Online Appendix for: "What is Forensic Finance?"

JOHN M. GRIFFIN and SAMUEL KRUGER

A. Forensic Areas and Words

We identify forensic finance papers by searching the text of papers for words related forensic terms. See Table IA.1 for a list of the forensic words included in this analysis. To check that this list of terms identifies fraud-related papers, we reviewed all words individually and dropped terms for which more than 30% of the flagged papers were false positives or which are frequently used in contexts that are not related to forensic finance. For this analysis, we reviewed each word by examining papers that use the word at least five times and have a total of at least 20 forensic words overall. For heavily-used words that appear at least five times in more than ten papers, we reviewed a random sample of ten papers. After checking individual words, we dropped words for which more than 30% of the flagged papers were false positives or which are frequently used in contexts that are not related to forensic finance. For example, we dropped "exploit" because it frequently refers to an identification strategy, we dropped "lear" because it frequently refers to something lying in an interval, and we dropped "crime" because crime rates are frequently used as a control variable in non-forensic papers.

We categorize a paper as being forensic finance if it uses forensic terms at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. These cutoffs and this list of words are somewhat subjective, but a manual review of 20 randomly selected papers forensic papers indicates 80% of papers identified by this methodology are truly forensic. The manual review consisted of reading the abstract and introduction of the paper to determine if the paper was focused on topics that could potentially be something that is illegal, illicit, or immoral, as opposed to using forensic terms in a neutral context. At the cutoff of papers with exactly 20 forensic words, 53% are truly forensic based on manual reviews, suggesting that this is a reasonable cutoff. For papers with a forensic word in the title or abstract, we use the lower 10-word cutoff because the forensic rate based on manual reviews is still 50% for papers with 10-12 forensic words. Inevitably, this classification results in some false positives and also leaves out some forensic finance research. In particular, these criteria miss a sizeable number of forensic papers with fewer forensic words. We can identify these papers manually, but we did not add them to the list in order to avoid subjectivity and maintain clear word-based criteria for inclusion.

To check that our conclusions are not sensitive to the specific criteria we are using, we repeat our trend and impact analysis with higher word count thresholds and dropping "conflict of interest" from the list of forensic words since it could also be used in a non-forensic context (see Internet Appendix Figures IA.2 to IA.10 and Tables IA.4 to IA.9). Results are consistent with our baseline figures and tables across all specifications. We include "conflict of interest" as a forensic word in our baseline analysis because it tends to be used more in forensic papers, whereas non-forensic papers are more likely to use more neutral terms such as "incentives" or "principal-agent." A manual check of a random subset of papers that heavily use "conflict of interest" indicates that 70% are forensic papers, which is consistent with the threshold used for other terms.

B. Supplemental Figures and Tables

Figure IA.1: JEL Classification at 3-Digit Level

This figure compares the composition of topics between forensic finance and other papers. It shows the percentage of forensic finance papers in each field, classified by 3-digit JEL codes. The large boxes represent the 2-digit JEL classification, while the inner small boxes represent the 3-digit JEL classification. The smaller boxes in non-G category represent 1-digit non-G JEL codes. Non-G category includes classifications outside the finance category. If a paper has n > 1 JEL codes, each JEL code is weighted by $\frac{1}{n}$. The boxes are sized by number of papers, i.e. sum of the weights of corresponding JEL code, in each field. The darker the red color, the higher the percentage of forensic papers in each JEL classification. The percentage is trimmed at 1% and 15% level. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers.

All JEL Codes				
General Financial Markets ((G1)		Corporate Finance	ee and Governance (G3)
Asset Pricing, Trading Volume, Bond Interest Rates	Information and Market Efficiency, Event Studies, Insider Trading	General International Financial Markets	Financing Policy, Financial Risk an Risk Managemen Capital and Ownership Structure, Value of Firms, Goodwill	d Mergers, Acquisitions, It, Restructuring, Corporate Governance
Other Non-G Category	Portfolio Choice, Investment Decisions	Pricing, Futures Pricing	General	Capital Budgeing, Fixed Investment and Inventory Studies, Capacity Payout Policy
Microeconomics	Mathematical Industria and Organiza Quantitative Methods Business Admi and Business Admi and Business Filter Marketing, Accounting, Descreted Fier	n Public Economics n Conn Public Economic Development, Innovation, and Growth	Financial Institution Banks, Depository Institutions, Micro Finance Institutions, Mortgages	Investment Banking, Venture Capital, Brokerage, Ratings and Ratings Agencies General
Macroeconomics and Monetary Economics	Labor and Demographic Economics Law and Economi	CS	Non-bank Financial Institutions, Financial Instruments Institutional Investors	Government Policy and Regulation
Forensic ≤1% 3%	% 5%	7% 9	0% 11%	13% ≥15%

Figure IA.2: Trend of Forensic Finance Papers (Threshold of 40)

This figure shows the percentage of forensic finance papers among all published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. The top three finance journals are the *Journal of Finance* (JF), *Journal of Financial Economics* (JFE), and *Review of Financial Studies* (RFS). Editor announcements, presidential addresses, comments, book reviews are removed from the sample. There are in total 6334 academic papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. The percentages are calculated using the 5-year rolling window among all papers, among JF papers, among JF papers, and among RFS papers.



Figure IA.3: Trend of Forensic Finance Papers (Threshold of 60)

This figure shows the percentage of forensic finance papers among all published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. The top three finance journals are the *Journal of Finance* (JF), *Journal of Financial Economics* (JFE), and *Review of Financial Studies* (RFS). Editor announcements, presidential addresses, comments, book reviews are removed from the sample. There are in total 6334 academic papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. The percentages are calculated using the 5-year rolling window among all papers, among JF papers, among JF papers, and among RFS papers.



Figure IA.4: Trend of Forensic Finance Papers

(Use threshold of 20 & drop "conflict of interest" from the list of forensic words)

This figure shows the percentage of forensic finance papers among all published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. The top three finance journals are the *Journal of Finance* (JF), *Journal of Financial Economics* (JFE), and *Review of Financial Studies* (RFS). Editor announcements, presidential addresses, comments, book reviews are removed from the sample. There are in total 6334 academic papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. The percentage are calculated using the 5-year rolling window among all papers, among JF papers, among JFE papers, and among RFS papers.



Figure IA.5: Google Scholar Citations and SSRN Downloads (Threshold of 40)

This figure shows the comparison of Google Scholar citations and SSRN downloads between forensic finance and other papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 40 times or at least 20 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. In Panel A, it shows the 5-year rolling average Google Scholar citations of forensic finance (other) papers. The full sample is used, which includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. In Panel B, it shows the 5-year rolling average SSRN downloads of forensic finance (other) papers in the full sample) which have posted a working paper version on SSRN. In both panels, red represents forensic finance papers and grey represents all other papers in the sample. Both Google Scholar citations and SSRN downloads are collected in 2023.



Panel A: Google Scholar Citation

Figure IA.6: Google Scholar Citations and SSRN Downloads (Threshold of 60)

This figure shows the comparison of Google Scholar citations and SSRN downloads between forensic finance and other papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 60 times or at least 30 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. In Panel A, it shows the 5-year rolling average Google Scholar citations of forensic finance (other) papers. The full sample is used, which includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. In Panel B, it shows the 5-year rolling average SSRN downloads of forensic finance (other) papers in the full sample) which have posted a working paper version on SSRN. In both panels, red represents forensic finance papers and grey represents all other papers in the sample. Both Google Scholar citations and SSRN downloads are collected in 2023.



Panel A: Google Scholar Citation

Figure IA.7: Google Scholar Citations and SSRN Downloads

(Use threshold of 20 & drop "conflict of interest" from the list of forensic words)

This figure shows the comparison of Google Scholar citations and SSRN downloads between forensic finance and other papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. In Panel A, it shows the 5-year rolling average Google Scholar citations of forensic finance (other) papers. The full sample is used, which includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. In Panel B, it shows the 5-year rolling average SSRN downloads of forensic finance (other) papers in the full sample) which have posted a working paper version on SSRN. In both panels, red represents forensic finance papers and grey represents all other papers in the sample. Both Google Scholar citations and SSRN downloads are collected in 2023.

Panel A: Google Scholar Citation



Figure IA.8: SEC Citations and Press Mentions (Threshold of 40)

This figure shows the comparison of SEC citations and press mentions between forensic finance and other papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 40 times or at least 20 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. The circles (squares) show the average SEC citations (press mentions) of papers published between year t - 4 and t, i.e. 5-year rolling averages. The data points lie on t = Prior Years on the left represent the average citations of papers published between 2000 and 2015. Red represents forensic finance papers, while grey represents all other papers in the sample. The SEC citations are collected from both proposed and final SEC rules released between 2007 and April 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include news articles from various media outlets. Both SEC and press mentions are collected in 2023.



Figure IA.9: SEC Citations and Press Mentions (Threshold of 60)

This figure shows the comparison of SEC citations and press mentions between forensic finance and other papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 60 times or at least 30 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. The circles (squares) show the average SEC citations (press mentions) of papers published between year t - 4 and t, i.e. 5-year rolling averages. The data points lie on t = Prior Years on the left represent the average citations of papers published between 2000 and 2015. Red represents forensic finance papers, while grey represents all other papers in the sample. The SEC citations are collected from both proposed and final SEC rules released between 2007 and April 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include news articles from various media outlets. Both SEC and press mentions are collected in 2023.



Figure IA.10: SEC Citations and Press Mentions

(Use threshold of 20 & drop "conflict of interest" from the list of forensic words)

This figure shows the comparison of SEC citations and press mentions between forensic finance and other papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. The circles (squares) show the average SEC citations (press mentions) of papers published between year t - 4 and t, i.e. 5-year rolling averages. The data points lie on t = Prior Years on the left represent the average citations of papers published between 2000 and 2015. Red represents forensic finance papers, while grey represents all other papers in the sample. The SEC citations are collected from both proposed and final SEC rules released between 2007 and April 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include news articles from various media outlets. Both SEC and press mentions are collected in 2023.



Table IA.1: List of Forensic Words

This table presents the full list of forensic words. Note that all variations of each term are taken into account in the process of counting the total number of forensic words in the paper. The list comprises not only general synonyms of fraud, corruption, and misreporting, but also specific forensic finance terms including insider trading, earnings management, and political connection. To minimize false positives, any term that frequently appeared in non-forensic contexts, wasn't regularly used in academic writing, or had over 30% of flagged papers deemed irrelevant, was excluded. Each term was manually scrutinized for its application in papers to ensure its relevance to forensic finance.

Accusation	Embezzlement	Misconduct
Alleged	Evade	Misdeed
Arrest	Extortion	Mislead
Back-Dating	Felon	Misreporting
Bribery	Forgery	Misrepresent
Cartel	Fraud	Misstate
Charlatan	Graft	Misuse
Cheat	Illegal	Nepotism
Chicanery	Illegitimate	Pirated
Collusion	Illicit	Politically Connected
Conflict of Interest	Improper	Prosecution
Conspiracy	Impunity	Revolving Door
Corruption	Incriminate	Scam
Counterfeit	Indictment	Self-Dealing
Crackdown	Insider Trading	Smuggle
Criminal	Kickback	Subversion
Criminology	Laundering	Swindler
Cronyism	Lawsuit	Theft
Deception	Liar	Trafficking
Defraud	Malfeasance	Tunneling
Dishonest	Malpractice	Underreporting
Dubious	Manipulation	Unethical
Earning Management	Misappropriation	Wrongdoing

Table IA.2: Summary Statistics

This table shows the summary statistics of various measures of the impact of forensic finance papers. When the threshold is set to 20 (40, 60), a paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 (40, 60) times or at least 10 (20, 30) times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022.

						Quartiles		
	Count	Mean	STD	Min	1st	2nd	3rd	Max
			Google S	Scholar Cit	ations			
Using thresho	old of 20							
Forensic	426	408.261	696.234	0	53.0	158.5	419.00	6700
Others	5908	355.442	657.403	0	52.0	151.0	399.00	12109
Using thresho	old of 40							
Forensic	287	440.321	768.361	0	50.0	168.0	430.00	6700
Others	6047	355.135	654.404	0	52.0	151.0	399.00	12109
Using thresho	old of 60							
Forensic	231	445.589	770.554	3	50.0	170.0	469.00	6700
Others	6103	355.717	655.466	0	52.0	151.0	398.50	12109
			SSRN	N Downloa	ıds			
Using thresho	old of 20							
Forensic	355	1411.363	3041.492	7	439.5	834.0	1443.50	49480
Others	4787	1196.986	2291.675	1	347.0	675.0	1299.50	73180
Using thresho	old of 40							
Forensic	237	1326.173	1826.700	7	427.0	835.0	1453.00	14673
Others	4905	1206.259	2373.822	1	351.0	678.0	1301.00	73180
Using thresho	old of 60							
Forensic	192	1349.255	1834.489	7	436.5	902.0	1510.25	14673
Others	4950	1206.454	2369.162	1	352.0	677.0	1301.00	73180
			Press Mer	ntions (afte	er 2015)			
Using thresho	old of 20							
Forensic	198	3.338	18.568	0	0.0	0.0	1.00	236
Others	2618	1.112	7.862	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	223
Using thresho	old of 40							
Forensic	131	2.878	10.033	0	0.0	0.0	1.00	73
Others	2685	1.190	8.994	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	236
l Isino thresh	old of 60							
Forensic	109	3 294	10 919	0	0.0	0.0	1.00	73
Others	2707	1.187	8.960	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	236
			SE	C Citation	5			
I Tain a thuash	11 .(20							
Using thresho	na of 20	0.050	0.710	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	F
Forensic	420 5009	0.238	0.719	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	5 11
Others	5906	0.136	0.607	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	11
Using thresho	old of 40	0.050	0 755	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	-
Forensic	287	0.279	0.757	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	5
Others	6047	0.139	0.608	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	11
Using thresho	old of 60							_
Forensic	231	0.286	0.738	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	5
Others	6103	0.140	0.610	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	11

Table IA.3: Citation Regressions (Forensic Words)

This table examines the relationship between the number of forensic words and four main measures of impact. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

$Citation_i = \alpha + \beta ForensicWords_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *ForensicWords*^{*i*} is the number of forensic words paper *i* uses. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. All dependent variables are winsorized at 95% percentile. Standard errors are clustered by year and reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SS	RN	Pr	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic Words	0.413** (0.174)	0.368** (0.166)	1.390*** (0.432)	1.624*** (0.442)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark
Observations R ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.311 299.134	5,423 0.340 286.841	5,142 0.036 1001.224	4,768 0.067 1014.602	2,816 0.028 0.454	2,642 0.030 0.455	6,334 0.024 0.079	5,423 0.031 0.083

Clustered (Year) standard errors in parentheses

Table IA.4: Citation Regressions (Clustered by Year, Winsorized at 99%)

This table examines the difference in four main measures of impact between forensic finance and other papers. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

$Citation_i = \alpha + \beta Forensic_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *Forensic*_i is a dummy variable equals to 1 if paper *i* is flagged as a forensic finance paper. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. All dependent variables are winsorized at 99% percentile. Standard errors are clustered by year and reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SS	RN	Pre	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic	70.398 (41.478)	64.351 (41.760)	161.947* (93.120)	220.115** (95.356)	0.840* (0.371)	0.867* (0.380)	0.112*** (0.030)	0.110*** (0.033)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark
Observations R ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.237 341.047	5,423 0.260 327.518	5,142 0.023 1125.975	4,768 0.044 1140.646	2,816 0.013 0.799	2,642 0.016 0.816	6,334 0.020 0.131	5,423 0.028 0.136

Clustered (Year) standard errors in parentheses

Table IA.5: Citation Regressions (Clustered by Year, Not Winsorized)

This table examines the difference in four main measures of impact between forensic finance and other papers. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

$Citation_i = \alpha + \beta Forensic_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *Forensic_i* is a dummy variable equals to 1 if paper *i* is flagged as a forensic finance paper. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. Standard errors are clustered by year and reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SSI	RN	Pr	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic	68.429 (46.044)	62.144 (47.634)	210.990 (159.034)	275.602* (153.219)	2.226 (1.458)	2.248 (1.466)	0.113*** (0.033)	0.113*** (0.037)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark
Observations R ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.172 358.995	5,423 0.187 346.159	5,142 0.012 1211.786	4,768 0.021 1232.633	2,816 0.008 1.268	2,642 0.012 1.317	6,334 0.016 0.146	5,423 0.023 0.149

Clustered (Year) standard errors in parentheses

Table IA.6: Citation Regressions (No Clustering, Winsorized at 95%)

This table examines the difference in four main measures of impact between forensic finance and other papers. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

$Citation_i = \alpha + \beta Forensic_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *Forensic*_i is a dummy variable equals to 1 if paper *i* is flagged as a forensic finance paper. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. All dependent variables are winsorized at 95% percentile. Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SSI	RN	Pre	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic	38.926*** (15.031)	37.454** (15.258)	125.916** (50.308)	163.412*** (50.741)	0.303*** (0.079)	0.315*** (0.082)	0.069*** (0.013)	0.069*** (0.014)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	$\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ \checkmark \\ \checkmark \end{array}$	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark
Observations <i>R</i> ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.310 299.134	5,423 0.340 286.841	5,142 0.035 1001.224	4,768 0.066 1014.602	2,816 0.017 0.454	2,642 0.019 0.455	6,334 0.021 0.079	5,423 0.029 0.083

Standard errors in parentheses

Table IA.7: Citation Regressions (Clustered by Year, Winsorized at 95%, Threshold=40)

This table examines the difference in four main measures of impact between forensic finance and other papers. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

$Citation_i = \alpha + \beta Forensic_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *Forensic*_i is a dummy variable equals to 1 if paper *i* is flagged as a forensic finance paper. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 40 times or at least 20 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. All dependent variables are winsorized at 95% percentile. Standard errors are clustered by year and reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SSI	RN	Pre	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic	47.028* (26.327)	44.106 (27.475)	119.191* (67.187)	153.901** (70.696)	0.399** (0.142)	0.407** (0.153)	0.076*** (0.020)	0.082*** (0.023)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark
Observations <i>R</i> ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.310 299.134	5,423 0.340 286.841	5,142 0.034 1001.224	4,768 0.065 1014.602	2,816 0.017 0.454	2,642 0.020 0.455	6,334 0.020 0.079	5,423 0.029 0.083

Clustered (Year) standard errors in parentheses

Table IA.8: Citation Regressions (Clustered by Year, Winsorized at 95%, Threshold=60)

This table examines the difference in four main measures of impact between forensic finance and other papers. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

$Citation_i = \alpha + \beta Forensic_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *Forensic*_i is a dummy variable equals to 1 if paper *i* is flagged as a forensic finance paper. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 60 times or at least 30 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of published papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. All dependent variables are winsorized at 95% percentile. Standard errors are clustered by year and reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SSI	RN	Pr	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic	54.160* (29.440)	52.804 (34.004)	132.025* (76.930)	167.722* (83.277)	0.448** (0.177)	0.452** (0.188)	0.083*** (0.022)	0.088*** (0.025)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark
Observations <i>R</i> ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.311 299.134	5,423 0.340 286.841	5,142 0.034 1001.224	4,768 0.065 1014.602	2,816 0.018 0.454	2,642 0.020 0.455	6,334 0.020 0.079	5,423 0.028 0.083

Clustered (Year) standard errors in parentheses

Table IA.9: Citation Regressions

(Clustered by Year, Winsorized at 95%, Use threshold of 20, Drop "conflict of interest" from the list of forensic words)

This table examines the difference in four main measures of impact between forensic finance and other papers. We estimate the OLS regression of the form:

 $Citation_i = \alpha + \beta Forensic_i + FEs + \epsilon_i$,

where *Forensic*_i is a dummy variable equals to 1 if paper *i* is flagged as a forensic finance paper. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. Fixed effects are indicated at bottom of each column. As for JEL fixed effects, we assign each paper a unique JEL indicator (non-G, G1, G2, G3, or other-G) based on the paper's most common 1-digit and 2-digit JEL codes. The full sample includes 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. There are 5142 (81%) papers for which we found a working paper version on SSRN. For the *Journal of Financial Economics* and recent papers in the *Review of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from papers' published versions. For the *Journal of Financial Studies*, we collect JEL codes from working paper versions of papers, which are available for 69% of papers. All four measures of impact are collected in 2023. Press citations are obtained from Altmetric and include posts from various news outlets. SEC citations are collected from SEC proposed rules and SEC final rules released between 2007 and 2022. All dependent variables are winsorized at 95% percentile. Standard errors are clustered by year and reported in parentheses.

	Google	Scholar	SSI	RN	Pr	ess	SI	EC
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Forensic	54.342* (27.393)	53.175* (26.739)	161.496** (63.916)	196.385*** (64.914)	0.362** (0.114)	0.375** (0.123)	0.064*** (0.019)	0.069*** (0.022)
JEL FE Journal FE Year FE	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark	\checkmark	$\checkmark \\ \checkmark \\ \checkmark$	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark
Observations R ² Dep. Var. Mean	6,334 0.311 299.134	5,423 0.340 286.841	5,142 0.035 1001.224	4,768 0.067 1014.602	2,816 0.018 0.454	2,642 0.020 0.455	6,334 0.020 0.079	5,423 0.028 0.083

Clustered (Year) standard errors in parentheses

This table presents the top 5 forensic financ he forensic word used the most in the pape hree finance journals between 2000 and Ap between 2007 and 2022. Press data are obtai of impact are collected in 2023.	ie papers which have the highest SI: r. The full sample is used, which in pril 2023. SEC citations are collecte ned from Altmetric and include new	EC cita cludes d from ws arti	tions in each 5-year v 6334 published and i NSEC proposed rules cles from various me	vindow forthcor s and SE dia outl	. Top fo ning pa EC final ets. All	orens: apers l rule: l four	ic word is in the top s released measures
Title	Authors F	N orensic Word	Top Forensic Word (Frequency)	Google Scholar	SSRN	Press	SEC (Max=5)
	2020 - 2023						
IQ From IP: Simplifying Search in Portfolio Trading Out of Sight: An Analysis of	Chen et al. Eisele et al.	104 28	Insider Trading (104) Back-Dating (12)	106 30	1146 643	0 0	
	2015 - 2019						
Is Fraud Contagious? Coworker Influence Asset Quality Misrepresentation by Corporate Scandals and Household Stock Who Facilitated Misreporting in Securitized The Invisible Hand of Short Selling: Does	Dimmock, Gerken, and Graham Piskorski, Seru, and Witkin Giannetti and Wang Griffin and Maturana Massa, Zhang, and Zhang	317 444 359 358 150	Misconduct (273) Misrepresent (222) Fraud (311) Misreporting (277) Earning Mngmt. (128)	176 315 301 204 299	937 3333 1065 1294 1398	0 10 11 10 1	
	2010 - 2014						
Who Blows the Whistle on Corporate Fraud? The Credit Ratings Game The Effect of SOX Section 404: Costs, Lucky CEOs and Lucky Directors Why Don't U.S. Issuers Demand European	Dyck, Morse, and Zingales Bolton, Freixas, and Shapiro Iliev Bebchuk, Grinstein, and Peyer Abrahamson, Jenkinson, and Jones	222 21 39 20	Fraud (182) Conf. of Int. (17) Manipulation (16) Back-Dating (43) Lawsuit (10)	1947 1016 565 405 139	3950 1453 4257 2376 520	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	
	2005 - 2009						
Trusting the Stock Market The Economics of Conflicts of Interest in Insider Trading Restrictions and Analysts' Insider Trading Laws and Stock Price The Effectiveness of Reputation as	Guiso, Sapienza, and Zingales Mehran and Stulz Bushman, Piotroski, and Smith Fernandes and Ferreira Fang and Yasuda	48 130 171 139 69	Cheat (40) Conf. of Int. (127) Insider Trading (163) Insider Trading (138) Conf. of Int. (65)	2399 407 337 541 286	1846 - 951 1098 783	0 0 0 0 0	hm

Table IA.10: Highly SEC Cited Forensic Finance Papers

Journal 📕 JF 🔤 JFE 📑 RFS

Litigation Risk and IPO Underpricing Dividends, Share Repurchases, and the...

0 0 0 0 0

135 517 598 663 1986

-135 4601

Manipulation (14)

Lawsuit (91)

80 30 30 25

> Bhattacharya et al. Bettis, Coles, and Lemmon

Fishe and Robe

The Impact of Illegal Insider Trading in... When an Event Is Not an Event: The Curious...

Corporate Policies Restricting Trading by...

Lowry and Shu Grullon and Michaely

2000 - 2004

3278

Insider Trading (73) Insider Trading (26) Insider Trading (98)

Table IA.11: Flagged Forensic Finance Papers

This table presents the full list of flagged forensic finance papers. A paper is categorized as being forensic finance if it uses forensic words at least 20 times or at least 10 times with at least one usage in the title or abstract. There are in total 426 flagged among 6334 published and forthcoming papers in the top three finance journals between 2000 and April 2023. The papers are ranked by the overall number of forensic words. The journal is indicated by the background color.

			N Foren	sic Words
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
Sex, Drugs, and Bitcoin: How Much Illegal	Foley, Karlsen, and Putniņš	2019	5	560
Corruption Culture and Corporate Misconduct	Liu	2016	10	451
Asset Quality Misrepresentation by Financial	Piskorski, Seru, and Witkin	2015	6	444
Predicting Fraud by Investment Managers	Dimmock and Gerken	2012	9	396
Financial Fraud, Director Reputation, and	Fich and Shivdasani	2007	10	388
Booms, Busts, and Fraud	Povel, Singh, and Winton	2007	8	371
Corporate Scandals and Household Stock Market	Giannetti and Wang	2016	5	359
Who Facilitated Misreporting in Securitized Loans?	Griffin and Maturana	2016	7	358
Option Backdating and Board Interlocks	Bizjak, Lemmon, and Whitby	2009	5	355
Corporate Fraud and Business Conditions: Evidence	Wang, Winton, and Yu	2010	5	328
Competition and Misconduct	Thanassoulis	2023	5	326
CEO Connectedness and Corporate Fraud	Khanna, Kim, and Lu	2015	7	319
Is Fraud Contagious? Coworker Influence on	Dimmock, Gerken, and Graham	2018	7	317
Corruption in Bank Lending to Firms:	Barth et al.	2009	6	299
Media Ownership, Concentration and Corruption in	Houston, Lin, and Ma	2011	8	296
Real Estate Shocks and Financial Advisor	Dimmock, Gerken, and Alfen	2021	5	296
Bribes and Firm Value	Zeume	2017	5	292
Suspect CEOs, Unethical Culture, and Corporate	Biggerstaff, Cicero, and Puckett	2015	5	284
Internal Corporate Governance, CEO Turnover, and	Hazarika, Karpoff, and Nahata	2012	5	275
Accountability of Independent Directors: Evidence	Brochet and Srinivasan	2014	4	270
The Relation Between Equity Incentives and	Armstrong et al.	2013	6	263
Corruption, Political Connections, and Municipal	Butler, Fauver, and Mortal	2009	9	263
Importing Corruption Culture From Overseas:	Debacker, Heim, and Tran	2015	7	259
Political Connections and the Informativeness of	Jagolinzer et al.	2020	5	256
US Political Corruption and Firm Financial	Smith	2016	7	255
The Law and Economics of Self-Dealing	Djankov et al.	2008	3	250
Executives' "Off-the-Job" Behavior, Corporate	Davidson, Dey, and Smith	2015	5	248
Managerial Incentives and Stock Price Manipulation	Peng and Röell	2014	4	244
Institutional Monitoring Through Shareholder	Cheng et al.	2010	4	236
Robust Benchmark Design	Duffie and Dworczak	2021	2	227
Opportunism as a Firm and Managerial Trait:	Ali and Hirshleifer	2017	5	226
CEO Horizon, Optimal Pay Duration, and the	Marinovic and Varas	2019	3	225
Why Do Firms Evade Taxes? The Role of Information.	Beck, Lin, and Ma	2014	3	224
An Equilibrium Model of Incentive Contracts in	Goldman and Slezak	2006	5	223
The Manipulation of Executive Stock Option	Cicero	2009	5	222
Who Blows the Whistle on Corporate Fraud?	Dyck, Morse, and Zingales	2010	4	222
Short Sellers and Financial Misconduct	Karpoff and Lou	2010	7	217
The World Price of Insider Trading	Bhattacharya and Daouk	2002	6	214
Information Versus Investment	Terry, Whited, and Zakolyukina	2023	2	210
Offshore Schemes and Tax Evasion: The Role of	Chernykh and Mityakov	2017	3	209
Earnings Management, Stock Issues, and	Ducharme, Malatesta, and Sefci	2004	5	198
The Consequences of Managerial Indiscretions:	Cline, Walkling, and Yore	2018	2	197
Does Reputation Limit Opportunistic Behavior in	Atanasov, Ivanov, and Litvak	2012	2	197
Revealing Corruption: Firm and Worker Level	Colonnelli et al.	2022	6	195
Litigation Risk, Strategic Disclosure and the	Hanley and Hoberg	2012	3	195

			N Forens	sic Words
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
Can Ethics Be Taught? Evidence From Securities	Kowaleski et al.	2020	2	190
Do an Insider's Wealth and Income Matter in the	Kallunki et al.	2018	3	188
Competition and Manipulation in Derivative	Zhang	2022	6	185
How Does Law Affect Finance? An Examination of	Atanasov et al.	2010	8	180
A Theory of Financial Media	Goldman et al.	2022	3	179
Deleting Misconduct: The Expungement of	Honigsberg and Jacob	2021	6	177
Did Dubious Mortgage Origination Practices	Griffin and Maturana	2016	5	174
Insider Trading Restrictions and Analysts'	Bushman, Piotroski, and Smith	2005	4	171
Information Networks: Evidence From Illegal	Ahern	2017	4	170
Can Strong Creditors Inhibit Entrepreneurial	Ersahin, Irani, and Waldock	2021	1	169
Short Selling and Earnings Management: A	Fang, Huang, and Karpoff	2016	4	163
Do Hedge Funds Manipulate Stock Prices?	Ben-David et al.	2013	3	159
Does Target Firm Insider Trading Signal the	Suk and Wang	2021	2	158
The Economics of Fraudulent Accounting	Kedia and Philippon	2009	5	153
Exchange Trading Rules and Stock Market Liquidity	Cumming, Johan, and Li	2011	5	152
Reputation Penalties for Poor Monitoring of	Ertimur, Ferri, and Maber	2012	2	151
Anticollusion Enforcement: Justice for Consumers	Dasgupta and Žaldokas	2019	1	151
The Invisible Hand of Short Selling: Does Short	Massa, Zhang, and Zhang	2015	4	150
Insider Trades and Demand by Institutional and	Sias and Whidbee	2010	2	146
The Geography of Financial Misconduct	Parsons, Sulaeman, and Titman	2018	3	146
Suspicious Patterns in Hedge Fund Returns and the.	Bollen and Pool	2012	5	143
Taking the Long Way Home: U.S. Tax Evasion and	Hanlon, Maydew, and Thornock	2015	7	142
Taxes, Theft, and Firm Performance	Mironov	2013	2	141
The Dog That Did Not Bark: Insider Trading and	Marin and Olivier	2008	3	140
Politically Connected Private Equity and	Faccio and Hsu	2017	5	139
Insider Trading Laws and Stock Price	Fernandes and Ferreira	2009	3	139
Political Connections and Corporate Bailouts	Faccio, Masulis, and Mcconnell	2006	6	139
Blockchain Disruption and Smart Contracts	Cong and He	2019	1	137
Did FinTech Lenders Facilitate PPP Fraud?	Griffin, Kruger, and Mahajan	2023	2	135
Industry Structure and the Strategic Provision of	Lehar, Song, and Yuan	2020	2	134
Breaking Down the Barriers: Competition,	Shivdasani and Song	2011	1	134
Do Politically Connected Boards Affect Firm Value?	Goldman, Rocholl, and So	2009	4	133
Can Foreign Firms Bond Themselves Effectively by	Siegel	2005	1	133
The Impact of Performance-Based Compensation on.	Burns and Kedia	2006	6	132
The Economics of Conflicts of Interest in	Mehran and Stulz	2007	5	130
Why Do Corporate Managers Misstate Financial	Efendi, Srivastava, and Swanson	2007	3	130
Cronyism and Capital Controls: Evidence From	Johnson and Mitton	2003	2	128
The Politics of Government Investment	Duchin and Sosyura	2012	3	128
The Consequences to Managers for Financial	Karpoff, Lee, and Martin	2008	5	127
Business Groups and Tunneling: Evidence From	Baek, Kang, and Lee	2006	3	127
Inside Brokers	Li, Mukherjee, and Sen	2021	4	127
Unchecked Intermediaries: Price Manipulation in	Khwaja and Mian	2005	3	122
Earnings Management and Investor Protection: An	Leuz, Nanda, and Wysocki	2003	4	121
The Value of Offshore Secrets: Evidence From the	O'Donovan, Wagner, and Zeume	2019	2	118
Political Influence and the Renegotiation of	Brogaard, Denes, and Duchin	2021	1	118
Spare Tire? Stock Markets, Banking Crises, and	Levine, Lin, and Xie	2016	0	117
Decoding Inside Information	Cohen, Malloy, and Pomorski	2012	2	115
Decentralization Through Tokenization	Sockin and Xiong	2023	0	114
Financial and Legal Constraints to Growth: Does	Beck et al.	2005	3	114
Disguised Corruption: Evidence From Consumer	Agarwal et al.	2020	3	112
Explaining CEO Retention in Misreporting Firms	Beneish, Marshall, and Yang	2017	3	108
Should One Hire a Corrupt CEO in a Corrupt	Mironov	2015	6	108
Impediments to Financial Trade: Theory and	Gârleanu, Panageas, and Yu	2020	0	106
Fraudulent Income Overstatement on Mortgage	Mian and Sufi	2017	3	106
Insider Investment Horizon	Akbas, Jiang, and Koch	2020	1	105

			N Foren	sic Words
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
Market Manipulation: A Comprehensive Study of	Jiang, Mahoney, and Mei	2005	5	105
Analyst Coverage and Earnings Management	Yu	2008	4	105
IO From IP: Simplifying Search in Portfolio Choice	Chen et al.	2020	2	104
Portfolio Performance Manipulation and	Goetzmann et al.	2007	3	103
Corporate Policies Restricting Trading by Insiders	Bettis, Coles, and Lemmon	2000	2	102
Trust Busting: The Effect of Fraud on Investor	Gurun, Stoffman, and Yonker	2018	2	102
Do Investment Banks Compete in IPOs?: The Advent	Hansen	2001	3	101
When the Local Newspaper Leaves Town: The Effects.	Heese et al.	2022	4	101
Trust and Insurance Contracts	Gennaioli et al	2022	0	101
Politically Connected CEOs, Corporate Governance	Fan, Wong, and Zhang	2007	4	100
Disclosure Frequency and Earnings Management	Io and Kim	2007	5	99
Do Labor Markets Discipline? Evidence From RMBS	Griffin, Kruger, and Maturana	2019	2	99
Regulation of Charlatans in High-Skill Professions	Berk and Binsbergen	2022	4	97
Tunneling Through Intercorporate Loans: The China	Jiang, Lee, and Yue	2010	1	97
Managerial Myopia and the Mortgage Meltdown	Kolasinski and Yang	2018	1	94
Borrower Misreporting and Loan Performance	Garmaise	2015	3	94
Litigation Risk and IPO Underpricing	Lowry and Shu	2002	0	94
Regulatory Transparency and the Alignment of	Hutton, Shu, and Zheng	2022	0	93
Political Capital and Moral Hazard	Kostovetsky	2015	3	92
Market Timing and Managerial Portfolio Decisions	Ienter	2005	1	92
Tunnel-Proofing the Executive Suite:	Noe	2009	1	89
Manipulation in the VIX?	Griffin and Shams	2018	2	89
Incentivizing Financial Regulators	Kalmenovitz	2021	1	88
Revolving Doors on Wall Street	Cornaggia, Cornaggia, and Xi	2016	3	88
The Corporate Value of (Corrupt) Lobbying	Borisov, Goldman, and Gupta	2016	1	88
Does Backdating Explain the Stock Price Pattern	Heron and Lie	2007	2	88
Stock Price Clustering on Option Expiration Dates	Ni. Pearson, and Poteshman	2005	1	85
Portfolio Pumping and Managerial Structure	Patel and Sarkissian	2021	1	84
The Strategic Underreporting of Bank Risk	Beglev et al.	2017	5	82
Strategic Ownership Structure and the Cost of Debt	Aslan and Kumar	2012	0	80
The Impact of Illegal Insider Trading in Dealer	Fishe and Robe	2004	4	80
Market Efficiency and Limits to Arbitrage:	Allen et al.	2021	2	80
Competition, Profitability, and Discount Rates	Dou, Ii, and Wu	2021	0	79
Corporate Actions and the Manipulation of Retail	Titman, Wei, and Zhao	2022	1	78
Political Relationships, Global Financing, and	Leuz and Oberholzer-Gee	2006	3	78
The Limits of Model-Based Regulation	Behn, Haselmann, and Vig	2022	2	77
Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good	Sade, Schnitzlein, and Zender	2006	1	77
Political Determinants of Competition in the	Faccio and Zingales	2022	2	76
Are Insider Trades Informative?	Lakonishok and Lee	2001	2	74
More Insiders, More Insider Trading: Evidence	Acharya and Johnson	2010	3	73
Short Selling Around Seasoned Equity Offerings	Henry and Koski	2010	3	73
Tunneling or Value Added? Evidence From Mergers	Bae, Kang, and Kim	2002	3	72
Local Overweighting and Underperformance:	Hochberg and Rauh	2013	1	71
CMBS and Conflicts of Interest: Evidence From	Wong	2018	4	70
Chasing Private Information	Kacperczyk and Pagnotta	2019	0	70
Insider Trading, News Releases, and Ownership	Fidrmuc et al.	2006	1	69
The Effectiveness of Reputation as a Disciplinary	Fang and Yasuda	2009	3	69
Earnings Management and the Market Performance of.	Louis	2004	6	67
Intermediated Investment Management	Stoughton, Wu, and Zechner	2011	5	67
When Do Banks Listen to Their Analysts? Evidence	Haushalter and Lowry	2011	2	66
The Importance of IRS Monitoring to Debt Pricing	Guedhami and Pittman	2008	0	66
Performance-Induced CEO Turnover	Jenter and Lewellen	2021	0	66
Conflicting Interests and the Effect of Fiduciary	Egan, Ge, and Tang	2022	2	66
Deductio' Ad Absurdum: CEOs Donating Their Own	Yermack	2009	3	65
The Commitment Problem of Secured Lending	Fabbri and Menichini	2016	0	65

			N Foren	sic Words
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
Liquidity and Manipulation of Executive	Axelson and Baliga	2009	2	62
Foreign Corporations and the Culture of	Braguinsky and Mitvakov	2015	3	62
The Opportunity for Conspiracy in Asset Markets	Cason	2000	1	62
Corporate Tax Avoidance and Stock Price Crash	Kim Li and Zhang	2011	0	60
Unlocking Clients: The Importance of	Gurun Stoffman and Yonker	2011	2	60
Lucky CEOs and Lucky Directors	Bebchuk Grinstein and Pever	2021	0	59
Military CEOs	Benmelech and Frydman	2010	1	58
The Influence of Political Bias in State Pension	Bradley Pantzalis and Yuan	2010	0	57
Attentive Insider Trading	Alldredge and Cicero	2010	1	56
Rumors	Bommel	2013	0	56
Mandatory Disclosure and Operational Risk:	Brown et al	2008	0	56
Industry Structure and Horizontal Takeovers:	Shahrur	2000	1	55
Is Universal Banking Justified? Evidence From	Kang and Liu	2005	2	55
Information Sharing and Rating Manipulation	Ciannetti Liberti and Sturgess	2007	<u> </u>	55
Cancellable Insider Trading Plans: An Analysis of	Lopkov	2017	1	55
Corporate Coverpance and Pay-for-Performance: The	Cornett Marcus and Tehranian	2019	1	54
Worldwide Reach of Short Solling Pogulations	Laip et al	2000	4	54
How Important Is the Einangial Modia in Clobal	Griffin Hirschou and Kally	2013	0	54
Chean Stock Tunneling Around Programtive Dights	Eriad and Snamann	2011	<u>∠</u> 4	54
Valuing Changes in Political Naturation Evidence	Alexy	2020	4	54
Chart Terresiene Creillererer Frenz the First siel	Akey Bind at al	2015	1	53
Short-Termism Spillovers From the Financial	bird et al.	2022	0	53 53
CFOs and CEOs: Who Have the Most Influence on	Jiang, Petroni, and Wang	2010	3	52
Does the Contribution of Corporate Cash Holdings	Pinkowitz et al.	2006	0	52
Opaque Financial Reports, R2, and Crash Risk	Hutton, Marcus, and Tehranian	2009	2	52
Investor Protection and Capital Fragility:	Aragon, Nanda, and Zhao	2021	1	52
Political Connections and Preferential Access to	Claessens, Feijen, and Laeven	2008	3	52
Are Hedge Fund Managers Systematically	Jorion and Schwarz	2014	3	51
How Organizational Hierarchy Affects Information	Skrastins and Vig	2019	1	51
The Source of Information in Prices and	Edmans et al.	2017	1	50
Sources of Gains in Horizontal Mergers: Evidence	Fee and Thomas	2004	2	50
Corporate Misreporting and Bank Loan Contracting	Graham, Li, and Qiu	2008	2	50
Opioid Crisis Effects on Municipal Finance	Cornaggia et al.	2022	0	49
Corporate Tax Avoidance and High-Powered	Desai and Dharmapala	2006	0	49
Do Hedge Fund Managers Misreport Returns?	Bollen and Pool	2009	1	49
Do Foreigners Invest Less in Poorly Governed	Leuz, Lins, and Warnock	2009	0	48
Price Revelation From Insider Trading: Evidence	Akey, Grégoire, and Martineau	2022	2	48
Trusting the Stock Market	Guiso, Sapienza, and Zingales	2008	1	48
Advisors and Asset Prices: A Model of the Origins	Hong, Scheinkman, and Xiong	2008	0	48
Corporate Governance in the Asian Financial Crisis	Johnson et al.	2000	0	48
Debt Collection Agencies and the Supply of	Fedaseyeu	2020	1	47
The Dating Game: Do Managers Designate Option	Narayanan and Seyhun	2008	2	47
What Makes the Bonding Stick? A Natural	Licht et al.	2018	1	47
Reputation and Signaling in Asset Sales	Hartman-Glaser	2017	1	47
Industry Familiarity and Trading: Evidence From	Ben-David, Birru, and Rossi	2019	0	46
Do Analysts Matter for Governance? Evidence From	Chen, Harford, and Lin	2015	1	46
Walrasian Tâtonnement Auctions on the Tokyo Grain.	Eaves and Williams	2007	1	46
The Impacts of Political Uncertainty on Asset	Liu, Shu, and Wei	2017	0	45
The Internal Capital Markets of Business Groups	Buchuk et al.	2014	1	45
Conflicts of Interest and Stock Recommendations:	Kadan et al.	2009	1	45
The Value of Local Political Connections in a	Amore and Bennedsen	2013	3	45
The Role of Institutional Investors in Seasoned	Chemmanur, He, and Hu	2009	2	45
The Impact of Investor Protection Law on	Agrawal	2013	1	45
CEO Incentives and Earnings Management	Bergstresser and Philippon	2006	2	44
Do Investors Trade More When Stocks Have	Griffin, Nardari, and Stulz	2007	1	44
The Job Rating Game: Revolving Doors and Analyst	Kempf	2020	2	43

			N Foren	sic Words
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
Insider Trading in Credit Derivatives	Acharya and Johnson	2007	2	43
Asset Management Within Commercial Banking	Ferreira Matos and Pires	2018	- 1	42
Public and Private Enforcement of Securities	Jackson and Roe	2009	0	42
Are Fairness Opinions Fair? The Case of Mergers	Kisgen, Oian, and Song	2009	0	42
Intragroup Propping: Evidence From the	Bae, Cheon, and Kang	2008	0	42
Financial Market Ethics	Easley and O'Hara	2023	0	42
Political Connections and Allocative Distortions	Schoenherr	2019	1	41
Insider Trades and Private Information: The	Cheng, Nagar, and Rajan	2007	2	41
Credit Ratings and the Cost of Municipal Financing	Cornaggia et al.	2018	0	41
Does It Matter Who Pays for Bond Ratings?	Jiang, Stanford, and Xie	2012	1	41
The Asset Growth Effect: Insights From	Watanabe et al.	2013	0	41
Do Property Rights Matter? Evidence From a	Berkowitz, Lin, and Ma	2015	1	40
The Information Content of Litigation	Esty	2001	3	39
Property Rights Institutions, Foreign Investment,	Lin et al.	2019	0	39
The Effect of SOX Section 404: Costs, Earnings	Iliev	2010	0	39
Playing the Devil's Advocate: The Causal Effect	Berg	2015	0	38
What Works in Securities Laws?	Porta et al.	2006	0	38
Managerial Opportunism? Evidence From Directors'.	Chalmers, Dann, and Harford	2002	0	38
The Corporate Governance Role of the Media:	Dyck, Volchkova, and Zingales	2008	0	37
Competition of the Informed: Does the Presence of	Massa et al.	2015	1	37
Surprise Election for Trump Connections	Child et al.	2021	1	37
Color and Credit: Race, Regulation, and the	Begley and Purnanandam	2021	1	37
Corporate Tax Havens and Transparency	Bennedsen and Zeume	2018	0	36
The Power of the Street: Evidence From Egypt's	Acemoglu, Hassan, and Tahour	2018	0	36
Information Asymmetry, R&D, and Insider Gains	Aboody and Lev	2000	1	36
Too Busy to Mind the Business? Monitoring by	Ferris et al.	2003	1	36
The Structure and Formation of Business Groups:	Almeida et al.	2011	1	36
Politicians and the IPO Decision: The Impact of	Piotroski and Zhang	2014	2	36
Competition and Bank Opacity	Jiang, Levine, and Lin	2016	0	35
Information Dispersion Across Employees and Stock.	Agrawal, Hacamo, and Hu	2021	0	35
Capitalizing on Capitol Hill: Informed Trading by	Gao and Huang	2016	0	35
Managerial Opportunism During Corporate Litigatio	Haslem	2005	0	35
Credit Rationing, Income Exaggeration, and	Ambrose, Conklin, and Yoshida	2016	1	35
Does Social Capital Mitigate Agency Problems?	Hoi, Wu, and Zhang	2019	1	35
Contracting Without Contracting Institutions: The	Miao, Niu, and Noe	2021	1	35
Price Support by Bank-Affiliated Mutual Funds	Golez and Marin	2015	1	35
Information Control, Career Concerns, and	Song and Thakor	2006	0	34
Price Formation and Market Quality When the	Schnitzlein	2002	1	34
Employee Sentiment and Stock Option Compensatior	Bergman and Jenter	2007	0	34
Benchmarks in Search Markets	Duffie, Dworczak, and Zhu	2017	0	34
Mutual Funding	Gil-Bazo et al.	2020	0	34
Tax Shelters and Corporate Debt Policy	Graham and Tucker	2006	0	34
Corporate Ownership Structure and the Choice	Lin et al.	2013	0	34
Asset Management and Investment Banking	Berzins, Liu, and Trzcinka	2013	1	34
Authority, Consensus, and Governance	Chakraborty and Yılmaz	2017	0	33
Common Ownership and Competition in Product	Koch, Panayides, and Thomas	2021	0	33
Industry Window Dressing	Chen, Cohen, and Lou	2016	1	33
Theft and Taxes	Desai, Dyck, and Zingales	2007	2	33
Pre-Trade Hedging: Evidence From the Issuance of	Henderson, Pearson, and Wang	2020	1	33
Corporate Governance and Firm Value: The Impact	Chhaochharia and Grinstein	2007	0	33
Do Wall Street Landlords Undermine Renters'	Gurun et al.	2023	0	33
Cheap Talk and Strategic Rounding in LIBOR	Hernando-Veciana and Tröge	2020	0	33
Communication and Decision-Making in Corporate	Malenko	2014	0	33
Birds of a Feather: Value Implications of	Lee, Lee, and Nagarajan	2014	1	33
bankers on Boards:: Monitoring Conflicts of	Kroszner and Strahan	2001	2	32

				N Forensic Words	
Title	Authors	Year	Title &	Overall	
			Abstract		
Optimal Long-Term Contracting With Learning	He et al.	2017	1	32	
Ownership Structure and Financial Constraints:	Lin, Ma, and Xuan	2011	1	32	
Where Is the Market? Evidence From Cross-Listings	Halling et al.	2008	2	32	
Do Real Estate Agents Have Information Advantages.	Agarwal et al.	2019	0	32	
Ownership Structure, Voting, and Risk	Dhillon and Rossetto	2015	1	31	
Conflicts of Interest, Information Provision, and	Bolton, Freixas, and Shapiro	2007	3	31	
The Effects of Firm-Initiated Clawback Provisions	Chan, Chen, and Chen	2013	1	31	
Ultimate Ownership and Control in Russia	Chernykh	2008	0	31	
The Political Economy of Financial Regulation:	Benmelech and Moskowitz	2010	0	30	
When an Event Is Not an Event: The Curious Case	Bhattacharva et al.	2000	1	30	
Political Representation and Governance: Evidence	Andonov, Hochberg, and Raul	2018	0	30	
How Constraining Are Limits to Arbitrage?	Liungqvist and Oian	2016	0	30	
For Richer, for Poorer: Bankers' Liability and	Koudiis, Salisbury, and Sran	2021	1	30	
The Impact of Governance Reform on Performance	Price, Román, and Rountree	2011	1	30	
What Happens in Nevada? Self-Selecting Into Lax	Barzuza and Smith	2014	1	30	
Brokers Versus Retail Investors: Conflicting	Egan	2019	0	30	
Employee Representation and Financial Leverage	Lin, Schmid, and Xuan	2018	0	29	
The Market for Conflicted Advice	Chang and Szydlowski	2020	1	29	
Political Uncertainty and Corporate Investment	Iulio and Yook	2012	0	29	
Pinning in the S&P 500 Futures	Golez and Jackwerth	2012	0	29	
Managerial Agency and Bond Covenants	Chava, Kumar, and Warga	2010	3	29	
How Close Are Close Shareholder Votes?	Bach and Metzger	2019	0	29	
CFOs Versus CFOs: Equity Incentives and Crashes	Kim, Li, and Zhang	2011	0	29	
Dissecting Bankruptcy Frictions	Dou et al.	2021	2	29	
When and How Are Rule 10b5-1 Plans Used for	Fich, Parrino, and Tran	2023	1	28	
Managerial Short-Termism, Turnover Policy, and	Varas	2018	0	28	
Trading Out of Sight: An Analysis of	Eisele et al.	2020	1	28	
Family Firms	Burkart, Panunzi, and Shleifer	2003	0	28	
Trust and Delegation	Brown et al.	2012	0	28	
Fintech, Regulatory Arbitrage, and the Rise of	Buchak et al.	2018	1	28	
Hedge Funds: Pricing Controls and the Smoothing	Cassar and Gerakos	2011	2	27	
Strategic Trading Behavior and Price Distortion	Merrick, Naik, and Yaday	2005	2	27	
A Reexamination of Tunneling and Business Groups:	Siegel and Choudhury	2012	2	27	
Making Sense of Cents: An Examination of Firms	Bhoirai et al.	2009	0	27	
Tunneling, Propping, and Expropriation: Evidence	Cheung, Rau, and Stouraitis	2006	1	27	
Advising the Management: A Theory of Shareholder.	Kakhbod et al.	2023	0	27	
Corporate Culture: Evidence From the Field	Graham et al.	2022	0	27	
Affiliated Mutual Funds and the Allocation of	Ritter and Zhang	2007	2	27	
Nonbinding Voting for Shareholder Proposals	Levit and Malenko	2011	1	27	
Research for Sale: Determinants and Consequences	Kirk	2011	2	27	
When Is a Liability Not a Liability? Textual	Loughran and Mcdonald	2011	1	26	
Why New Issues and High-Accrual Firms	Teoh and Wong	2002	0	26	
Large Shareholders and Credit Ratings	Kedia, Raigopal, and Zhou	2017	0	26	
Rating the Ratings: How Good Are Commercial	Daines, Gow, and Larcker	2010	0	26	
Employee Rights and Acquisitions	John, Knyazeva, and Knyazeva	2015	1	26	
Are CDS Auctions Biased and Inefficient?	Du and Zhu	2017	0	26	
The Value of Intermediation in the Stock Market	Maggio, Egan, and Franzoni	2022	1	26	
A Comparison of Some Structural Models of Private	Duarte, Hu, and Young	2020	0	25	
Is Bitcoin Really Untethered?	Griffin and Shams	2020	0	25	
Share Issuance and Cross-Sectional Returns:	Mclean, Pontiff, and Watanabe	2009	0	25	
Political Rights and the Cost of Debt	Qi, Roth, and Wald	2010	0	25	
Do Independent Director Departures Predict Future	Fahlenbrach, Low, and Stulz	2017	0	25	
Measuring Corporate Culture Using Machine Learnin	Li et al.	2021	1	25	
The Oligopoly Lucas Tree	Dou, Ji, and Wu	2022	0	25	
Ownership Structure and the Cost of Corporate	Lin et al.	2011	1	25	

			N Forensic Words	
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
Vertical Divestitures Through Equity Carve-Outs	Jain, Kini, and Shenoy	2011	0	25
Dividends, Share Repurchases, and the	Grullon and Michaely	2002	0	25
Feedback Trading Between Fundamental and	Guo and Ou-Yang	2015	0	25
Firing Costs and Capital Structure Decisions	Serfling	2016	0	25
Advertising Expensive Mortgages	Gurun, Matvos, and Seru	2016	Ő	24
Politicizing Consumer Credit	Akey, Heimer, and Lewellen	2021	0	24
How Valuable Are Independent Directors? Evidence.	Masulis and Zhang	2019	0	24
Political Geography and Stock Returns: The Value	Kim, Pantzalis, and Park	2012	1	24
Strategic News Releases in Equity Vesting Months	Edmans et al	2018	0	24
Public Hedge Funds	Sun and Teo	2019	1	23
The Evolution of Corporate Ownership After IPO	Foley and Greenwood	2010	0	23
The Adverse Effects of Systematic Leakage Ahead	Michaelides et al	2010	0	23
Is Information Risk Priced? Evidence From	Vang Zhang and Zhang	2010	1	23
Political Uncertainty and Investment: Causal	Iens	2020	0	23
The US Left Behind? Financial Clobalization and	Doidge Karolyi and Stulz	2017	0	23
Management Sub-Advising in the Mutual Fund	Morono Rodríguoz and Zambrana	2013	0	23
Rating Agoncy Eoos: Pay to Play in Public Finance?	Cormaggia Cormaggia and Israelson	2010	1	23
Facana From Now Vork: The Market Impact of	Formandos Lol and Millor	2023	1	23
Big Business Ourpors in Politics	Perhandes, Lei, and Minettersherters	2010	1	22
Expectations Management and Stack Deturns	Lohnson Vim and Co	2009	1	22
Expectations Management and Stock Returns	Johnson, Kim, and So	2020	0	22
Prime to Equite Dout fails Commence Face	Rashyap and Kovrijnykn	2010	0	22
Private Equity Portfolio Company Fees	Phanppou, Rauch, and Umber	2018	0	22
Reputation Concerns of Independent Directors:	Jiang, wan, and Zhao	2016	0	22
The Role of Syndicate Structure in Bank	Narayanan, Kangan, and Kangar	2004	0	22
Patent Quality, Firm Value, and Investor	Shu, Iian, and Zhan	2022	0	22
Optimal Property Rights in Financial Contracting	Ayotte and Bolton	2011	0	22
Explaining the Size of the Mutual Fund Industry	Khorana, Servaes, and Tufano	2005	0	22
Equity Grants to Target CEOs During Deal	Heitzman	2011	0	22
How Do Consumers Fare When Dealing With Debt	Cheng, Severino, and Townsend	2021	1	22
Flights of Fancy: Corporate Jets, CEO	Yermack	2006	0	22
Corporate Governance and Risk-Taking	John, Litov, and Yeung	2008	0	21
Concealing and Confounding Adverse Signals:	Ang and Brau	2003	1	21
Governance and Boards of Directors in Closed-End	Guercio, Dann, and Partch	2003	0	21
Does Personal Liability Deter Individuals From	Naaraayanan and Nielsen	2021	1	21
Do Managers Intentionally Use Repurchase Tender	Louis and White	2007	0	21
Regulatory and Legal Pressures and the Costs of	Schultz	2000	3	21
Culture, Openness, and Finance	Stulz and Williamson	2003	0	21
Change You Can Believe In? Hedge Fund Data	Patton, Ramadorai, and Streatfield	2015	0	21
Do Hedge Funds Manage Their Reported Returns?	Agarwal, Daniel, and Naik	2011	1	21
How Costly Is Corporate Bankruptcy for the CEO?	Eckbo, Thorburn, and Wang	2016	0	21
Shareholder Activism and CEO Pay	Ertimur, Ferri, and Muslu	2011	0	21
The Credit Ratings Game	Bolton, Freixas, and Shapiro	2012	1	21
Capital-Market Effects of Securities Regulation:	Christensen, Hail, and Leuz	2016	0	21
Do Market Efficiency Measures Yield Correct	Griffin, Kelly, and Nardari	2010	1	21
Doing Battle With Short Sellers: The Conflicted	Khanna and Mathews	2012	1	21
Executive Compensation Incentives Contingent on	Li and Wang	2016	1	21
Predatory Lending and the Subprime Crisis	Agarwal et al.	2014	0	21
On the Design of Contingent Capital With a Market	Sundaresan and Wang	2015	1	21
Peer Effects in Corporate Governance Practices:	Foroughi et al.	2022	0	20
Small Bank Lending in the Era of Fintech and	Begley and Srinivasan	2022	0	20
Corporate Ownership Structure and Bank Loan	Lin et al.	2012	0	20
The Labor Market for Bankers and Regulators	Bond and Glode	2014	0	20
Arbitraging Arbitrageurs	Attari, Mello, and Ruckes	2005	1	20
The Power of Voice: Managerial Affective States	Mayew and Venkatachalam	2012	0	20
Large Shareholder Diversification and Corporate	Faccio, Marchica, and Mura	2011	0	20

			N Forens	sic Words
Title	Authors	Year	Title & Abstract	Overall
IPOs and Long-Term Relationships: An Advantage of	Sherman	2000	0	20
Do Hedge Funds Trade on Private Information?	Massoud et al.	2011	1	20
Entry Regulation as a Barrier to Entrepreneurship	Klapper, Laeven, and Rajan	2006	0	20
Why Don't U.S. Issuers Demand European Fees for	Abrahamson, Jenkinson, and Jones	2011	0	20
Shorting Flows, Public Disclosure, and Market	Wang, Yan, and Zheng	2020	0	20
How Do Investment Ideas Spread Through Social	Rantala	2019	0	20
Does Corporate Governance Matter in Competitive	Giroud and Mueller	2010	0	20
Comparing the Stock Recommendation Performance of.	Barber, Lehavy, and Trueman	2007	0	20
Do Private Equity Funds Manipulate Reported	Brown, Gredil, and Kaplan	2019	3	19
Product Market Competition, Insider Trading, and	Peress	2010	1	19
Level 3 Assets: Booking Profits and Concealing	Milbradt	2012	2	19
Board Expertise: Do Directors From Related	Dass et al.	2014	1	19
Advance Disclosure of Insider Trading	Lenkey	2014	2	18
Mixing Family With Business: A Study of Thai	Bertrand et al.	2008	1	17
Non-Rating Revenue and Conflicts of Interest	Baghai and Becker	2018	2	17
Playing Favorites: Conflicts of Interest in	Guercio, Genç, and Tran	2018	2	17
Revealing Shorts an Examination of Large Short	Jones, Reed, and Waller	2016	1	17
Does Asymmetric Information Drive Capital	Bharath, Pasquariello, and Wu	2009	1	16
The Going-Public Decision and the Product Market	Chemmanur, He, and Nandy	2010	1	16
Hostile Resistance to Hedge Fund Activism	Boyson and Pichler	2019	1	16
Trade Generation, Reputation, and Sell-Side	Jackson	2005	1	16
Do Professional Norms in the Banking Industry	Cohn, Fehr, and Maréchal	2017	1	15
Non-Deal Roadshows, Informed Trading, and Analyst	Bradley, Jame, and Williams	2022	2	15
The Pollution Premium	Hsu, Li, and Tsou	2023	1	15
Token-Based Platform Finance	Cong, Li, and Wang	2022	1	15
Is Proprietary Trading Detrimental to Retail	Fecht, Hackethal, and Karabulut	2018	1	15
Side-by-Side Management of Hedge Funds and Mutual.	Nohel, Wang, and Zheng	2010	2	15
The Rise of a Network: Spillover of Political	Moon and Schoenherr	2022	1	15
Did Going Public Impair Moody's Credit Ratings?	Kedia, Rajgopal, and Zhou	2014	3	14
Structured Debt Ratings: Evidence on Conflicts of	Efing and Hau	2015	1	14
Corporate Governance and Firm Profitability:	Joh	2003	2	14
Liquidity of Emerging Markets	Lesmond	2005	1	13
Rewarding Trading Skills Without Inducing Gambling	Makarov and Plantin	2015	1	13
Firm Values and Sovereign Wealth Fund Investments	Dewenter, Han, and Malatesta	2010	1	13
How Much Value Can Blockholders Tunnel? Evidence	Atanasov	2005	1	13
Conflicts of Interest in Sell-Side Research and	Ljungqvist et al.	2007	1	12
Voluntary Disclosures Around Share Repurchases	Brockman, Khurana, and Martin	2008	1	12
Payday Lenders: Heroes or Villains?	Morse	2011	1	12
Actual Share Repurchases, Price Efficiency, and	Busch and Obernberger	2017	1	12
Directors' Career Concerns: Evidence From Proxy	Zhang	2021	1	12
Trading Restrictions and Stock Prices	Greenwood	2009	1	11
Informed Trading by Advisor Banks: Evidence From	Lowry, Rossi, and Zhu	2019	1	11
Hedge Funds and Discretionary Liquidity	Aiken, Clifford, and Ellis	2015	1	10
Formal Versus Informal Finance: Evidence From	Ayyagarı et al.	2010	1	10
Agents Watching Agents?: Evidence From Pension	Woldtke	2002	1	10
Networks and Productivity: Causal Evidence From	Brogaard, Engelberg, and Parsons	2014	2	10
who writes the News? Corporate Press Releases	Anern and Sosyura	2014	1	10
Corporate Control Activism	Corum and Levit	2019	1	10
Cross-Ownersnip, keturns, and voting in Mergers	Natvos and Ostrovsky	2008	1	10
Snarenoider wealth Effects and Bid Negotiation in	Dates, Lemmon, and Linck	2006	1	10
Portiolio Performance and Agency	Dybvig, Farnsworth, and Carpenter	2010	1	10

Journal JF JFE RFS