostly on the Web’s topology, i.e., its graph-like structures, and what can be learned about individuals, organizations, and societies from these networks. However, the Web has emerged as a social technology, which raises further questions about Web use, policies, and governance.

1.2 What is Health Web Science?

Health Web Science is Web Science with a health remit -to understand how the web shapes and is shaped by health related activities. In so many words: Health Web Science is not only the application of Web Sciences to health but the study of emergent properties from the combination of Health Sciences and Web Sciences. It therefore studies the Web (and technologies that use the Internet), their emergent properties, and how these are being and can be harnessed or held in check, and by whom, to benefit society in the area of human health. It also concerns itself with understanding how people (health professionals, patients, communities) co-create and engage with the emergent health ecosystem within which they live. Furthermore, it studies how the Web can be engineered or developed in relation to health, medicine and healthcare.

Health Web Science requires cooperation among disciplines, because it is not only interrogating a social and technological ecosystem, but also designing it. This involves a range of actors such as health professionals, lay people, Web designers, computer scientists, quantitative and qualitative researchers, health economists, behavioral scientists, social scientists, ethicists, lawyers, policy makers, educators, and government organizations. Each of these constituencies brings different insights to the field that ultimately coalesces into a meaningful gestalt that is referred to as Web Science.

1.3 The Health Web Science Space

The current model for delivering health care is unsustainable [201]. Given that the Web has emerged as a daily part of life for much of the western world, it may offer a route to finding solutions that help to mitigate rising health care costs and help make healthcare sustainable. Commerce, education, and entertainment organizations that have embraced the potential of the Web have seen revolutionary benefits, with the penetration of e-commerce continuing to grow between 15–22% per year for the past six years (ComScore 2012).

4 *Introduction to Health Web Science*

Health care systems need a paradigm shift in their health care models, i.e., a move from a reactive (illness) model to a proactive (wellness) model of health care delivery [67]. The Web may afford opportunities to facilitate such changes. It may act as a conduit for creating an environment in which individuals and communities are encouraged to take more personal responsibility for their own health and treatment through empowerment, co-creation and co-production. In addition, there are expectations that remote monitoring and management of a wide variety of health conditions can be achieved using this technology. Because of this potential of the Web to change how health care can be delivered, there is increasing interest in eHealth, Health/Medicine 2.0 and the emerging discipline of Health Web Science to evaluate the impact of the Web on health maintenance and on healthcare delivery.

Airlines, banking, and other industries have successfully integrated information technology (IT) into the routine running of their businesses. Other than for administrative tasks, healthcare has been a “late adopter” of information technology, despite arguments that IT adoption would improve the quality, safety, and efficiency of care. Hence a different story can be told, with claims that IT has over-promised and under delivered [193]. This has led to recognition that complexities of healthcare data and information create a greater challenge for IT than for most other sectors. Beyond complexity, additional barriers to adoption include: cost, and institutional and individual change required within both the complex and fragile medical systems, as well as with the busy medical practitioners. Critics have also cited lack of an evidence for the efficacy of healthcare delivery via the Web, while proponents of the technology argue that a paradigm shift in the methodological approach is required to make this determination, and to the early adopters, the revolution is well underway.

Currently we are in an expansive stage where we continue to see an increasing number of calls for additional technology to support improvement and change in healthcare. In the US, the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) generated a report (PCAST 2010) on “Realizing the Full Potential of Health Information Technology to Improve Healthcare for Americans: the Path

Forward”. This, and reports like it highlight the potential for and need for improved health informatics support, also providing additional motivation for study and development for health web science. With increasing financial incentives such as “meaningful use”¹ providing reimbursement incentives for health care providers to become more effective users of electronic health information, the opportunities are growing as more organizations are seeking help in understanding and using electronic health information effectively. In addition to provider meaningful use incentives, we are currently seeing a growth in financial incentives aimed at the developer communities, (often taking the form?) these take the form of application and data challenges and innovation awards.^{2,3}

Finally, with respect to academic health research, there is a need for Health Web Science to define approaches to data and knowledge management for health data, with a particular eye to issues of privacy and law; to explore how self-organizing groups of citizens on the Web can be studied to gain insights into their health needs and behaviors; to define ways of discovering and integrating data from global health resources, delivering the right information to the hands of the researcher at the moment they require it, in a manner similar to how personalized medicine intends bring the right information, about the right patient, at the right time, to the clinician, and to enable individuals to utilize the web and it’s resources for health information, solutions, maintenance, and what to do to maintain wellness through the life cycle.

In summary, there is a compelling argument for health web science to describe the tools needed to enable, empower, and evaluate web-based healthcare. If the science shows web based health care is efficacious then the consequences will be profound and the more we know about how the web works in the health sphere, the better able we will be to utilize it as a resource.

¹ An explanation of the concept of Meaningful Use can be found at <http://www.medicity.com/meaningful-use-101.html>.

² <http://www.iom.edu/Activities/PublicHealth/HealthData/2012-JUN-05.aspx>

³ <http://challenge.gov/search?cat=25>

References

- [1] L. C. Abrams, N. Padmanabhan, L. Thaweethai, and T. Phillips, “iPhone Apps for smoking cessation: A content analysis,” *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 279–285, March 2011.
- [2] S. A. Adams, “Blog-based applications and health information: Two case studies that illustrate important questions for Consumer Health Informatics,” *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. e89–e96, 2010.
- [3] G. A. Akerlof, “The Market for ‘Lemons’: Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanism,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 488–500, 1970.
- [4] H. Akkerman, N. B. Gyan, A. Bon, W. Tuyp, A. Grewal, S. Boyera, M. Allen, and S. Eco, “Is (Web) Science Ready for Empowerment?,” http://www.w4ra.org/sites/default/files/documents/W4RA_article-ACM_WebSci-11_Koblenz-Jun2011-HansA-final.pdf, 2011.
- [5] C. J. Alexander and L. A. Pal, *Digital Democracy: Policy and Politics in the Wired World*. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [6] M. Altheim, “Computers, Privacy and Data Protection International Conference: European Data Protection,” In Good Health? Part 1. January 30th, 2011, <http://ediscoverymap.com/2011/01/computers-privacy-and-data-protection-international-conference-european-data-protection-in-good-health-part-1/>, 2011.
- [7] U. Altmann, “Representation of Medical Informatics in the Wikipedia and its Perspectives,” *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*, vol. 116, pp. 755–760, 2005.

128 *References*

- [8] C. Anderson, *The Long Tail. How Endless Choice is Creating Unlimited Demand*. Croydon: Random House Business Books, 2007.
- [9] R. M. Anderson and M. M. Funnell, "Patient empowerment: Myths and misconceptions," *Patient Educ Couns*, vol. 79, pp. 277–282, 2009.
- [10] A. Aronson, "DiagnosisPro: The Ultimate Differential Diagnosis Assistant," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 277, no. 5, p. 426, 1997.
- [11] K. Arrow, "Uncertainty and the Welfare Economics of Medical Care," *The American Economic Review*, vol. LIII, no. 5, pp. 941–973, 1963.
- [12] K. A. Baggerly and K. R. Coombes, "Deriving chemosensitivity from cell lines: Forensic bioinformatics and reproducible research in high-throughput biology," *Annals of Applied Statistics*, vol. 3, pp. 1309–1334, 2009.
- [13] J. E. Bailey, J. Y. Wan, L. M. Mabry, S. H. Landy, R. A. Pope, T. M. Waters, and M. E. Frisse, "Does health information exchange reduce unnecessary neuroimaging and improve quality of headache care in the emergency department?," *J Gen Intern Med*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 176–183, 2013.
- [14] A. Bairoch et al., "The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt)," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 33, no. Database Issue, pp. D154–D159, 2005.
- [15] A. M. Bardone-Cone and K. M. Cass, "Investigating the impact of pro-anorexia websites: A pilot study," *European Eating Disorders Review*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 256–262, 2006.
- [16] A. M. Bardone-Cone and K. M. Cass, "What does viewing a pro-anorexia website do? An experimental examination of website exposure and moderating effects," *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 537–548, 2007.
- [17] G. A. Barnett and J. M. Hwang, "The Use of the Internet for Health Information and Social Support: A Content Analysis of Online Breast Cancer Discussion Groups," in *The Internet and Health Care: Theory, Research and Practice*, (M. Murero and R. E. Rice, eds.), pp. 233–254, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2006.
- [18] G. O. Barnett, J. J. Cimino, J. A. Hupp, and E. P. Hoffer, "DXplain: Experience with Knowledge Acquisition and Program Evaluation," *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*, vol. 4, pp. 150–154, November 1987.
- [19] L. Bauld, "The impact of smokefree legislation in England evidence Review University of Bath," http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh_124959.pdf, 2011.
- [20] A. Beckett and A. Nayak, "The reflexive consumer," *Marketing Theory*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 299–317, 2008.
- [21] D. Béland, "Ideas and Social Policy: An Institutionalist Perspective," *Social Policy & Administration*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2005.
- [22] R. A. Bell, X. Hu, S. E. Orrange, and R. L. Kravitz, "Lingering questions and doubts: Online information-seeking of support forum members following medical visits," *Patient Education & Counseling*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 525–528, 2011.
- [23] F. Belleau, M.-A. Nolin, N. Tourigny, P. Rigault, and J. Morissette, "Bio2RDF: Towards a mashup to build bioinformatics knowledge system," *J Biomed Informatics*, vol. 41, pp. 706–716, 2008.

- [24] J. Bensing, "Bridging the gap. The separate worlds of evidence-based medicine and patient-centered medicine," *Patient Education and Counselling*, vol. 39, pp. 17–25, 2000.
- [25] H. Berman, K. Henrick, H. Nakamura, and J. L. Markley, "The worldwide Protein Data Bank (wwPDB): Ensuring a single, uniform archive of PDB data," *Nucleic Acids Res*, vol. 35, pp. D301–D303, 2007.
- [26] T. Berners-Lee, "Linked Data — Design Issues," Latest update 2009, <http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData.html>, 2006.
- [27] T. Berners-Lee, "Long live the Web: A call for continued Open Standards and Neutrality. Scientific American," <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=long-live-the-web>, 2010.
- [28] T. Berners-Lee, W. Hall, J. A. Hendler, K. O'Hara, N. Shadbolt, and D. J. Weitzner, "Foundations and Trends[®]," *Web Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–130, 2006. 2006 DOI: 10.1561/1800000001.
- [29] T. Berners-Lee, J. Hendler, and O. Lassila, "The Semantic Web," *Scientific American Magazine*, May 17 2001.
- [30] C. Bizer, T. Heath, and T. Berners-Lee, "Linked Data — The Story So Far," *International Journal on Semantic Web and Information Systems, IJSWIS*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1–22, 2009.
- [31] A. D. Black, J. Car, C. Pagliari, C. Anandan, K. Cresswell, T. Bokun, B. McKinstry, R. Proctor, A. Majeed, and A. Sheikh, "The impact of eHealth on the quality and safety of Healthcare: A systematic overview," *PLoS Med*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. e1000387, 2011. Doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000387.
- [32] O. Bodenreider, "Biomedical Ontologies in Action: Role in Knowledge Management, Data Integration and Decision Support," *Yearb Med Inform.*, pp. 67–79, 2008.
- [33] A. N. Bonnie, D. J. Schiano, M. Gumbrecht, and L. Swartz, "Why we blog," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 41–46, December 2004.
- [34] D. Borzekowski, S. Schenk, J. Wilson, and R. Peebles, "e-Ana and e-Mia: A Content Analysis of Pro-Eating Disorder Web Sites," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 100, no. 8, pp. 1526–1534, 2010.
- [35] M. N. Boulos, I. Maramba, and S. Wheeler, "Wikis, blogs and podcasts. a new generation of Web-based tools for virtual collaborative clinical practice and education," *BMC Medical Education*, vol. 6, p. 41, 2006.
- [36] E. A. Bruford, M. J. Lush, M. W. Wright, T. P. Sneddon, S. Povey, and E. Birney, "The HGNC Database in 2008: A resource for the human genome," *Nucleic Acids Res*, vol. 36, pp. D445–D448, 2008.
- [37] M. S. Burgess, O. Allam, W. A. Gray, and A. Al-Busaidi, "Patient-Centred Approach to Focusing Online Health Information Search Results," in *Proc. Int. Symposium on Electronic Personal Health Records 2006 (ISePHR06)*, pp. 5–16, Sep 28, 2006, Trondheim: Norway, 2006.
- [38] R. Calvanio, F. S. Buonanno, D. N. Levine, and M. Levine, "Neuropsychiatric sequelae and life events: analysis and management," in *World Stroke Congress*, 2008.

130 *References*

- [39] N. C. Campbell, E. Murray, J. Darbyshire, J. Emery, A. Farmer, and F. Griffiths et al., "Designing and evaluating complex interventions to improve health care," *BMJ*, vol. 334, no. 7591, pp. 455–459, March 2007.
- [40] N. Carr, *The Shallows*. New York: WW Norton and Company, Inc., 2010.
- [41] K.-H. Cheung, V. Kashyap, J. S. Luciano, H. Chen, Y. Wang, and S. Stephens, "Guest Editorial: Semantic mashup of biomedical data," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 683–686, October 2008. DOI=10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.003 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.003>.
- [42] A. Chu and B. Mastel-Smith, "The outcomes of anxiety, confidence, and self-efficacy with internet health information retrieval in older adults: A pilot study," *Computers, Informatics, & Nursing*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 222–228, 2010.
- [43] A. Ciolilli, "Phantom authority, self-selective recruitment and retention of members in virtual communities: The case of wikipedia. First Monday, 8, October," 2003.
- [44] L. Cohen and L. Manion, *Research Methods in Education*. London: Routledge Publishers, 4th Edition, 1994.
- [45] A. Coulter and A. Collins, "Kings Fund: 2011, Making shared decision-making a reality. No decision about me without me," <http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/making-shared-decision-making-reality>, 2011.
- [46] J. W. Creswell, A. Klassen, V. L. P. Clark, and K. Smith, "Best practices for mixed methods research in the health sciences. Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research," National Institutes of Health, 2011.
- [47] G. P. Cumming, H. D. Currie, R. Moncur, and A. J. Lee, "Web-based survey on the effect of digital storytelling on empowering women to seek help for urogenital atrophy," *Menopause International*, vol. 16, pp. 51–55, 2010.
- [48] K. Davis, C. Schoen, S. C. Schoenbaum, M. M. Doty, A. L. Holmgren, and J. L. Kriss et al., "Mirror, mirror on the wall: an international update on the comparative performance of American health care. Commonwealth Fund, 2007," <http://www.commonwealthfund.org/Content/Publications/Fund-Reports/2007/May/Mirror-Mirror-on-the-Wall-An-International-Update-on-the-Comparative-Performance-of-American-Health.aspx> Retrieved 31 Jan 2011, 2011.
- [49] D. deBronkart, "Let Patients Help! A patient engagement handbook How doctors, nurses, patients and caregivers can partner for better care," March 2013.
- [50] E. Deci and R. Ryan, *Handbook of Self-determination Research*. University of Rochester Press, 2002.
- [51] T. Delbanco, J. Walker, J. D. Darer, J. G. Elmore, H. J. Feldman, S. G. Leveille, J. D. Ralston, S. E. Ross, E. Vodicka, and V. D. Weber, "Open Notes: Doctors and Patients Signing On," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 121–125, July 2010. <http://annals.org/article.aspx?articleid=745909> Project Website: <http://www.myopennotes.org>.
- [52] M. Denscombe, *The Good Research Guide*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 1998.
- [53] M. Denscombe, *Ground Rules for Good Research: A 10 Point Guide for Social Researchers*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press, 2002.

- [54] Department of Health Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS: August 2010, "http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_118602," 2010.
- [55] Department of Health. Whole system demonstrator programme: Headline Findings: December 2011, "http://www.dh.gov.uk/dr_consum.dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh_131689.pdf," 2011.
- [56] J. A. Dewar, "The information age and the printing press: looking backward to see ahead," <http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/papers/2005/P8014.pdf>, 2005.
- [57] DiagnosMD. Software DiagnosMD, "Url: <http://www.diagnosmd.com/index.php>. Last accessed: January, 26," 2012.
- [58] J. A. Diaz, C. N. Sciamanna, E. Evangelou, M. J. Stamp, and T. Ferguson, "Brief report: What types of internet guidance do patients want from their physicians?," *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 683–685, 2005.
- [59] M. J. Dutta-Bergman, "Health attitudes, health cognitions, and health behaviors among internet health information seekers: Population-based survey," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. e15, 2004.
- [60] Economist, "<http://www.economist.com/news/europe/21566664-danish-government-rescinds-its-unwieldy-fat-tax-fat-chance>," 2012.
- [61] G. Elwyn, "Salzburg statement on shared decision making," *BMJ*, vol. 342, p. d1745, 2011.
- [62] T. R. Eng, A. Maxfield, K. Patrick, M. J. Deering, S. C. Ratzan, and D. H. Gustafson, "Access to Health Information and Support: A Public Highway or a Private Road?," *JAMA*, vol. 280, no. 15, pp. 1371–1375, 1998.
- [63] R. M. Epstein, B. S. Alper, and T. E. Quill, "Communicating evidence for participatory decision making," *JAMA*, vol. 291, no. 19, pp. 2359–2366, 2004.
- [64] G. Eysenbach, "What is eHealth?," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. e20, 2001. URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2001/2/e20/>, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3.2.e20> PMID: 11720962.
- [65] G. Eysenbach, "Infodemiology: The epidemiology of (Mis) information," *American Journal of Medicine*, vol. 113, pp. 763–765, 2002.
- [66] G. Eysenbach, "The impact of the Internet on cancer outcomes," *CA Cancer J Clin.*, vol. 53, pp. 356–371, 2003.
- [67] G. Eysenbach, "Medicine 2.0: Social Networking, Collaboration, Participation, Apomediation, and Openness," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e22, 2008. URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2008/3/e22/> doi:10.2196/jmir.1030.
- [68] G. Eysenbach, J. Powell, M. Englesakis, C. Rizo, and A. Stern, "Health related virtual communities and electronic support groups: systematic review of the effects of online peer-to-peer-interactions," *BMJ*, vol. 328, p. 1166, 2004.
- [69] R. Fawdry, S. Bewley, G. Cumming, and H. Perry, "Paradigm shift in maternity IT?," *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, vol. 104, no. 10, pp. 405–412, 2011.
- [70] M. Y. Feilzer, "Doing Mixed Methods Research Pragmatically: Implications for the rediscovery of pragmatism as a research paradigm," *J Mixed Methods Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 6–16, 2010.

132 *References*

- [71] B. J. Fogg, *Persuasive Technology: Using Computers to Change What We Think and Do*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [72] S. Fox, “Health Topics, February 1, 2011, Pew Internet Project and the California HealthCare Foundation,” http://www.pewinternet.org/~media/Files/Reports/2011/PIP_Health_Topics.pdf, 2011.
- [73] S. Fox and R. Lee, “The online healthcare revolution: How the web helps Americans take better care of themselves. The Pew Internet and American Life Project,” Washington DC, 2000.
- [74] S. Fox and K. Purcell, “Pew Internet and American Life Project 2010 Chronic disease and the Internet <http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/2010/Chronic-Disease.aspx>,” 2010.
- [75] M. Fraser and S. Dutta, *Throwing Sheep in the Boardroom: How Online Social Networking Will Transform Your Life*. Work and World. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2008.
- [76] P. Freire, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. New York NY: Continuum Press, 1970.
- [77] M. E. Frisse, K. B. Johnson, H. Nian, C. L. Davison, C. S. Gadd, K. M. Unertl, P. A. Turri, and Q. Chen, “The financial impact of health information exchange on emergency department care,” *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 328–333, 2011.
- [78] J. H. Frost and M. P. Massagli, “Social uses of personal health information within PatientsLikeMe, an online patient community: what can happen when patients have access to one another’s data,” *J Med Internet Res.*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e15, 2008. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc2553248/>.
- [79] A. Gagliardi and A. Jadad, “Examination of instruments used to rate quality of health information on the internet; chronicle of a voyage with an unclear destination,” *BMJ*, vol. 324, pp. 569–572, 2002.
- [80] J. Gallucci, *Not Pro-Ana: The Online Sisterhood of Eating Disorders*. Long Island Press, 2010. retrieved 10 December.
- [81] V. Gewin, “Research: Uncovering misconduct,” *Nature*, vol. 485, pp. 137–139, 2012.
- [82] M. Gill and R. Stott, “Health professionals must act to tackle climate change,” *The Lancet*, vol. 374, no. 9706, pp. 1953–1955, 2009.
- [83] M. Gillam et al., “The Healthcare Singularity and the Age of Semantic Medicine,” in *The Fourth Paradigm: Data-Intensive Scientific Discovery*, (T. Hey, ed.), 2009.
- [84] M. J. K. Gloria, J. S. Luciano, and D. L. McGuinness, “Building Semantically-Enriched Web Observatories,” in *WWW '13 Companion: International World Wide Web Conference*, 2013.
- [85] C. A. Goble, J. Bhagat, S. Aleksejevs, D. Cruickshank, D. Michaelides, D. Newman, and M. Borkum et al., “myExperiment: A repository and social network for the sharing of bioinformatics workflows,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 38, no. Web Server issue, pp. W677–682, 2010.
- [86] C. A. Goble and R. Stevens, “The state of the nation in data integration,” *J Biomed Informatics*, vol. 41, pp. 687–693, 2008.
- [87] B. Goldacre, *Bad Pharma: How Drug Companies Mislead Doctors and Harm Patients*. London: Fourth Estate, 2012.

- [88] M. L. Graber and A. Mathew, "Performance of a Web-Based Clinical Diagnosis Support System for Internists," *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 23, no. Supplement 1, pp. 37–40, 2008.
- [89] T. Greenhalgh, "Why do we always end up here? Medicine's conceptual cul de sacs and some off-road alternative routes," *J. Prim. Health Care*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 92–97, 2012.
- [90] T. Greenhalgh, S. Hinder, K. Stramer, T. Bratan, and J. Russel, "Adoption, non-adoption, and abandonment of a personal electronic health record: Case study of HealthSpace," *BMJ*, vol. 341, p. c5814, 2010.
- [91] T. Greenhalgh and J. Russell, "Why do evaluations of eHealth programs fail? An alternative set of guiding principles," *Plos Med*, vol. 7, no. 11, p. e1000360, 2010. doi10.1371journal.pmed.1000360.
- [92] T. Greenhalgh, K. Stramer, T. Bratan, E. Byrne, J. Russell, S. Hinder, and H. Potts, "The Devil's in the Detail: Final report of the independent evaluation of the Summary Care Record and HealthSpace programmes," London: University College London, 2010, <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/news/scriefullreport.pdf>.
- [93] S. L. Greer, "The Changing World of European Health Lobbies," in *Lobbying the European Union: Institutions, Actors, and Issues*, (D. Coen and J. Richardson, eds.), Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- [94] F. Griffiths, A. Lindenmeyer, J. Powell, P. Lowe, and M. Thorogood, "Why are health care interventions delivered over the Internet? A systematic review of the published literature," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. e10, 2006. <http://www.jmir.org/2006/2/e10/>.
- [95] L. Grossman, "Time's Person of the year: You," <http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,1569514,00.html>, 2006.
- [96] E. M. Guizzo, "The Essential Message: Claude Shannon and the Making of Information Theory," <http://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/39429/54526133.pdf>.
- [97] M. Gymrek, A. L. McGuire, D. Golan, E. Halperin, and Y. Erlich, "Identifying personal genomes by surname inference," *Science*, vol. 339, no. 6117, pp. 321–324, January 2013. [DOI:10.1126/science.1229566].
- [98] P. A. Hall, "The Role of Interests, Institutions, and Idea in the Comparative Political Economy of the Industrialized Nations," in *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*, (M. I. Lichbach and A. S. Zuckerman, eds.), pp. 174–208, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [99] P. A. Hall and R. Taylor, "Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms," *Political Studies*, vol. XLIV, pp. 936–957, 1996.
- [100] K. Hampton, L. Sessions Goulet, C. Marlow, and L. Rainie, "Why Most Facebook Users Get More Than They Give. Pew Internet and American Life Project: Social Networking Reports," Available at: <http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/2012/Facebook-users.aspx>, 3 February 2012.
- [101] J. C. R. Hardwick and F. M. MacKenzie, "Information contained in miscarriage-related websites and the predictive value of website scoring systems," *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*, vol. 106, pp. 60–63, 2003.

134 *References*

- [102] J. Harshbarger, C. Ahlers-Schmidt, L. Mayans, D. Mayans, and J. Hawkins, “Pro-anorexia websites: What a clinician should know,” *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 367–370, 2008.
- [103] M. Hauder et al., “Making data analysis expertise broadly accessible through workflows,” in *Proc. WORKS '11*, p. 77, New York, USA: ACM Press, 2011.
- [104] B. Hauray, *L'Europe du médicament. Politique — Expertise — Intérêts Privés*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po, 2006.
- [105] Health and Environmental Alliance, “Protecting Public Health From Climate Change – A “Global” Call “to” Action,” http://www.env-health.org/IMG/pdf/Durban_Global_Climate_and_Health_Call_to_Action_Final.pdf, 2011.
- [106] J. P. Heinz, E. O. Laumann, R. L. Nelson, and R. H. Salisbury, *The Hollow Core: Private Interests in National Policymaking*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1993.
- [107] J. Hendler, N. Shadbolt, W. Hall, T. Berners-Lee, and D. Weitzner, “Web Science: An interdisciplinary approach to understanding the web,” *Communication of the ACM*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 60–69, 2008.
- [108] B. W. Hesse, “Harnessing the power of an intelligent health environment in cancer control,” *Studies in Health Technology & Informatics*, vol. 118, pp. 159–176, 2005.
- [109] H. Masum and R. Harris, *Open Source for Neglected Diseases: Magic Bullet or Mirage?* Washington, DC: Results for Development Institute, 2011.
- [110] Internet World Stats, “<http://www.internetworldstats.com/stats.htm>,” Miniwatts Marketing Group, 2012, Page updated 28 March.
- [111] J. P. A. Ioannidis et al., “Repeatability of published microarray gene expression analyses,” *Nature Genetics*, vol. 41, pp. 149–155, 2009.
- [112] H. J., “Pew Internet and American Life Project 2009,” The mobile difference <http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/2009/5-The-Mobile-Difference-Typology.aspx>, 2009.
- [113] A. R. Jadad, M. W. Enkin, S. Glouberman, P. Groff, and A. Stern, “Are virtual communities good for our health?,” *BMJ*, vol. 332, no. 7547, pp. 939–942, 2006.
- [114] H. Jarman and S. Greer, “Crossborder Trade in Health Services: Lessons from the European Laboratory,” *Health Policy*, vol. 94, pp. 158–163, 2010.
- [115] A. Jentzsch, J. Zhao, O. Hassanzadeh, K. Cheung, K. Samwald, and B. Andersson, “Linking open drug data,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Semantic Systems (I-SEMANTICS'09)*, Graz, Austria. <http://www.jcheminf.com/content/3/1/19>, 2009.
- [116] S. Jett, D. J. LaPorte, and J. Wanchisn, “Impact of exposure to pro-eating disorder websites on eating behavior in college women,” *European Eating Disorders Review*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 410–416, 2010.
- [117] E. Kahana and B. Kahana, “Patient Proactivity Enhancing Doctor–Patient–Family Communication in Cancer Prevention and Care Among the Aged,” *Patient Education and Counselling*, vol. 2075, pp. 1–7, 2003.
- [118] E. Kahana and B. Kahana, “Health Care Partnership Model of doctor–patient communication in cancer prevention and care among the aged,” in *Handbook of Communication and Cancer Care*, (D. O’Hair, G. Kreps, and L. Sparks, eds.), pp. 37–54, New York: Hampton Press, 2007.

- [119] D. Kahneman, *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011.
- [120] M. Kanehisa and S. Goto, “KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes,” *Nucleic Acids Res*, vol. 28, pp. 27–30, 2000.
- [121] B. Kaplan and K. D. Harris-Salamone, “Health IT Success and Failure: Recommendations from Literature and an AMIA Workshop,” *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 291–299, May–June 2009.
- [122] R. Keeney, “Personal Decisions are the leading cause of death,” *Operations Research*, vol. 56, pp. 1335–1347, 2008.
- [123] N. Kobie, “Q&A: Conrad Wolfram on communicating with apps in Web 3.0. IT Pro,” Available at <http://www.itpro.co.uk/621535/qa-conrad-wolfram-on-communicating-with-apps-in-web-30>, March 17 2010.
- [124] E. Kolber, “Enter the Anthropocene — Age of Man, National Geographic,” <http://ngm.nationalgeographic.com/2011/03/age-of-man/kolbert-text>, March 2011.
- [125] R. Kurzweil, “The Law of accelerating returns,” <http://www.kurzweilai.net/the-law-of-accelerating-returns>, 2011.
- [126] A. Lang and A. Mertes, “eHealth Policy and Deployment Activities in Europe,” vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 262–268, 2011.
- [127] B. LeBlanc, “An Exploratory Study of ‘Bug Chasers’,” *Sociological Imagination*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 13–20, 2007.
- [128] R. Lenz, M. Peleg, and M. Reichert, “Healthcare Process Support: Achievements, Challenges, Current Research,” *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (IJKBO)*, vol. 2, no. 4, 2012.
- [129] M. Levine, R. J. Calvanio, and F. S. Buonnano, “How tracking neuropsychiatric symptom expression can improve diagnosis and management,” Presented at Medicine 2.0, September, 2012.
- [130] M. Levine and G. Mesibov, “Personal guidance system for school and vocational functioning,” in *Poster presented at the Annual International Meeting for Autism Researchers*, Seattle, WA, May 3–5 2007.
- [131] G. H. Lewes, *Problems of Life and Mind*. Vol. 2, London: Trübner, First series Edition, 1879.
- [132] R. C. Lieberman, “Ideas, Institutions, and Political Order: Explaining Political Change,” *American Political Science Review*, vol. 96, no. 4, pp. 697–712, 2002.
- [133] R. J. Lilford, J. Foster, and M. Pringle, “Evaluating eHealth: How to make evaluation more methodologically robust,” *Plos Med*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. e1000186, 2009. Doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000186.
- [134] M. J. Lincoln, C. W. Turner, P. J. Haug, H. R. Warner, J. W. Williamson, O. Bouhaddou, S. G. Jessen, D. Sorenson, R. C. Cundick, and M. Grant, “Iliad training enhances medical students’ diagnostic skills,” *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 93–110, 1991.
- [135] Littlejohns et al., “Evaluating computerized health information systems: Hard lessons still to be learnt,” *BMJ*, vol. 326, pp. 860–863, 2003.
- [136] D. Lucci, M. Levine, K. Challen, and D. S. McLeod, “Technologies to Support Interventions for Social Emotional Intelligence, Self-Awareness/Personal Style, and Self-Regulation,” in *Handbook of Learning Technologies for People with Autism Spectrum and Related Disorders*, Chapter 10, (K. B. M. Goodwin and S. Wayland, eds.), MD: Paul H. Brookes Pub, 2013.

136 *References*

- [137] D. Lucci, D. S. McLeod, and K. Challen-Wittmer, “Male teens with Asperger’s syndrome and nonverbal learning disorder learn about stress and its physiological signs,” in *Poster Presented at the Annual International Meeting for Autism Research*, Philadelphia, PA, May 20–22 2010.
- [138] B. Ludäscher, I. Altintas, C. Berkley, D. Higgins, E. Jaeger-Frank, M. Jones, E. Lee, J. Tao, and Y. Zhao, “Scientific Workflow Management and the Kepler System,” *Special Issue: Workflow in Grid Systems. Concurrency and Computation: Practice & Experience*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 1039–1065, 2006.
- [139] G. Makoul and R. H. Curry, “The value of assessing and addressing communication skills,” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 298, no. 9, pp. 1057–1059, 2007.
- [140] H. Margetts, “The Internet and Public Policy,” *Policy & Internet*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–21, 2009.
- [141] J. McCusker, D. L. McGuinness, J. Lee, C. Thomas, P. Courtney, Z. Tatalovich, N. Contractor, G. D. Morgan, and A. R. Shaikh, “Towards Next Generation Health Data Exploration: A Data Cube-based Investigation into Population Statistics for Tobacco,” in *Proceedings of Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences 2013*, Grand Wailea, Maui, Hawaii, January 7–10 2013.
- [142] M. McLuhan, *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw Hill, NY, 1964; reissued MIT Press, 1994; reissued by Gingko Press, 1st Edition, 2003.
- [143] Medicine 2.0 Congress 2012 <http://www.medicine20congress.com/ocs/index.php/med/med2012>, 2012.
- [144] P. E. Meehl, “Clinical versus statistical prediction: A theoretical analysis and a review of the evidence,” 1954.
- [145] R. A. Miller, H. E. Pople, and J. D. Myers, “Internist-I, an Experimental Computer-Based Diagnostic Consultant for General Internal Medicine,” *N Engl J Med*, vol. 307, no. 8, pp. 468–476, August 1982.
- [146] A. Moraru and D. Mladenic, “A framework for semantic enrichment of sensor data,” *CIT Journal of Computing and Information Technology*, vol. 20, no. 3, p. 167, September 2012.
- [147] L. Moreau, “The Foundations for Provenance on the Web,” *Foundations and Trends in Web Science*, vol. 2, no. 2–3, pp. 99–241, 2010. [DOI: 10.1561/1800000010].
- [148] D. L. Morgan, “Paradigms lost and pragmatism regained. Methodological Implications of combining qualitative and quantitative methods,” *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, vol. 1, pp. 48–76, 2007.
- [149] M. Morris, E. Ozanne, K. Miller, N. Santamaria, A. Pearce, C. Said, and B. Adair, “Smart technologies for older people: A systematic literature review of smart technologies that promote health and well-beingwell-beingwell-being of older people living at home Institute for a Broadband-Enabled Society, Level 4, Building 193. The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ISBN 978 0 7340 4781 6,” <http://www.webcitation.org/6CwGZwwz2>, 2012.

- [150] Z. S. Morris, S. Wooding, and J. Grant, "The answer is 17 years, what is the question: Understanding time lags in translational research," *JSRM*, vol. 104, pp. 510–520, 2011.
- [151] D. A. Moskowitz and M. E. Roloff, "The existence of a bug chasing subculture," *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, vol. 9, no. 4, no. 4, pp. 347–358, 2007.
- [152] D. A. Moskowitz and M. E. Roloff, "The ultimate high: Sexual addiction and the bug chasing phenomenon," *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, vol. 14, no. 1, no. 1, pp. 21–40, 2007.
- [153] E. Murray, "eHealth for self-management and behaviorchange: Potential, progress and pitfalls," in *Medicine 2.0 Conference*, Maastricht 2010, Retrieved 31 Jan 2011. <http://www.medicine20congress.com/ocs/index.php/med/med2010/paper/view/482>.
- [154] E. Murray, J. Burns, and S. See Tai et al., "Interactive health communication applications for people with chronic disease," *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, vol. 4, p. CD004274, 2005.
- [155] E. Murray, S. Treweek, C. Pope, A. MacFarlane, L. Ballini, and C. Dowrick et al., "Normalisation process theory: A framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex interventions," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 8, p. 63, October 2010. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/8/63> Retrieved 31 Jan 2011.
- [156] V. A. Narayan, M. Mohwinkel, G. Pisano, M. Yang, and H. K. Manji, "Beyond magic bullets: True innovation in health care," *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, vol. 12, pp. 85–86, 2013.
- [157] H. Q. Nguyen and D. Donestky-Cuenca et al., "Randomized control trial of an Internet-based versus face-to-face dyspnea self-management program for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease pilot study," *J. Med. Internet Res*, vol. 10, no. 2, no. 2, p. e9, 2008.
- [158] NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement, "Patient Experience Network (PEN)," http://www.institute.nhs.uk/share_and_network/pen/co-production.html.
- [159] E. Nolte and C. M. McKee, "In Amenable Mortality — Deaths Avoidable Through Health Care — Progress in the US Lags That of Three European Countries," *Health Affairs Web First*, published online Aug. 29, 2012.
- [160] M. L. Norris, K. M. Boydell, L. Pinhas, and D. K. Katzman, "Ana and the internet: A review of pro-anorexia websites," *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, vol. 39, no. 6, no. 6, pp. 443–447, 2006.
- [161] D. North, "Institutions," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 5, no. 1, no. 1, pp. 97–112, 1991.
- [162] T. Oinn, M. Addis, J. Ferris, D. Marvin, M. Senger, M. Greenwood, T. Carver, and K. Glover et al., "Taverna: A tool for the composition and enactment of bioinformatics workflows," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 20, no. 17, no. 17, pp. 3045–3054, 2004.
- [163] T. O'Reilly, "O'Reilly Media," What is Web 2.0? <http://oreilly.com/web2/archive/what-is-web-20.html>, 2005.

138 *References*

- [164] J. Osborne, J. Flatow, M. Holko, S. Lin, W. Kibbe, L. Zhu, M. Danila, G. Feng, and R. Chisholm, “Annotating the humangenome with disease ontology,” *BMC Genomics*, vol. 10, no. Suppl 1, no. Suppl 1, p. S6, 2009.
- [165] C. Pagliari, D. Detmer, and P. Singleton, “Potential of electronic personal health records,” *BMJ*, vol. 335, no. 7615, pp. 330–333, August 2007.
- [166] E. Pariser, *What the Internet is Hiding from You*. USA: Penguin Books, 2011.
- [167] A. Payne, K. Storbacka, and P. Frow, “Managing the co-creation of value,” *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 36, no. 1, no. 1, pp. 83–96, 2008.
- [168] N. Postman, “Five Things We Need to Know About Technological Change,” Speech delivered by Postman March 27, 1998 to a gathering of theologians and religious leaders in Denver, Colorado. <http://www.michaelzimmer.org/2008/01/04/neil-postman-five-things-we-need-to-know-about-technological-change/>, 1998.
- [169] PricewaterhouseCoopers, “Good medicine for public-private healthcare,” https://www.pwc.com/en_VN/vn/publications/assets/IGU-good-medicine-for-public-private-healthcare.pdf, 2009.
- [170] S. Rafaeli and Y. Ariel, “Online motivational factors: Incentives for participation and contribution in wikipedia,” *Psychological Aspects of Cyberspace*, 23, February 2008.
- [171] R. Ransom and J. Hepworth, “An interpretative phenomenological analysis of participation in a pro-anorexia internet site and its relationship with disordered eating,” *Journal of Health Psychology*, vol. 11, no. 2, no. 2, pp. 283–296, 2006.
- [172] P. Resnick and H. R. Varian, “Recommender systems,” *Communications of the ACM (CACM)*, vol. 40, no. 3, March 1997. doi: 10.1145/245108.245121.
- [173] A. Risk and J. Dzenowagis, “Review Of Internet Health Information Quality Initiatives,” *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. e28, 2001. URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2001/4/e28/>.
- [174] K. J. Roberts, “Patient empowerment in the United States: A critical commentary,” *Health Expectations*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1369–7625, 1999. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- [175] A. Robertson, T. Cornford, N. Barber, T. Avery, and A. Sheikh, “The NHS IT project: More than just a bad dream,” *Lancet*, vol. 379, no. 9810, pp. 29–30, January 2012. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673612600233-aff1>.
- [176] R. Robinson-O’Brien, C. L. Perry, M. Wall, M. Story, and D. Neumark-Sztainer, “Adolescent and Young Adult Vegetarianism: Better Dietary Intake and Weight Outcomes but Increased Risk of Disordered Eating Behaviors,” *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 648–655, 2009.
- [177] A. Rodríguez-González, J. Torres-Niño, M. A. Mayer, G. Alor-Hernandez, and M. D. Wilkinson, “Analysis of ML-DDSS, a multi-level diagnosis decision support system, and its implications: A case study,” *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, in press.

- [178] P. Roffe, G. Tansey, and D. Vivas-Eugui, eds., *Negotiating Health: Intellectual Property and Access to Medicines*. London: Earthscan, 2006.
- [179] H.-W. Romana, “Is evidence-based medicine patient-centered and is patient-centered care evidence-based?,” *Health Serv Res*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2006.
- [180] Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, “Scientific Advisory Committee Opinion Paper No. 27 Why we should consider a life course approach to women’s health,” <http://www.rcog.org.uk/files/rcog-corp/14.10.11SACLifecourse.pdf>, 2011.
- [181] A. Ruttenberg, T. Clark, W. Bug, M. Samwald, O. Bodenreider, H. Chen, D. Doherty, K. Forsberg, Y. Gao, V. Kashyap, J. Kinoshita, J. Luciano, M. S. Marshall, C. Ogbuji, J. Rees, S. Stephens, G. T. Wong, E. Wu, D. Zaccagninil, T. Hongsermeier, E. Neumann, I. Herman, and K.-H. Cheung, “Advancing translational research with the Semantic Web,” *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 8, no. Suppl 3, p. S2, 2007. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/8/S3/S2>.
- [182] R. B. Saltman, “Patient Choice and Patient Empowerment in Northern European Health Systems: A Conceptual Framework,” *International Journal of Health Services*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 201–229, 1994. DOI: 10.2190/8WMP-RR2K-ABM7-NVNH.
- [183] C. Schoen, R. Osborn, D. Squires, M. M. Doty, P. Rasmussen, R. Pierson, and S. Applebaum, “A Survey of Primary Care Doctors in Ten Countries Shows Progress in Use of Health Information Technology, Less in Other Areas,” *Health Affairs Web First*, published online Nov. 15, 2012.
- [184] P. J. Scott and J. S. Briggs, “A pragmatist argument for mixed methodology in medical informatics,” *Journal Of Mixed Methods Research*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 223–241, 2009.
- [185] Scottish Government, “A National Telehealth and Telecare: Delivery Plan for Scotland to 2015 Driving Improvement,” Integration and Innovation. Produced for the Scottish Government by APS Group Scotland DPPAS 13767 (12/12), December 2012, ISBN: 978-1-78256-317-4, http://www.sctt.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/449260_v7_20121221.pdf.
- [186] C. E. Shannon, “A Mathematical Theory of Communication,” *The Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 27, pp. 379–423, 623–656, 1948. <http://cm.bell-labs.com/cm/ms/what/shannonday/shannon1948.pdf>.
- [187] B. Sibbald and M. Roland, “Understanding controlled trials. Why are randomised controlled trials important?,” *BMJ*, vol. 316, no. 7126, p. 201, January 1998.
- [188] M. Slater, “Craving Community: The Phenomenon of Pro-Anorexia Sites,” *AngeLingo (USC College of Letters Arts and Sciences)*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2006.
- [189] A. Smith and J. Brenner, “Twitter Use 2012,” Pew Internet and American Life Project: Social Networking Reports, May 31st, Available at <http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/2012/Twitter-Use-2012.aspx>, 2012.
- [190] G. C. S. Smith and J. P. Pell, “Parachute use to prevent death and major trauma related to gravitational challenge: Systematic review of randomised controlled trials,” *BMJ*, December 2003.

140 *References*

- [191] P. C. Smith, A. Stepan, V. Valdmanis, and P. Verheyen, “Principal-agent problems in health care systems: An international perspective,” *Health Policy*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 37–60, 1997.
- [192] R. Smith BMJ group blogs <http://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2011/11/22/richard-smith-can-information-technology-improve-healthcare/>, 2011.
- [193] R. Smith, “<http://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2011/11/22/richard-smith-can-information-technology-improve-healthcare/>,” 2011.
- [194] M. R. Somers and F. Block, “From Poverty to Perversity: Ideas, Markets, and Institutions over 200 Years of Welfare Debate,” *American Sociological Review*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 260–287, 2005.
- [195] Steventon et al., “Effect of telehealth on use of secondary care and mortality: Findings from the Whole System Demonstrator cluster randomized trial,” *BMJ*, vol. 344, p. e3874, 2012.
- [196] M. A. Stewart, “Effective physician-patient communication and health outcomes: A review,” *Canadian Medical Association*, vol. 152, no. 9, pp. 1423–1433, 1995.
- [197] G. J. Stigler, “The Economics of Information,” *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 213–225, 1961.
- [198] K. G. Sweeney, D. MacAuley, and D. P. Gray, “Personal significance: The third dimension,” *Lancet*, vol. 351, pp. 134–136, 1998.
- [199] H. Tang and J. H. K. Ng, “Googling for a diagnosis — use of Google as a diagnostic aid: Internet based study,” *BMJ*, vol. 333, no. 7579, p. 1143, 2006.
- [200] L. E. Tesler and R. E. Malone, “Corporate Philanthropy, Lobbying, and Public Health Policy,” *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 98, no. 12, pp. 2123–2133, 2008.
- [201] The Burrill Report, “A Global Health Care Crisis,” http://www.burrillreport.com/article-a_global_healthcare_crisis.html, 2010.
- [202] The NCD alliance 2012, “Putting non-communicable diseases on the global agenda,” http://ncdalliance.org/sites/default/files/resource_files/NCDA.EMRORC.pdf, 2012.
- [203] A. Tiwaria and K. T. Arvind, “Sekhar: Workflow based framework for life science informatics,” *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, vol. 31, no. 5–6, pp. 305–319, October 2007.
- [204] T. H. Van De Belt, L. J. Engelen, S. A. A. Berben, and L. Schoonhoven, “Definition of Health 2.0 and Medicine 2.0: A Systematic Review,” *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. e18, 2010. URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2010/2/e18/>ndoi: 10.2196/jmir.1350 PMID: 20542857.
- [205] M. H. Van den Berg and J. K. Ronday et al., “Using Internet technology to deliver a home-based physical activity intervention for patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A randomized control trial,” *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 935–945, 2006.
- [206] C. F. van Uden-Kraan, C. H. C. Drossaert, and E. Taal et al., “Participation in online patient support groups endorses patients’ empowerment,” *Patient Educ Couns*, vol. 74, pp. 61–69, 2009.

- [207] B. Vandervalk, L. McCarthy, and M. Wilkinson, "SHARE: A Semantic Web Query Engine for Bioinformatics, vol. 5926," in *The Semantic Web: Proceedings of the ASWC*, pp. 367–369, 2009.
- [208] N. Villanueva-Rosales and M. Dumontier, "yOWL: An ontology-driven knowledge base for yeast biologists," *J Biomed Informatics*, vol. 41, pp. 779–789, 2008.
- [209] J. Walker, *Mobilizing Interest Groups in America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1991.
- [210] A. Wall, "The Atlantic Online. Search Engine History," <http://www.searchenginehistory.com/>, 2012.
- [211] T. L. Webb, J. Joseph, L. Yardley, and S. Michie, "Using the Internet to promote health behavior change: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of theoretical basis, use of behavior change techniques, and mode of delivery on efficacy," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. e4, 2010.
- [212] WHO, "Chronic diseases and health promotion, <http://www.who.int/chp/en/>," 2013.
- [213] WHO Global Observatory for eHealth, *Telemedicine: Opportunities and Developments for Member States*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2010.
- [214] WHO/Europe, Empowering patients. (n.d.), "WHO/Europe, World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe," from <http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases/sections/news/2012/4/empowering-patients>, Retrieved December 15 2012.
- [215] P. Wilson, "How to find the good and avoid the bad or ugly: A short guide to tools for rating quality of health information on the internet," *BMJ*, vol. 324, pp. 598–600, 2002.
- [216] D. S. Wishart et al., "DrugBank: a comprehensive resource for in silico drug discovery and exploration," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 43, pp. D668–D672, 2006.
- [217] I. Wood and B. V. et al, "OWL-DL domain-models as abstract workflows," *ISoLA 2*, vol. 7610, pp. 56–66, 2012.
- [218] D. Wooton, *Bad Medicine: Doctors Doing Harm Since Socrates*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [219] World Health Organization, *Equitable Access to Essential Medicines: A Framework for Collective Action*. Geneva: WHO Policy Perspectives on Medicines, 2004.
- [220] M. K. Wynia, "Public health, public trust, and lobbying," *American Journal of Bioethics*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 4–7, 2007.
- [221] C. Xie, R. Bagozzi, and S. Troye, "Trying to prosume: Toward a theory of consumers as co-creators of value," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 109–122, 2008.
- [222] V. L. Yua, B. G. Buchananb, E. H. Shortliffe, S. M. Wraith, R. Davis, A. C. Scott, and S. N. Cohen, "Evaluating the performance of a computer-based consultant," *Computer Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 95–102, January 1979.